JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Digital Business Ecosystems: Transformation, Challenges, and Future Perspectives in the Age of Digitization – A short review

[1]Arif Mohamed Khan. R*, [2]Habeeb Mohamed R, [3]Hemanth Sachin M, [4]Shifana Fathima A
[1,2,3,4]Tarcin Robotics LLP, Madurai-625020, India

Abstract : This review article explores the multifaceted effects of digital transformation across diverse commercial enterprise contexts, emphasizing the development and evolution of digital business ecosystems (DBEs). The studies together scrutinize how digitization reshapes industries from retail to innovative sectors like the k-Pop enterprise, highlighting shifts in value chains, commercial enterprise models, patron engagement, and product returns. Key findings encompass the emergence of latest price introduction assets which includes automation, individualization, and transparency, and the function of virtual ecosystems in enhancing supply chain control, threat management, and consumer dating management. The papers also investigate into the demanding situations and opportunities supplied via virtual ecosystems in private provider sectors, featuring sketch standards based totally on AI and machine learning. Further, the significance of perception agent behavior within DBEs is explored, along the necessity of community control inside the era of virtual ecosystems. This article not only provides comprehensive insights into the modern-day state of virtual commercial enterprise ecosystems however additionally paves the way for future research in this emerging discipline.

Index Terms - **Digital business ecosystem, Value Creation and Innovation, Network Management and Automation**

I. Introduction

The rise of digital business ecosystems (DBEs) marks a transformative era in the realm of modern business strategy and operations. This evolution is not merely a byproduct of technological advancement but a paradigm shift towards complex, interconnected networks spanning various industries and sectors. DBEs represent a fundamental change from traditional, linear business models to dynamic, interdependent systems (Nachira et al., 2007).

The internet widespread adoption is the key to catalyze the digital business ecosystem from its inception. Initially, the focus was on digitizing individual business operations for efficiency and cost reduction. However, as digital technologies evolved, they facilitated broader connectivity, leading to the formation of ecosystems. These ecosystems are characterized by a web of relationships among multiple stakeholders, including businesses, customers, technology providers, and regulatory bodies (Koenig, 2013; Lappi et al.,

2015). Unlike traditional models where value creation was linear, in DBEs, value emerges from the complex interactions and synergies among these diverse participants (Han et al., 2022). One of the defining characteristics of DBEs is their emphasis on collaboration and mutual benefit. In traditional competitive models, companies often strive for dominance. In contrast, DBEs thrive on shared value creation, where each participant's success contributes to the ecosystem's overall health (Iansiti & Levien, 2004). For example, in a digital retail ecosystem, collaborations among online platforms, vendors, logistics, and payment services are essential for the collective success of the ecosystem (Prahalad & Ramaswamy, 2004). Another hallmark of DBEs is agility and adaptability. Digital technologies enable businesses to respond rapidly to market changes and consumer demands. This agility is amplified by the vast data generated within ecosystems, providing insights for strategic decision-making (Porter & Heppelmann, 2014). The emergence of DBEs necessitates a paradigm shift in business thinking – from a focus on individual competitive strategies to understanding one's role within a broader ecosystem. This shift may involve exploring new business models and forging unconventional partnerships (Adner, 2017). Innovation is a critical implication of DBEs. The interconnectivity within these ecosystems fosters a conducive environment for innovation, as ideas and knowledge flow freely among different actors, leading to rapid development and dissemination of new products and services (Chesbrough, 2007).

Furthermore, DBEs redefine consumer engagement. In these ecosystems, consumers often play an active role, contributing data and feedback. This leads to more personalized and engaging experiences but also raises concerns about data privacy and security. The digital business ecosystems represent a significant shift in modern business dynamics, characterized by interconnectedness, collaboration, and adaptability. As these ecosystems continue to evolve, they offer new opportunities for value creation and innovation but also pose challenges that require businesses to rethink their strategies and operations. The ongoing evolution of digital technologies will further shape the nature and dynamics of DBEs, making them an essential area of focus for businesses and researchers in the digital age (Barrett et al., 2015).

