Measurement of density, velocity and viscosity of glyphosate with water at various frequencies

Nabaparna Chakraborty, Priti, K.C. Juglan Department of Physics, School of Chemical Engineering and Physical Sciences, Lovely Professional University, Punjab.

Abstract

The Density(ρ), viscosity(η) and ultrasonic velocity(U) of glyphosate with water have been measured on different temperatures ranges from (303, 313, 323, 343) K for different concentrations (0.05%, 0.10%, 0.15%, 0.20%, 0.25%, 0.30%, 0.35%, & 0.40%) at 1MHz, 2MHz, 3MHz and 5MHz respectively. The specific gravity bottle, Ostwald's viscometer and quartz crystal interferometer were used to determine density (ρ), viscosity (η) and ultrasonic velocity (U) respectively. These experimental parameters are compared with each other at different frequencies.

Keywords: Glyphosate, effect of temperature, effect of concentration, effect of ultrasonic frequency, ultrasonic velocity, viscosity.

1. Introduction

Ultrasonic technique has provided an important approach to parameters for a binary or ternary solvent or pure solvent system. A significant number of studies have been carried out on this specific technique, but there is still a wide range of studies [1-11]. It teaches us about the formation of complex compounds. The knowledge of the structure of mixed solvent systems becomes an essential prerequisite to interpret and to understand the interaction patters between ions, ions-pairs and ionic aggregate and bulking solvent.

This study is fruitful for the understanding of macroscopic structure between solute- solute and solute-solvent interaction. It is used in a wide variety of reactions including, electrophilic substitution, nucleophilic substitution, oxidation and reduction. The nature and relative strength of the molecular interaction between the components of the liquid mixtures have been successfully investigated by the ultrasonic method [12-17].

Experimental

Measurements

To calculate velocity, ultrasonic velocity and viscosity at different concentration of dipeptides in DMSO. The basic parameters (density viscosity and ultrasonic velocity) will be calculated specific gravity bottle (10 ml) and Oswald viscometer respectively.

Ultrasonic velocity:

The ultrasonic interferometer is a device for determining the ultrasonic velocity in liquids with a large accuracy. The principle used for measuring the velocity (v), based on the exact calculation of wavelength in a medium. Then the wavelength is calculated as:

©	2019	JETIR	Januarv	2019.	Volume 6.	Issue 1
<u> </u>				,		

$\lambda = \frac{2d}{n}$	(1)
$U=f \times \lambda$	(2)

Density:

Density of liquid mixtures, pure liquid and solution can be calculated using relative measurement method. The density of liquid has measured by a bottle of 10ml specific gravity at different concentration. The bottle of specific gravity with liquid deep at a temperature precise water bath. The measured density is measured with a formula,

$$\rho_1 = \left(\frac{w_2}{w_1}\right) \rho_2 \tag{3}$$

Viscosity:

The viscosity of a mixture has measured by using an Ostwald's viscometer. Viscosity can be calculated by relation:

$$\eta_{2=}\eta_{1}(t_{2}/t_{1})(\rho_{2}/\rho_{1}) \tag{4}$$

Where, η_1 =viscosity of water, η_2 = experimental liquid's viscosity, t_1 = time of flow of the water, η_2 = experimental liquid's viscosity, t_2 = flow of experimental liquid, ρ_1 = Water's density, ρ_2 = experimental liquid's density.

3. Result and Discussions:

i.Different frequency by varying temperature

The density of a given solution increases with increase in concentration of Glyphosate in distilled water ¹⁸. Here density directly depends on the solute molecules. According to Kinetic theory of gases the volume is directly proportional to temperature. Also density is the ratio of mass to volume. Hence density is inversely proportional to temperature. So, at the same concentration the density decreases as the temperature increases from 303 K to 333 K respectively¹⁹⁻²². The density is independent on ultrasonic frequency as shown from table 1 to table 4.

