

A REVIEW ON CYBER PHYSICAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Dr. Sansar Singh Chauhah, Professor, Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Galgotias University

ABSTRACT

The growing focus on integrating human beings and vehicles into cyber-physical systems, such as buildings and cities, alongside sensor networks and decision support systems has captured the interest of many people. Civilians' responses to a disaster also include mobile searchers and rescuers, who interact with the environment in a much more diversified manner, which greatly increases the complexity of the emergency response. This article examines current research on sensor-assisted evacuation and rescue systems and examines various additional topics, such as knowledge discovery for rescue and prototyping platforms. Following that, we encourage more reading.

KEYWORDS: Cyber Physical System, Environment, Prototyping

INTRODUCTION

Various facets of human activity have received advantages through the usage of CPS (Cyber-Technical Systems), such as environmental protection, security, transportation, emergency management, detection of explosives, mines, and unexploded ordnance, and recreation and tourism.

A recent set of related studies has provided a look into CPSs that assist with intelligent and rapid responses, such as earthquakes, terrorist attacks, or fire. This study will examine how research from previous and contemporary studies in emergency management systems may apply to future projects.

To be prepared for the unexpected, you must have real-time monitoring and rapid reaction. Depending on the situation, several sensors may interact and work together with evacuees and the surroundings during a fire. Gas sensors and temperature monitors assist keep track of risks. Rotating cameras record the movements of bystanders and the progression of the fire. Ultrasonic sensors may use ultrasonic waves to find nearby objects and track dynamic changes in maps, as various constructed structures and objects are destroyed or disturbed. You may save time during an evacuation by employing coordination between first-aid modules, sensors, and mobile phone users. Dispersed choices that alleviate congestion are available to evacuees using mobile devices as long as they have an internet connection. Audio or visual LED guidance may be followed by mobile device-less individuals. Gathering information and dynamically predicting movement of people and dangers to make the best choices for resource allocation and reaction is enabled by the combination of grid- and cloud-supported computing. Despite their limited autonomy, robotic gadgets may aid in a search.

CHALLENGES AND ISSUES

Due to the numerous essential concerns each of these needs raises, research has been carried out in two ways: concentrating on developing new methodologies to meet these problems, and exploring innovative ideas to solve specific concerns.

Although concerns related to a lot of people having numerous kinds of information and connecting opportunistically are unavoidable in emergency situations, If there is a fire emergency, in order to discover safe ways, it is necessary to use several sensors to gather data and pass it along to numerous mobile evacuees. Easier said than done, of course. Communications might break down, as well as those who have fled their homes being displaced, requiring extra planning and effort to deal with this issue. Additional query-and-reply conversations may occur, such as between first responders, those who have been evacuated, members of the press, and robots. Because of the wide range of communication needs, common communication protocols, such as broadcast, multicast, and unicast, may not be able to handle them.

Carrying out both information acquisition and information dissemination: this system has intrinsic characteristics of a cross-domain sensing and heterogeneous information. Every sector requires accurate information to be established to ensure the safety of individuals (e.g., ultrasonic sensors for localising people, temperature and gas sensors for identifying hazards, camera sensors for counting civilians and life detectors for searching civilians). Another piece of the information-distribution puzzle is the involvement of sensors, actuators, people, objects, and events. In this context, the knowledge finding characteristics will provide a barrier in terms of acquiring and disseminating information. Credible and rapid reaction is based on technology that utilises data analysis.

In order to extract information from sensing data (e.g., counting, detection, localisation and tracking of individuals), researchers must first measure these variables and quantify them. Also, in order to minimise avoidable fatalities, we need use dynamic modelling and forecasting of environmental changes.

CONCLUSION

Future studies have been performed on emergency management systems that use sensor networks to find risks, find individuals, and exchange information between those who have been evacuated and those who are still in the community. Decentralized decision-making and smart evacuation advice is provided to people in the event of a catastrophe by using distributed and cloud-based technology.

Although we have done our best to analyse all aspects of the project, there are certain key aspects that we have overlooked and which need further investigation. EMSWs are distributed naturally, therefore it is reasonable to expect more on distributed control and distributed algorithms connected to EMSs in the future. Surveying for information typically yields erroneous results, and interpretation is required. precedence over planning because of how random and unpredictable they are.

Place EMS devices at a high rate and are themselves disruptive; consequently, EMS devices' capabilities for sensing should be the focus of much more research. An additional challenge that is separate but related is the

way in which someone may be able to interpret biased information (such as information from frightened or shocked individuals) or which is motivated by differing intentions (such as helping to calm the populace). There is still significant work to be done in these areas, with a clear emphasis on EMS.

Overestimating or underestimating the required resources during an emergency might have disastrous results. These extra issues are NP-hard, too. Not only do they often need to be taken in real-time, but they also generally require being given just partial or incomplete information. This is another another topic with study to be done in EMS systems.