II. Methodology

Digital business ecosystem enhances innovation, connectivity, agility, scalability, and competitive advantage. Thus, we sourced academic material from two leading business and management databases, specifically Business Source Complete (EBSCO) and Scopus. These databases primarily concentrate on business management literature and include various journals. However, we opted to exclude book chapters, theses, and reports, focusing solely on peer-reviewed journal articles, which are acknowledged for their high-impact, cutting-edge research contributions. For the keyword selection in our study of digital business ecosystem and entrepreneurship, we started broadly with digital business ecosystem. The search was confined to abstracts for more targeted results, as full-text searches might yield irrelevant information, given that search terms could appear anywhere in the article. We reviewed the abstracts of all the articles cited, to ensure they were relevant to the study's focus on digital business ecosystem. A full reading of cited articles helped identify those specifically addressing the factors influencing digital business ecosystem use, its purposes, and its impact on entrepreneurial businesses.

III. Review of literature on digital business ecosystem

The Impact of Digital Transformation on the Retailing Value Chain" by Reinartz, Wiegand, and Imschloss (2019) explores how digital transformation has shifted the dynamics of the retail value chain. The authors focus on how digitization erodes traditional retailing's dominance, shifting the customer interface away from physical retailers to online platforms and branded product platforms. They develop a framework that identifies five new sources of value creation due to digital transformation: automation, individualization, ambient embeddedness, interaction, and transparency and control. These sources enhance customer benefits like convenience, relevance, experience, empowerment, and savings. The paper discusses the evolving competition among brand manufacturers, online retail platforms, and stationary retailers in this new landscape. The authors emphasize the need for businesses to actively manage their positions in these evolving ecosystems. The paper provides a comprehensive analysis of the impact of digital technology on retail, addressing both theoretical concepts and practical implications for various stakeholders in the retail value chain.

The paper "Marketing Perspectives on Digital Business Models: A Framework and Overview of the Special Issue" by Verhoef and Bijmolt (2019) delves into the profound changes in markets due to digital business models. The authors discuss how firms adopt digital models to handle these changes and propose a conceptual framework to understand these shifts. The paper elaborates on the impact of digital business models on firms, their performance, and markets. It integrates various research papers that fit into this conceptual framework and identifies key areas for future research, emphasizing the necessity of a multidisciplinary approach in understanding digital business models. The paper is a significant contribution to the field, offering a comprehensive overview of the state of digital business models in marketing and highlighting the need for further research in this rapidly evolving area.

"When Consumers Can Return Digital Products: Influence of Firm- and Consumer-Induced Communication on the Returns and Profitability of News Articles," by Schulz, Shehu, and Clement (2019), investigates the effects of firm- and consumer-induced communication on digital product returns, focusing on the context of online news articles. This study uses a multi-equation model analyzing data from the Blendle platform. It finds that newsletters from firms decrease return rates but don't significantly affect sales, whereas consumer likes both increase sales and decrease returns. The paper contributes to understanding the dynamics of digital product returns and provides practical insights for online news platforms and publishers on effective communication strategies.

The paper "Seeing the Wood for the Trees: How Machine Learning Can Help Firms in Identifying Relevant Electronic Word-of-Mouth in Social Media" by Vermeer, Araujo, Bernritter, and Van Noort (2019), examines the challenges firms face in identifying relevant electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) on social media. The study tests various machine learning models against traditional sentiment analysis to filter and categorize eWOM, emphasizing the importance of context and relevance over sentiment. The findings suggest that supervised machine learning is more effective than sentiment analysis in identifying and classifying relevant eWOM, contributing valuable insights to the field of digital marketing and customer relationship management.

The published research articles reveal a comprehensive exploration of digital transformation in various business contexts. Reinartz et al. (2019) delve into the impact of digital transformation on the retail value chain, highlighting the shift to online platforms. Verhoef et al. (2019) provide a framework for understanding digital business models in marketing. Schulz et al. (2019) focus on digital product returns, particularly in the online news sector. Vermeer et al. (2019) explore the use of machine learning in identifying relevant eWOM in social media. Together, these studies offer a multi-faceted view of the challenges and opportunities presented by digital transformation in marketing and consumer engagement.

