

A Note on weakly ω -I-Continuous Functions

P. Revathi¹ and A. Vadivel²

¹Govt. Polytechnic for Women, TPK Road, Madurai-625 011; Department of Mathematics (FEAT), Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar-608 002, Tamil Nadu, Post Graduate and Research Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College (Autonomous). Karur, Tamil Nadu-639 005; Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar-608 002, India.

Abstract

In [9], Vadivel et al. introduced and investigated the notions of weakly ω -I-continuous and weak* ω -I-continuous functions in ideal topological spaces. In this paper, we investigate their relationships with continuous and θ -continuous functions.

Key words and phrases: Weakly ω -I-continuous, weak* ω -I-continuous, θ -continuous.

AMS (2000) subject classification: 54C10.

1. Introduction

In a topological space (X, τ) , the closure and the interior of any subset A of X will be denoted by ClA and $IntA$, respectively. An ideal is defined as a collection I of subsets of X satisfying the following two conditions: (1) If $A \in I$ and $B \subset A$, then $B \in I$; (2) If $A \in I$ and $B \in I$, then $A \cup B \in I$. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and I an ideal of subsets of X . An ideal topological space is a topological space (X, τ) with an ideal I on X and is denoted by (X, τ, I) . For a subset $A \subset X$, $A^*(I) = \{x \in X : U \cap A \notin I \text{ for each open neighbourhood } U \text{ of } x\}$ is called the local function of A with respect to I and τ [5]. We simply write A^* instead of $A^*(I)$ in case there is no chance for confusion. For every ideal topological space (X, τ, I) , there exists a topology $\tau^*(I)$ (briefly, τ^*), finer than τ , generated by $\beta(I, \tau) = \{U - A : U \in \tau \text{ and } A \in I\}$, but in general $\beta(I, \tau)$ is not always a topology [3]. Additionally, $Cl^*A = A \cup A^*$ defines a Kuratowski closure operator for $\tau^*(I)$.

The following Lemma is useful in the sequel.

Lemma 1.1 [3] *Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and A, B subsets of X . Then the following properties hold.*

- (a) If $A \subset B$, then $A^* \subset B^*$.
- (b) $A^* = Cl(A^*) \subseteq Cl(A)$.
- (c) $(A^*)^* \subseteq A^*$.
- (d) If $U \in \tau$, then $U \cap A^* \subseteq (U \cap A)^*$.

Vadivel et al. [9] introduced the notions of weakly ω -I-continuous and weakly* ω -I-continuous functions in ideal topological spaces. Also ω -I-continuous functions were studied by Jeyanthi et al. [4]. In Theorem 2.8 (below), we obtain an improvement of Theorems 2.5 and 2.8 of [4].

Definition 1.1 *A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \varphi, I)$ is said to be weakly ω -I-continuous (briefly weakly ω -I-c) if for each $x \in X$ and each open neighbourhood V of $f(x)$, there exists a ω -open neighbourhood U of x such that $f(U) \subset Cl^*V$.*

Let A be a subset of an ideal topological space. The $*$ -frontier of A is defined by $A^* - \text{int}A$ and is denoted by fr^*A .

Definition 1.2 A function $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \varphi, I)$ is said to be weak $*$ - I -continuous (briefly weak $*$ - ω - I - c) [9] if for each open set V in Y , $f^{-1}(fr^*V)$ is closed in X .

We will use the following Theorem.

Theorem 1.1 [9] A function $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \varphi, I)$ is continuous if and only if it is both weakly ω - I -continuous and weak $*$ - ω - I -continuous.

2. Weakly ω - I -Continuity and θ -continuity

In some cases weakly ω - I -continuity or weak $*$ - ω - I -continuity implies continuity or θ -continuity. Now we can give related results.

Theorem 2.1 Let (Y, φ, I_f) be an ideal topological space such that U is infinite for every $U \in \varphi$ and if $U, V \in \varphi$ such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$ then $U = \emptyset$ or $V = \emptyset$ and I_f denotes the ideal of finite subsets of Y . Then a function $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \varphi, I_f)$ is continuous if and only if it is weak $*$ - ω - I_f - c .

