A Literature Survey on Leadership

Anu Bala, Department of Computer Science Engineering
Galgotias University, Yamuna Expressway Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh
E-mail id - anumangla90@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: analysis discusses recent theoretical and methodological advances in the literature on management, beginning with current research, theory and practice problems. They then investigate genuine leadership and its creation and then research that requires a theoretical approach to science. They then research ideas of new gender leadership, conflict management and mutual, collaborative or delegated leadership. Our analysis of leader interactions and the changing follow-up research explores the position of ties. Ultimately, our research on leadership alternatives, culture, faith and leadership, cross cultural leadership and e-leadership is discussed. This system has the benefit of creating a potential objective and a fascinating way of analysing the field's growth. In addition to the integration of the literature at the end of this article, each section ends with an identification of issues to be addressed in future.

Keywords: Authentic leadership, cognitive leadership, complexity leadership, cross-cultural leadership, new-genre leadership, shared leadership

INTRODUCTION

One of our aims is to investigate how leadership is changing and how it is progressing along its evolutionary path through models, strategies and communities [1]. At the beginning of the administration, for example, it was mainly the analysis of an actual figure, who was probably a man who served in a major American private sector organisation. Today, the leadership is not only based on the leader, but rather on followers, peers, supervisors, the nature of work, and culture, and involves a far broader variety of individuals spanning the full spectrum of divergent, public, private and non-profit organisations and increasingly, samples from communities from nations all over the world over the past 20 years [2]. Leadership is no longer defined as a personal attribute or differentiation but is viewed as dyadic, collaborative, emotional, political, regional and complex social dyadic in various models (Avolio 2007, Yukl 2006) [3].

Our analysis of how leadership progresses by addressing significant research fields, some of which are less comprehensive than others, and which are existing foundations in leadership science [4]. They stress the current status of each specific field of enquiry and address what they learn, what we do not know and what remains interesting research possibilities. In terms of the advancement in philosophy, study and experience through critique and limitations of theory, models, and processes, if applicable, we are concentrating on the current condition of these respective areas of consideration of our space constraints. In this article, we offer some suggestions for principles that leadership science should adopt, and discuss possible consequences for leadership practice [5].

In the last 100 years, we cannot imagine the time for leadership studies to be more convenient. The biggest question we have to ask is how do we think, and what should we understand about leaders and leadership? Never have leadership been granted so much publicity before? We begin to address these issues not through early leadership work, but rather with a focus on the field's latest developments. Instead of looking at the leading material covered in recent reviews (Gelfand et al. 2007, Goethals 2005) or proposing a comprehensive background analysis of the subject, we discuss certain areas that have arisen from the work at hand that have more to do with the Leadership Handbook (Bass & Bass 2008; see also Yukl & Van Fleet 1992) [6].

OVERVIEW OF AUTHENTIC LEADERSHIP

One of the new pillars of leadership interest is the development of authentic leadership. As dis-cussed in a special issue [edited by Avolio & Gardner (2005)] of the Leadership Quarterly on this topic and in an earlier theoretical piece by Luthans & Avolio (2003), the advent of work on authentic leadership de-elopement came as a result of writings on transformational leadership, in which authors such as Bass & Steidlmeier (1999) suggest that there are pseudo versus authentic transformational leaders.

The concept of true management growth in the literature has also been presented by Luthans & Avolio (2003) with the goal of combining (Luthans 2002) a successful corporate behaviour with Avolio's life-span leadership development research (1999). Their primary purpose was to explore what comprises true leadership, including what served to improve competence and performance, as well as to identify a few recent positive therapeutic studies as a framework on which to analyze whether growth can be improved. Luthans and Avolio argued that they could provide a more positive way of conceptualizing leadership development with the aid of some of theoretical work in positive psychology such as Fredrickson's 2001 expanded and built theory. According to Fredrickson, individuals with more constructive psycho-logical tools are expected to grow more successfully or to develop and create more staff. Luthans and Avolio say that the previous leadership development work was largely based on a strategy to reduce the deficit, in which you learned what's wrong with a leader and then tried to fix deficits by working on the creation of a leader (see also Avolio and Luthans 2006) [7].

Authentic Leadership Defined

First and foremost, the concept of authenticity has been around for a long time, as reflected in many philosophical discussions of what constitutes authenticity (Harter et al. 2002). George (2003) popularized authentic leadership in the general practice community when he published his book on the topic, as did Luthans & Avolio (2003) for the academic community. Luthans & Avolio (2003, p. 243) defined authentic leadership as a process which builds on a highly developed organizational context and a positive psychological capability which leads to greater self-confidence and self-regulated positive conduct on the part of leadership and partners, thus fostering positive self-development. . "This interpretation and the subsequent work on meaningful leadership was first described as a multi-level one in that the representative, the supporter and the meaning were included in the conceptualization and evaluation quite precisely. This answered a traditional critique for the management literature revived by Yammarino, and others (2005, p. 10), which stated that "relatively few research have discussed the level of analysis of philosophy, calculation, data analysis, and conclusion drawing adequately, in any of the areas of leadership re-search [8]."