The research paper titled "Comparison of different ecosystem analogies: the main economic determinants and levels of impact" by Vaida Pilinkienė and Povilas Mačiulis, published in Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 156 (2014)(Pilinkienė & Mačiulis, 2014), explores various ecosystem analogies in economics. It examines business, entrepreneurship, innovation, digital business, and industrial ecosystems, analyzing their actors, environments, and interactions. The paper aims to compare these analogies, highlighting their impact on micro and macro levels, and identifying key determinants affecting system performance. The study emphasizes the significance of understanding these ecosystems' distinctive effects on their entities and environment (Pilinkienė & Mačiulis, 2014).

The research paper, titled "Digital Transformation of Business Ecosystems: Evidence from the Korean Pop Industry," authored by Felix T. C. Tan, Jan Ondrus, Barney Tan, and Jungsuk Oh, examines the digital transformation within the K-Pop industry. The study explores how information technology (IT) has driven changes in the business ecosystem of the Korean pop music industry, focusing on the role of IT in shaping boundary practices and the formation and transformation of business ecosystems. The research involves a case study approach, analyzing various stakeholders in the K-pop ecosystem, including artists, entertainment companies, media, and digital platforms. The study contributes to understanding how digital transformation influences business ecosystems, especially in creative industries like K-pop(Tan et al., 2020).

The research paper titled A “Decentralized Business Ecosystem Model for Complex Products” by Mirjana Radonjic-Simic and Dennis Pfisterer, focuses on a novel ecosystem model for trading complex products in a decentralized manner. It addresses the challenges posed by contemporary online markets, such as increased transaction and coordination costs and centralization of power in major platforms. The paper proposes a decentralized business ecosystem that integrates various actors (individuals, companies, communities, autonomous agents, machines) without central control. It describes in detail the ecosystem's structure, architecture, and operational model, emphasizing the need for a scalable, decentralized software-system architecture to support complex products efficiently and reduce the adverse effects of platform centralization (Radonjic-Simic & Pfisterer, 2019).

Pilinkienė and Mačiulis (2014) provide a foundational understanding of economic ecosystems, focusing on their actors, environments, and interactions. The study's comparison of different ecosystem analogies offers insights into the micro and macro-level impacts within these systems. Tan, Ondrus, Tan, and Oh's work demonstrates the profound influence of digital technology on the business ecosystem of the K-Pop industry. This case study reveals how IT reshapes boundary practices and drives ecosystem transformation in creative

industries. Radonjic-Simic and Pfisterer introduce a novel approach to handling complex products in online markets. Their decentralized business ecosystem model addresses the challenges of centralization and increased transaction costs, proposing a scalable, decentralized solution. The comparative analysis of these studies underscores the dynamic and multifaceted nature of business ecosystems. It highlights the need for adaptive strategies that consider technological advancements and the diverse actors involved in these ecosystems (Pilinkienė & Mačiulis, 2014).

The research paper "An Analysis and Design of Frozen Shrimp Traceability System Based on Digital Business Ecosystem" by Taufik Djatna and Aditia Ginantaka discusses the design and analysis of a traceability system for frozen shrimp, emphasizing the importance of such systems in logistics and supply chain risk management for food safety and quality assurance. The paper highlights the implementation of a digital business ecosystem (DBE) model, using Business Process Model Notation (BPMN 2.0) for task analysis. The study showcases the effectiveness of the system in managing complex data and ensuring quality control in the shrimp supply chain (Djatna & Ginantaka, 2014).

Basole's research offers an in-depth analysis of business ecosystems in the mobile service sector, emphasizing the importance of network analysis in understanding complex interdependencies (Basole, 2014). Traceability Systems in the Food Industry (Djatna & Ginantaka, 2014), Djatna and Ginantaka's work on the traceability system for frozen shrimp highlights the role of digital business ecosystems in enhancing food safety and quality assurance.

The research paper "A methodology to quantify failure for risk-based decision support system in digital business ecosystems" by Omar Khadeer Hussain, Elizabeth Chang, Farookh Khadeer Hussain, and Tharam S. Dillon, presents a methodology to quantify the failure risk in digital business ecosystems. It focuses on analyzing the risk of failure in interactions between agents, emphasizing the importance of pre-interaction and post-interaction phases. The paper provides a detailed approach to assess the failure level based on various factors like trustworthiness, time-based assessment, and recommendation criteria, contributing significantly to risk management in digital business ecosystems (Thakare et al., 2018).

