

ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue JETIR.ORG JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)

An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

MOLECULAR DOCKING AND ADMET STUDIED OF NOVEL PYRIDOPYRIMIDINE DERIVATIVES

Chukka. Ajay kumar^{1*}, B.Aksa², A.Pushpalatha², Md. Khadeer Hussain², N.Surendra², K.Uday², J.N. Suresh Kumar³ Assistant Professor¹, IV B. Pharmacy², Principal³ Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry¹, Department of Pharmaceutics³ Narasaraopeta Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda, Narasaraopet,

Palnadu, Andhra Pradesh, 522601

Phone No- 9492759260

Mail- ajaycology@gmail.com

Abstract: In this study novel Pyridopyrimidine derivatives were designed. Designed derivative compounds against 3PBL molecular docking stimulation was carried out with MCULE and insilico ADMET prediction procedures. The results of docking study revealed that the binding profile for designed compounds PPD-III-FPB.HCl and PPD-IV-FPB.HCl was found significant interactions with 3PBL when compared with Paliperidone. The further scope of synthesized derivatives of novel Pyridopyrimidine derivatives need to evaluation of various *in vivo* pharmacological Studies to bring potentially active molecules.

Keywords: Pyridopyrimidine, ADMET prediction, PPD-III-FPB.HCl, PPD-IV-FPB.HCl, MCULE, 3PBL, Paliperidone.

INTRODUCTION

Docking is an attempt to find the best matching between two molecules. Docking is a method which predicts the preferred orientation of one ligand when bound in an active site to form a stable complex. Lock and key finding the correct relative orientation of the "key" which will open up the "lock". On the surface of the lock is the key hole in the direction to turn the key after it is inserted. The protein can be thought of the "lock" and the ligand can be thought of as a "key". To achieve an optimized conformation for both receptor and ligand and the relative orientation between protein and ligand such that the free energy of the overall system is minimized. Successful docking methods search high dimensional spaces effectively and use a scoring function that correctly ranks candidate dockings.



Figure 1

Stages of Docking

i.Pose generation:

Place the ligand in the binding site generally well solved. Rigid docking with a series of conformers most techniques use this approach and then techniques will generate the conformers internally rather than using conformers as inputs. Incremental construction (Flexx): Split ligand into base fragment and side-chains place base add side chains to grow, scoring as you grow. In general, uses a very basic vdw shape function often see variability with input conformers.

ii.Pose selection/scoring:

Where most of the current research focused more sophisticated scoring functions take longer. Balance need for speed vs. need for accuracy. Virtual screening needs to be very fast. Studies on single compounds can be much slower. It can do multi stage studies.

Molecular docking procedure:

To understand the binding interactions and selectivity of our compounds against to Dopamine 3, molecular docking simulation was carried out with **MCULE DOCKING**.

The crystal structure of Dopamine 3 (code ID: 3PBL; resolution 2.89-Å) used in the docking study were obtained from the Protein Data Bank (http://www.rcsb.org/pdb). The original inhibitor, hetero atoms and water molecules in the PDB files were eliminated in the beginning of docking study. The enzyme was set up for docking with standard protocol. Add polar hydrogen atoms to amino acids residues and for assigning Gasteiger charges to all atoms of the enzyme.

3D structures of the Dopamine 3, synthesized compounds(8 compounds), standard drug (Paliperidone) were generated and energy optimized by Discovery Studio 2.5 package (Accelrys Inc., San Diego, CA) employing CHARMm force field. Full hydrogens were added to the ligands and Gasteiger-Marsili partial atomic charges were caculated using the BABEL-2.2.338 program and saved in the PDBQT format for further analysis. All possible rotatable bonds of the resultant ligand molecules were defined by using standerd protocol.

The docking simulation was performed using the Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm.

Finally, the top-posed docking conformations were submitted to post docking energy minimization on Discovery Studio 2.5. The resultant structure files were evaluated using PyMOL visualization program.

