

# The Law of Adultery in India

Faisal Ali Khan, Department Of Law  
Galgotias University, Yamuna Expressway Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh  
E-mail id - fakmoon@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT:** *Punishment for adultery is issued under Section 497 of the Indian Penal Code. Adultery is a husband's right-hand invasion of his partner. It is a crime against the sacredness of the marital home and an offence committed by an individual. It is an act that is anti-social and unconstitutional. The Supreme Court has previously held that it cannot be claimed that any constitutional clause is infringed in describing the crime of adultery so as to limit the class of criminal to persons. The higher judiciary's earlier stance was that section 497 of the IPC was not in violation of Article 21. The Supreme Court recently ruled the 150-year-old adultery statute invalid, which treats the husband as the master. The rule of adultery is arbitrary and it offends a woman's integrity, it also lays down that there is no offence when the man consents to establish affairs beyond the wedlock. The Court of Justice claims that the husband is not the wife's lord. IPC Section 497 is completely and manifestly unreasonable and unfair since it allows the husband a license to deal with the woman, since he wants it to be incredibly unnecessary and disproportionate.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Adultery; India; Violence; Wedlock.*

## INTRODUCTION

Under the statute, adultery is characterized as a consensual sexual correlation between two persons who are not married to each other and one or both are married to another person. In various countries, the exact concept of adultery can vary, but the general theme is sexual intercourse outside marriage. Adultery, also known as unfaithfulness or an extra-marital affair, is certainly a religious offence and nearly all religions think of it as a sin[1].

The evolutionary creation of family structures in India depicts patriarchal trends, with few exceptions from tribal cultures, and hence the allowable marital partnership prescribes strict prohibitions on the sexual conduct of married couples, especially women. Many incidences represent the reflection of certain normative trends of sexual practices. The establishment of acceptable sexual partnership involves social punishment and social acceptance was only granted to monogamy, polygamy, polyandry forms of sexual intercourse[1].

Nevertheless, traditions such as "keep", "slave keeping", "muta marriage" have also been used as a practice in few cultures. Thus, in the history of adultery, one general, if not universal, feeling has been found that in any type of culture there are forbidden norms in one or the other form[2].

It should be remembered that adultery is committed on the grounds of various forms of criminal activity rather than the offence alluded to in the penal laws. Adultery has little significant effects on society or, as in other cases of crime such as homicide, dacoit, robbery, grievous harm, general tranquillity, slander, rape, etc., does not pose a danger to the stable life of society. The thing about the sentence for adultery is close[2].

A bare reading of Section 497 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, reveals that it punishes the offense of adultery committed without her husband's permission or connivance with a married woman. The key aspect of this crime is that the male perpetrator alone has been made responsible. This crime is committed against a husband in respect of his wife by a third party. Where there is an act of sexual intercourse between a married man and a single woman, or with a widow, or with a married woman whose husband consents to it, the crime shall not be found to have been committed. Under this clause, it is not mandatory for the perpetrator to know whose wife the woman is, but he must know that she was a married woman[2].

This paper's main purpose is to examine the gender neutrality of the 1860 Indian Penal Code, section 497, which deals with adultery. Adultery in India is a criminal act committed against a husband in favour of his wife by a third party and for which a man alone may be found responsible for the crime. There is no enforcement of the rule of adultery against a woman. The first section of the paper deals with the actual legal status of adultery in India and the basic components of the crime[3].

The second section of the article deals with the standing of the Supreme Court on the law's constitutional value on the grounds of separate cases. The third part of the article criticizes the present law and decisions of the Honourable Supreme Court and questions the procedural legitimacy of the provision on the basis that,

pursuant to Article 14 of the Indian Constitution, it violates the fundamental rights of an individual and that section also does not fall within the meaning of the saving clause pursuant to Article 15(3) of the Indian Constitution[4].

The fourth section of the report mentions the improvements proposed by the different commissions. The fifth section of the paper examines the legal provisions of other countries and would examine whether adultery should be criminalized in India, taking into account India's socio-economic history. The article concludes that there has been a big shift in culture, the legislation as it stands today not only contradicts the Indian Constitution, which provides equal rights for any Indian person, but even adultery should be considered a civil wrong rather than a criminal crime due to change in society's standards and behaviour[5].