The research paper "Transportation Research Procedia 48 (2020) 363–387" by Benjamin Ohene Kwapong Baffoe and colleagues focuses on transportation research. The specifics of the content, including the methodologies, findings, and implications, are detailed within the research paper. This research contributes to the broader field of transportation studies and offers insights relevant to this specialized area (Baffoe & Luo, 2020).

The research paper "Digital Business Ecosystems: Towards Design Principles for Personal Services" by Ricardo Guerrero and colleagues, presented at the Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems in 2022, focuses on the development of digital business ecosystems (DBEs) in personal service sectors. It identifies the challenges faced by personal service firms in adapting to digitalization and proposes 17 design principles to guide these firms in leveraging digital business ecosystems. These principles are based on combining trend technologies like artificial intelligence and machine learning with effective management practices (Guerrero et al., 2022).

The research paper "A Coordination Model for Distributed Transactions in Digital Business Ecosystems" by Amir R. Razavi, Sotiris K. Moschoyiannis, and Paul J. Krause, presents a model for coordinating distributed, long-term transactions within digital business ecosystems. It emphasizes loose coupling and autonomy in service-oriented architectures (SOAs). The paper discusses challenges in web service technology for business transactions, proposing a coordination model that supports various service compositions. It also addresses issues like tight coupling and local autonomy in SMEs, offering solutions for improved service coordination in digital ecosystems (Razavi et al., 2007).

The research paper "Understanding Behaviour Patterns of Multi-agents in Digital Business Ecosystems: An Organisational Semiotics Inspired Framework" by Prince Kwame Senyo, Kecheng Liu, and John Effah, discusses the behavior of multiple agents in digital business ecosystems (DBEs). The paper employs organizational semiotics as a theoretical foundation to develop a framework for analyzing multi-agent behavior. This framework is applied in a case study context, demonstrating its utility in understanding the interactions and behaviors of agents in DBEs. The study contributes to DBE literature by providing a novel approach to analyze agent behavior within these complex environments (Senyo et al., 2019).

The research paper "Comparison of different ecosystem analogies: the main economic determinants and levels of impact" by Vaida Pilinkienė and Povilas Mačiulis explores various ecosystem analogies in economics. It examines business, entrepreneurship, innovation, digital business, and industrial ecosystems. The aim is to compare these ecosystems, focusing on their actors, environments, interactions, and impact on both micro and macro levels. The paper emphasizes understanding the distinctive effects of these ecosystems on their entities and the environment.

The research paper "A Situation Retrieval Model for Cognitive Decision Support in Digital Business Ecosystems" by Jie Lu, Li Niu, and Guangquan Zhang, presents a situation retrieval model to aid cognitive decision-making in digital business ecosystems. The model focuses on integrating cognitive decision support with business intelligence platforms, incorporating situation awareness and mental models of decision makers. This approach aims to enhance decision-making processes by facilitating the use of business intelligence in complex decision environments (Lu et al., 2012).

The research paper "Network management in the era of ecosystems: Systematic review and management framework" by Leena Aarikka-Stenroos and Paavo Ritala is a systematic review analyzing the use of the ecosystem concept in business-to-business (B2B) marketing and its implications for network management. The study identifies common themes, examines specific features of the ecosystem approach, and categorizes four major research approaches within B2B marketing. It also discusses shifts in management opportunities and challenges due to the emergence of ecosystems and suggests a revised network management framework, contributing to the literature by offering a systematic outlook on the increasingly utilized ecosystem approach (Aarikka-Stenroos & Ritala, 2017).

IV. Discussion, implications, and future research direction:

The literature presented here underscores the multifaceted impact of digital transformation across various business domains. Key themes emerge, such as the transformation of value chains in retail (Reinartz et al., 2019), the evolution of digital business models (Verhoef and Bijmolt, 2019), the dynamics of digital product

returns (Schulz et al., 2019), and the application of machine learning in identifying relevant eWOM (Vermeer et al., 2019). These studies collectively highlight how digitalization is reshaping traditional business practices, emphasizing the need for adaptability and innovation in the face of technological advancements. The research on the digital transformation in the K-Pop industry (Tan et al.) and the decentralized business ecosystem model for complex products (Radonjic-Simic and Pfisterer) further illustrates the breadth of digital impact, extending from creative industries to complex product markets. The traceability system for frozen shrimp (Djatna & Ginantaka) exemplifies the practical applications of digital ecosystems in enhancing supply chain management and food safety.

