

# The Significance of Ethics in Business

Geetika Puri, Department of Management,  
Galgotias University, Yamuna Expressway Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh  
Email ID: geetika.puri@Galgotiasuniversity.edu.in

**ABSTRACT:** *The field of business ethics has been involved for many decades, but a widely accepted ethical perspective on the discipline has yet to be established. Academics also built valuable science-based models of business fields that describe why business people act ethically but without a widely agreed concept of ethical behavior. Moral philosophy academics have sought to articulate what they think ethical practice is, but many tend to neglect or reject the simple business mission. The aim of this article is to provide a viewpoint on business ethics that accommodates the business mission. This goal is done by evaluating the ethics mission of applied fields such as business and integrating it into the business mission of capitalist societies.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Ethical, Philosophy, Belief, Moral standards, Corporate Ethics, Business mission, Guidelines.*

## INTRODUCTION

The business ethics area has been active for many decades, but a widely accepted body of knowledge or an applied ethical perspective for the discipline has yet to be established. Valuable contributions from business scientists focused on ethical success research and valuable contributions from scholars focusing on moral philosophy without a real link between the two are what remain. Academics in management disciplines have developed valuable science-based theories of how and when business people treat themselves ethically or unethically using personal perceptions of what "ethical" entails [1]. Alternatively, scholars of moral philosophy have tried to articulate what they think is and is not ethical practice in industry, but many tend to neglect or disregard the underlying mission of business. These empirically grounded models lack a widely accepted concept of "ethical." The aim of this article is to present one methodology with input from both fields that can be adopted and adapted to their practice by business academics and business practitioners.

There is a long tradition in philosophy of separating the analytical "is" of science from the prescriptive "wanted" of moral philosophy [2]. Moral theory essentially prohibits the application of scientific "facts" to the concept of ethical circumstances. So reasoning is used to "solve" the ethical situation, usually based on a certain philosophical belief. A reference to this topic is a 1994 discussion of the relationship between the scientific side of business and its moral ethics. There were some significant papers on the subject in the April issue of the Business Ethics Quarterly for that year. One of Weaver and Trevino's papers identified "three conceptions" of the normative/positive relation in business ethics. In another of these posts, Tom Donaldson listed a choice from the Weaver and Trevino research for the "symbiosis" conception. We must realize that research in business ethics, or in any area of applied ethics, requires both normative and empirical insight, even if we fail to adulterate each's distinctive logic.' The symbiotic connections suggested by Donaldson and defined by Weaver and Trevino between moral philosophical approaches and business approaches are likely to provide only limited help in guiding practical business behavior.

## THE DUTY OF ETHICS

The notion that ethics has a meaning is not recent. Philosophers such as Aristotle, Mill, Hobbes, and Warnock in the twentieth century claim that the object of ethics is to have an atmosphere that encourages people to live a healthier, more structured life. In the scientific universe that remains, ethics cannot make people's lives better, but ethics can avoid such damage or minimize the sum of it [3]. What business ethics practice should and must do is offer an approach to improving the lives of stakeholders who live in an incomplete, and often dangerous, globe of business. Ethics, at least in part, is also described as acting to avoid serious damage to

others when a person or community has the potential to do so for their own gain[4]. Velasquez puts it this way, "Moral standards deal with issues that we believe can seriously harm or benefit human beings severely." Beauchamp says, "Moral judgments work to condemn human plans or activities that make things go badly; and morality works to restrict selfish behavior that harms others."

By design, stakeholders serve the groups most vulnerable to future market gain or harm. Stakeholders include workers, clients, other supply-chain firms, stockholders, and communities in which the company works for the purpose of this report. Company is actively influenced by all parties, and all are applicants for future gain and/or harm. Managers are historically expected to act as stockholders' subordinates, and the mission of stockholders may be called a business mission, which is why they are considered a special category. The relation of human life to the life of animals in the jungle, where reasoned ethics does not exist, is a helpful example for explaining the mission of ethics[5]. With the superior intellect of people, the likelihood of misuse of authority is far more of a concern than the everyday life of an animal in the jungle. The goal of ethics, then, is to strengthen the abusive use of authority and reduce the detrimental effect of opportunities on people's daily lives.

