JETIR.ORG

ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue



JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)

An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Soil Erosion

Dr. Sangeeta Kamthan

Associate Professor
Department of Chemistry ,
Bareilly College , Bareilly (UP)
drdk62@yahoo.com

Abstract

In Mediterranean climates, soil erosion is a major component that is not just intimately tied to geoecological as well as to changes in land-use and plant cover (lithology, topography, and climatology) It took the evolution of erosional landscapes. Sedimentary basins in Spain is explained by the history of human activities structures (recent alluvial plains, alluvial fans, deltas and flat valleys infilled of sediment) (recent alluvial plains, alluvial fans, deltas and flat valleys infilled of sediment). Like in the case of between the 16th and 19th centuries, cereal cultivation and transhumant cattle increased, leading to instances of severe soil erosion. Farmland abandonment was common in mountainous areas during the 20th century. [1] Places where plant recolonization causes soil erosion to decrease whereas sheet-wash erosion, abandoned fields in a semi-arid area were impacted by piping and gullying. Due to its scientific significance, the connection between vegetation and soil erosion warrants consideration and use in real world situations. There is a tonne of knowledge available on the workings and advantages of whereas vegetation controls soil erosion, the impacts of erosion on vegetation growth and the succession is not well recorded. According to research, soil erosion is the main driving force. Due to the harm being done to mountain and highland ecosystems.[2]

INTRODUCTION

According to research, soil erosion is the main driving force for the harm done to mountain and highland ecosystems plant growth is hampered by soil erosion. Community growth and vegetation succession, starting with seed emergence and having an influence impacting seed dispersion, germination, establishment, plant community organization, and geographic distribution during the whole development period. Almost no investigations have been done on the impacts of surface flows on seed transport and availability, and the effects of soil erosion on seed development and redistribution, as well as their effects on the distribution and development of plants and the soil seed bank. However, in areas with substantial soil erosion, these impacts

could be the primary reason for the low plant cover. Investigation is needed into this conduct for these problems. [3] Additionally, soil erosion has both positive and harmful effects a major influence on plant development and adaptability, as well as vegetation succession and restoration. Therefore, we must research how soil erosion affects natural processes, human development, and pedology, vegetation, plant, and seed perspectives on the control of vegetation succession ecology, as well as to develop an integrated theory and technology for coming up with workable solutions to the issues caused by soil erosion. The physical stress of soil erosion affects the growth of plant and is also influenced by the reaction of vegetation [4].

Soil In order to prevent plant regrowth and succession, erosion can lower the soil's capacity to retain water and nutrients and reduce species richness and vegetation cover. [5] Due to the mechanics of geomorphological processes, it can kill plant roots and cause a decrease in root: shoot ratios, accelerating the Reverse succession is a mechanism that can diminish seed production. Retention by the soil results in seed loss and a decrease in the soil seed bank. [6] which has an impact on seed germination and plant establishment. [7] In vegetation succession, the plant establishing phase is the most delicate.

1. Agriculture Intensification and Soil Erosion

The quoted rates of soil deterioration may not be accurate for some nations with scant scientific data be emphasised. Using adjectives with a range of severity (such as slight, moderate, severe, wrecked. It is unclear when soil erosion is referred to be "degraded". Regardless of how "slight" the erosion is a shallow soil may be severe, just as "severe" erosion may actually be little for a rich, deep soil.[8] Therefore, it is best to refrain from using such emotive language. For Bennett, for instance, claimed that 20 million acres of agriculture in the United States was mostly affected by the middle of the 1930s, 20 million acres had suffered significant damage and were completely destroyed. With the advancement of more advanced technology, the area that was formerly thought as being Ruined is currently making money. [9] As a result, degraded fields produce less depending on the tools at hand likewise in the United States. According to estimates, soil erosion is a major issue with conservation on more than 84,000,000 hectares of farmland .[10] Bennett's predictions would be accurate if the region of In less than a decade, farmland in the United States that is now eroding has more than doubled 50 years Soil erosion rates have therefore grown as agriculture has become more intensive. The U.S.D.A. published the average erosion rates by land capabilities in a different survey. Standard deviations of areas with capacity classifications II and III have relatively significant rates of erosion, with regions where food crops are produced extensively. [11]

