

SOCIAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP

¹N.NARMADHA,² K.SHANTHI,

³K.NIVEDHA, ⁴D.THENMOZHI

DEPARTMENT OF MBA,PANIMALAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE,CHENNAI,INDIA

Abstract : -Social entrepreneurship is gradually becoming a central component of the global discourse on volunteerism and public participation. It combines a passion for a common cause with industrial ethics, and it stands out and differs from current entrepreneurship models in its pursuit of mission-related influence. . In the last few years, there has been a remarkable and unexpected advancement in the area of social entrepreneurship, which has heightened interest across all industries. The main distinction between social and conventional entrepreneurship can be seen in the venture's founding goal and business perceptions. The focus of social entrepreneurs is on identifying ways to reduce or remove societal constraints while also building progressive externalities or public properties. This research focuses on the significance of social entrepreneurship to various genres and how it compares to other types of entrepreneurship in today's society.

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the last few years, there has been an increasing emphasis on the topic of entrepreneurship, owing primarily to the observations and discoveries of economic analysts around the world that small businesses actually contribute to the greater economic growth and vitality of every economy or nation at large. Furthermore, many people prefer entrepreneurial occupations and paths because they believe they can have greater commercial and psychological benefits than the conventional monotonous corporate routes. In the global debate on volunteerism and civic participation, social entrepreneurship is in Due to its value proposition and the fact that it gives money making a heart and a noble social cause, social entrepreneurship stands out among the current multiple kinds of entrepreneurship routes.creasingly becoming a critical, significant, and very transformational behavior. Social entrepreneurship is intended to drive societal changes, and such entrepreneurs work to solve individual cases of social issues and problems while also facilitating radical transformation.

Social entrepreneurship stands out among the different types of entrepreneurship routes because of its value proposition and the fact that it gives money making a heart and a noble social cause. Social entrepreneurs are tasked with enacting paradigm shift while simultaneously considering and supporting marginalised individuals in particular cases with social problems and challenges.

The most critical factor driving the growth of social entrepreneurship is societal pressure, which is pushing humans to do something good for society and its current affairs in general while somehow providing a monetary advantage factor that helps them to thrive in this world. Environmental entrepreneurship can be seen as a subcategory of sustainable entrepreneurship, which is a subcategory of social entrepreneurship, in today's times where environmental issues are one of the leading economic concerns towering over the planet. For a long time, the role of the business sector in meeting society's technological and non-technical needs has been disputed. Traditional business models are all oriented toward maximising sales economic income, which leads to an emphasis on developing self-proficiency in order to be more resourceful in requirements of the customers.

Nonetheless, market power and resources are small, and competition is rising all the time! This forces businesses to prioritise profit maximisation over the long-term development that they owe to society.

New obstacles have arisen for commercial organizations that adopt conventional market practises as a result of the rise in social problems. There has been a rise in the number of firms who have begun to recognise their social obligations (CSR). Organizations that specifically aim to mitigate these social tensions, on the other hand, have grown in number over time.

Nonetheless, the constraints imposed by these two activities are due to the vital focus on either benefit maximisation or purely social meaning. The key issue now is how to fulfil the social mission while still ensuring financial sustainability, which has motivated researchers and entrepreneurs to delve deeper into this area. In the midst of this still turmoil, social entrepreneurship steps in to help. Despite the fact that there have been many instances of successful social entrepreneurship ventures and industries in recent years, this idea is still relatively new.

In a time when many countries are grappling with the interested reader and looking for ways to deal with the pressures of both the economy and society, it's important to consider why this type of business venture is thriving and spreading around the world, as well as how its theory can be characterised and demonstrated.

II.BACKGROUND REVIEW

Economic activities take place within a social framework, according to Granovetter (1985), and thus a firm's economic activities are influenced by the cultural context in which it is rooted. In one way, social entrepreneurship can be mapped to business entrepreneurship, with one of the major components of the description being opportunity detection. For the record, acknowledging opportunities is also a requirement for social entrepreneurship, but most recent research focuses on social entrepreneurs rather than the process of social entrepreneurship. As a result, only a limited amount of research has been done to understand the need for opportunity identification and the factors that affect it.

Entrepreneurial alertness allows us to make full use of information when it is received, encouraging us to spot opportunities that others have lost.

Bala et al. (2013), for example, delves into the complex essence of social entrepreneurship and examines the two overarching and probably opposing notions. First and highest, social results and impacts should be considered, i.e., the effects of social activity should be considered; second, the priority should be on generating returns and profits and creating a market value alongside the social activity; and third, the focus should be on generating returns and profits and creating a market value alongside the social .

III.MEANING OF SOCIAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP TO DIFFERENT PEOPLE

Entrepreneurs and the inspiring tales about why and how they do what they do are profoundly interesting and enticing, even at the most basic level. People are drawn to social entrepreneurs such as Nobel Peace Prize Laureate Muhammad Yunus (Grameen Bank) for much of the same reasons they are drawn to entrepreneurs such as Steve Jobs.

