

A fixed point theorem in b- metric space.

Sanjay Kumar Tiwari 1* & Amit Kumar Mishra

1- University Department of Mathematics, Magadh University, Bodhgaya. Gaya, Bihar(INDIA)

E-mail * - tiwari.dr.sanjay@gmail.com

Abstract: In this paper we have established a fixed point in b - metric space .Our results generalize many previous results of the literature.

1. Introduction: One of the most popular generalization of metric space is b-metric space. It was introduced by Bakhtin 1989 [1] as quasi- metric space and proved a contraction principle for such spaces. In 1993 Czerwik introduced them under the name "b-metric space" first for S=2 [7] and then for an artribary $S\geq 1$ in [8] with application to fixed points. Later an many researcher Boriceanu [2,3] Bota [4] Chung [6], Du [9], Kir [5] and many others established fixed point theorem in b-metric space.

In this paper. We have extended some known fixed point results in b-metrix space. We have studied contractive type mappings and showed the validity of the result in b-metric space. We have established results for single map and two maps.

2. Preliminaries

Definition (2.1) [8]: Let X be a nonempty set and $s \ge 1$ be a given real number. A function $d: XxX \rightarrow R+$ is said to be a b-metric on X if the following conditions hold:

- (i) d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y;
- (ii) d(x, y) = d(y; x) for all x; y 2 X;

(iii)
$$d(x, y) \le s (d(x; z) + d(z; y))$$
 for all $x; y; z \in X$.

The pair (X; d) is called a b-metric space.

We observe that if s=1, then the ordinary triangle inequality in a metric space is satisfied, however it does not hold true when s>1. Thus the class of b-metric spaces is effectively larger than that of the ordinary metric spaces. That is, every metric space is a b-metric space, but the converse need not be true. The following examples illustrate the above remarks.

Example (2.2) [8]: Let $X = \{1, 0, -1\}$. Define $d : X \times X \to R^+$ by d(x, y) = d(y, x) for all $x, y \in X$; d(x, x) = 0; $x \in X$ and d(-1, 0) = 3; d(-1, 1) = d(0, 1) = 1. Then (X, d) is a b-metric space, but not a metric space since the triangle inequality is not satisfied. Indeed, we have that

$$d(-1, 1) + d(1, 0) = 1 + 1 = 2 < 3 = d(-1, 0)$$
:

It is easy to verify that $s = \frac{3}{2}$.

Example (2.3)[8]: Let X = R and $d : X \times X \to R^+$ be such that $d(x; y) = j x - y j^2$ for any $x, y \in X$. Then (X; d) is a b-metric space with s = 2, but not a metric space.

Definition (2.4) [8]: Let (X, d) be a b-metric space, $x \in X$ and (x_n) be a sequence in X. Then

- (i) (x_n) converges to x if and only if $d(x_n, x) = 0$. We denote this by $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x \text{ as } x_n \to x(n \to \infty) x_n$.
- (ii) (x_n) is Cauchy if and only if $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} d(x_n,x_m) = 0$
- (iii) (X; d) is complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Proposition (2.5) [8]: In a b—metric space (X, d) the following assertions hold:

- (i) a convergent sequence has a unique limit,
- (ii) each convergent sequence is Cauchy,
- (iii)in general, a b-metric is not continuous.

In general a b-metric function d for k > 1 is not jointly continuous in all of its two variables.

Definition (2.6) [8]: Let (X, d) be a b-metric space. If Y is a nonempty subset of X, then the closure Y of Y is the set of limits of all convergent sequences of points in Y, i.e.,

Y = $\{x \in X : \text{ there exists a sequence } \{x_n\} \text{ in Y such that } \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x\}$

- **Definition.** (2.7) [8]: Let (X, d) be a b-metric space. Then a subset $Y \subset X$ is called closed if and only if for each sequence $\{x_n\}$ in Y which converges to an element x, we have $x \in Y$ (i.e., $Y = \bar{Y}$).
- **Definition** (2.8) [8]: Let (X, d) be a b-metric space and let $T : X \to X$ be a given mapping. We say that T is continuous at $x_0 \in X$ if for every sequence (x_n) in X, we have $x_n \to x_0$ as $n \to \infty \Rightarrow T(x_n) \to T(x_0)$ as $n \to \infty$.

If T is continuous at each point x0 2 X, then we say that T is continuous on X.