Proof The necessity is clear by Theorem 1.1.

Sufficiency. We first show that $V^* = Y$ for each non empty open set V in Y . Let $y \in Y$ and $y \in U \in \varphi$. Then $U, V \in \varphi$ and $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ by hypothesis. Thus $U \cap V$ is infinite by hypothesis. Therefore $U \cap V \notin I_f$. Then $y \in V^*$. Hence $V^* = Y$. Therefore, f is weakly ω - I_f - c and by Theorem 1.1, f is continuous.

Example 2.1 Let $(Y, \varphi, I_f) = (R, \varphi, I_f)$, where φ is the left ray or right ray or cofinite topology. Then, for (R, φ) , the conditions in Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Hence a function $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow (R, \varphi, I_f)$ is continuous if and only if it is weak $*$ - ω - I_f - c .

Definition 2.1 A function $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \varphi)$ is said to be θ -continuous [1] (resp. weakly continuous [6]) at x_0 if for each open neighbourhood V of $f(x_0)$, there is an open neighbourhood U of x_0 such that $f(CIU) \subseteq ClV$ (resp. $f(U) \subseteq Cl(V)$). f is said to be θ -continuous (resp. weakly continuous) if it is θ -continuous (resp. weakly continuous) at each point of X .

It is well known that continuity implies θ -continuity and θ -continuity implies weak continuity. Vadivel et al. [9] showed that weak- ω - I -continuity strictly lies between continuity and weak continuity. Therefore, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{continuity} & \Rightarrow & \theta\text{-continuity} \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \text{weak-}\omega\text{-}I\text{-continuity} & \Rightarrow & \text{weak continuity} \end{array}$$

Remark 2.1 By the two examples stated below, we show that θ -continuity and weak- ω - I -continuity are independent of each other.

Example 2.2 Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ and $I = P(X)$. Define the function $f:X \rightarrow X$ as $f = \{(a, e), (b, d), (c, c), (d, b), (e, d)\}$. Then f is θ -continuous but not weak- ω - I -continuous.

(i) Let $x = a, b$ or $e \in X$ and $V = X \in \tau$ such that $f(x) \in V$. Then, there exists $U = X \in \omega(\tau)$ such that $x \in X = U$ and $f(CIU) \subseteq X \subseteq ClX = X$.

(ii) Let $c \in X$ and $V = \{c\}$, $V = \{a, c\}$, or $V = \{a, b, c\} \in \tau$ such that $f(c) = c \in V$. Then there exists a ω -open set $U = \{c\} \subseteq X$ such that $c \in U$ and $f(CIU) = f(\{b, c, d, e\}) = \{d, c, b\} \subseteq Cl(\{c\}) = \{b, c, d, e\} \subseteq Cl(\{a, c\}) = X = Cl(\{a, b, c\})$.

(iii) Let $d \in X$ and $V = X$ or $V = \{a, b, c\} \in \tau$ such that $f(d) = b \in V$. Then there exists a ω -open set $U = X \subseteq X$ such that $d \in U$ and $f(CIU) = f(X) \subseteq X \subseteq Cl(\{a, b, c\}) = X = ClX$.

By (i), (ii), (iii), f is θ -continuous. On the other hand, for $V = \{a, b, c\} \in \tau$, $f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(\{a, b, c\}) = \{c, d\} \notin \tau$. Therefore f is not continuous. Then f is not weak- ω - I -continuous.

Example 2.3 Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{3\}, \{3, 4\}\}$ and $Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{a, b\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ and $I = \{\phi\}$, Then $\beta(I, \sigma) = \sigma = \sigma^*$. Therefore $ClV = Cl^*V$ for every $V \in \sigma$. Define the function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ as $f = \{(1, a), (2, b), (3, c), (4, d)\}$. Then f is weak- ω - I -continuous but not θ -continuous.