Table 1:	Experimental	values of Density.	, viscosity a	and ultrasonic	velocity	with var	rying con	centration &
temperatu	re at 1 MHz							

Concentration (%)	Temperature (K)	Density ρ (kg/m ³)	Viscosity n (Ns/m ²)	Velocity u (m/s)
	303	1001.9674	0.816647	1418.9474
0.05	313	998.0499	0.614995	1417.8947
	323	993.5396	0.521285	1416.8421
	333	988.4258	0.410026	1415.7895
0.10	303	1007.7105	0.842973	1426.6316
	313	1002.9870	0.629521	1423.1579

	323	999.1206	0.529901	1422.1053
	333	994.4803	0.420319	1420.0000
	303	1011.9970	0.862258	1431.5789
0.15	313	1007.8827	0.642035	1430.5263
0.15	323	1003.9988	0.540108	1429.4737
	333	999.1324	0.429237	1427.3684
	303	1016.6997	0.884464	1437.8949
0.20	313	1012.5709	0.654507	1435.7895
0.20	323	1008.3809	0.548206	1434.7368
	333	1003.0435	0.449236	1432.6326
	303	1020.5285	0.902409	1442.1053
0.25	313	1016.8027	0.666768	1441.0526
0.23	323	1012.3496	0.555167	1440.0000
	333	1007.3662	0.459933	1438.9474
	303	1026.3132	0.925895	1446.5789
0.20	313	1021.4909	0.682601	1445.2632
0.50	323	1016.5664	0.564230	1444.2105
	333	1010.7009	0.466729	1443.1579
	303	1028.3132	0.947177	1451.5789
0.25	313	1024.2707	0.698318	1450.5263
0.55	323	1019.9976	0.571941	1449.4737
	333	1014.5297	0.482614	1447.3684
	303	1030.7246	0.969234	1458.9474
0.40	313	<mark>1026</mark> .4281	0.712609	1456.8421
0.40	323	<u>1021</u> .7753	0.582632	1454.7368
	333	1015.5177	0.491032	1452.6316

Table 2:Experimental values of Density, viscosity and ultrasonic velocity with varying concentration & temperature at 2 MHz

Concentration (%)	Temperature (K)	Density ρ (kg/m ³)	Viscosity η (Ns/m ²)	Velocity u (m/s)
	303	1001.9674	0.81665	1421.0526
0.05	313	998.0499	0.61500	1416.8422
0.03	323	993.5396	0.52129	1412.6316
	333	988.4258	0.41003	1408.4210
	303	1007.7105	0.84297	1435.7894
0.10	313	1002.9870	0.62952	1429.4736
0.10	323	999.1206	0.52990	1423.1578
	333	994.4803	0.42032	1418.9474
	303	1011.9970	0.86226	1450.5263
0.15	313	1007.8827	0.64204	1444.2106
0.15	323	1003.9988	0.54011	1435.7894
	333	999.1324	0.42924	1433.6842

0.20	303	1016.6997	0.88446	1465.2632
	313	1012.5709	0.65451	1463.1578
0.20	323	1008.3809	0.54821	1454.7368
	333	1003.0435	0.44924	1448.4210
	303	1020.5285	0.90241	1482.1052
0.05	313	1016.8027	0.66677	1475.7894
0.25	323	1012.3496	0.55517	1473.6842
	333	1007.3662	0.45993	1467.3684
	303	1026.3132	0.92590	1503.1578
0.20	313	1021.4909	0.68260	1496.8422
0.30	323	1016.5664	0.56423	1492.6316
	333	1010.7009	0.46673	1490.5264
	303	1028.3132	0.94718	1530.5264
0.25	313	1024.2707	0.69832	1522.1052
0.35	323	1019.9976	0.57194	1515.7894
	333	1014.5297	0.48261	1511.5790
	303	1030.7246	0.96923	1555.7896
0.40	313	1026.4281	0.71261	1551.5790
0.40	323	1021.7753	0.58263	1538.9474
	333	1015.5177	0.49103	1532.6316

Table 3: Experimental values of Density, viscosity and ultrasonic velocity with varying concentration & temperature at 3 MHz

