CONDUCTANCE BEHAVIOUR OF DIFFERENT **DICHROMATES IN WATER-BENZYL** ALCOHOL SOLVENT MIXTURES

Brahmanapally Kavitha¹, Veerati Radhika², Dr. B. Ramesh³ ¹Department of Chemistry, Kakatiya University, Warangal Urban, India ²Department of Chemistry, S R Engineering College, Warangal Urban, India. ³Department of Chemistry, Kakatiya Government College, Hanamkonda, Warangal(U)

ABSTRACT: The paper explores conductance behaviour of Nicotinium, Pyridinium, Quinolinium dichromates at 288K – 318K in Benzyl alcohol, water and varying compositions of Water-Benzyl alcohol (v/v). Analysis of conductance data to obtain Λ_0 is on the lines of Kraus-Bray and Shedlovsky models. Λ_0 , the limiting molar conductance increased with increase in the proportion of water in the solvent mixture. This is used in the interpretation of the favored / discerning solvation of cations by Benzyl alcohol. The influence of mixed solvent composition on the solvation of ions is discussed in tune with the composition dependence of Walden product. The influence of the mixed solvent composition on the solvation of ions has been discussed with the help of 'R'factor.

Key words: ion-pair association constant / ion-pair dissociation constant / solvation number / R-factor/ limiting molar conductance/ thermodynamic parameter.

INTRODUCTION

Quinolinium dichromate is a suitable oxidant which was prepared by reported method¹. This was used as an oxidant in the kinetic studies of oxidation of different functional groups ²⁻⁴, Solvation

behavior of this oxidant in Aqueous-DMF was presented in our previous paper ⁵. Pyridinium dichromate is a steady oxidant which was primed and analyze by Corey E J and Schmidt G⁶, Pyridinium dichromate has many applications⁷⁻⁹. Nicotinium emerged as a very useful and versatile oxidant which can be used in by Lopez C and coworkers¹⁰. Though a large dichromate is stable oxidant, which was prepared and analyzed these three oxidants, no information on the conductance body of literature is available on kinetic aspects of Density, viscosity and dielectric constant of the medium, ionbehavior of these dichromate's is available. interactions influence conductance behavior of electrolytes in binary solvent solvent and solvent-solvent mixtures. Ion solvent interactions stabilize the ion by solvating it which is substantiated through information. The present paper details the observations on conductance behavior of Quinolinium, Pyridinium and Nicotinium dichromate's in dual solvent mixtures of aqueous-Benzyl alcohol.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Quinolinium, Pyridinium and Nicotinium dichromates are prepared on the lines of the literature methods [1-10]. A stock solution of the reagent is prepared by dissolving a known weight of the sample in water and standardized by iodometric method. A conductivity bridge (ELICO model-180) equipped with a glass conductivity cell of cell constant 1.103 cm⁻¹ is used to measure the conductance of the solution. Conductivity cell is calibrated using 0.1M KCl solution. Deionised water is distilled and used for the preparation of the solution. The precision of the conductivity bridge used is ± 0.05 mS. Temperature is kept constant during the experiment using a thermostat with an accuracy of $\pm 0.05^{\circ}$ C. To maintain the temperature lower room temperature an ice bath equipped with a mechanical stirrer is used. Spectroscopic grade sample of Benzyl alcohol is procured from Sd-fine Chemicals Ltd., Bombay, India.

The solutions of Quinolinium, Pyridinium and Nicotinium dichromates are diluted to different concentrations in the range 0.1M to 5.0×10⁻³ M Using different volumes of solvent / solvent mixture and their conductance is measured at 283-313K. The solvent systems used in this study have conductance values between 0.029 - 0.0315mS. The values are found to be reproducible with $\pm 0.1\%$ error. The solvent conductance values are deducted from the conductance of the solution to get the conductance of the solute. The molar conductance is calculated using the relation $\Lambda = 1000 \times \text{ k/C}$ where k is the specific conductance and C is the molarity of the solute. The same procedure is followed at different compositions of solvent mixtures and at different temperatures.

The limiting molar conductance (Λ_m) values resolute are analyzed via Kraus-Bray model equation, Eqn.(1) and Shedlovsky model equation [1] Eqn.(2).

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda_m} = \frac{\Lambda_m C}{K_c \Lambda^{0^2}} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^0} \qquad \dots \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{1}{S\Lambda_m} = \frac{S f_{\pm}^2 K_A C \Lambda_m}{\Lambda^{0^2}} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^0} \qquad \dots \tag{2}$$