2. Soil Erosion impact in India

In India, soil erosion is a significant issue. Without being specific estimations of the nation's overall erosion, this study outlines a way to determine a preliminary assessment of soil erosion, river sediment loads, and

sedimentation in storage tanks. Existing yearly soil loss data for 20 distinct land types were used in this investigation. Certain areas of the nation use rainfall erosivity for 36 river basins, 17 catchments of significant reservoirs, and some rivers' sediment loads. To forecast sediment yield, statistical regression equations are created.[12] The yearly values of the total sediment loads of streams, the sediment deposition in reservoirs, and the sediment lost permanently into the sea are calculated using these expressions and the appropriate values of area, rainfall, rainfall erosivity, and surface runoff estimated. This estimate, which is considered a first approximation, states that more precisely, soil erosion occurs at a rate of 16.35 tonnes per hectare per year. Beyond the permitted range of 4.5-11.2 tonnes per hectare. 29% of the total was degraded. Permanent soil loss occurs to the ocean. Reservoirs receive ten percent of it. The remaining 61% is scattered over several locations. [13]

About 175,000,000 hectares of India's 328,000,000 ha total land area are need actions to conserve the soil. On just 25,000,000 acres, soil protection measures have been implemented since 1951. Sheet and rill erosion is the most significant issue with soil erosion, which has a significant impact on agricultural output on red soils, accounting for an 72,000,000 hectares in size. [14] These soils are around 200 mm deep (8 in.) most places. The lateritic soils, connected to undulating or rolling terrain because they are situated in areas with comparatively heavy rainfall, terrain, they also experience this type of erosion. [15] The heavily degraded gully is where the erosion is most striking. Gujarat has regions that border the Yamuna, Chambal, Mahi, and other west-flowing rivers. Almost 4,000,000 hectares of these areas are covered with shallow, medium, and deep gullies. [16] The lower and Himalayan due to extensive deforestation, extensive road development, mining, and farming on steep slopes himalayan areas have significantly degraded. The northeastern Himalayas are severely damaged by "shifting farming" on around 3,000,000 acres. [17]

3. Measurement of soil erosion

There are several ways to monitor soil loss from various land parcels. These measurements, which come from multiple runoff plots, sizes for small unit source watersheds, big mixed land use watersheds, and each individual land type and land use. There are several formulae that may be used to calculate soil erosion. [18] Wischmeier and Smith created the universal soil loss equation (USLE). One of the most helpful and reflecting significant study data. An empirical calculation called the USLE calculates the average yearly mass of soil loss per unit area as a function of the majority of the key influencing erosion in drills. [19] Estimates of the total soil removed are provided by these runoff plots and the USLE and moved over a short distance, but do not represent the sediment brought to the reservoir. Significant sediment reduction and deposition between sediment sources and reservoirs, yield takes place. A sediment delivery ratio is used to estimate this decrease. [20] Trap effectiveness, which measures sedimentation in a reservoir, is influenced by things like the ratio of reservoir capacity, sediment size, shape, and stage, and runoff influx of the reservoir, the outflow works, and the

techniques for operating the reservoir. In India estimates reservoir sedimentation based on the suspended load of the reservoir's stream, as well as by routinely taking direct measurements of accumulation of sediment in reservoirs.[21]

4. Environmental and institutional dynamics of Lopez related to soil-erosion

Lopez (1997) created a paradigm to explain soil erosion based on institutional dynamics and environmental factors and its problem. [22] In terms of environmental dynamics, institutional change is crucial. According to the idea, environmental dynamics institutional dynamics are dominant, followed by soil erosion. The issue will get worse. If institutional, though environmental dynamics are dominated by dynamics, then new Institutions to safeguard the land will start to form, while enhancing the farmers' financial situation. [23]

4.1 Environmental Dynamics

In soil, environmental dynamics is a risk factor of erosion. Many communities rely on delicate soils like those in changing farming on sloping terrain. On the open field Farmers in several Rajasthan Desert regions frequently overgraze due to intense population pressure and unrestricted usage of land .[24] The Philippines Large tracts of woodland have been cleared for logging purposes without any steps taken to stop the soil's decline. [25] To satisfy the needs of these civilizations, intensive production the increasing need for food, yet intensification has serious erosional harm. The expenditures required to minimize soil. Building terraces, bunds, and other structures to prevent erosion lack of financing, unfavourable rental terms, and absence of owing to a shortage of resources, community collaboration will offer the labour rights to communal lands as property. [26] Observation is necessary nonetheless, there could not be any efficient organisations that can offer the function of monitoring. Village institutions of the past are typically unprepared to handle this circumstance. These internal deterioration of established institutions increase soil erosion, exacerbate land deterioration poverty. [27]

