

ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR) An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

AQUATIC AND AMPHIBIOUS WEEDS OF PADDY CROPLAND FIELDS OF CHATTISGARH AREA

DR. RAGUNANDAN PRASAD SHARMA

Asst. Professor, Dept.of Botany

Govt. Agrasen College Bilha, Bilaspur

ABSTRACT

General survey of weed floras in paddy cropland fields of has been carried out in cropping season 2020-21 area situated in district of Chhattisgarh state. Survey done in low-lying paddy fields, water and irrigation channels the weeds are collected identified and classified into five categories namely free floating fixed floating submerged marshland and amphibious on the basis of their habitat. The degree. Of intensity of weeds has been rated in four grades namely trace light moderate and severe obtained free floating weeds are eight fixed floating are five submerged are seven marshland are eighteen and amphibious are fifteen noted.

Keywords :- Chaturgarh, Crop Land, Weed, Paddy

INTRODUCTION

Chaturgarh forest area is a region of korba district which is situated in northern region of Chhattisgarh state.

Paddy is the most important extensively grown food crop in this climatic condition and soil types are favourable for paddy growth in this Approximately 80% of population are dependent in paddy for their food requirement. Weeds create great damage to production of rice the utilize water minerals and other nutrient form soil and compete to crop. Many workers such as Dubey v., Shukla r.v. sharma r.p. ondhia p. etc. has been obtained many weeds associated with paddy field. In session 2020-21 many weeds species are obtained aquatic and amphibious weeds in paddy cropland field are given in this paper.

METHODOLOGY

For general survey of aquatic and amphibious weeds associated with paddy cropland fields used standard phytosociological methods (Quadrat Method) given by Mishra et.al (1968). The degree of intensity of weeds has been rated in four grands mainly trace light moderate and severe. Their floristic conditions are observed in the help of standard flora.

OBSERVATION

Paddy cropland fields more than 38 aquatic and 15 Amphibious weeds are obtained. An extensive survey of low land water and irrigation channels was undertaken in throughout the cropping of standard flora. (Jain and Mudgel 1999) the observation indicate two species 3.77% are algae four species 7.54% are pteridophyte.

S.No.	Botanical	Family	Habit	Intensity
1.	Azolla Species linn.	Azollaceae	Free floating	Light
2.	Aponogeton sp. l.t.	Naiadaceae	Sub marged	Light
3.	Aneilema scapiflorum br	Commelinaceae	Marsh land	Light
4.	Aschynomene indica I.	papilionaceae	Amphibious	Light
5.	Alisma plantage I.	Alismaceae	Amphibious	Trace
6.	Andropogoen pumilis roxb.	Poaceae	Amphibious	Light
7.	Astercantha longifolia nee.	Acanthacceae	Amphibious	Light
8.	Butomopsis lanceolate kunth,	Alismaceae	Marsh land	Light
9.	Ceratophyllum	Ceratophyllaceae	Sub merged	Trace
10.	Chara species	Characcae	Sub merged	Trace
11.	Caesulia axillaris roxb	asteraceae	Marsh land	Light
12.	Commelina salicifolia roxb.	Commelinaceae	Marsh land	Light
13.	Ceratopteris sp brong	Adiantaceae	Amphilibious	Trace
14.	Cyperus diffrormis I.	Cyperaceae	Amphilibious	Light
15.	Cyperus iria I.	Cyperaceae	Amphilibious	Light
16.	Cyperus haspan I.	Cyperaceae	Amphilibious	Light
17.	Cyperus flavidus I.	Cyperaceae	Amphilibious	Light

18.	Cyperus rotundus I	Cyperaceae	Amphilibious	Trace
19.	Digitaria marginate stapf.	Poeceae	Marsh land	moderate
20.	Eichornia crassipes solms.	pondtedersaceae	Free floating	Light
21.	echinochloa crusgalli beavv.	poaceae	Marsh land	Light
22.	Echinochloa colonum	poaceae	Marsh land	Light
23.	Eriocaulon quinguanguangulare 1.	poaceae	Marsh land	moderate
24.	Hydrocharis ariatia miquel	Hydrocharitaceae	Marsh land	moderate
25.	Hydrila jaylanica vahl	hydrophyllaceae	Marsh land	moderate
26.	Hygrophilla angustifolia r br	acanthaceae	Marsh land	Light
27.	Isoetes coromandelina linn.	isoetaceae	Marsh land	Trace
28.	Ipomoea aquatica fork	convolvulaceae	amphibious	Light
29.	Jussiaea repens 1	Onagracea	Marsh land	Light
30.	Lemna polyrrhiza I	lamnaceae	Free floting	moderate
31.	Limnanthemum indicum thwaites	gentianaceae	Free floating	Light
32.	Lippia nodiflora rich	verbanaceae	Marsh land	Light
33.	Limnophila conferta benth	scrophulariaceae	Marsh land	Light
34.	Ludwigia purviflora roxb.	onagraceae	Marsh land	Light