PROTIEN DATA

To understand the binding interactions and selectivity of our compounds against to Dopamine 3 receptor. The crystal structure of Dopamine 3 (code ID: 3PBL; resolution 2.89-Å) used in the docking study were obtained from the Protein Data Bank (http://www.rcsb.org/pdb). The original inhibitor, hetero atoms and water molecules in the PDB files were eliminated in the beginning of docking study. The enzyme was set up for docking with standard protocol. Add polar hydrogen atoms to amino acids residues and for assigning Gasteiger charges to all atoms of the enzyme.



TABLE 1: Synthesized Derivative Compounds







RESULTS

The results of designed molecules with **3pbl** were obtained as docking score and Hydrogen bond interactions are mentioned in following table.

The entire designed molecules have shown a good binding affinity with **3pbl** in comparison with standard Paliperidone.

TABLE 2: Docking Results of the Designed Compounds with 3pbl

S. No.	Comp. Code	Docking Score Kcal/Mole					
		1	2	3	Avg		
1	Paliperidone	7.0	7.0	6.5	6.8		
2	PPD-III-FPB.HCL	10.9	10.7	10.4	10.6		
3	PPD-III-PIPERIDINE	9.5	9.3	9.1	9.3		
4	PPD-III-AZEPINE	10.0	9.7	9.4	9.7		
5	PPD-IV-FPB.HCL	10.4	10.3	10.2	10.3		
6	PPD-IV-PIPERIDINE	7.6	7.4	6.9	7.3		
7	PPD-IV-AZEPINE	8.2	8.0	7.6	7.9		
8	PPD-V-PIPERIDINE	7.6	7.6	7.4	7.5		
9	PPD-V-AZEPINE	8.5	8.0	7.9	8.1		

TABLE 3: In-Silico Absorption Studies of Designed Derivative Compounds

Comp. Code	ABSORPTION							
	Human Intestinal (HIA) (1.0000)	Aqueous Solubility (logS) (1-7.5)	CaCO-2 Permeability (LogP, cm/s) (1.0000)					
PALIPERIDONE	1.0000	-2.3000	0.5496					
PPD-III-FPB.HCL	1.0000	-3.5088	0.5333					
PPD-III-PIPERIDINE	1.0000	-2.9968	0.5393					
PPD-III-AZEPINE	0.9973	-3.1752	0.5147					
PPD-IV-FPB.HCL	1.0000	-3.1598	0.5496					
PPD-IV-PIPERIDINE	0.9950	-2.8309	0.5000					
PPD-IV-AZEPINE	1.0000	-3.5959	0.5805					
PPD-V-PIPERIDINE	0.9961	-2.8165	0.5000					
PPD-V-AZEPINE	0.9944	-2.9646	0.5167					

DISTRIBUTION									
Comp. Code	Plasma	P-glycoprotein		P-glycoprotein	Blood Brain Barrier				
	Protein	Sub	ostrate	Inhibitor	Penetration				
	Bindin	Substrate Inhibitor			(BBB)				
	g				(1.0000)				
	(PPB)								
PALIPERIDONE	-	0.7449	0.7011	0.8229	0.7928				
PPD-III-FPB.HCL	_	0.8063	0.9724	0.9931	0.9537				
PPD-III-PIPERIDINE	_	0.6978	0.8598	0.9416	0.9842				
PPD-III-AZEPINE	_	0.7186	0.8855	0.9350	0.9850				
PPD-IV-FPB.HCL	_	0.7449	0.7011	0.8229	0.7928				
PPD-IV-PIPERIDINE	_	0.7180	0.6215	0.8085	0.9071				
PPD-IV-AZEPINE	_	0.6031	0.6614	0.8733	0.9731				
PPD-V-PIPERIDINE	_	0.7701	0.7518	0.7467	0.6399				
PPD-V-AZEPINE	_	0.7872	0.7931	0.6974	0.6851				

