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Abstract: The widespread use of wireless sensor devices and their advancements in terms of size, deployment cost, measurement of environmental events and user friendly interface have given rise to many applications of wireless sensor networks (WSNs). WSNs are usually characterized as self-organizing networks which can be deployed without requiring any specific infrastructure in harsh and/or hostile area. In this paper are studied of different types of clustering protocol favors higher period in lieu of overall network lifetime. In cluster, a predetermined number of CHs are selected in deterministic fashion on the basis of residual energy of nodes. The focus is to balance the load among nodes and provide full network coverage.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The recent advancements in the technology and manufacturing of small and low-cost sensors have made application of these sensors technically and economically feasible [1]. These sensor nodes are designed to possess certain sensing, computing and wireless communication capabilities. These sensors measure ambient conditions in the environment surrounding them and then convert these measurements into signals that can be processed to reveal some information about phenomena located in the area around these sensors. A large number of these sensors can be networked in many applications that require unattended operations, hence creating a wireless sensor network (WSN) [2]. One of the benefits of remote sensors networks (WSNs) is their capacity to work unattended in brutal situations in which present-day human observing plans are hazardous, wasteful and now and then in attainable. Regularly, WSNs contain hundreds or thousands of these sensor hubs, and these sensors can convey either among one another or legitimately to an outer base station (BS). A greater number of sensors allow sensing over larger geographical regions with greater accuracy. Figure 1 shows a schematic diagram of sensor node components [3].

Basically, each sensor node comprises of sensing, processing, transmission, power units and optional units like location finding system. Sensor nodes are usually scattered in a sensor field in an area where the monitoring is required. Sensor hubs facilitate among themselves to create brilliant data about the physical condition. Every sensor hub puts together its choices with respect to its main goal, the data it right now has, and its information of its registering, correspondence, and vitality assets [4]. Each of these scattered sensor nodes has the capability to collect and route data either to other sensors or back to an external BS(s) [5].

A BS may be a fixed or mobile node capable of connecting the sensor network to an existing communications infrastructure or to the Internet where a user can have access to the reported data. Figure 2 shows the architecture of WSN with flat & hierarchical topology [6].

Despite the numerous applications of WSNs, these networks have several constraints, such as limited energy supply, limited computing power, and limited bandwidth in the wireless linkage between the connecting sensor nodes. One of the main design goals of WSNs is to carry out data communication from sensing field to base station, while trying to prolong the lifetime of the network and prevent connectivity degradation by employing severe energy management techniques [7, 8].
The plan of steering conventions in WSNs is impacted by many testing factors. These components must be defeated before productive correspondence can be accomplished in WSNs. Some of the routing challenges and design issues that affect routing process in WSNs are:

**Deployment of Nodes**: Node deployment in WSNs is application dependent and it affects the performance of the routing protocol. The deployment can be either predetermined or random in nature. In pre-determined deployment, the sensors are placed manually and data is routed through pre-determined paths. However, in random deployment, the sensor nodes are scattered randomly creating an infrastructure in an ad-hoc manner. If the resultant distribution of nodes is not uniform, optimal clustering becomes necessary to allow connectivity and enable energy efficient network operation with routing through multi-hop communication [9].

**Energy consumption**: Sensor nodes use up their limited supply of energy performing computations and transmitting information in a wireless environment. Sensor node lifetime is strongly dependent on the battery capacity. In a multi-hop WSN, each node plays a dual role as data sender and data router. The depletion of energy of a specific node may lead to malfunctioning and can further cause significant topological changes and sometimes, require rerouting of packets and reorganization of the network [10, 11].

**Data Reporting**: Data sensing and reporting in WSNs is the main purpose of its application. Data reporting varies with the application and its time criticality. Data reporting can be categorized as time-driven (continuous), event-driven (upon occurrence of an event), query-driven (query from the user), and hybrid. The design of the routing protocol is highly influenced by the data reporting model [12].

**Fault Tolerance**: Some sensor nodes may fail due to depletion of energy, physical damage, or environmental interference. The failure of such sensor nodes may lead to overall malfunction of the sensor network. In the event of node failures, routing protocols must configure to re-establish new links and routes to send the data to base stations without any disruption. This may require active adjustment of transmission power and data rates on the existing links to reduce energy consumption, or reroute packets through regions of the network where more energy is available [13, 14].