25	Manabila naimuta l			Linkt
35.	iviarsniia minuta I.	marsillaceae	Fixed floating	Light
36.	Nelumbium speciosum willd.	nymphaceae	Fixed floating	Trace
37.	Nymphaea spl	nymphaceae	Fixed floating	Trace
38.	Nitella sp	characeae	Sub merged	Trace
39.	Ottelia alsomoides pers	hydrocharitaceae	Fixed floating	Trace
40.	Oxalis sp I wood sorrel	geraniaceae	Fixed floating	Trace
41.	Pistia stratiotes!	aracaceae	Free flouting	moderate
42.	Potomogeton indicus roxb	naiadaceae	Sub merged	Light
43.	Polygonum glabrum	polygonaceae	amphibious	Light
	willd			
44.	Scripus gressus !	cypeeraceae	Marsh land	severe
45.	Scripus gressus !	cypeeraceae	Marsh land	severe
46.	Saccharum spontaneum I	cyp <mark>eeraceae</mark>	amphibious	Light
47.	Sphaeranthus indicus!	asteraceae	amphibious	moderate
48.	Trapa bispinosa roxb	onagraceae	Free floating	moderate
49.	Typha elephantina roxb	typhaceae	Marsh land	Trace
50.	Tamarix ericoides rott!	Tamari aceae	amphibious	Trace
51.	Utricularia stellaris I.s	lautibularitaceae	Sub merged	Light
52.	Vallisneria I	hydrocharitaceae	Sub merged	Trace
53.	Wolffian arrhizal wimm	lemnaceae	Free floating	Light

Table- 2 – Weeds on the basis of plant group

Plant Group	No. of species	Percentage
Algae	02	3.77%
Bryophyte	nil	-
pteridophyte	04	7.54%
Gymnosperm	Nil	-
Angiorperm	47	88.67%

Table- 3 – Weeds on the basis of Habitat

Habitat	No. of species	Percentage
Free Floating	08	15.0%
Sub Merged	07	13.2%
Fixed Floating	05	9.4%
Marsh land	18	33.96%
Amphibious	15	28.30%

Table- 4 – Weeds on the basis of intensity

Intensity	No. of species	Percentage
Light	29	54.70%
Moderate	09	16.98%
Trace	13	24.52%
Severe	02	3.77%

And species 88.67% are belonging to angiosperm. Then free floating species are 15% belonging to 08 species. Sub merged are 13.2% belonging to 07 species, fixed floating are 9.4% belonging to 05 species, marsh land 33.96% belonging to 18 species and amphibious are 28.30% belonging

to 15 species, light intensity species are 54.7% belonging to 29 species, moderate intensity species are 16.98% belonging to 09 species trace intensity species obtained. Cyperaceous and Pinaceae are most dominating weed families belonging to 08 and 05 species. Other important obtained families are Hydrocharitaceae, Marsiliaceae, Characeae, Typhaceae, Lamnaceae, Commalinaceae, Azollaceae, Convolvuaceae, Onagraceae, Geraniaceae etc. Obtained species their family, botanical Name, habitat and their intensity are given in table.

DISSCUSSION

Aquatic and amphibious weeds play very important role in rice production, Cyperaceae and Pinaceae are dominating equating weeds families, Maximum aquatic weeds are angiospermic (88.67%), on the basis of their habitat 33.96% are marsh land, amphibious are 28.30% maximum 54.7% species belong to light intensity. Similar result obtained in Shukla R.V. (1973) and other taxonomist.

References:-

1.Sharma R.P. (2007) - Floristic, Phytosociological and ethnobotanical studies of paddy weeds in Bilaspur district of Chhattisgarh, M.Phil Thesis, Alagappal university, Karaikudi, tamilnadu.

2. Shukla R.V. and Diwakar M.C. (1985) Weeds of rice fields in Chhattisgarh. Chhattisgarh botanical association Raipur Vol (1) 242-244.

3. Verma D.M. and Chandra V (1981) - cyperaceae of Madhya Pradesh, Rec. Bot. Surv. India 21. 221-275.

3. Oudhia P. and Dixit A. (1994) Weeds in Ambikapur region Madhya Pradesh and their traditional uses Weeds New 1(2):19-21.

4. Bhan V.M. (1994) Weeds infestation in rice. Indian Journals. Agron 39:345

5. Balasubramaniyam P. and palaniappan S.P. (2002)-Principal and practice of

Agronomy, Agrobios, Jodhpur printed H.S. offset, New Delhi.

5. Hooda I.S. (2002) Weeds management in organic rice RDA/ARND International conference 12-15 Nov, RDA and Dankook Univ. Korea.

6. Akobunda 1.D. (1981) Weeds control in direct seeded lowland rice under poor water control condition. Weeds Res. 21(6): 273-278.

7. Gogoi A.K. and saikia M. (1947) - A sources for sustainable weeds management in Rice R. roc. 3rd IFOAM Asia conf. Bangalore India PP-158.

8. Laloo R.C. (2003) Ecological study on the Weeds flora in Maize field of the hill areas of jaintia hill district, Meghalaya, Journal of Swamy Botanical Club 20: 105-110