3. Main Results:

Theorem 3.1: Let $0 \le \alpha \le 1$, p and q be non-negative numbers such that p + q < 1 and (i) $\alpha | p - q | < 1 - (p + q)$

and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be mapping of a complete b-metric space (X, d) such that whenever x, y are distinct elements in X.

(ii)
$$d(Tx,Ty) \le \alpha \max. \{d(x,y),d(y,Tx),d(y,Tx)\}$$

 $+(1-\alpha) \left[pd(x,Ty)+qd(y,Tx)\right].$ _____(1)
such that $\max. \left\{\frac{\alpha+(1-\alpha)ps}{1-(1-\alpha)ps}.\frac{ps}{1-ps}\right\} < 1$ and $s \ge 1$.

Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ and $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in X defined as

$$x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0, n = 1, 2, 3....(2)$$

By (1) and (2) we obtain

$$d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) = d(Tx_{n-1}Tx_{n})$$

$$\leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_{n}), d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d(x_{n}, Tx_{n}) \right\}$$

$$+ (1-\alpha) \left\{ pd(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n}) + qd(x_{n}, Tx_{n-1}) \right\}.$$

$$= \alpha \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_{n}), d(x_{n-1}, x_{n}), d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) \right\}$$

$$+ (1-\alpha) \left\{ pd(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}), qd(x_{n}, x_{n}) \right\}.$$

Or,
$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \alpha \max \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$$

If.
$$\max \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} = d(x_{n-1}, x)$$
, then

$$d(x_{n}x_{n+1}) \le \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_{n}) + (1-\alpha) ps[d(x_{n-1}, x_{n}) + d(x_{n}, x_{n+1})]$$

Or,
$$1 - \lceil (1 - \alpha) ps \rceil d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \alpha + (1 - \alpha) ps d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

Or,
$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \frac{\alpha + (1-\alpha)ps}{1 - (1-\alpha)ps}$$

If
$$\max\{d(x_{n-1},x_n),d(x_n,x_{n+1})\}=d(x_n,x_{n+1})$$
. Then,

$$d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) \le \alpha d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) + (1 - \alpha) ps \left[d(x_{n-1}, x_{n}) + d(x_{n}, x_{n+1})\right]$$

Or,
$$\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right)d\left(x_n,x_{n+1}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha}{\left(1-\alpha\right)} + ps\left[d\left(x_{n-1},x_n\right) + d\left(x_n,x_{n+1}\right)\right]$$

Or,
$$\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right) - \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right) d\left(x_n, x_{n+1}\right) \le ps \left[d\left(x_{n-1}, x_n\right) + d\left(x_n, x_{n+1}\right)\right]$$

Or,
$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \frac{ps}{1-ps} d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

Thus,
$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \max \left\{ \frac{\alpha + (1-\alpha)ps}{1 + (1-\alpha)ps}, \frac{ps}{1-ps} \right\} d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

$$\leq \beta d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \text{ when } \beta = \max \left[\frac{\alpha + (1+\alpha)ps}{1-(1-\alpha)ps}, \frac{ps}{1-ps} \right].$$

Again,

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})$$

$$\leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) \right\}$$

$$+ (1-\alpha) \left[pd(x_n, Tx_{n+1}) + qd(x_{n+1}, Tx_n) \right]$$

$$= \alpha \max \left\{ d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \right\}$$

$$+ (1-\alpha) \left[pd(x_n, x_{n+2}) + qd(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \right]$$

$$\leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \right\}$$

$$+ (1-\alpha) \left[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \right]$$

If $d(x_n, x_{n+2})$ is maximum, Then

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le \alpha d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + (1 - \alpha) ps \left[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\right]$$

Or,
$$\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right)d(x_{n+1},x_{n+2}) \le \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)d(x_{n+1},x_{n+2}) + ps[d(x_n,x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1},x_{n+2})]$$

Or,
$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le \frac{ps}{1 - ps} d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

Thus,
$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le \max \left\{ \frac{\alpha + (1-\alpha)ps}{1 - (1-\alpha)ps}, \frac{ps}{1-ps} \right\} \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \le \beta d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

Proceeding it this way, we have:

$$d\left(x_{n+1},x_{n+2}\right) \leq \beta d\left(x_{n},x_{n+1}\right)$$

$$\leq \beta^2 d\left(x_{n-1}, x_n\right)$$

$$\leq \beta^n d\left(x_0, x_1\right)$$

However if $p, q \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, then $\beta < 1$.