These everyday people came up with innovations and defied all obstacles to develop new goods and services that greatly changed the lives of those around them.

Popularity and profit maximisation aren't the only factors causing interest in social entrepreneurship.

The purpose of social entrepreneurship is to promote social change, and it is this potential payoff, as well as the long-term, transformational benefits to society, that determines the discipline and its practitioners.

To different people, social entrepreneurship will mean something different. . Some people associate it with non-profits or earned income projects, while others associate it with business owners who integrate social responsibility into their operations; however, any concept of social entrepreneurship can ultimately represent the need for an alternative market plan that supports business entrepreneurs.

IV.THE SOCIAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP PROCESS:

Social entrepreneurs must strike the balance between putting money into the revenue-generating part of the business and putting money into the part that is responsible for achieving social goals. Then we must focus on the task of running a social enterprise in the face of so many obvious inconsistencies and complexities. To fit social entrepreneurship into position, we need a structure and characterization.

1. A development plan- The aim of growth plan in the social entrepreneurship phase is to create a level playing field for all. Unlike in the business development process, where innovation is motivated by cash incentives, this process is driven by social benefits. The commonplace In SE's product development plan, a loose estimation of technology and demand, or in some cases, a total lack of assessment, continues to flourish. To make matters worse, the underlying concerns that come with new products are amplified. In the business world, growth is still important in SE.
2. Developmental goals- A structure for strategic plan that effectively incorporates business and technology strategy is unavailable. In the current SE growth effort, customer service is a deteriorating strand. In the strategy front end, there is a complete lack of user integration. Normally, Entrepreneurs introduce goods that they believe can meet consumers' needs without involving them. It's necessary to understand the nature of the issue and how it impacts people who would be our future customers.
3. Financing- There are 2 choices for financing. Bootstrapping is the practise of supporting a small company through imaginative available resources and use rather than raising funds from more existing sources or a bank. Another source of funding is venture capitalists (VCs). Currently, business is good. Owing to definite investment requirements, not being integrated in the growth phase, and high costs of diligence, negotiation, and monitoring, capitalists are seldom willing to fund small start-up firms or social organisations, regardless of the nature of the company. Since they are structured as charities, VCs come in as donors and charities and do not get to benefits from social projects.
4. The team- Entrepreneurs are motivated by a deep desire to achieve their objectives. They may or not be experts in the industry, and they may or may not be members of the domain, but the urge for change is something that they all share.

The actual production channel in current social entrepreneurship activities involves many factors and forms, but one thing that all of them have in general is that ideas flow freely and abnormally. During the filtering and fine-tuning of concepts, there are no strict gateways.

A. Analyze your vision and purpose- Every company has a task and a vision that drives it toward its long-term goals and objectives. As a result, the goal is cultural in this situation.

B. Evaluating the organization's risk appetite- Some stakeholders are averse to taking risks, while others thrive on them. This is what makes social contact possible. Since capital returns do not drive social investments, enterprises require more risky investments than business enterprises.

- C. Determine the business's mission outcome—Here, we identify the mission's intended goals and define the mission's reach. At this stage, the user group is included on the process. degree in order to better understand and respond to their requirements.
- D. Ideas and refinement- While creative process begins with the task analysis, it is updated and refined depending on the perceived social need or to fill a societal void.
- E. Incubation- Once the concept has been refined, the implementation process begins with a small component. This is due to the lack of venture capitalists at this time.
- F. Business plan- After all the above stages have been approved by the stakeholders, we will move on to developing the business plan. The entrepreneur is preparing for normal market implementation at this point.
- G. Full execution with transparency- This is where the project's ramp-up/expansion and eventual activity takes place.

V. SOCIAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP LIMITS:

It's also vital to define limits and provide examples of things that are highly admirable when interpreting social entrepreneurship. However, they do not fall under the description. Failure to define limits would render the word "social entrepreneurship" meaningless. We assume that social entrepreneurship should be distinguished from two primary types of socially valuable activity. Human Services Provision is one form of social venture, although Social Activism is another.

Provision of Social Services- In this situation, a brave and dedicated person recognises an unfavourable stable equilibrium and devises a programme or solution to fix it. However, it is unlikely to lead to a new beneficial equilibrium unless it is built to create large scale or is so convincing as to inspire armies of imitators and replicators. These kinds of social care businesses never fail. out of their restricted frame: their influence is small, their service area is limited to a local community, and their reach is limited by the resources they can attract. These businesses are inherently insecure, which could result in service interruption or failure for the people they represent. There are millions of them. There are organisations all over the world that are well-intentioned, noble in nature, and frequently fail. They are executed magnificently, but they are not to be confused with social entrepreneurship.