 $\Lambda_{\rm m}$ is limiting molar conductance on concentration C, Λ^0 is the limiting molar conductance, K_A is the association constant of the ion-pair, K_C is the dissociation constant, f_{\pm} is the mean ionic activity coefficient, S is a factor given by

$$S = \left[\frac{\beta \sqrt{C\Lambda}}{4\Lambda^{03/2}} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\beta^{2} C\Lambda}{4\Lambda^{03}}} \right]^{2} \dots$$
 (3)

$$\log f_{\pm} = \left[\frac{-1.8246 \times 10^{6} (C\alpha)^{1/2} / (\varepsilon T)^{3/2}}{1 + 50.24 \times 10^{8} R(C\alpha)^{1/2} (\varepsilon T)^{1/2}} \right]^{2} \dots (4)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{S\Lambda}{\Lambda^0} \qquad \dots \tag{5}$$

$$\beta = \frac{8.20 \times 10^5 \,\Lambda^0}{(\varepsilon T)^{3/2}} + \frac{82.5}{n(\varepsilon T)^{1/2}} \qquad \dots (6)$$

where R is ion-size factor which is identical to the Bjerrum's critical distance q specified by

$$R = q = \frac{e^2}{2\varepsilon kT} \tag{7}$$

k is the Boltzmann's constant and T is the temperature in degrees Kelvin. S is deliberate by Λ^0 obtained from the Onsager model using the plot of Λ_m against \sqrt{c} . The least four-sided figure analysis of the statistics (Λ_m and C) using the higher than two equations (1,2) is appropriate with linear association coefficients in the range 0.96-0.98.

RESULTS DISCUSSION

Limiting molar conductance

The limiting molar conductance values Λ^o thus obtained with the two models (eq. 1 and eq. 2) are presented in Table 1. These values amplify with boost in the temperature as expected. This is attributed to increase in the mobility of the ions due to increase in the temperature. The Λ^o values also depend on the composition of the binary solvent mixture. Adding up of Benzyl alcohol to water decreases Λ^0 worth. This can be due to reduce in the dielectric constant of the medium.

It is observed that the conductivity of the solution in each solvent system is increased with increase in the temperature.

Conclusions

Amongst the three dichromates studied Pyridinium dichromate the solvation number is observed to be higher compared to Quinolinium and Nicotinium dichromates. This may be attributed to small size of the Pyridinium ion which increases the charge density.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks to S R Engineering College for providing all necessary facilities for this work.

	Quinolinium dichromate												
	0% B	0% Benzyl		20% Benzyl		40% Benzyl		60% Benzyl		80% Benzyl		100% Benzyl	
T (K)	alcohol		alcohol		alcohol		alcohol		alcohol		alcohol		
	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	
288	121.66	122.27	101.03	10.614	80.45	80.24	64.19	64.17	76.91	77.32	45.73	44.53	
298	143.89	138.02	128.21	126.94	109.15	108.83	98.06	98.31	92.66	93.07	46.96	48.74	
308	154.66	154.93	146.91	139.55	125.52	139.30	115.94	116.93	98.54	98.62	49.49	49.33	
318	196.67	196.40	159.95	160.66	167.67	154.71	156.67	147.51	124.84	123.57	55.38	55.04	
							3						
	Pyridinium dichromate												
	0% Benzyl		20% Benzyl		40% Benzyl		60% Benzyl		80% Benzyl		100% Benzyl		
T(K)	alcohol		alcohol		alcohol		alcohol		alco	ohol	alcohol		
	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	
288	205.55	205.67	126.06	132.50	108.5	108.41	95.50	95.35	75.05	78.58	69.61	69.85	
298	221.58	222.80	176.98	175.56	140.35	137.35	112.05	112.25	95.80	90.65	72.08	71.73	
308	253.42	259.61	225.41	226.76	159.62	158.32	122.84	123.24	104.34	98.09	76.24	76.61	
318	303.43	307.27	252.61	254.71	219.88	219.19	158.29	159.57	128.85	121.30	85.42	84.81	

Nicotinium dichromate												
	0% Benzyl		20% Benzyl		40% Benzyl		60% Benzyl		80% Benzyl		100% Benzyl	
T(K)	alcohol		alco	hol	alco	hol	alco	ohol	alco	ohol	alcohol	
	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
288	127.77	127.27	115.03	113.14	98.45	97.24	84.19	84.17	79.91	79.32	48.31	47.86
298	154.66	154.93	138.21	136.94	119.15	118.83	108.06	108.31	99.66	97.07	55.56	55.75
308	173.71	179.40	156.76	155.53	145.52	139.30	123.94	123.93	104.76	104.56	60.24	60.25
318	199.66	198.50	169.49	169.57	158.67	158.51	151.51	154.84	124.42	132.56	67.11	67.24

1 = Kraus-Bray Model

2 = Shedlovsky model

Table 2. K_A and K_C values of Quinolinium, Pyridinium and Nicotinium dichromates in aqueous-Benzyl alcohol mixtures Ouinolinium dichromate