Concentration (%)	Temperature (K)	Density ρ (kg/m ³)	Viscosity n (Ns/m ²)	Velocity u (m/s)
	303	1001.9674	0.816647	1424.2106
0.05	313	998.0499	0.614995	1417.8948
0.05	323	993.5396	0.521285	1408.4210
	333	988.4258	0.410026	1402.1052
	303	1007.7105	0.842973	1458.9474
0.10	313	1002.9870	0.629521	1452.6316
0.10	323	999.1206	0.529901	1446.3158
	333	994.4803	0.420319	1436.8422
	303	1011.9970	0.862258	1493.6842
0.15	313	1007.8827	0.642035	1487.3684
0.15	323	1003.9988	0.540108	1477.8948
	333	999.1324	0.429237	1468.4210
	303	1016.6997	0.884464	1518.9474
0.20	313	1012.5709	0.654507	1512.6316
0.20	323	1008.3809	0.548206	1503.1578
	333	1003.0435	0.449236	1496.8422
0.25	303	1020.5285	0.902409	1563.1578
0.25	313	1016.8027	0.666768	1556.8422

© 2019 JETIR January 2019, Volume 6, Issue 1

www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162)

	323	1012.3496	0.555167	1547.3684
	333	1007.3662	0.459933	1531.5750
	303	1026.3132	0.925895	1601.0526
0.20	313	1021.4909	0.682601	1591.5790
0.30	323	1016.5664	0.564230	1582.1052
	333	1010.7009	0.466729	1575.7894
	303	1028.3132	0.947177	1638.9474
0.25	313	1024.2707	0.698318	1632.6316
0.55	323	1019.9976	0.571941	1623.1578
	333	1014.5297	0.482614	1610.5264
	303	1030.7246	0.969234	1676.8422
0.40	313	1026.4281	0.712609	1667.3684
0.40	323	1021.7753	0.582632	1657.8948
	333	1015.5177	0.491032	1648.4210

Table 4: Experimental values of Density, viscosity and ultrasonic velocity with varying concentration &temperature at 5 MHz

Concentration (%)	Temperature (K)	Density p (kg/m ³)	Viscosity η (Ns/m ²)	Velocity u (m/s)
	303	1001.9674	0.816647	1473.6842
0.05	313	998.0499	0.614995	1463.1578
	323	<mark>993</mark> .5396	0.521285	1447.3684
	333	988.4258	0.410026	1426.3158
	303	<u>1007</u> .7105	0.842973	1526.3158
0.10	313	1002.9870	0.629521	1515.7894
0.10	323	999.1206	0.529901	1494.7368
	333	994.4803	0.420319	1478.9474
	303	1011.9970	0.862258	1573.6842
0.15	313	1007.8827	0.642035	1563.1578
0.15	323	1003.9988	0.540108	1547.3684
	333	999.1324	0.429237	1531.5790
	303	1016.6997	0.884464	1621.0526
0.00	313	1012.5709	0.654507	1605.2632
0.20	323	1008.3809	0.548206	1594.7368
	333	1003.0435	0.449236	1584.2106
	303	1020.5285	0.902409	1663.1578
0.05	313	1016.8027	0.666768	1652.6316
0.25	323	1012.3496	0.555167	1636.8422
	333	1007.3662	0.459933	1626.3158
	303	1026.3132	0.925895	1726.3158
0.20	313	1021.4909	0.682601	1710.5264
0.30	323	1016.5664	0.564230	1694.7368
	333	1010.7009	0.466729	1673.6842
0.25	303	1028.3132	0.947177	1773.6842
0.35	313	1024.2707	0.698318	1763.1578

© 2019 JETIR January 2019, Volume 6, Issue 1

www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162)

	323	1019.9976	0.571941	1747.3684
	333	1014.5297	0.482614	1731.5790
	303	1030.7246	0.969234	1815.7894
0.40	313	1026.4281	0.712609	1805.2632
0.40	323	1021.7753	0.582632	1794.7368
	333	1015.5177	0.491032	1778.9474

ii. Comparison between viscosities versus concentration at different frequency by varying temperature

The Viscosity is the property of fluid (liquid/gas) by virtue of which an internal frictional force comes into play when the fluid is in motion in the form of layers having relative motion²³. It opposes the relative motion of the different layers. Viscosity is also called as fluid friction or viscous drag of fluid. The increase in viscosity indicates strong intermolecular force. The viscosity of the given solution increases with increase in concentration of Glyphosate in distilled water due to strong intermolecular force present between solute and solvent ^{24, 25}. But as the temperature increases from 303 K to 333 K the viscosity decreases due to weak intermolecular force ²⁶. The viscosity is independent on ultrasonic frequency as shown from table 1 to table 4.