For Practitioners, the businesses must recognize the importance of adapting to digital ecosystems to maintain competitiveness. This involves not only technological adoption but also a strategic realignment of business models and value propositions in line with digital capabilities. For Policy Makers, the evolving nature of digital ecosystems calls for updated regulatory frameworks that address new challenges, such as data privacy, intellectual property rights, and fair competition in increasingly digital marketplaces. For Academics, the diverse impact of digital transformation across industries provides a rich area for academic exploration. It necessitates a multidisciplinary approach, blending insights from information technology, marketing, supply chain management, and more.

Future research could benefit from comparative analyses across different industries to understand how digital transformation's impacts vary by sector and context. Longitudinal Studies: As digital ecosystems continue to evolve, longitudinal studies will be valuable in tracking these changes over time, providing insights into the long-term effects of digital transformation on business practices. Impact on Consumer Behavior: More research is needed to understand how digital transformation affects consumer behavior and expectations, especially in the context of personalization, data privacy, and digital engagement. Emerging Technologies: Exploring the role of emerging technologies like blockchain, IoT, and AI in further shaping digital ecosystems will be crucial, particularly in terms of creating new business models and enhancing customer experiences. Sustainability and Ethics: Investigating the sustainability and ethical considerations of digital business ecosystems is essential, especially in light of increasing concerns about environmental impact, data ethics, and social responsibility. Global vs. Local Ecosystems: Examining the differences between global and local digital ecosystems can provide insights into how digital strategies should be adapted to different cultural and regulatory environments.

The transition to digital business ecosystems presents both challenges and opportunities. Future research should aim to provide a deeper understanding of these dynamics, offering guidance for businesses, policymakers, and academics navigating this evolving landscape.

V. Conclusion

The exploration of digital business ecosystems (DBEs) across various studies highlights the profound impact of digital transformation in today's business world. From altering retail value chains to reshaping creative industries like the K-Pop sector, DBEs have fundamentally changed how businesses operate and engage with customers. These ecosystems offer new opportunities for value creation, innovation, and customer engagement but also bring challenges such as data privacy issues and the need for agile

management. The research underscores the importance of adaptability and strategic alignment within these ecosystems, emphasizing the role of emerging technologies like AI and machine learning. For future research, there is a compelling need to further explore the long-term impacts of DBEs, particularly in terms of sustainable and ethical business practices. As the digital landscape continues to evolve, understanding and navigating the complexities of DBEs will be crucial for businesses seeking to thrive in this new era of digital interconnectedness

REFERENCES

Aarikka-Stenroos, L., & Ritala, P. (2017). Network management in the era of ecosystems: Systematic review and management framework. *Industrial Marketing Management*, *67*, 23–36.

Baffoe, B. O. K., & Luo, W. (2020). Humanitarian relief sustainability: A framework of humanitarian logistics digital business ecosystem. *Transportation Research Procedia*, *48*, 363–387.

Djatna, T., & Ginantaka, A. (2014). An analysis and design of frozen shrimp traceability system based on digital business ecosystem. *2014 International Conference on Advanced Computer Science and Information System*, 157–163.

Guerrero, R., Lattemann, C., Gebbing, P., Siemon, D., & Robra-Bissantz, S. (2022). Digital Business Ecosystems: Towards Design Principles for Personal Services. *Proceedings of the Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems (PACIS)*.

Han, J., Zhou, H., Lowik, S., & de Weerd-Nederhof, P. (2022). Enhancing the understanding of ecosystems under innovation management context: Aggregating conceptual boundaries of ecosystems. *Industrial Marketing Management*, *106*, 112–138.

Koenig, G. (2013). Business ecosystems revisited. *Understanding Business Ecosystems: How Firm Succeed in the New World of Convergence, Brussels, De Boeck*, 69–83.