## DISCUSSION

When opposed to the misconduct of adultery as known in divorce cases, adultery under section 497 of IPC is limited in nature. As mentioned previously, the offence is committed only by a man who has sexual relations with another man's wife and without the consent or connivance of the latter. Despite being a consenting party to the offense, the wife is not punishable for being an adulteress, or even as an abettor of the offence. She's going to get away with it as a 'abettor'[6].

Punishment for adultery is provided in this section. Adultery is a husband's right-hand invasion of his partner. It is a crime against the sacredness of the marital home and an offence committed by an individual. It is an act that is anti-social and unconstitutional. The scope of the crime provided for in the section is limited to adultery committed with a married woman, and the male perpetrator alone is entitled to imprisonment for up to five years, or to a fine, or both. A woman's consent or desire is not a justification for the act of adultery. Adultery, thus, is a crime committed by a man against a husband in relation to his wife. It is not committed by a man who has sexual intercourse with a woman who is unmarried or a prostitute, or with a widow, or even with a woman whose husband agrees to have sexual intercourse with a woman who is unmarried or a prostitute[6].

The offence of adultery reflects prejudice. In greater part, it rests on the idea that a woman is the male's property. The aggrieved person can only file an adultery lawsuit, and that person is none other than the woman's husband. In extraordinary circumstances, in the absence of the husband, such individuals, as the section says, who were in the custody of the woman on her behalf at the time the crime was committed, may begin prosecution on her behalf with the leave of the court[7].

The criminal law for adultery varies from nation to nation. It is not homogeneous. It varies according to religious standards, people's behaviours, and several other variables. The statute on illegal adultery existing in numerous jurisdictions of the United States shows that under state laws in the United States, there are three main formulations of adultery, such as the interpretation of common law, canon law, and the hybrid view[7].

Adultery only takes place when women are married and then husband and wife are considered accountable, according to the common law opinion. In the view of canon law, adultery is a married person's voluntary sexual intercourse with a person other than the husband or wife of the offender and only the married person is held guilty[7].

Adultery in India is a punishable crime under the Indian Penal Code, 1860 Section 497 (IPC). In general, adultery involves a consensual sexual arrangement between a married person and a person of another sex who is not the married person's spouse. It is not important, however, that the other party is a married woman. The crime is committed only by a man who has sexual relations without his consent with another man's woman[8].

Adultery is a crime committed against a husband in favour of his wife by a third party and for which a man alone may be found responsible for the offence. Adultery is viewed as an infringement of the husband's right to his married wife. The rule of adultery does not extend to a woman and it is expressly provided that the woman cannot be found liable for the crime. The intent of the statute is to impose retribution on those that

intervene with the sacred marriage relationship, and it is also deemed by the legislature to be a crime that interferes in the sacred marriage house[9].

However, adultery was not included as an offense by the framers of the Statute; it was only after the Second Law Commission's suggestion that it was added to the Code. It is widely agreed that it is the man who is the seducer and not the woman, and any peace lover and person of good morality who would like someone to engage in such acts before their nose is considered an anti-social and illegal act[10].

### CONCLUSION & IMPLICATION

In most of the international jurisdictions, adultery, aside from being a basis for divorce, has been regarded as a criminal wrong against marriage. Similarly, all partners are usually considered criminally liable in these jurisdictions for their extramarital sexual intimacy.

However, in the sense of conventional conservative property-oriented family philosophy and sexual mores, the criminal law of adultery in India is based on the one-and-a-half-century-old caste-based stratified "social setting" It is also based on certain obsolete and moot stereotypes regarding the spouses' sexuality, sexual agency and unequal shared marital rights and responsibilities. It specifically aims, in the final analysis, to secure the interests of the husband and not of the wife.