iii.Comparison between ultrasonic velocities versus concentration at different frequency by varying temperature

According to Jacobson's intermolecular free length the molecules of liquid are assumed to be spherical and the average value of the distance in which the ultrasonic waves travel between two molecules is called the intermolecular free path length. As the intermolecular free length decreases the ultrasonic velocity increases that indicates the presence of solute and solvent interaction. With increase in concentration large numbers of molecules are available that transfer the energy to the neighboring molecules resulting an increase in ultrasonic velocity^{27, 28}. At the same concentration the ultrasonic velocity decreases with increase in temperature²⁹. With the rise in ultrasonic frequency the ultrasonic velocity increases as shown from table 1 to table 4..

4. Conclusion

The investigated solution consisting of Glyphosate as solute with water as solvent was choosen in order to obtain information about the molecular interaction between their constituiting particles. The density, viscosity and ultrasonic velocity of glyphosate-water increases with increase in concentration but decreases with increase in temperature. The increase in velocity with concentration suggests increase in cohesive forces of solvent molecules. The decrease of density and viscosity with temperature supports decrease in cohesive forces and thereby decrease in intermolecular free length. Also the density and viscosity of glyphosate-water is independent on frequency but ultrasonic velocity directly depends on frequency. The interaction between glyphosate-water decreases with increase in frequency.

5. References

1.Yadava, S.S."Excess molar volume of binary mixtures 4-Methyl- 2-Pentanone and some hydrocarbons" Monatshefte Fur Chemixe (**1995**),126,529-233.

2.Yadava,S.S & Yadava, A. "Ultrasonic behaviour of liquid mixtures of 1-Bromopropane with Cylohexane and Benzene",Indian Journal of Pure & Applied Physics (**2004**), 42,338-340.

3. Nikam P.S. Hasan, M. Sawant, A. B. & Pawar, T.B. "Ultrasonic velocity of allied parameters of symmetrical tetraalkylammonium in aqueous ethanol at 298.15K". Indian Journal of Pure & Applied Physics, (2004), 42, 172-178.

4. K. & Strugal, A. "Acoustic and thermodynamic property of binary liquid mixtures of 2-Methyl – 1-Propanol in Hexane and Cyclohexane at 293.15K", Molecular and Quantum Acoustics, (**2006**), 27, 337-342.

5.Regmi,S."Study and estimation of temperature dependent physical parameters of Poly(vinylidene Flouride) and poly-(1,4-Butylene adipate) dissolved in N,N-Dimethyl formamide",Journal of science,engineering and technology(**2007**),1(3)

6.Kannappan, A.N., Kesavasamy, R. & Ponnuswamy, V. "Molecular interaction studies of H-bonded complexes of benzamide in 1,4-Dioxan with alcohols from acoustic and thermodynamic parameters", American Journal of Engineering & Applied Sciences, (2008),1(2),95-99.

7.Kumar, R., Jaya Kumar, S. & Kannappan, V." Study of molecular interaction in binary liquid mixtures", Indian Journal of pure & Applied Physics, (**2008**), 46,169-175.

8.Selvakumar, M. Krishna "Molecular interactions of Polymethylmethacrylate and Poly (Ethylene Glycol) solution in Tetrahydrofuran", Indian Journal of Pure & applied Physics, (**2008**), 46, 712-718.

9.K. Balamurugan, N. Shanmugam, R. Palanivel "Evaluation of Thermodynamic Parameters of conduction polymer in solutions using ultrasonic interferrometric technique" Recent Research in Science & Technology (2009), 1(6):291-293. ISSN: 2076-5061

10. Nath, G., Sahu, S. & Paikaray, R. "Effect of frequency on acoustic parameters in a binary mixtures of polar liquids", Indian journal of Physics, (**2009**), 83(11),1567-1574

11. S. Thirumaran & K Job Sabu, "Ultrasonic investigation of amino acids in aqueous sodium acetate medium", Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Physics (**2009**), Vol.47, pp. 87-96.

12. A. N. Sonar and N.S. Pawar, Acoustics and Volumetric properties of Digoxin and Thiabendazole in 1-4 Dioxane at 303 K", E-Journal of chemistry, (**2010**), 7(3),789-794.