If max. $\{p, q\} \ge \frac{1}{2}$. Then.

$$\frac{\alpha + (1 - \alpha)x}{1 - (1 - \alpha)x} \le \frac{x}{1 - x} \Rightarrow 1/2 \le x \quad \forall x \in [0, 1), \text{ it follow from (i) that } 0 \le \beta \le 1.$$

Now we claim that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Let m, n > 0 with m > n.

$$\begin{split} d\left(x_{m}, x_{n}\right) &\leq sd\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) + s^{2}d\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}\right) + s^{2}d\left(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}\right) + \dots \\ &\leq s\beta^{n}d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) + s^{2}\beta^{n+1}d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) + s^{3}\beta^{n+2}(x_{0}, x_{1}) + \dots + s^{m}\beta^{n+m+1}d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) \\ &\leq s\beta^{n}d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) \left[1 + s\beta + \left(s\beta\right)^{2} + \dots + \left(s\beta\right)^{m-1}\right] \\ &\leq s\beta^{n}d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) \left[\frac{1 - \left(s\beta\right)^{n-(m-1)}}{1 - s\beta}\right] \end{split}$$

When we take m, $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x_m) = 0$

Hence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence is X. Since $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to u in X (say) as X is a complete b-metric space.

Now we shall show that u is the fixed point of T. For this we consider:

$$d(Tu,Tx_n) \le \alpha \max \left\{ d(u,x_n), d(u,Tu), d(x_n,Tx_n) \right\} + (1-\alpha) \left\lceil pd(u,Tx_n) + qd(x_n,Tu) \right\rceil$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have.

$$d(u,Tu) \le \alpha \max \left\{ d(u,u), d(u,Tu), d(u,u) \right\} + \left(1-\alpha\right) \left[pd(u,u) + qd(u,Tu) \right]$$

Or,
$$d(u,Tu) \le \alpha d(u,Tu) + (1-\alpha)qd(u,Tu)$$

Or,
$$(1-q)(u,Tu) \le 0$$
, this possible only if $d(u,Tu) = 0 \implies Tu = u$

Hence u is the fixed point of T. Now we claim that u is the unique fixed point of T. For this Let u≠v and Tv=v. New we have:

$$d(u,v) = d(Tu,Tv) \le \alpha \max \left\{ d(u,v), d(u,Tu), d(v,Tv) \right\} + (1-\alpha) \left[pd(u,Tv) + qd(v,Tv) \right]$$

$$\le \alpha \max \left\{ d(u,v), d(u,u), d(v,v) + (1-\alpha) \right\} \left[pd(u,v) + qd(u,v) \right]$$

$$= \alpha d(u,v) + (1-\alpha)(p+q)d(u,v).$$

Or,
$$\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right)d(u,v) \le \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)d(u,v) + (p+q)d(u,v)$$

Or, $d(u,v) \leq (p+q) d(u,v)$

Or, $1-(p+q)d(u,v) \le 0$ which is a contradiction Hence d(u,v)=0 i.e. u=v. is unique fixed point of T.

REFERENCES

- [1]. I.A. Bakhtin: The contraction mapping principle in quasi metric spaces.

 Functionalmyi Analogy, Ulianovskii Gos. Ped. Inst.

 30(1989), 26-37(Russian)15-19.
- [2]. M. Boriceanu: Fixed point theory for multi valued generalized contraction on a set with two b- metrices.

 Babes-Balyai University Mathematics Studia LIV (2009)

 No. 3, 1-14.
- [3]. M. Boriceanu : Fixed point theory on spaces with value valued b-metrics.

Demonstratio Mathematics XLII (2009) no. 4, 285-301

[4]. C. Varga , A.Molnar and M. Bata: An ekelands variational principle in b-metric spaces.

Fixed point theory 12(2011), No. 2, 21-28.

[5]. M. Kir and H. kiziltune : On some well known fixed point theorems in b-metric spaces.

Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number theory 1.(2013)
No. 1, 13.16

- [6]. Renu Chug, V. kumar and T. Kadian: Some fixed point theorems for multi valued mappings in generalized b-metric spaces.

 International journal of Mathematical. Archive 3.

 (2012), No. 3, 1198-1210.
- [7]. S. Czerwik: Contraction Mappings in b-metric spaces.

 Acta. Math. In 5-11, 15,21. form . Univ. Ostrav. 1. (1993).
- [8]. S. Czerwik: Non linear set valued contraction in B-metric spaces.

 Attic Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modean 46 (1998) No. 2, 263-276. 15.
- [9]. Wei Shih Du and E. karapynar : A note on Cone b-metric and its related results : generalization or equivalence ?

 Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2012,