First we show that $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ is weak- ω - I -continuous

(i) Let $\{1\} \in X$ and $V = \{a, b\}$, $V = \{a, b, d\}$ or $V = Y \in \sigma$ such that $f(1) = a \in V$. Then, there exists a ω -open set $U = \{1, 2, 3\} \subseteq X$ such that $\{1\} \in U$ and $f(U) = \{a, b, c\} \subseteq Cl^*(\{a, b\}) = \{a, b, c\} \subseteq Cl^*(\{a, b, d\}) = Cl^*(Y) = Y$.

(ii) Let $\{2\} \in X$ and $V = \{b\}$, $V = \{a, b\}$, $V = \{b, d\}$, $V = \{a, b, d\}$, $V = \{b, c, d\}$ or $V = Y \in \sigma$ such that $f(2) = b \in V$. Then there exists a ω -open set $U = \{1, 2, 3\} \subseteq X$ such that $\{2\} \in U$ and

$f(U) = \{a, b, c\} \subseteq Cl^*(\{b\}) = \{a, b, c\} \subseteq Cl^*(\{b, d\}) \subseteq Cl^*(\{a, b, d\}) = Cl^*(\{b, c, d\}) = Cl^*(Y) = Y$.

(iii) Let $\{3\} \in X$ and $V = \{b, c, d\}$ or $V = Y \in \sigma$ such that $f(3) = \{c\} \in V$. Then there exists a ω -open set $U = \{3\} \subseteq X$ such that $\{3\} \in U$ and $f(U) = \{c\} \subseteq Cl^*(\{b, c, d\}) = Cl^*(Y) = Y$.

(iv) Let $\{4\} \in X$ and $V = \{d\}$, $V = \{b, d\}$, $V = \{a, b, d\}$, $V = \{b, c, d\}$ or $V = Y \in \sigma$ such that $f(4) = d \in V$. Then there exists a ω -open set $U = \{3, 4\} \subseteq X$ such that $\{4\} \in U$ and $f(U) = \{c, d\} \subseteq Cl^*(\{d\}) = \{c, d\} \subseteq Cl^*(\{b, d\}) = Cl^*(\{a, b, d\}) = Cl^*(\{b, c, d\}) = Cl^*(Y) = Y$. By (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), f is weakly ω - I -continuous.

Now we show that $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is not θ -continuous.

Let $\{1\} \in X$ and $V = \{a, b\} \in \sigma$ such that $f(1) = a \in V \in \sigma$. But, for every open set $U \subseteq X$ such that $\{1\} \in U$, where $U = \{1, 2, 3\}$ or $U = X$, $ClU = X$. Then $f(CIU) = Y \neq ClV = \{a, b, c\}$. Therefore $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is not θ -continuous.

Theorem 2.2 Let (Y, σ) be a regular space. Then for a function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- f is continuous;
- f is θ -continuous;
- f is weakly ω - I -c;
- f is weakly continuous.

Proof It is shown in Theorem 2 of [6] that if (Y, σ) is regular space, then a function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is weakly continuous if and only if f is continuous.

Theorem 2.3 Let (Y, σ, I) be any ideal topological space such that $Y - V \subseteq V^*$ for every $V \in \sigma$. Then

- Every function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ is θ -continuous and weakly ω - I -c.
- A function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ is continuous if and only if it is weak* - ω - I -c.

Proof (a) By hypothesis $Cl^*(V) = Y$ for every $V \in \sigma$ and every function f is weakly ω - I - c . Furthermore $ClV = Y$ for every $V \in \sigma$ since $Cl^*V \subseteq ClV$. Thus every function f is θ -continuous.

(b) By (a), every function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ is weakly ω - I - c and hence by Theorem 1.1 f is continuous.

Let (X, τ) be a space with an ideal I on X and $D \subseteq X$. Then $I_D = \{D \cap A : A \in I\}$ is obviously an ideal on D .

Theorem 2.4 If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ is weakly ω - I - c , D is a dense subset in the topological space (Y, σ^*) and $f(X) \subseteq D$, then $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma_D, I_D)$ is weakly ω - I_D - c , where (D, σ_D) is a subspace of (Y, σ) .