4.2 Institutional Dynamics

Private property rights are seen to reduce soil erosion and deterioration, particularly in sensitive lands however, it's possible that private property rights won't develop or arise slowly in such a land. The population grows, increasing escalation and degradation of under pressure It becomes considerably more difficult for appropriate institutions to arise in unstable nations. One may picture a procedure whereas the deterioration of these areas' soils are less valuable and have less demand. Lower earnings, rural poor with a high subjective discount rate and absence marketplaces are less likely to form as a result of economies of scale. Additionally, with increasing population expansion, the desire for increased land use intensity, and it's more probable Institutional dynamics will be surpassed by environmental dynamics. [28]

5. Technical change in agriculture, government policies and soil erosion

The relationship between economic change and technological .The environment and policies are of the utmost significance since soil supplies are rapidly disappearing observable in developing nations the 1970s saw the most developing country governments want to achieve. Food independence prompted the steps that supply incentives for implementing the technologies of the Green Revolution. [29] There is growing understanding that these Support measures further led to soil erosion additional environmental issues. The technical modification is using irrigation and chemical inputs often can result in soil degradation. The sections below offer an explanation of Several of the most important government initiatives were distortionary. [30]

The Green Revolution technology have been around since the 1960s launched in several nations with active assistance from development of irrigation systems, subsidised financing, and fertilizer programmes. Government control is prevalent across most of Asia. Self-sufficiency and the agriculture sector were the norms with the primary goal. Vietnam's national planning developed a very reliant wet-rice system, which resulted in huge misallocation of resources and land destruction. [31]

Use of fertilisers in India increased by 156 kg of nutrients per hectare of cultivated land from 33 kg in-between time frame of the Green and Post-Green Revolutions. [32] Murgai and other Farmers in Ngadas, East Java, in 1987 utilized 1000 kg of fertilizer that was subsidized per acre to create a harvest that was just half as large as what was possible enhanced soil conservation measures, including green manuring Barbier and coworkers, in 1990. [33]

Conclusion

The soil erosion issue in emerging nations shows the need for a dynamic perspective to ensure that the crucial aspects of the issue is recognised for any corrective actions to be effective Undertaken. The rate of soil erosion is influenced by the intricate interactions between many variables, including the resilience of the institutional and natural resource bases circumstances, population growth rate, and policy environment. A large number of tropical and subtropical ares the earth's soils are delicate. Disadvantaged farmers in these nations are forced to employ erosive techniques, which erodes the soil. Over time and with use of advocated frequently at the expense of alternative workable solutions. But the utilisation of conservation measures and the benefits of conservation rely on the particular agroecological circumstances, the technology employed, and the costs of the created inputs and outputs. An exhaustive analysis and It's important to think about how government policy affects conservation. The distortions and off-site effects seen in pricing signals government initiatives and market failures that followed. Subsidies can create unfavourable incentives the preservation of the soil the

majority of techniques have used physical structures and more to use different strategies, study is required Such as education and extension.

References

- 1. P. García-Fayos, B. Garcia-Ventoso, A. Cerdà, Limitations to plant establishment on eroded slopes in Southeastern Spain, Journal of Vegetation Science 11 (1) (2000) 77–86. [12] G.G.
- Manrique, E., Fernández Cancio, A., 2000. Extreme climatic events in dendroclimatic reconstructions from Spain. Climatic Change 44, 123–138.
- 3. S.H. Lu, W.S. Xiang, X.K. Li, et al., A review of vegetation restoration in eroded area of red soil, Guihai 23 (1) (2003) 83–89.
- 4. J.G. Zhang, H.X. Li, X.R. He, et al., Impoverishment of soil nutrients in the gully erosion areas in the Yuanmou Basin, Wuhan University Journal of Natural Sciences 8 (3B) (2003) 1034–1040
- 5. J. Guerrero-Campo, G. Montserrat- Martí, Comparison of floristic changes on vegetation affected by different levels of soil erosion in Miocene clays and Eocene marls from Northeast Spain, Plant Ecology 173 (2004) 83–93.
- 6. S. Tsuyuzaki, J. Titus, Vegetation development patterns in erosive areas on the Pumice Plains of Mount St. Helens, American Midland Naturalist 135 (1996) 172–177
- 7. J.B. Thornes, The ecology of erosion, Geography 70 (1985) 222–236
- 8. Buringh, P., An Assessment of Losses and Degradation of Productive Agricultural Land in the World, 2nd Meet. FAO, Working Group on Soils Policy, Rome, 1981.
- 9. Brown, L. R., The global loss of topsoil, J. Soil Water Conserv., 39, 162, 1984.
- 10. Fournier, F., Climat et Erosion, Presses Universitaries de France, Paris, 1960.
- 11. Bennett, H. H., Soil Conservation, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1939.
- 12. Proceedings of Workshop on Agricultural Research in North-Eastern Hill Region of India," July 9-11, 1980, held at Shillong, India.
- 13. Annual Research Report (1975-76) of State Soil & Water Conservation Research Demonstration and Training Centre, Rehmankhera, Lucknow," Bureau of Extension Education and Training, Department of Agriculture, U.P., Lucknow, 1976.
- 14. Annual Report of 1980 of Soil Conservation and Dryland Agriculture Research Centre, Hyderabad," presented at the March 17-19, 1981, Working Group Meeting on Farming System, held at Hyderabad, India.
- 15. Babu, R., Tejwani, K. G., Agarwal, M. C, and Bhushan, L. S., "Distribution of Erosion Index and Iso-Erodent Map of India," Indian Journal of Soil Conservation, Vol. 6, No. 1, 1978, pp. 1-14.
- 16. Nema, J. P., and Kamanwar, M. K., Annual Report of Central Soil & Water Conservation Research & Training Institute, India, 1976.
- 17. Babu, R., Tejwani, K. G., Agarwal, M. C, and Bhushan, L. S., "Distribution of Erosion Index and Iso-Erodent Map of India," Indian Journal of Soil Conservation, Vol. 6, No. 1, 1978, pp. 1-14