**Node Heterogeneity**: In several studies, it is assumed that all sensor nodes are homogeneous, i.e., having equal capacity in terms of computation, communication, and power. However, depending on the application, sensor nodes can have different role or capability. The existence of heterogeneous set of sensors can mitigate the burden of transmission of data to the BS. For example, hierarchical protocols designate a cluster-head node different from the normal sensors. These cluster heads can be chosen from the deployed sensors or can be more powerful than other sensor nodes in terms of energy, bandwidth, and memory [15].

**Scalability**: The number of sensor nodes deployed in the sensing area may be in the order of hundreds or thousands, or more. Thus, routing scheme must be able to work with any number of sensor nodes. In addition, sensor network routing protocols should be scalable enough to respond to dynamic changes in the environment [16].

**Network Dynamics**: In most of the applications, the sensor nodes in a network are assumed to be stationary. However, some applications demand mobility of either BS’s or sensor nodes (Ye et al 2002). Routing messages from or to moving nodes is more challenging since maintenance of route stability and network topology becomes an important issue, in addition to energy, bandwidth etc. Moreover, the sensed phenomenon can be either dynamic or static depending on the application, e.g., detection of moving target or forest monitoring for early fire prevention. Monitoring of static events generates traffic only when reporting and dynamic events require periodic reporting, and generate significant traffic in the network [17].

**Quality of Service**: In some applications, quality of the data delivered might be more important. In such cases, the delivery of data within the stipulated time is very essential or otherwise the data will be deemed useless. In time constrained applications, confined latency for data delivery is another condition. In general, many applications of WSN demand conservation of energy rather than the quality of data sent, in order to extend the network lifetime. As the energy gets depleted in sensor nodes, the quality of the data is compromised to obtain the reduction in energy dissipation in the nodes [18].

### II. LITERATURE REVIEW

NashreenNesa et al. [1], energy conservation is a challenging concern with the growing advancement in Internet of Things (IoT) technologies and is currently one of the attractive issues among researchers working in the IoT domain. In this paper, they address this issue by devising a dynamic sensor activation algorithm inspired by the popular PageRank algorithm called SensorRank. Unlike PageRank algorithm which only requires the outlinks to rank webpages, SensorRank, on the other hand, dynamically analyses the network topology in terms of relative distances and link qualities between devices and the remaining energies of the devices, based on which the sensors are ranked. Optimal subsets of sensors are activated that take part in data fusion and the inactivated sensor data are regenerated with the help of Compressing Sensing technique.

Xiuwen Fu et al. [2], in these routing protocols the routing survivability under harsh environments is questionable. To tackle this issue, in this paper by referencing the concept of potential field, they design an environment-cognitive multipath routing protocol (ECMRP) in order to provide sustainable message forwarding service under harsh environments. In ECMRP, routing decisions are made according to a mixed potential field in terms of depth, residual energy and environment. The basic idea of this approach is to instruct data packets to select routes with the tradeoff among latency, energy conservation and routing survivability. As the environmental field is constructed and updated using the sensing capability of WSN itself, constructed routes can avoid crossing through the danger zones to keep the paths safe. The experimental results show that ECMRP can obtain significant improvements in packet delivery ratio and network lifetime under harsh conditions.
Da-Ren Chen et al. [3], to effectively save energy and prolong network lifetimes, networks typically adopt clustering protocols with hierarchical inter-cluster topologies for network management and data acquisition in WSNs. However, such solutions require cluster re-configuration due to early death of cluster heads (CHs) and energy inefficiency. This paper proposes a coverage- and energy-aware protocol with intra- and inter-cluster methods called CEMST based on newly defined parameters for sensor overlapping and node density functions. In addition, to adapt network dynamics while improving energy efficiency, a self-stabilizing algorithm is proposed with the Boruvka algorithm to respectively construct minimum spanning trees (MST) for intra- and inter-cluster routes.

Wael Ali Hussein et al. [4], have been designed for scalar data such as sensor data; which is small in size compared to multimedia data. The addressed challenges were the motivation to design mobile reliable routing protocol based on greedy routing structure. In this paper, we propose a new routing protocol for greedy forwarding based on throughput energy aware multi-path routing protocol (GFTEM), which is based on selection of next hop node that has the highest throughput and closer to destination node. GFTEM performance is seen and compared against Ad hoc on-demand distance vector routing protocol (AODV), Dynamic MANET on-demand routing protocol (DYMO) and greedy perimeter stateless routing for wireless networks (GPSR).