Realistically, a fitting measure for corporate ethics is given by enhancing the human situation of stakeholders within the naturally existing "human jungle." What will an ethical society do to help build an atmosphere for its people's lives to be improved? Such a society would undoubtedly want a successful and productive economic structure to be developed[6]. To promote a well-structured, happy life for the people of that country, a mechanism that provides the potential for greater economic welfare is very necessary. It is obviously not the only thing that an ethical society can do, but for corporate and business ethics, it is one aspect of special significance. However, as few, if any, human social processes operate perfectly, an ethical society will also want to mitigate the violations of the preferred "imperfect" economic structure, while also seeking to retain that system's innovation, efficiency, and effectiveness. This culture will also require businesses working in the structure to behave in a way that minimizes the abusive use of control and provides their customers with the detrimental effects of chance in a responsible way.

### **IN CORPORATE ETHICS, A SUGGESTED DEFINITION FOR "ETHICS"**

Because ethics is defined in terms of harm or possible harm to a person or community, the persons most likely to be affected by business are defined as stakeholders, and stakeholders operate in free trade with business, the ethics of commerce between these groups should be explored as a suitable approach to defining "ethics" in business ethics[7]. Both the business mission and the ethics mission should meet the criteria for creating and sustaining an ethical exchange between business and its customers, as well as work into the usual standards of society. Stakeholders and companies must both strive to have free option, but it is not appropriate to manipulate stakeholder vulnerabilities. What is the "ethics of exchange" between non-coerced participants? Any support from philosophy can be derived here.

Aristotle addresses the principle of trade within the care of his virtue of justice in his *Nicomachean Ethics*. The method of Aristotle is included in an essay entitled "Toward an Ethic of Exchange" by Koehn. In it, she notes "that the correctly understood practice of exchange reveals itself to be inherently, ethically good." Aristotle's claims attach the ethics of commerce to the ideals of equity and justice that are intuitively and philosophically pleasing. The assessment by Kohen offers some support for the use of trade ethics as a framework for business ethics[8]. It is worth noting, though, that all exchanges are not ethical. Examples of immoral exchanges are child pornography and slave trafficking. Such transactions can and do restrict cautious communities. In addition, company works at the will of society and, in order to survive, must obey its dictates. The freedom of choice in most exchange relationships should, however, be maintained.

Unfortunately, the zero-sum reasoning of Aristotle seen in his theories of proportionality is inadequate for market exchanges where there are naturally positive-sum consequences and distinct opinions on valuation by members. Immanuel Kant comes from another useful term. Borrowing from Kant, in exchange for attempts at justice and simple regard for humans, two aspects appear to satisfy the criteria for ethics. These two principles are used by Kant as central to the sense of "being ethical." These Kantian theories, however, are suggested for use without his formula-based approach. In addition, Kant insists that people follow ethical prescriptions because his categorical imperative is their responsibility to do so. Company share partnerships with stakeholders, though, rely on hypothetical imperatives if you like "B" you have to do "A". The ethical prescriptions presented in this article focus on making the usual hypothetical imperatives more ethical for stakeholders. Just the core principles of justice and consideration are also borrowed from Kant.

To resolve the existing ethical concerns most debated in business ethics literature, the principles of justice and consideration in trade agreements should be used. The following list of topics in stakeholder partnerships with business was captured using the table of contents from many "textbooks "on business ethics and business and society[9]. Top management has a special fiduciary partnership that goes from principal to agent of stockholders. In terms of fairness and respect for stockholders, cases of CEO and top management excesses are quickly analyzed. In terms of fairness and respect in trade, problems relating to goods, ads, personal purchases, and consumer protection may also be assessed for consumers. Fairness and respect in return should be used by employers to determine problems such as harassment, advancement, due process, work at will, and protection of jobs. It is easy to evaluate relationship building between providers and societies using honesty and value in return[10]. Finally, using justice and consideration in return, certain environmental problems may be measured. In the daily flow of business, businesses should make accommodations for the climate because doing so adds to business productivity.

## CONCLUSION

Academics have built useful science-based frameworks in the business sciences to clarify the conduct of business ethics, but have done so without a common concept of "ethical." The purpose of this paper is to include a definition that may be acceptable to both business academics and business practitioners. It is understood that it is possible to provide other meanings and that they should be welcomed. To guide the suggested meaning, the methodology presented in this article borrows ideas from the literature of moral philosophy, but accepts that the realistic needs of industry must constrain such principles. The criteria of businesses working in a capitalist system are considered to be these requires. Among industrially advanced nations, capitalism is pervasive because it fulfills the economic mandate most efficiently and effectively. Then, the goal of corporate ethics is to make the practice of capitalism more ethical. The definition suggested to accomplish that purpose is that, in their naturally occurring exchanges with company, stakeholders should be regarded with dignity and reverence. The outcome should be an applied meaning of corporate ethics that gives realistic guidance to business managers and business academics about how to accomplish their aims both ethically and economically, when other methods are formed and coupled with this concept, and where they are thoroughly evaluated and criticized.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Dierksmeier and P. Seele, "Cryptocurrencies and Business Ethics," *J. Bus. Ethics*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10551-016-3298-0.
- [2] G. Enderle, "Exploring and Conceptualizing International Business Ethics," *Journal of Business Ethics*. 2015, doi: 10.1007/s10551-014-2182-z.