A vital aspect so far has been missed in that there has been no mention of the proper application of probability modelling to emergency occurrences that are inherently probabilistic, and where the main goal is to provide quantitative results that are unavoidably probabilistic. If we use these techniques, we can cut down or prevent the amount of time it takes to do computer simulations. Since fast, but less comprehensive, mathematical analyses are possible, an advantage of such approaches is that they can provide a fast but less comprehensive mathematical prediction of the overall performance of algorithms and policies prior to lengthy simulation studies or experimental evaluations.

REFERENCES

1. Berger, C., Hees, A., Braunreuther, S., & Reinhart, G. (2016). Characterization of Cyber-Physical Sensor Systems. In T. R. (Ed.), *Procedia CIRP* (Vol. 41, pp. 638–643). Elsevier B.V. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2015.12.019>
2. Bu, F. (2017). A High-Order Clustering Algorithm Based on Dropout Deep Learning for Heterogeneous Data in Cyber-Physical-Social Systems. *IEEE Access*, 6, 11687–11693. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2759509>
3. Castaño, F., Beruvides, G., Haber, R. E., & Artuñedo, A. (2017). Obstacle recognition based on machine learning for on-chip lidar sensors in a cyber-physical system. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 17(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s17092109>
4. De, S., Zhou, Y., Abad, I. L., & Moessner, K. (2017). Cyber-physical-social frameworks for urban big data systems: A survey. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 7(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app7101017>
5. DeSmit, Z., Elhabashy, A. E., Wells, L. J., & Camelio, J. A. (2016). Cyber-physical Vulnerability Assessment in Manufacturing Systems. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 5, 1060–1074. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2016.08.075>
6. Gräßler, I., Pöhler, A., & Pottebaum, J. (2016). Creation of a Learning Factory for Cyber Physical Production Systems. In M. K. (Ed.), *Procedia CIRP* (Vol. 54, pp. 107–112). Elsevier B.V. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.05.063>
7. Guo, J., Han, Y., Guo, C., Lou, F., & Wang, Y. (2017). Modeling and vulnerability analysis of cyber-physical power systems considering network topology and power flow properties. *Energies*, 10(1).

<https://doi.org/10.3390/en10010087>

8. Jiang, Z., Jin, Y., Mingcheng, E., & Li, Q. (2017). Distributed Dynamic Scheduling for Cyber-Physical Production Systems Based on a Multi-Agent System. *IEEE Access*, 6, 1855–1869. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2780321>
9. Kao, H.-A., Jin, W., Siegel, D., & Lee, J. (2015). A cyber physical interface for automation systems-Methodology and examples. *Machines*, 3(2), 93–106. <https://doi.org/10.3390/machines3020093>
10. Khalid, A., Kirisci, P., Ghrairi, Z., Thoben, K.-D., & Pannek, J. (2016). A methodology to develop collaborative robotic cyber physical systems for production environments. *Logistics Research*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12159-016-0151-x>
11. Kolberg, D., Berger, C., Pirvu, B.-C., Franke, M., & Michniewicz, J. (2016). CyProF - Insights from a Framework for Designing Cyber-Physical Systems in Production Environments. In W. E. Bauernhansl T. (Ed.), *Procedia CIRP* (Vol. 57, pp. 32–37). Elsevier B.V. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.11.007>
12. Ma, J., Wang, Q., & Zhao, Z. (2017). SLAE–CPS: Smart lean automation engine enabled by cyber-physical systems technologies. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 17(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s17071500>
13. Park, H.-S., Oh, K., & Cho, S.-B. (2011). Bayesian network-based high-level context recognition for mobile context sharing in cyber-physical system. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/650387>
14. Rojas, R. A., Rauch, E., Vidoni, R., & Matt, D. T. (2017). Enabling Connectivity of Cyber-physical Production Systems: A Conceptual Framework. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 11, 822–829. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2017.07.184>
15. Schuhmacher, J., & Hummel, V. (2016). Decentralized Control of Logistic Processes in Cyber-physical Production Systems at the Example of ESB Logistics Learning Factory. In M. K. (Ed.), *Procedia CIRP* (Vol. 54, pp. 19–24). Elsevier B.V. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.04.095>
16. Stern, H., & Becker, T. (2017). Development of a Model for the Integration of Human Factors in Cyber-physical Production Systems. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 9, 151–158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2017.04.030>
17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics7100249>
18. Wu, Z., Xu, Y., Yang, Y., Zhang, C., Zhu, X., & Ji, Y. (2017). Towards a semantic web of things: A hybrid semantic annotation, extraction, and reasoning framework for cyber-physical system. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 17(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s17020403>
19. Xu, J., Liu, A., Xiong, N., Wang, T., & Zuo, Z. (2017). Integrated collaborative filtering recommendation in social cyber-physical systems. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, 13(12). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1550147717749745>
20. Zhang, C., Jiang, P., Cheng, K., Xu, X. W., & Ma, Y. (2016). Configuration Design of the Add-on Cyber-physical System with CNC Machine Tools and its Application Perspectives. In M. P. Li Y. Gao J. (Ed.), *Procedia CIRP* (Vol. 56, pp. 360–365). Elsevier B.V. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.10.040>