Lappi, T., Haapasalo, H., & Aaltonen, K. (2015). Business Ecosystem Definition in Built Environment Using a Stakeholder Assessment Process. *Management (18544223)*, *10*(2).

Lu, J., Niu, L., & Zhang, G. (2012). A situation retrieval model for cognitive decision support in digital business ecosystems. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, *60*(3), 1059–1069.

Nachira, F., Dini, P., & Nicolai, A. (2007). A network of digital business ecosystems for Europe: roots, processes and perspectives. *European Commission, Bruxelles, Introductory Paper*, *106*, 1–20.

Pilinkienė, V., & Mačiulis, P. (2014). Comparison of different ecosystem analogies: The main economic determinants and levels of impact. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *156*, 365–370.

Radonjic-Simic, M., & Pfisterer, D. (2019). A decentralized business ecosystem model for complex products. *Digital Business: Business Algorithms, Cloud Computing and Data Engineering*, 23–52.

Razavi, A. R., Moschoyiannis, S. K., & Krause, P. J. (2007). A coordination model for distributed transactions in digital business ecosystems. *2007 Inaugural IEEE-IES Digital EcoSystems and Technologies Conference*, 159–164.

Senyo, P. K., Liu, K., & Effah, J. (2019). Understanding behaviour patterns of multi-agents in digital business ecosystems: an organisational semiotics inspired framework. *Advances in Human Factors, Business Management and Society: Proceedings of the AHFE 2018 International Conference on Human Factors, Business Management and Society, July 21-25, 2018, Loews Sapphire Falls Resort at Universal Studios, Orlando, Florida, USA 9*, 206–217.

Tan, F. T. C., Ondrus, J., Tan, B., & Oh, J. (2020). Digital transformation of business ecosystems: Evidence from the Korean pop industry. *Information Systems Journal*, *30*(5), 866–898.

Thakare, N. B., Raghuwanshi, F. C., Kalyamwar, V. S., & Tamgadge, Y. S. (2018). Reduced graphene oxide-ZnO composites based gas sensors: A review. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, *1953*. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5032392

Vermeer, S. A. M., Araujo, T., Bernritter, S. F., & Van Noort, G. (2019). Seeing the wood for the trees: How machine learning can help firms in identifying relevant electronic word-of-mouth in social media.

Reinartz, W., Wiegand, N., & Imschloss, M. (2019). The impact of digital transformation on the retailing value chain. International Journal of Research in Marketing, 36(3), 350-366.

Verhoef, P. C., & Bijmolt, T. H. A. (2019). Marketing perspectives on digital business models: A framework and overview of the special issue. International Journal of Research in Marketing, 36(3), 341-349.
Schulz, P., Shehu, E., & Clement, M. (2019). When consumers can return digital products: Influence of firm- and consumer-induced communication on the returns and profitability of news articles. International Journal of Research in Marketing, 36(3), 454-470.
Adner, R. (2017). Ecosystem as structure: An actionable construct for strategy. Journal of Management, 43(1), 39-58.
Adner, R., & Kapoor, R. (2010). Value creation in innovation ecosystems: How the structure of technological interdependence affects firm performance in new technology generations. Strategic Management Journal, 31(3), 306-333.
Barrett, M., Davidson, E., Prabhu, J., & Vargo, S. L. (2015). Service innovation in the digital age: Key contributions and future directions. MIS Quarterly, 39(1), 135-154.
Chesbrough, H. (2007). Business model innovation: It's not just about technology anymore. Strategy & Leadership, 35(6), 12-17.
Iansiti, M., & Levien, R. (2004). Strategy as ecology. Harvard Business Review, 82(3), 68-78.
Kumar, V., Dixit, A., Javalgi, R. G., & Dass, M. (2016). Research framework, strategies, and applications of intelligent agent technologies (IATs) in marketing. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 44(1), 24-45.
Moore, J. F. (1996). The death of competition: Leadership and strategy in the age of business ecosystems. HarperBusiness.
Porter, M. E., & Heppelmann, J. E. (2014). How smart, connected products are transforming competition. Harvard Business Review, 92(11), 64-88.
Prahalad, C. K., & Ramaswamy, V. (2004). Co-creation experiences: The next practice in value creation. Journal of Interactive Marketing, 18(3), 5-14.