Proof Let $x \in X$ and W be any open set of D containing $f(x)$. That is $f(x) \in W \in \sigma_D$. Then there exists a $V \in \sigma$ such that $W = D \cap V$. Since $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ is weakly ω - I - c and $f(x) \in V \in \sigma$, there exists $U \in \tau$ such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq Cl^*(V)$. If D is a dense subset in the topological space (Y, σ^*) , then D is a dense subset in the topological space (Y, σ) since $Cl^*D \subseteq ClD$. Since $\sigma \subseteq \sigma^*$, $V \in \sigma^*$. So $Cl^*(D \cap V) = Cl^*V$ since D is dense. Thus $f(U) \subseteq Cl^*V \cap f(X) \subseteq Cl^*(D \cap V) \cap D = Cl^*V \cap D$. Since $W = D \cap V$, $Cl_D^*W = Cl^*V \cap D$ by **Error! Reference source not found.**, Lemma [4]. So $f(U) \subseteq Cl_D^*W$. Hence we obtain that $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (D, \sigma_D, I_D)$ is weakly ω - I_D - c .

Vadivel et al. [9] also introduced the notions of weakly FI^* -spaces.

An ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is said to be a weakly FI^* -space if $ClA \subseteq A^*$ for every ω -open set $A \subseteq X$.

First we investigate some properties of weakly FI^* -spaces.

Theorem 2.5 An ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is a weakly FI^* -space if and only if $U \notin I$ for every $U \in \tau - \{\emptyset\}$.

Proof Necessity. Let (X, τ, I) be an weakly FI^* -space. Suppose that there exists $U \in \tau - \{\emptyset\}$ such that $U \in I$. Let $x \in U$. Then $x \in ClU$. Also since $x \in U \in \tau$ and $U \cap U = U \in I$, $x \notin U^*$. Thus $ClU \not\subseteq U^*$ and this is contradiction.

Sufficiency. Let $U \notin I$ for every $U \in \tau - \{\emptyset\}$. Let A be an open subset of X . If $x \in ClA$ then $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for each open neighbourhood U of x . Also since $U \cap A \in \tau - \{\emptyset\}$, $U \cap A \notin I$. Hence we obtain that $x \in A^*$. Thus $ClA \subseteq A^*$. Therefore (X, τ, I) is a weakly FI^* -space.

Recall that an ideal I of subsets of X in an ideal space (X, τ, I) is said to be codense if $\tau \cap I = \{\emptyset\}$.

Corollary 2.1 An ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is a weakly FI^* -space if and only if I is codense.

The following Theorem will be useful in the sequel.

Theorem 2.6 Let (X, τ, I) be a weakly FI^* -space and $A \in \tau$. Then the following properties hold:

- (a) $A^* = Cl^*A = (ClA)^* = Cl(A^*) = ClA$,
- (b) $Cl^*(ClA) = Cl(Cl^*A) = Cl^*(A^*)$.

Proof (a) If $A \in \tau$ then $ClA \subseteq A^*$ since (X, τ, I) is a weakly FI^* -space. Thus $(ClA)^* \subseteq (A^*)^* \subseteq A^*$ by Lemma 1.1. Also since $A \subseteq ClA$, $A^* \subseteq (ClA)^*$ by Lemma 1.1.

Therefore $A^* = (CIA)^*$. Since $Cl^*A = A \cup A^*$ and (X, τ, I) is a weakly FI^* -space, $Cl^*A = A^*$. Thus $Cl(A^*) = A^*$ by Lemma 1.1 (b). Also since (X, τ, I) is a weakly FI^* -space, $CIA = A^*$ by Lemma 1.1 (b). Hence we obtain $A^* = Cl^*A = (CIA)^* = Cl(A^*) = CIA$.

(b) For $A \in \tau$,
 $Cl^*(CIA) = (CIA)^* \cup CIA = Cl(A^*) \cup CIA = Cl(A^* \cup A) = Cl(Cl^*A) = Cl^*(A^*)$.

Theorem 2.7 Let (X, τ) be a regular space and (Y, σ, I) be a weakly FI^* -space. A function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ is θ -continuous if and only if it is weakly ω - I - c .