	0% B	0% Benzyl		20% Benzyl		40% Benzyl		60% Benzyl		80% Benzyl		100% Benzyl	
T (K)	alcohol		alcohol		alcohol		alcohol		alcohol		alcohol		
	\mathbf{K}_{A}	K_{C}	K_A	$K_{\mathbb{C}}$	\mathbf{K}_{A}	K_{C}	\mathbf{K}_{A}	K_{C}	K_{A}	$K_{\mathbb{C}}$	K_A	K_{C}	
288	54.67	0.02	31.60	0.03	21.12	0.05	35.33	0.04	35.57	0.03	61.65	0.02	
298	70.07	0.01	22.03	0.06	20.55	0.04	21.39	0.09	29.69	0.03	54.90	0.02	
308	28.24	0.02	13.56	0.04	19.90	0.05	16.20	0.13	29.86	0.05	45.61	0.02	
318	21.89	0.05	45.14	0.23	19.41	0.05	18.70	0.16	29.10	0.04	44.91	0.02	
Pyridinium dichromate													
	0% B	Benzyl 20% Benzyl 40% Benzyl					60% B	Benzyl	80% Benzyl		100% Benzyl		
T (K)	alco	alcohol		hol	alco	hol	alco	hol	alce	ohol	alco	hol	
	K_{A}	$K_{\mathbb{C}}$	K_{A}	K _C	K _A	K _C	K _A	K _C	K_A	K_{C}	K_A	$K_{\mathbb{C}}$	
200													
288	7.58	0.13	4.07	0.21	19.10	0.05	7.00	0.14	8.76	0.13	13.89	0.03	
288	7.58 15.20	0.13 0.11	4.07 6.73	0.21 0.15	19.10 19.88	0.05 0.05	7.00 6.59	0.14 0.16	8.76 10.29	0.13 0.12	13.89 7.73	0.03 0.03	

Nicotinium dichromate

	0% B	0% Benzyl		20% Benzyl		40% Benzyl		60% Benzyl		80% Benzyl		100% Benzyl	
T (K)	alcohol		alcohol		alcohol		alcohol		alcohol		alcohol		
	K_{A}	$K_{\rm C}$	K_{A}	$K_{\mathbb{C}}$	K_A	$K_{\mathbb{C}}$	K_A	$K_{\mathbb{C}}$	K_{A}	$K_{\rm C}$	K_{A}	$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{C}}$	
288	11.95	0.059	10.98	0.091	11.33	0.077	9.09	0.11	10.98	0.092	17.30	0.057	
298	10.78	0.091	11.24	0.081	12.00	0.088	8.89	0.12	15.87	0.082	32.80	0.031	
308	12.77	0.077	12.55	0.079	8.75	0.113	15.43	0.066	12.55	0.079	30.19	0.033	
318	5.76	0.174	6.34	0.15	12.01	0.084	11.33	0.088	13.16	0.075	33.82	0.030	

 K_A = Association constant from Shedlovsky equation. equation

 K_C = Dissociation constant from Kraus-Bray

REFERENCES

- 1. Glasstone S1965 An introduction to Electro Chemistry (Van Wostrand), (Vol.61)
- 2. Radhika, V, Manikyamba, P., Conductance and Solvation Behavior of Quinolinium Dichromate in Binary Mixtures of Water with, N-Dimethyl Formamide, J.Chem.Eng. Data, 53.2766 (2008)
- 3. Radhika, V, Manikyamba, P., Ion-solvation behavior of pyridinium dichromate in water N, N- dimethyl formamide mixtures, J. solution Chem., 41. 261(2012)
- 4. Sunil Kumar, J, V. Radhika, V, Srinivas, N, Manikyamba, P., Ion- Conductance and Solvation Behavior of Benzyl Trimethyl Ammonium Chloride in Aqueous- Ethanol Mixtures, International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology, 9(2).3212 (2019)
- 5. Radhika, V, Manikyamba,P., Solvation of quinolinium dichromate in aqueous-dimethyl sulphoxide mixture studied by viscosity and conductance, National academy of Sciences, Sect.A, 82(2). 137(2012)
- 6. Radhika, V, Manikyamba, P., Ion association and ion-solvation behavior of methyl, phenyl and benzyl trimethyl ammonium chloride in DMSO-WATER mixtures at 298K, Rasayan J. Chem., 12(4). 1816(2019)
- 7. Radhika, V., Ion- Solvation Behavior of Heterocyclic Dichromates in Aq-Organic Solvent Mixture, National academy of Sciences, Sect.A,http://doi.ogg/10.007/s 400100-019-00624-5, pp. online published. (2019)

- 8. Radhika, V, Manikyamba, P., Conductance and Solvation behavior of benzimidazolium dichromate in dimethyl sulphoxide water mixtures, Indian journal of Chemistry, 47A. 1814(2008)
- 9. Radhika, V, Manikyamba, P., Conductance and Ion-Solvation behavior of Sodium Sulfonates in aqueous-organic mixture, International Journal of Engineering Science Invention (IJESI), 7(5). 36(2018)
 - 10. Radhika, V., Conductance Study of Benzyl Bromide Reaction with Cyclic amines in Aqueous-Ethanol Medium, International journal of Engineering & Technology (IJESI), 7(303). 138(2018)
 - 11. Robinson R A & Stokes RH, 1959 Electrolyte Solutions (Butter worth's Scientific, London