TABLE 4: In silico Distribution studies of Designed Derivative Compounds

TABLE 5: In-silico Metabolism Studies Designed Derivative Compounds

Comp. Code	CYP450 Substrate			CYP450 Inhibitor				
	2C9	2D6	3A4*	1A2	2C9	2D6	2C19*	3A4
PALIPERIDONE	0.7721	0.5131	0.7154	0.7379	0.7395	0.5326	0.7851	0.6468
PPD-III-FPB.HCL	0.8542	0.6496	0.6627	0.5883	0.6550	0.7736	0.5680	0.5055
PPD-III-PIPERIDINE	0.7090	0.5231	0.6762	0.6743	0.8556	0.8600	0.5816	0.5639
PPD-III-AZEPINE	0.6856	0.5287	0.7064	0.5976	0.8648	0.8306	0.5924	0.5522
PPD-IV-FPB.HCL	0.7721	0.5131	0.7154	0.7379	0.7395	0.5326	0.7815	0.6468
PPD-IV-PIPERIDINE	0.6275	0.5229	0.6558	0.7203	0.9312	0.7975	0.8015	0.6795
PPD-IV-AZEPINE	0.6272	0. <mark>7141</mark>	0.6464	0.8881	0.5261	0.8923	0.7468	0.8529
PPD-V-PIPERIDINE	0.6558	0 <mark>.5506</mark>	0.6842	0.8075	0.9558	0.7850	0.7923	0.8625
PPD-V-AZEPINE	0.6319	0.5553	0.7095	0.8031	0.9496	0.7523	0.7820	0.8376

In-Silico Excretion and Toxicity Studies Designed Derivative Compounds

Comp. Code	Human Ether-a- go-go- Related Gene (hERG) Inhibitor	AMES Toxicity (non toxic)	Carcinog ens (non- carcin) ogenic)	Tetrahymena Pyriformis Toxicity (Pigc50,ug/L)	Honey Bee Toxicity (HBT)	Biodera dation	Acute oral toxicity	Rat acute toxicity (LD50, mol/kg)	Fish Toxicity (pLC50, mg/L)
PALIPERIDONE	0.7061	0.518	0.7995	-	-	0.9908	-	3.7859	-
PPD-III- FPB.HCL	0.8254	0.5933	0.8995	0.6626	0.6662	1.0000	0.5484	2.7192	0.8345
PPD-III- PIPERIDINE	0.7334	0.5718	0.9469	0.2584	0.8296	0.7893	0.6499	2.6874	1.4942
PPD-III- AZEPINE	0.8206	0.6111	0.9513	0.2784	0.8176	0.8560	0.6473	2.6670	1.4196
PPD-IV- FPB.HCL	0.8366	0.5180	0.7995	0.4925	0.8526	0.9908	0.7737	3.7859	1.2890
PPD-IV- PIPERIDINE	0.8200	0.6017	0.9505	0.2928	0.8496	0.9107	0.5198	2.8456	1.5375
PPD-IV- AZEPINE	0.9546	0.5272	0.9253	0.4753	0.8117	0.9650	0.6613	2.5743	1.2623
PPD-V- PIPERIDINE	0.7778	0.6779	0.9351	0.2261	0.8523	0.8470	0.5122	2.8165	1.6396
PPD-V-AZEPINE	0.8534	0.6955	0.9396	0.2481	0.8408	0.8974	0.5421	2.7724	1.5558

CONCLUSION:

All the synthesized compound derivatives of Novel Pyridopyrimidine derivative compounds were evaluated with computational analysis by appropriate 3PBL were compared with Paliperidone analog standard drugs respectively.

The results of docking study revealed that the binding profile for synthesized derivative compounds PPD-III-FPB.HCl and PPD-IV-FPB.HCl was found significant interactions with 3PBL due to hydrogen bond, hydrophobic interactions like π - π Stacking interaction and π -alkyl stacking interactions with 3PBL.

The predicted ADMET properties revealed that all compounds fulfil drug-like criteria and could be considered as good candidate for drug development. All the synthesized compound derivatives have Standard Drug (Paliperidone) like ADMET properties.

