# Statistical Analysis of Tourism During Covid-19 Pandemic 



## 1. Introduction :

Tourism, the act and process of spending time away from home in pursuit of recreation, relaxation, and pleasure, while making use of the commercial provision of services. The activities of people travelling to and staying in places outside their usual environment for leisure.

The COVID-19 pandemic has been a health and economic crisis with devastating effects on developing countries, especially those dependent on tourism. As governments have attempted to protect their populations, lockdowns, quarantines, and major restrictions on national and international mobility were implemented. This, coupled with the decision of consumers to limit international travel resulted in a sharp contraction for the tourism sector with severe economic consequences, particularly on countries that rely on the sector. The number of international tourist arrivals declined by 74 per cent in 2020 compared with the previous year (UNWTO Tourism Dashboard). In many developing countries, arrivals were down by 80-90 per cent

Since, out brake of this pandemic, face of tourism is completely changed. Tourism or Tours that were used to before covid-19 pandemic are not same. Tourism continues to be one of the sectors hit hardest by the Covid19 pandemic. While everyone is getting adapted to live with Covid-19, tourism is also getting back on track by following necessary guidelines issued by the government.

With this pandemic going on since more than a year many of the tourists are getting out for tour with friends, family, relatives and many of them are also bored with work from home, online lectures.

Along with this, Covid-19 vaccination is one of the key factors that can helped to restart tourism, along with other bio security behavior such as hand washing and wearing masks and taking necessary precautions.

## 2. Objectives :

## Through Graphical Representation:-

i. To study which type of tour tourists prefer
ii. To study travelling preferences
iii. To study vaccination status of tourist who went for tour
iv. To study reason of tour between age groups

## 1) Through Chi-square method:

i. To study whether there is association between Mode of transport and Type of tour
ii. To study whether there is association between Gender and to prefer travelling during covid-19
iii. To study whether there association between vaccination of tourist and visiting crowded tourist places
iv. To study whether there is association between precautions taken by hired accommodation and hike in prices of accommodation
v. To study whether there is association between type of accommodation and precautions taken by hired accommodation
vi. To study whether there is association between travelling before Covid-19 and travelling after Covid-19

## 3. graphical representation:

The main purpose of graphical representation is to give some idea about entire data and draw instant conclusion.

## 1. Type of Tour :

| Type of Tour | Count |
| :--- | ---: |
| One day return | 124 |
| Overnight (stay) | 102 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ |



It can be seen that 124 (55\%) of respondents choose for one day return trip and $102(45 \%)$ of respondents choose for overnight (stay) trip.

Thus more no. people choose for one day return trip during this Covid-19 Pandemic
2. Travelling preferences between age group:

|  |  | Prefer Travelling During Covid |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Age | Gender | No | Yes | Grand Total |
| 20-40 <br> years | F | 40 | $\mathbf{7}$ | 47 |
|  | M | 53 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 63 |
| 20-40 <br> years <br> Total |  |  |  |  |
| 41-60 <br> years | F | $\mathbf{9 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |



It can be seen that more number of respondents prefer not to travel during this Covid-19 pandemic. While all the respondents of above 60 years of age group prefer not to travel. Preference to travel is high between age group 20-40 years as compared to other age groups.
3. Vaccination status of tourists:

| AGE <br> GROUPS | Didn't go for a trip | Not vaccinated | Partially vaccinated (1st dose) | Fully vaccinated | Grand <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20-40 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 9 | 3 | 11 | 87 | 110 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 41-60 \\ \text { years } \end{array}$ | 3 |  | 1 | 30 | 34 |
| above 60 years | 1 |  |  | 3 | 4 |
| Below 20 | 12 | 8 | 23 | 35 | 78 |
| Grand <br> Total | 25 | 11 | 35 | 155 | 226 |



It can be seen that 155 tourists out of 226 were vaccinated when they went for the tour. 11 tourists were not vaccinated while 35 tourists were vaccinated with $1^{\text {st }}$ dose. We can say that most of the tourists were vaccinated when they went for the tour.

## 4. Reason of tour:

| Age | Family/ Friends/ Relative Plan | Hobby of travelling | To take a rest from busy life | Was getting bore at home due to work from home/attending online lectures | Grand <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20-40 years | 33 | 17 | 28 | 32 | 110 |
| 41-60 years | 12 | 3 | 13 | 6 | 34 |
| above 60 years | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Below 20 | 12 | 7 | 22 | 37 | 78 |
| Grand Total | 59 | 27 | 64 | 76 | 226 |



It can be seen that most respondents in age group below 20 prefer to travel as they are bore at home due to work from home/attending online lectures.Most respondents in age group 20-40 yrs and above 60 yrs go for tour as it was family/friends/relatives plan. Maximum respondents in age group 41-60 yrs go for tour as to take a rest from busy life.

## 4. CHI-SQUARE TEST:

Chi-square test is used to test the independence (no association) of two attributes. A test of independence assesses whether paired observation on two attributes, expressed in a contingency table, independent of each other. For the test of independence, a chi-square probability of less than or equal to 0.05 is commonly interpreted by applied workers as justification for rejecting the null hypothesis that the row attribute is unrelated (no association).