Social Activism- In this situation, the action is motivated by the same thing – an unfavourable and stable equilibrium. Inspiration, imagination, bravery, and fortitude are all qualities shared by the performers. The distinction lies in the essence of the actor's behaviour. Instead of taking unilateral action, as a social entrepreneur does, a social activist tries to influence others – states, non-governmental organisations, consumers, employees, and so on – to take action.

VI.SOCIAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP BENEFITS:

- A. **Varieties:** Business aficionados (people who are very experienced and passionate about a particular activity) and revolutionaries both understand the value of getting a competitive advantage. Today, many social companies will profit from their Unique Selling Point of being ""social"" and ""impactful.""
- B. **First Mover / Change Piooner Advantage:** Nelson Mandela once said, "It still seems unlikely before it's done." Holding one's distance from the crowd may make one seem insane, but isn't that where all revolutions begin? Moving first gives all risk-taking social entrepreneurs the benefit of being the first to market, essentially making them change pioneers.
- C. **Positivity Magnet:** Positive energy absorbs positive energy, and negative energy attracts negative energy. If you do something constructive, two things will most certainly happen: good people will feel compelled to help you, and poor people will feel compelled to change or get in your way. The world will look in and be shocked if you keep an open door and very straightforward policies, if you are correctly measuring, monitoring, and reporting. evaluating and displaying your social effect

VII SOCIAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP'S DISADVANTAGES :

- A. **Lack of performance:** What do investors, talented individuals, investors, stakeholders, and key players ha To change people's minds, social entrepreneurs must earn their confidence. Owing to the lack of case studies, illustrating transparency and feasibility of social business

models is becoming increasingly difficult.ve in common? Cases/History: They want to be in a position where they can be effective.

- B. **Lack of Public Knowledge and Recognition:** the fact is that the majority of people have no idea what social entrepreneurship is, so how do you expect them to help a social venture? Whether online or offline, there is very little information available about them, resulting in an unexposed public when using social media. It's likely that entrepreneurship would misinterpret it. Furthermore, people do not trust what they do not understand, which leads to misunderstandings.
- C. **Inadequate Support Structure and Funding:** When starting a company, you'll need help. Unfortunately, due to a lack of legislation and acknowledgement for The climate is not suitable for social projects all over the world. Social entrepreneurship is a brand-new path that needs to be paved. Changemakers must work harder than regular entrepreneurs and are frequently stymied by a lack of capital, regulatory structure, and other factors. Fortunately, in the United Kingdom, we have C.I.C., which makes the job of entrepreneurs simpler while dealing with stakeholders. Mandatory asset locks and public annual records provide public confirmation, but the government has yet to control tax incentives.

VIII. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In a short period of time, social entrepreneurship has progressed significantly, and it is now followed by a slew of new initiatives. Institutions, corporations, and non-profits in the government. This paper has attempted to provide a structure for fitting a venture into social entrepreneurship, which cannot be constrained, but there is a need to study on forming a theoretical system in the best case scenario. For this reason, Recognizing the potential is the fundamental cornerstone of social entrepreneurship, which sets off entrepreneurial activity and broadens social, cultural, and environmental goals. Promotion, funding, and advice are provided by non-profits and non-governmental organisations, foundations, and individuals. A burgeoning A growing number of colleges and universities are developing social entrepreneurship education and training programmes. Future research will help to simplify the framework of opportunity identification in social entrepreneurship and to put the many theoretical suggestions into practise. There is a need to propose precise measurement metrics that can be used in scientific studies. Other factors that influence the mechanism of opportunity identification must also be investigated.

IX. REFERENCES

1. Cai, N., & Sun, W. W. (2012, November). *Theoretical deduction on influence factors of opportunity recognition in social entrepreneurship*. In *Management of Technology (ISMOT), 2012 International Symposium on* (pp. 113–117). IEEE.
2. Doherty, B, Haugh, H, Lyon, F. (2014). *Social enterprises as hybrid organizations: A review and research agenda*. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 16(4), 417–436.
3. Kerlin, JA. (2010). *A comparative analysis of the global emergence of social enterprise*. *Voluntas: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 21(2), 162–179.
4. Mair, J, & Marti, I. (2006). *Social entrepreneurship research: A source of explanation, prediction, and delight*. *Journal of world business*, 41(1), 36–44.
5. Peredo, AM, & McLean, M. (2006). *Social entrepreneurship: A critical review of the concept*. *Journal of world business*, 41(1), 56–65.
6. Wolfgang, G. (2012). *Business models of social enterprise: A design approach to hybridity*. *ACRN Journal of entrepreneurship Perspectives*, 1(1), 37–60.
7. Bielefeld, W. (2009). *Issues in Social Enterprise and Social Entrepreneurship*. *JPAE (Journal Of Public Affairs Education)*, 15(1), 69–86.
8. Mair, J, & Marti, I. (2006). *Social entrepreneurship research: A source of explanation, prediction, and delight*. *Journal of World Business*, 41(1), 36–44.