

A Solution Approach for Multilevel Programming problems

Manoj Kumar Rana,
University of Delhi.

Abstract : In Multilevel Programs the optimization is carried out in hierarchical manner each division controlling its decision variable. In this article solution method to solve fuzzy multilevel system with linear constraints over a convex set is developed. The advantage of this approach is that it finds the solution to the problem without the use of membership function making the technique simpler. The objective functions conflict with each other, a solution maximizing each of the objectives may not exist. Efficiency is considered as a solution concept. The objectives at each level are optimized and an algorithm is designed to solve Multilevel Programming Problem (MPP) which makes the algorithm non-complex and less time consuming. The algorithm finds solution for a given value of variables controlled by the decision maker at previous level. The algorithm is demonstrated numerically with three decision makers at the lower level.

Keywords: Multilevel programming, Decentralized Systems, Quadratic Programming, Ideal solution.

I. INTRODUCTION

In many decisive situations there arise many planning problems that can be represented by multilevel programming problems.. In Multilevel Program., a planner at certain level of hierarchy may have objective function, decision space determined by other levels under co-operation. The execution of decision is sequential. The decision maker at each level maximizes its own benefits, but is affected by the decisions of decision makers at other levels through externalities. The upper level decision maker first sets his goals and then asks each subordinate level about their solutions which are computed in isolation. Multilevel Programming Problems are characterized by planner at certain hierarchical level determining his/her objective and constraint space by successive levels partially under cooperation. The decision maker at first level optimizes his/her decision first and for a given choice of the variables under its control, the lower level decision makers optimize their objectives. Further, each of the decision makers can influence the other level decisions to achieve his own objectives.

Multilevel Programming has been drawing considerable attention from scientific community in recent years. Many problems need to be modelled as multilevel programs as a result of which new efficient methods have been evolved. During the past two decades of last century several approaches for solving MLPPs have been deeply studied by Bard and Falk [2], Cao. [4], Candler Townsley [3]. Most developments concentrate on Linear Programs (LPP) as a class of MLPP. Anandaling[1] focused on bilevel non-linear programs. Three-level programs were introduced along with their solution methods viz extreme-point search algorithm .

Many authors [4,5,6,7,8] have studied multilevel and bilevel programming problems. Ayhan and Kilic[2] proposed a two stage method method using fuzzy AHP to generate solutions to the problem considered. The main theme is that it does not need to construct membership

functions as is done in most of fuzzy programming. It is made possible by the introduction of additional constraints in the solution space using reduced ranges of the variables.

2. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION OF PROBLEM

Let us consider the t-level multilevel system in which (L1) controlling the decision variables $\bar{x}_1 = (x_1^1, x_1^2, \dots, x_1^{n_1})$ with the lower following divisions controlling the decision variables $\bar{x}_j = (x_j^1, x_j^2, \dots, x_j^{n_j}), 1 \leq j \leq r$

The multilevel system, under consideration possesses a feasible set $X' \subset E^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_t}$ for $\bar{X}_j, 1 \leq j \leq t$ as the solution space.

Let the L_i be the i- th decision maker ($1 \leq i \leq \tau$)

A maximization t-level Multilevel Decentralized Programming Problem (MPP) can be formulated as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(MPP)} \quad & \max Z_1 (X) \\
 & \max Z_2 (X) \\
 & \max (Z_{i1}(X), Z_{i2}(X), \dots, Z_{ie}(X)) \\
 & \vdots \\
 & \vdots \\
 & \max Z_t (X) \\
 \text{subject to} \quad & A_{i1}\bar{X}_1 + A_{i2}\bar{X}_2 + \dots + A_{it}\bar{X}_t (\leq, =, \geq) b_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r \\
 & \bar{X}_1 \geq 0, \bar{X}_2 \geq 0 \dots \bar{X}_t \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

where $Z_i (1 \leq i \leq t)$ denotes the i-th level objective function, Z_1 being quadratic (quasiconcave), and $Z_i(2 \leq i \leq t)$ being linear fractional; the i-th level decision maker can have more than one decision maker ;