13. C. Shanmuga Priya, S. Nithya, G. Velraj, A. N. Kanappan, "Molecular interactions studied in liquid mixtures using ultrasonic technique", International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology (2010), Vol.18

14. Deosarkar, S.D. & Narwade, M.L. "Ultrasonic and viscometric behaviour of 5-(2- hydroxyphenyl)-3-(3- nitrophenyl)-4-(2-furoyl) pyrazol with dioxane –water and acetone-water mixture at 303.15K", Rasayan Journal of Chemistry, (2010),3 (1), 55-59.

15. Pankaj K. Singh, "Investigation of Acoustical Parameters of Polyvinyl Acetate" Applied Physics Research (2010), Vol2, No. 1.

16. Patil. R., Deshpande, U.G. & Hiray, A.R "Molecular interaction studies of binary liquid mixtures of 1-Hexanol and 1-Heptanol with nitrobenzene as a common solvent", Rasayan Journal of Chemistry,(2010), 3(1), 66-73

17. Richa Saxena & S. C. Bhatt, "Molecular Interactions in binary Mixtures of polymethylmethacrylate with acetic acid", (2010) Vol.2 No.2

18. Shinde, B. R. & K.M. Jadhav. "Ultrasonic investigations of molecular interactions in aqueous electrolytic solutions at varying temperatures". Journal of Engineering Research & Studies, (**2010**), 1 (1), 128-137.

19. Singh, P.K & Bhatt, S.C. "Investigation of Acoustical Parameters of Polyvinyl Acetate", Applied Physics Research, (2010) 2, 35-45.

20. Ravichandran, S. & Ramanathan, K. K. "Molecular interactions and excess thermodynamic properties of mixed solutions of Zinc Sulphate and Zinc Nitrate at 303K by ultrasonic method", International Journal of Applied Biology & Pharmaceutical Technology (**2010**),1 (2).

21. O.P. Chimankar, Ranjeeta S. Shriwas, Prachi S. Chopade and V. A. Tabhane, "Interionic association in glycylglycine in aqueous solution of NaCl", Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, (2011)3(3):579-586.

22. Rose Venis & Rosario Rajkumar. "Densities & Ultrasonic velocities in ternary liquid mixtures of anisole with cyclohexane & 1-hexanol at 308.15 K & 318.15 K", J. Chem. Pharm. Res., (2011), 3(2):878-885.

23. R. Palani, S. Balakrishnan & G. Arumugam. "Ultrasonic Studies of Amino Acids in aqueous sucrose solution at different temperatures". Journal of Physical Sciences, (2011), Vol.22 (1), 131-141.

24. Thirumaran S. & Sathish K., "Molecular Interionic Interaction studies of some divalent transition metal sulphates inaqueous ethylene glycol at different temperatures", Research Journal of chemical Sciences (2011), Vol.1(8), 63-71.

25. E. Jasmine Vasantha Rani, K. Kannagi, R. Padmavathy & N. Radha "Acoustic and Spectroscopic Study of L-Arginine Derivative in Non-Aqueous Medium", Journal of basic and applied physics (**2012**), Vol. 1 Iss.3, PP.96-101.

26. M. Thirunavukkarsu & N. Kanagathara, "Ultrasonic studies on Non-aqueous solutions of Toluene in carbon tetra-chloride", International Journal of Chem. Tech. Research (**2012**), Vol.4, No.1, pp 459-463. ISSN: 0974-4290.

27. B. Kaur & K. C. Juglan, "Acoustic parameter investigation of polyvinyl acetate with acetic acid using the ultrasonic technique", Journal of polymer engineering (**2013**), volume-33 issue-9.

28. M. P. Wadekar ,"Thermo acoustical molecular interaction study of azomethine and its Fe(III) metal complex using ultrasonic technique", Journal of chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, (**2013**),5(8):37-41.

29. Manoj Ku. Praharaj, Abhiram Satapathy, Prativarani Mishra and Sarmishtha Mishra, "Ultrasonic analysis of intermolecular interaction in the mixtures of benzene with N,N-dimethylformaide and cyclohexane at different temperatures". Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, (**2013**), 5(1):49-56.