Simultaneous with the first Luthans & Avolio definition of genuine leadership, many scholars (e.g. Cooper et al. 2005, Sparrowe 2005) expressed concern. Notwithstanding the initial philosophical divergences, four elements surrounding the genuine leadership seem to be widely accepted in the literature: healthy thinking, internalized values, clarity regarding interactions and self-awareness. Balanced treatment involves

objective analysis before making a decision of the relevant data. Internalized morality relates to the interpretation of internal principles that are used to auto-regulate one's behaviour. Relational openness means showing one's self and sharing information and emotions freely according to circumstances (i.e. preventing unsuitable emotional displays). Self-awareness is a revealed incomprehension of one's abilities, shortcomings, and how the world is made real. The Walumbwa and col-leagues (2008) have established these four frameworks operationally further. A multifaceted approach between the United States and non-US revealed original data by Walumbwa et al. (2008). The viability of the building for a new range of true leadership scales is calculated by particles.

Future Focus Required

Research is being carried out in very early stages to identify and calculate cynical leadership. Future research will need to provide additional evidence of the institutional viability of this measure or other measures to demonstrate how accurate management in its nomologic network applies to other systems. This would include structures like moral perspective, certainty for oneself, good-being, morality and judgement. This would include Furthermore, it must be analyzed how the true leadership of contexts and cults is perceived and whether it is a typically pre-written constructive core model, so that it forms the basis of good leadership without any sort of motivation, e.g. participatory. In the following section, we concentrate on honest leadership, the second major focus of which is the word growth.

Authentic Leadership Development

Until very recently one would find it difficult to find a general concept of leadership (Luthans & Avolio 2003) in management literature. The evidence-based construction of leading ships is even more difficult to find. In fact, what do leadership and leadership have to be built for one or more specific leadership theories? This issue culminated in a concerted effort to examine whether leaders were born or made and whether leader strategies were successful.

Cognitive Psychology and Leadership

Literature is a theoretical and philosophy area within cognitive science culture, and includes a variety of strategies, which are unified by their emphasis on the way members and followers perceive and function in-form. This literature encompasses a vast variety of subjects such as self-concept theory, meta-knowledge and implicitary philosophy of management (e.g. Lord & Emrich 2000). The effort to establish leadership learning structures was one of the more recent developments in literature. Lord & Hall (2005) created a leadership model, which outlined the technical abilities or capabilities of the leader. Mumford et al. (2003) established a second model and investigated the importance of joint thought to the leading imagination. These methods show how leadership beliefs differ, with the former emphasis on the behaviors of each member and the latter focusing on relationships between people (Mumford et al. 2007).

Emerging Cognitive Constructs

A new research on the nature of the self-concept and the substance has contrasted between it (Altrocchi 1999). The material applies both to self-evaluations and to self-conceptions. The framework relates to methods of production for the self-concept information. In a study on the structure of the self-concept, Campbell et al. (2003) examined the competing arguments that one benefits from having either unity in self-concept or pluralism. Although the literature tends to treat the two as opposite ends of a continuum, their study showed they are not necessarily related to each other. Lord & Brown (2001) proposed a model that explores two different ways in which leaders can affect their way of conducting their followers in terms of their inspiration for actions/comfortability. The first method concerns values (e.g. performance) and em-phases that make it important for the follower to encourage specific values.

The leadership work into the education of social identity has also focused extensively on the prototypical aspects, which have shown that members who are exceptional of communities of which they participate or wish to join may be more attracted [9].

Future Focus Required

A variety of literatures mentioned above are the origins of cognitive approaches to leadership inquiry. This large study source will strengthen current leadership ideas in order to explain how members and followers take decisions, organize, and improve them. Additional work connecting self-concept and meta-cognitive theory to leadership study would definitely help us understand how leaders and followers ultimately grow. When a leader, for example, has a little certainty for himself, how much can we trust the leader to learn himself*?*

Substitutes for Leadership

Situational factors are used to improve, neutralize and/or completely substitute leadership for replacement-for-leadership theory. For example, an EBR entity, such as a group decision-maker, may behave as if there was a participatory member who was the group leader, but in reality, leadership is the product of the operational regulations for the use of the program. After this hypothesis was first proposed, a large range of inquiries have been undertaken to decide whether the success arrangements are substituted by leadership. Some scholars also argued that there is inadequate evidence to support core hypothesis theories (Dionne et al. 2002, Keller 2006) [10].

REFERENCES

[1]   M. Neuman, "Leadership," in *The Routledge Handbook of International Planning Education*, 2019.

[2]   Leadership, "Strategy & Leadership," *Iss Strateg. & Leadersh.*, 2010, doi: 10.1108/SL-06-2015-0054.

[3]   R. L. Ackoff, "Transformational leadership," *Strategy & Leadership*. 1999, doi: 10.1108/eb054626.

[4]   H. Tohidi and M. M. Jabbari, "Organizational culture and leadership," in *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.12.156.

[5]   L. Educators, "of Leadership Education," *Education*, 2010.

[6]   L. Educators, "Journal of Leadership Education," *J. Leadersh. Educ.*, 2009.

[7]   S. J. Zaccaro, A. L. Rittman, and M. A. Marks, "Team leadership," *Leadersh. Q.*, 2001, doi: 10.1016/S1048-9843(01)00093-5.

[8]   M. E. Brown, L. K. Treviño, and D. A. Harrison, "Ethical leadership: A social learning perspective for construct development and testing," *Organ. Behav. Hum. Decis. Process.*, 2005, doi: 10.1016/j.obhdp.2005.03.002.

[9]   A. Gregory Stone, R. F. Russell, and K. Patterson, "Transformational versus servant leadership: A difference in leader focus," *Leadersh. Organ. Dev. J.*, 2004, doi: 10.1108/01437730410538671.

[10]   N. O. Keohane, "On leadership," *Perspect. Polit.*, 2005, doi: 10.1017/S1537592705050395.