$\bar{X}_1 = (x_1^1, x_1^2, \dots, x_1^{n_1})^t$ are decision variables controlled by first level, $\bar{X}_2 = (x_2^1, x_2^2, \dots, x_2^{n_2})^t$ are decision variables controlled by (2),, $\bar{X}_t = (x_t^1, x_t^2, \dots, x_t^{n_t})^t$ are decision variables controlled by (t). where $n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_r$

$$\text{Let } \bar{X} = \bar{X}_1 \cup \bar{X}_2 \cup \dots \cup \bar{X}_t$$

While the process of decision making, each decision maker finds its best/ideal solution to arrive at a solution.

4. ALGORITHMIC DEVELOPMENT

The algorithm for the solution of multilevel programming problem is summarized as follows:

Step 1: Find optimal solution to each of the t-levels for the (MPP) having m -constraints and n - variables

Let $X_r^0, r = 1, 2, \dots, t$ be their respective optimal solutions, where

$$X_r^0 = \{x_r^{10}, x_r^{20}, \dots, x_r^{n_t 0}\}$$

Step 2: Determine the ideal range making use of the r-optimal solutions determined in step 1, and set it as range of that variable

i.e. $x_r^1 \in (x_r^{1L}, x_r^{10});$

$$x_r^2 \in (x_r^{2L}, x_r^{20}) ;$$

⋮

$$x_r^{n_t} \in (x_r^{n_t L}, x_r^{n_t 0}), \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, R$$

Step 3: Obtain reduced ranges of each of the decision variables to achieve a se solution followed by successive lower level decision makers.

Step 4: Take $t = 1$

Let $x_r^0 = x_1^0$ optimal solution of (1)

Step 5: One and only one of the following conditions hold

(a) $x_t^{10} \in (x_t^{1L}, x_t^{10});$

(b) $x_t^{10} = x_t^{1L}$

(c) $x_t^{10} = x_t^{10}$

Step 6: For variables $x_r^{20}, x_r^{30}, \dots, x_n^{n_r}$ under the control of r-th decision maker

(Lr), then follow step 5.

Step 7: Take the ranges for variable not controlled by (Lr) as constraints so as to shrink the size of feasible region.

Step 8 : For the lower levels, form $2n$ additional constraints along with the m original constraints.

Step 9; Set $t \rightarrow t + 1$. Read X_r^{1C} in place of X_r^{10} . Follow Step 5.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The algorithm proposed in article converges in a finite number of steps. The proposed approach is supposed to have contribution in the study of the MLPPs in future. The author

hopes that the solution approach proposed in this article will open up new possibilities of research for dealing with multilevel problems having multiple decision makers in the decision making arena.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the constructive comments and suggestions of the anonymous referees that helped the author to bring out improvement in the article.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] Anandlingam C. (1988) , A mathematical programming model of decentralized multilevel systems , *Journal of Operational Research Society*, 39(6), 1021-1033.
- [2] Ayhan M.B., Kilic H.S.(2015), A two stage approach for supplier selection problem in multisupplier environment with discounts, *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 85,1-12.
- [3] Bard J.F. ,Falk J.E.(1982), An explicit solution to the multilevel programming problem , *Computers & Operations Research* 9(1), 77-100.
- [4] Candler W. and Townsley R.(1982), A Linear Two-level programming Problem, *Computers and Operations Research*. 9, 59-76.
- [5] Calvete H.I. , Gale C.(2003), Local optimality in quasiconcave bilevel programming ,*Monografias del Semin Matem. Garcia de Galdeano* , 27; 153-160
- [6] Calvete H.I. , Gale C., Mateo P.(2008), A new approach for solving linear bilevel problems, *European Journal of Operational Research*, 188(1); 14-28.
- [7] Cao D, and Leung I.C.(2002), A Partial Cooperation Model for non-Unique linear two-level decision problems, *European Journal of Operational Research* 140 , 134-141.
- [8] Rana Manoj Kumar (2016), An approach for Multilevel Programming Problem problems, *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research*, volume 3, Issue 6; 277-280.