The further scope of synthesized derivatives of novel Pyridopyrimidine derivatives need to evaluation of various *in vivo* pharmacological Studies to bring potentially active molecules.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Guillem Jubete, Raimon Puig de la Bellacasa, Roger Estrada-Tejedor, Jordi Teixidó and José I.Borrell ,Pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-7(8H)-ones: Synthesis and Biomedical Applications.Molecules2019,24(22),4161; https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24224161

2. Campos, J.F.; Besson, T.;Berteina-Raboin, S. Review on the Synthesis and Therapeutic Potential of Pyrido[2,3-d], [3,2-d], [3,4-d] and [4,3-d]pyrimidine Derivatives.

3. Fatin Ismail Hanafy Synthesis and antifungal activityof some new pyrido[2,3d]pyrimidines. European Journal of Chemistry 2011, 2(1), 65-69 doi: https://doi.org/10.5155/eurjchem.2.1.65-69.303

4. Thibault, Buron, Nuno Rodrigues, Marie, Lionel, Bourg, Pascal, Guillaumet, Mohamed Akssira, Anne Corlu, Christiane, Pauline Berthier, Pascale Rio, Marie Lise Jourdan Sylvain Router .J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 3, 613–631 Publication Date:December 17, 2013 https://doi.org/10.1021/jm401138v

5. Mohamed A Abdelgawad 1, Mohammad M Al-Sanea1, Arafa Musa 2, Mohammed Elmowafy3, Ashraf K El-Damasy4, Amany A Azouz5, Mohammed M Ghoneim 6, Rania B Bakr7 . Docking Study, Synthesis, and Anti-Inflammatory Potential of Some New Pyridopyrimidine-Derived Compounds . 20 January 2022 Volume 2022:15 Pages 451—463 DOI https://doi.org/10.2147/JIR.S343263 .

6. NasserS.M.Ismail. Pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine based scaffold derivatives targeting kinases as anticancer agents, Future Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Volume 2, Issue 1, June 2016, 20-30.

7. P Shanmugasundaram, N Hari krishnan and MS Kumar. Synthesis and biological evaluation of pyridopyrimidine carboxylates. Indian J.Chem. 2011, 50B, 284-289 Indian J.Chem. 2011, 50B, 284-289 .

8. Osama M. ahmed. Synthase derivatives of pyridopyrimidine, imidazopyridine and Evaluations. European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, Volume 44, Issue 9, September 2009, 3519-3523.

9. Sladowska . Synthase derivatives of pyridopyrimidines and derivatives. J. Med. Chem., 1998, 41, 4433-4441 10.Samir M. E Moghazy. A review on fused pyrimidine derivatives. Sci Pharm. 2011 Jul-Sep; 79(3): 429–447.

11.Ibrahim DA, Ismail NS. Synthesis of new pyrido[2,3-*d*]pyrimidine derivatives by three-component condensation of 5-acetyl-4-aminopyrimidines. European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry. Vol 46, Iss 12, 5727.

12. Yasser H. Sobhi M. Utility of 2-thioxo-pyrido[2,3-*d*]pyrimidinone in synthesis of pyridopyrimido[2,1*b*][1,3,5]-thiadiazinones and [2,1- pyridopyrimido][1,3]thiazinones as antimicrobial agents, Chemistry Central Journal, December 2017, 1157.

13.A Gangjee et al., Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of substituted pyrrolo [2, 3-d]pyrimidines as multiple receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors and antiangiogenic agents. Bio organic and medicinal chemistry 16 (10), 5514-5528.

14.Hadfield JA, Pavlidis VH. Synthesis and anticancer activities 4-oxobenzopyrano [2, 3-d]pyrimidines. Anticancer Drugs 1999; 10:591-5.

15.Bekhit AA, Fahmy HTY. Design and synthesis of some substituted-pyrazolyl-thiazolo [4, 5-d]pyrimidines as anti- inflammatory,/antimicrobial Agents. Eur. J .Med Chem. 2002; 38(1):27-36.

16.Kim DC, Lee YR, Yang BS. Synthesis and biological evaluations of pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidines as cyclindependent kinase 2 inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem 2003; 38(5):525-32.