It is also bridled with deep-rooted outdated stereotypes focused largely on gender inequality and the sexuality of the wife. Undoubtedly, such a statute in the 21st century appears to be contradictory with the current conceptions of women's status and the constitutional spirit of gender equality. A variety of Actions were introduced during the post-IPC era to free women from the historically conventional regime of seclusion and subordination and to assure them in any walk of life a status equal to men.

Against this context, the current gender biased penal law of adultery requires a severe re-examination and revision to the effect that a person, male or female, who, when married, has sexual intercourse with a female or a male (as the case may be), is not criminally liable to his or her spouses without the consent or connivance of such spouses. Similarly, not only is it appropriate for the spouse of the errant spouse to seek divorce from the other life partner, but also to begin court action with a view to fixing the outsider's criminal responsibility for the wrecking of the marriage.

The most recent legislative recommendations by the Fifth and Fourteenth Law Commissions of India merit the attention of the legislature in a severe and urgent manner. In order to translate the recent "social transformation" that guarantees equity for women and the constitutional spirit of gender equality into practice, such reforms are needed.

### REFERENCES

- [1] L. Kipnis, "Adultery," *Crit. Inq.*, 1998, doi: 10.1086/448876.
  - [2] C. Carey, "Rape and adultery in athenian law," *Class. Q.*, 1995, doi: 10.1017/S0009838800043482.
  - [3] A. Bharadwaj, "Adultery- Judicial Interpretation and the Law Under Various Personal Laws in India.," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2014, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2529414.
  - [4] A. Hai, "Adultery behind purdah and the politics of indian muslim nationalism in zeenuth futehally's zohra," *MFS - Mod. Fict. Stud.*, 2013, doi: 10.1353/mfs.2013.0030.
  - [5] S. M. B, "Adultery cases on the rise: Chat trails give divorce lawyers new-age ammunition," *The Times of India*, 2014.
  - [6] C. J. Eyre, "Crime and Adultery in Ancient Egypt," *J. Egypt. Archaeol.*, 1984, doi: 10.2307/3821579.
  - [7] S. Block, "Victimless Crime," in *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences: Second Edition*, 2015.
  - [8] A. Sura, "Adultery proved, woman not entitled to alimony," *The Times of India*, 2014.
  - [9] A. V. Babar, "Adultery Law in India-A'Saga' of Patriarchy, Prejudice, Discrimination, Legal Betrayal and Injustice against Women," *INROADS- An Int. J. Jaipur Natl. Univ.*, 2016, doi: 10.5958/2277-4912.2016.00079.5.
  - [10] A. Bag, "Adultery and the Indian Penal Code: Analysing the Gender Neutrality of the Law," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2012, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.1627649.
- Vishal Assija, Anupam Baliyan and Vishal Jain, "Effective & Efficient Digital Advertisement Algorithms", CSI-2015; 50th Golden Jubilee Annual Convention on "Digital Life", held on 02nd to

05th December, 2015 at New Delhi, published by the Springer under ICT Based Innovations, Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing having ISBN 978-981-10-6602-3 from page no. 83 to 91.

- • Vishal Jain and Dr. S. V. A. V. Prasad, “Analysis of RDBMS and Semantic Web Search in University System”, International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Emerging Technologies (IJESSET), Volume 7, Issue 2, October 2014, page no. 604-621 having ISSN No. 2231-6604.
- • Vishal Jain and Dr. S. V. A. V. Prasad, “Evaluation and Validation of Ontology Using Protégé Tool”, International Journal of Research in Engineering & Technology, Vol. 4, No. 5, May, 2016, page no. 1-12 having ISSN No. 2321-8843.
- RS Venkatesh, PK Reejeesh, S Balamurugan, S Charanyaa, “Further More Investigations on Evolution of Approaches for Cloud Security”, International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering , Vol. 3, Issue 1, January 2015
- K Deepika, N Naveen Prasad, S Balamurugan, S Charanyaa, “Survey on Security on Cloud Computing by Trusted Computer Strategy”, International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering, 2015
- P Durga, S Jeevitha, A Poomalai, M Sowmiya, S Balamurugan, “Aspect Oriented Strategy to model the Examination Management Systems”, International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology , Vol. 4, Issue 2, February 2015