- 18. Haigh, M. J., "Landslide Sediment Accumulation on Mussoorie-Tehri Road, Garhwal Lesser Himalaya," Indian Journal of Soil Conservation, Vol. 7, No. 1, 1979, pp. 1-4.
- 19. Kanitkar, N. V., Sirur, S. S., and Gokhale, D. N., "Dry Farming in India," Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, India, 1960.
- 20. Wischmeier, W. H., and Smith, D. D., "Predicting Rainfall Erosion Losses— A Guide for Conservation Planning," Agriculture Hand Book No. 537, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., 1978.
- 21. Verma, B., Tejwani, K. G., Kale, M. V., and Patel, A. P., "Evaluation of Different Cropping Patterns for Runoff and Soil Loss in Ravine Lands," Journal of the Indian Society of Agronomy, Vol. 13, 1968, pp. 262-270.
- 22. Lopez, R.E., 1997. Where Development Can or Cannot Go: The Role of Poverty-Environment Linkages, Annual World Bank Conference on Development Economics, The World Bank, Washington, DC.
- 23. Lindert, P.H., 1999. The bad earth? China's soils and agricultural development since the 1930s. Economic Development and Cultural Change 47, 701–737
- 24. Kumar, M., Bhandari, M.M., 1992. Impact of protection and free grazing on sand dune vegetation in the Rajastan Desert, India. Land Degradation and Rehabilitation 3, 215–227.
- 25. Kusmandari, A., Mitchell, B., 1997. Soil erosion and sediment yield in forest and agro-forestry areas in West Java, Indonesia. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation 52, 376–382.
- 26. Metz, J., 1991. A reassessment of the causes and severity of Nepal's environmental crisis. World Development 19, 805–820.
- 27. Slocomb, M., 2002. Forestry policy and practices of the People's Republic of Kampuchea, 1979–1989. Asian Survey 42, 772–793.
- 28. Lopez, R.E., 1997. Where Development Can or Cannot Go: The Role of Poverty-Environment Linkages, Annual World Bank Conference on Development Economics, The World Bank, Washington, DC.
- 29. Herath, G., 1985. The Green Revolution in Asia: productivity, employment and the role of policies. Oxford Agrarian Studies 14, 52–71
- 30. Herath, G., 2001. Issues in irrigation and water management in developing countries with special reference to institutions. In: Brennan, D., (Ed.), Water Policy Reform: Lessons from Asia and Australia, ACIAR Proceedings No. 106, ACIAR, Canberra.
- 31. Sikor, T.O., O'Rourke, D., 1996. Economic and environmental dynamics of reform in Vietnam. Asian Survey 36, 601-617.
- 32. Murgai, R., Ali, M., Byerlee, D., 2001. Productivity growth and sustainability in post-Green Revolution agriculture: the case of the Indian and Pakistan Punjabs. World Bank Research Observer 16, 199-218.
- 33. Barbier, E., Pearce, D., Markandya, A., 1990. Sustainable Development, Economics and Environment in the Third World, Earthscan, London.