The $\chi^{2}$ test first calculates a $\chi^{2}$ statistic using the formula:

$$
x^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{c} \frac{\left(A_{i j}-E_{i j}\right)^{2}}{E_{i j}}
$$

Where :
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ actual frequency in the i -th row, j -th column
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ expected frequency in the i -th row, j -th column
$\mathrm{r}=$ number or rows
$\mathrm{c}=$ number of columns

## 1. Checking independence of Mode of Transport \& Type of tour:

Attribute $1=$ Mode of Transport
Attribute 2 = Type of Tour
To test:
Ho: There is no association between Mode of Transport \& Type of tour

H1: There is association between Mode of Transport \& Type of tour

| Observed values | Type of tour |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mode of <br> transport | One day <br> return | Overnight <br> (stay) | Grand <br> total |
| Private Vehicle | 89 | 69 | 158 |
| Public transport | 35 | 33 | 68 |
| Grand total | $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ |


| Expected values | Type of tour |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Mode of transport | One day <br> return | Overnight (stay) | Grand total |
| Private Vehicle | 86.69026549 | 71.30973451 | 158 |
| Public transport | 37.30973451 | 30.69026549 | 68 |
| Grand total | $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ |

p value $=0.500833189$
Since $p$-value $=0.500833189$ is greater than $(0.05)$ i.e. $p$-value $>=0.05$,
Hence we accept Ho.There is no association between of Mode of Transport \& Type of tour

## 2. Checking independencebetween Genders and Preference to travelling during covid-19

Attribute $1=$ Gender
Attribute $2=$ Preference to travelling during covid-19
To test:
Ho: There is no associationbetween Genders and Preference to travelling duringcovid-19
$\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : There is association between Genders and Preference to travelling during covid-19

| OBSERVED | PREFER TRAVELLING DURING COVID |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| GENDER | Maybe | No | Yes | Grand Total |
| Female | 32 | 60 | 13 | 105 |
| Male | 36 | 65 | 20 | 121 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ |


| EXPECTED | PREFER TRAVELLING DURING COVID |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| GENDER | Maybe | No | Yes | Grand Total |
| Female | 31.59292035 | 58 | 15 | 105 |
| Male | 58.07522124 | 67 | 18 | 121 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ |

$p$ value $=0.010163418$
Since p-value $=0.010163418<=0.05$, Hence we reject Ho.
There is association between Mode of Transport \& Type of tour

## 3. Checking independence ofvaccination of tourist and preference to visit crowded tourist places

Attribute $1=$ Vaccination of Tourists
Attribute 2 = Preference to visit crowded tourist places
To test:

Ho: There is no association between vaccination of tourist and preference to visit crowded tourist places
H1: There is association between vaccination of tourist and preference to visit crowded tourist places

| OBSERVED | TO VISIT CROWDED TOURIST PLACES |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VACCINATION | Definitely not | Maybe | Yes of course | Grand Total |
| No (Not vaccinated yet) | 5 | 4 | 2 | 11 |
| Yes, with 1st dose (Partially vaccinated) | 21 | 11 | 3 | 35 |
| Yes, with both doses | 99 | 49 | 7 | 155 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1}$ |


| p value | ESTIMATED | TO VISIT CROWDED TOURIST PLACES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | VACCINATION | Definitely not | Maybe | Yes of course | Grand Total |
|  | Not vaccinated yet | 6.84079602 | 3.502487562 | 0.656716418 | 11 |
|  | Yes, with 1st dose | 21.76616915 | 11.14427861 | 2.089552239 | 35 |
|  | Yes, with bothdoses | 96.39303483 | 49.35323383 | 9.253731343 | 155 |
|  | Grand Total | 125 | 64 | 12 | 201 |

$=0.359337152$
Since p-value $0.359337152>=0.05$, Hence we accept Ho.
There is no association between vaccination of tourist and preference to visit crowded tourist places.

## 4. Checking independence of Precautions by Hired Accommodation \& Hike in Price of Accommodation

Attribute $1=$ Precautions by Hired Accommodation
Attribute $2=$ Hike in Price of Accommodation
To test:
Ho: There is no association between Precautions by Hired Accommodation \& Hike in Price of Accommodation
$\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : There is association between Precautions by Hired Accommodation \& Hike in Price of Accommodation

| OBSERVED | Hike in Price of Accommodation |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Precautions by Hired Accommodation | No | Yes | Grand Total |
| Completely dissatisfied | 2 | 12 | 14 |
| Dissatisfied | 5 | 10 | 15 |
| Highly satisfied | 6 | 12 | 18 |
| Satisfied | 15 | 54 | 69 |
| Satisfied but not completely | 20 | 90 | 110 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ |


| ESTIMATED | Hike in Price of Accommodation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Precautions by Hired Accommodation | No | Yes | Grand Total |
| Completely dissatisfied | 2.973451327 | 11 | 14 |
| Dissatisfied | 3.185840708 | 12 | 15 |
| Highly satisfied | 3.82300885 | 14 | 18 |
| Satisfied | 14.65486726 | 54 | 69 |
| Satisfied but not completely | 23.36283186 | 87 | 110 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ |

$p$ value $=0.417613257$
Since p-value 0.417613257 is greater than $(0.05)$ i.e. $p$-value $>=0.05$,
Hence we accept Ho.
There is no association between Precautions by Hired Accommodation \& Hike in Price of Accommodation.