Hsiang-Hung Liu et al. [5], one of the confines of a WSN is its constrained sensor hub vitality asset; this requires a vitality proficient steering convention that amplifies the general framework execution. Gossip directing is a great arbitrary walk steering convention that, tragically, isn't adaptable and can result in winding ways. They think about that the most brief separation between two is a straight line and that two straight lines in a plane are probably going to converge and create for WSNs an improved convention called straight-line steering (SLR), in which we build a straight way utilizing two-bounce data without the help of geographic data. SLR along these lines diminishes the vitality utilization of sensor hubs in WSNs. They propose upgraded plans to improve execution and monitor more vitality and, with broad reenactment results, show the viabiliy of these SLR plots in examination with talk steering.

Akshay Verma et al. [6], have proposed a Grid and Fuzzy based Stable Energy-efficient Clustering (GFSEEC) Algorithm for Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs). It provides better stability period, throughput and network life time than LEACH, SEP and DEEC Protocols. This algorithm significantly improves the performance of two level as well as multilevel heterogeneous WSNs. It has been observed that with increase in stability period, lifetime of network reduces i.e. there is trade-off between stability period and network lifetime. Also the throughput of network is affected by stability period. Thus to provide better trade-off between stability period and network lifetime, this work has been further carried out in proposed GFSEEC algorithm.

Uday Kumar Rai et al. [7], this paper present the application of Maximum Likelihood Estimation (ML) algorithm for the cluster head selection with an unequal clustering protocol. In wireless sensor network it is generally found that each sensor node has their own limitation of power source which is generally not rechargeable. Therefore, an energy efficient clustering, routing and transmission scheduling has always been a priority that reduces the energy hole problem and increase the network lifetime.

Zhangbing Zhou et al. [8], with the development of the Internet of Underwater Things, shrewd things are sent under the water and structure the submerged remote sensor systems (UWSNs), to encourage the disclosure of huge unexplored sea volume. A steering convention, which isn't costly in bundles sending and vitality utilization, is central for tactile information assembling and transmitting in UWSNs. As a rule, CARP does not consider the reusability of recently gathered tactile information to help certain area applications a while later, which initiates information bundles sending which may not be gainful to applications. These two research issues have been tended to by our E-CARP. Reenactment results approve that our method can diminish the correspondence cost fundamentally and increment the system capacity partially.

Mohammed Abo-Zahhad et al. [9], have mobile sink-based adaptive immune energy-efficient clustering protocol (MSIEEP) to alleviate the energy holes. A MSIEEP utilizes the versatile insusceptible calculation (AIA) to manage the portable sink dependent on limiting the all out disseminated vitality in correspondence and overhead control parcels. Additionally, AIA is utilized to locate the ideal number of group heads (CHs) to improve the lifetime and strength time of the system. The execution of MSIEEP is contrasted and the recently distributed conventions; to be specific, low-vitality versatile grouping chain of importance (LEACH), hereditary calculation based LEACH, alter LEACH, meeting, and portable sink improved vitality productive PEGASIS-based directing convention utilizing MATLAB. Reenactment results demonstrate that MSIEEP is progressively dependable and vitality productive as contrasted and different conventions.