Proof Necessity. Let f be θ -continuous, $x \in X$ and V be any open set of Y containing $f(x)$. Since f is θ -continuous, there exists a ω -open neighbourhood U of x such that $f(CIU) \subseteq CIV$. Then since (Y, σ, I) is a weakly FI^* -space, $f(U) \subseteq f(CIU) \subseteq CIV \subseteq V^* \subseteq V^* \cup V = Cl^*V$. Thus f is weakly ω - I - c .

Sufficiency. Let f be weakly ω - I - c , $x \in X$ and V be an open set of Y containing $f(x)$. Since f is weakly ω - I - c there exists a ω -open neighbourhood U of x such that $f(U) \subseteq Cl^*(V)$. Since $\sigma \subseteq \sigma^*$, $f(U) \subseteq Cl^*(V) \subseteq Cl(V)$. Since (X, τ) is a regular space, there exists an open neighbourhood H of x such that $x \in H \subseteq ClH \subseteq U$. Then $f(ClH) \subseteq CIV$. Thus f is θ -continuous.

Corollary 2.2 Let (X, τ) be a regular space and (Y, σ, I) be a weakly FI^* -space. For a function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (a) f is θ -continuous;
- (b) f is weakly ω - I - c ;
- (c) f is weakly continuous.

Proof (a) \Rightarrow (b). This is shown in Theorem 2.7

(b) \Rightarrow (c). It is shown in Remark 3.1 of [9] that every weakly ω - I - c function is weakly continuous.

(c) \Rightarrow (b). Let $x \in X$ and V be any open neighbourhood of $f(x)$. Since f is weakly continuous, there exists a ω -open neighbourhood U of x such that $f(U) \subseteq CIV$. Since (Y, σ, I) is a weakly FI^* -space, by Theorem 2.6 we have $f(U) \subseteq Cl^*V$. This shows that f is weakly ω - I - c .

Theorem 2.8 Let (Y, σ, I) be a weakly FI^* -space. For a function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (a) f is weakly ω - I - c ;
- (b) f is weakly continuous;
- (c) $Cl(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl^*(V))$ for every $V \in \sigma$.

Proof (a) \Leftrightarrow (b). This follows from Remark 3.1 of 2.9 and the proof of (c) \Rightarrow (b) in Corollary 2.2.

(b) \Rightarrow (c). Let f be weakly continuous. By Theorem 4 of [7], $Cl(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl(V))$ for every open set V of Y . Since (Y, σ, I) is a weakly FI^* -space, by Theorem 2.6 we obtain $Cl(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl^*(V))$.

(c) \Rightarrow (b). Let V be any open set of Y . Since (Y, σ, I) is a weakly FI^* -space, $Cl^*(V) = Cl(V)$ and hence we have $Cl(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl(V))$. It follows from Theorem 7 of [8] that f is weakly continuous.

References

- [1] S. Fomin, Extension of topological spaces, Ann. of Math., 44 (1943), 471-480.
- [2] E. Hatir, A. Keskin and T. Noiri, A note on strong β - I -sets and strongly β - I -continuous functions, Acta Math. Hungar., 108 (2005), 87-94.
- [3] D. Jankovic and T. R. Hamlett, New topologies from old via ideals, Amer. Math Monthly, 97 (1990), 295-310.
- [4] V. Jeyanthi, V. R. Devi and D. Sivaraj, Weakly- I -continuous functions, Acta Math. Hungar., 113 (2006), 319-324.
- [5] K. Kuratowski, Topology, Vol. 1, Academic Press (New York, 1966).
- [6] N. Levine, A decomposition of continuity in topological spaces, Amer Math. Monthly., 68(1961), 44-46.
- [7] T. Noiri, On weakly continuous mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 46 (1974), 120-124.
- [8] D. A. Rose, Weak continuity and almost continuity, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 7 (1984), 311-318.
- [9] A. Vadivel and P. Revathi, On weakly ω - I -continuous functions in ideal topological spaces-submitted.