## 5. Checking independence of Type of Accommodation \& Hired accommodations taking Precautions:

Attribute $1=$ Type of Accommodation
Attribute $2=$ Hired accommodations taking Precautions
To test:
Ho: There is no association between Type of Accommodation \& Hired accommodations taking Precautions
$\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : There is association between Type of Accommodation \& Hired accommodations taking Precautions

| OBSERVED | Hired accommodation <br> Taking precautions |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of accommodation | No | Yes | Grand Total |
| Friends or relatives house | 37 | 37 | 74 |
| Hired accommodation | 45 | 26 | 71 |
| No need of accommodation( one day return) | 42 | 39 | 81 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ |


|  | Hired accommodation <br> EXPECTED |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taking precautions |  |  |  |
| Friends or relatives house | 40.60176991 | 33 | No |
| Hired accommodation | 38.95575221 | 32 | 71 |
| No need of accommodation( one day return) | 44.44247788 | 37 | 81 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ |

p value $=0.214033843$
Since p-value 0.214033843 is greater than ( 0.05 ) i.e. p -value $>=0.05$, Hence we accept Ho.There is no association between Type of Accommodation \& Hired accommodations taking Precautions.

## 6. Checking independence of Travelling before Covid-19 \& Travelling after Covid-19

Attribute $1=$ Travelling before Covid-19
Attribute $2=$ Travelling after Covid-19
To test:
Ho: There is no association between Travelling before Covid-19 \& Travelling after Covid-19
$\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : There is association between of Travelling before Covid-19 \& Travelling after Covid-19

| OBSERVED | Travelling after Covid-19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Travelling <br> before Covid-19 | After <br> every15 <br> days | After <br> every3 <br> months | After <br> every6 <br> months | After <br> every <br> month | Rather <br> prefer <br> not to <br> travel | Tot <br> al |
| After <br> every <br> 15 days | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 18 |
| After every 3 <br> months |  | 8 | 16 |  | 21 | 45 |
| After every 6 <br> months |  |  | 22 |  | 34 | 56 |
| After every <br> month | 1 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 22 |
| once a year |  | 2 | 13 | 4 | 66 | 85 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ |


| Estimated | Travelling after covid-19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Travelling <br> before <br> Covid-19 | After <br> every15 <br> days | After <br> every 3 <br> months | After <br> every 6 <br> months | After <br> every <br> month | Rather <br> prefer not <br> to travel | Total |
| After <br> every 15 <br> days | 0.159 | 1.11504 | 4.69911 | 1.11504 | 10.9115044 | 18 |
| After <br> every 3 <br> months | 0.398 | 2.78761 | 11.7477 | 2.78761 | 27.27876106 | 45 |
| After <br> every 6 <br> months | 0.495 | 3.46902 | 14.6194 | 3.46902 | 33.94690265 | 56 |
| After <br> every month | 0.194 | 1.36283 | 5.74336 | 1.36283 | 13.33628319 | 22 |
| once a <br> year | 0.752 | 5.26548 | 22.1902 | 5.26548 | 51.52654867 | 85 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ |

p value $=0.00000006$
Since $p$-value $=0.00000006<=0.05$,
Hence we reject Ho.
There is association between Travelling before Covid-19 \& Travelling after Covid-19

## 5. METHODOLOGY:

By keeping the objective and the techniques which will be used in the analysis, questionnaires are designed. Survey was done through online method by using Google forms. Questionnaires are multiple choice question and checkbox questions. Data of 226 respondents was collected from this survey.

## Techniques used:

1. Graphical Representation
2. Chi-Square test

Both the techniques are performed in excel using pivot table.

## 6. CONCLUSION:

The major Purpose of this project was to understand the mentality of people and to study the impact of Covid-19 on the tourism and the tourists.
Our project was a small effort to study the various parameters related to tourism that would give us an understanding of its acceptability, knowledge of the challenges faced by the tourists due to this Covid-19 pandemic. Based on our study we make the following conclusions:

- Through Graphical Representation we can conclude that:

1. More no. of people chooses for one day return trip during this Covid-19 pandemic.
2. People choose not to go for tour during this Covid-19 Pandemic.
3. Most of the tourists that went for the tour were vaccinated.
4. It can be seen that maximum of the people who went for tour as it was family/friends/relatives plan.

- Through Chi-Square Test (Cross Tabulation) we conclude that:

1. There was no association between mode of transport \& type of tour, vaccination of tourist \& preference to visit, Type of accommodation \& Hired accommodation taking necessary precautions, Travelling before Covid-19 \& travelling during Covid-19.
2. There is association between mode of transport \& type of tour, type of accommodation \& hired accommodation taking necessary precautions
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