- [3] A. Theaker, "Business ethics, public relations and corporate social responsibility," in *The Public Relations Handbook*, 2020.
- [4] J. M. T. Balmer, S. M. Powell, and S. A. Greyser, "Explicating Ethical Corporate Marketing. Insights from the BP Deepwater Horizon Catastrophe: The Ethical Brand that Exploded and then Imploded," *J. Bus. Ethics*, 2011, doi: 10.1007/s10551-011-0902-1.
- [5] P. K. Turyakira, "Ethical practices of small and medium-sized enterprises in developing countries: Literature analysis," *South African J. Econ. Manag. Sci.*, 2018, doi: 10.4102/sajems.v21i1.1756.
- [6] B. Jackling, B. J. Cooper, P. Leung, and S. Dellaportas, "Professional accounting bodies' perceptions of ethical issues, causes of ethical failure and ethics education," *Manag. Audit. J.*, 2007, doi: 10.1108/02686900710829426.
- [7] M. Kaptein, "Ethics programs and Ethical culture: A next step in unraveling their multi-faceted relationship," *J. Bus. Ethics*, 2009, doi: 10.1007/s10551-008-9998-3.
- [8] C. Nakano, "The Significance and Limitations of Corporate Governance from the Perspective of Business Ethics: Towards the Creation of an Ethical Organizational Culture," *Asian Bus. Manag.*, 2007, doi: 10.1057/palgrave.abm.9200216.
- [9] W. Smith and M. Higgins, "Cause-Related Marketing: Ethics and the Ecstatic," *Bus. Soc.*, 2000, doi: 10.1177/000765030003900304.
- [10] M. J. McNamee and S. Fleming, "Ethics audits and corporate governance: The case of public sector sports organizations," *J. Bus. Ethics*, 2007, doi: 10.1007/s10551-006-9216-0.
- Usha Yadav, Gagandeep Singh Narula, Neelam Duhan, Vishal Jain, "Ontology Engineering and Development Aspects: A Survey", *International Journal of Education and Management Engineering (IJEME)*, Hongkong, Vol. 6, No. 3, May 2016, page no. 9 – 19 having ISSN No. 2305-3623.
  - Vishal Assija, Anupam Baliyan and Vishal Jain, "Effective & Efficient Digital Advertisement Algorithms", *CSI-2015; 50th Golden Jubilee Annual Convention on "Digital Life"*, held on 02nd to 05th December, 2015 at New Delhi, published by the Springer under *ICT Based Innovations, Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing* having ISBN 978-981-10-6602-3 from page no. 83 to 91.
  - Vishal Jain and Dr. S. V. A. V. Prasad, "Analysis of RDBMS and Semantic Web Search in University System", *International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Emerging Technologies (IJESSET)*, Volume 7, Issue 2, October 2014, page no. 604-621 having ISSN No. 2231-6604.
  - Ashutosh Gupta, Bhoopesh Bhati and Vishal Jain, "Artificial Intrusion Detection Techniques: A Survey", *International Journal of Computer Network and Information Security (IJCNIS)*, Hongkong, Vol. 6, No. 9, September 2014, having ISSN No. 2074-9104.
  - Khaleel Ahmad, Muneera Fathima, Vishal Jain, Afrah Fathima, "FUZZY-Prophet: A Novel Routing Protocol for Opportunistic Network", *International Journal of Information Technology (BJIT)*, Vol. 9 No. 2, Issue 18, June, 2017, page no. 121-127 having ISSN No. 2511-2104.
  - Prachi Dewal, Gagandeep Singh Narula and Vishal Jain, "A Survey of Intrusion Detection Systems and Secure Routing Protocols in Wireless Sensor Networks", *International Journal For Research in Emerging Science and Technology*, Vol. 3, No. 1, January, 2016, page no. 16 - 20 having ISSN No. 2349-7610