Marwan Al-Jemeli et al. [10], presents a cross-layer activity model that can improve the vitality utilization and framework throughput of IEEE 802.15.4 MWSNs. The proposed model coordinates four layers in the system task: 1) application (hub area); 2) arrange (directing); 3) medium access control (MAC); and 4) physical layers. The area of the versatile hubs is inserted in the directing activity after the course revelation process. The area data is then used by the MAC layer transmission control to modify the transmission scope of the hub. This is utilized to limit the power used by the system interface to decrease the vitality utilization of the node(s). The model utilizes a system to limit the neighbor disclosure communicates to the dynamic courses as it were. Diminishing cut bundle communicates between the hubs lessens the system’s expended vitality. It additionally diminishes the occupation time of the remote channel. The model task drives the system to devour less vitality while keeping up the system parcel conveyance proportion.
## III. COMPARATIVE STUDY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Rank: An Energy Efficient Sensor Activation Algorithm for Sensor Data Fusion in Wireless Networks</td>
<td>Popular PageRank algorithm which only requires the outlinks to rank webpages and Sensor Rank</td>
<td>LND = 5 for active sensor = 10, AEG = 193 for active sensor = 90</td>
<td>Mobile sink-based Adaptive Immune Energy-Efficient Clustering Protocol for Improving the Lifetime and Stability Period of Wireless Sensor Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment - Cognitive Multipath Routing Protocol in Wireless Sensor Networks</td>
<td>Cognitive multipath routing</td>
<td>Packet Delivery ratio = 50% for 6000 sec, PLN = 12% for 6000 sec</td>
<td>An Energy Efficient Cross-Layer Network Operation Model for IEEE 802.15.4-Based Mobile Wireless Sensor Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Context-Aware and Energy Efficient Protocol for the Distributed Wireless Sensor Network</td>
<td>Adopt Clustering</td>
<td>Dead node = 40% for 700 round</td>
<td>Design and performance analysis of high reliability-optimal routing protocol for mobile wireless multimedia sensor networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Energy-Efficient Straight-Line Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks</td>
<td>Rumor routing is a classic random-walk routing</td>
<td>Delay = 6 for 5mps, 8 for 10 mps, 8 for 15mips and 8 for 20 mps</td>
<td>Grid and Fuzzy based Energy-efficient Clustering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Likelihood Estimation based Clustering Algorithm on Wireless Sensor Network A Review</td>
<td>Maximum Likelihood Estimation</td>
<td>Path discovery ratio = 1 and energy = 850000 for transmission range 140,</td>
<td>Maximum Likelihood Estimation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-CARP: An Energy Efficient Routing</td>
<td>Channel-aware routing</td>
<td>Pong packet size = 500 for 50% size, energy = 12300 for 1000 size</td>
<td>Protocol for UWSNs in the Internet of Underwater Things</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## IV. CLUSTER HEAD APPROACH

Considering \( E \) as the set of energy of all the \( N \) nodes, \( K \) is the expected number of clusters and \( X \) and \( Y \) are the set of locations of various nodes in the Wireless Sensor Network the algorithm for cluster head selection in EELACH-C works as follows:

Algorithm CH-Selection (\( E, N, K, X, Y \))
1. \( \text{Asc} = \text{sort}(E) \)
2. \( i = 1 \)
3. \( \text{while} i \leq N \) do
4. \( \text{if} E_i > E_{\text{Avg}} \) and \( i \leq k \) then
5. \( \text{Eligible}(i) = \text{True} \)
6. \( \text{else} \)
7. \( \text{Eligible}(i) = \text{False} \)
8. \( \text{end if} \)
9. \( i = i + 1 \)
10. \( \text{end while} \)
11. \( \text{if} \text{dist}_{ij} > \text{dist}_{ij} \) and \( \text{Eligible}(i) \) then
12. \( \text{CH}_{ij} = \text{CM}_{ij} \)
13. \( \text{end if} \)
14. \( \text{return}(\text{CH}_{ij}, \text{CH}_{ij}) \)

Here, we explain in detail our new energy efficient EELACH-C protocol whose goal is to increase the longevity of the network. Let us assume that all the sensor nodes are equipped with equal amount of initial energy. Assuming the total number of sensor nodes in the network as \( N \) and the probability of cluster heads elected from the set of \( N \) nodes as \( P_{CH} \), the expected number of Cluster head selected per round \( E(CH) \) in Static LEACH is given by

\[
CH_{\text{static}} = P_{CH} \times N
\] (1)

If the total number of rounds is \( R \); then the total number of cluster heads elected in LEACH is given by
\[ CH_{LEACH} = P_{CH} \times N \times R \] (2)

Assuming the threshold for residual energy as \( E_{Th} \), residual energy in nodes as \( ER \), and the probability of nodes having energy greater than threshold as \( P(ER>E_{Th}) \), then the new number of cluster heads selected (\( CH_{New} \)) in D-LEACH satisfying the condition \( E_{R}>E_{Th} \) is given by

\[ CH_{D-LEACH} = P(ER>E_{Th}) \times P_{CH} \times N \times R \] (3)

In the set-up phase of D-LEACH protocol, each node decides whether or not become a cluster-head in the first round based on probability, and from second round based on the residual energy of a node. This decision is made by the node \( n \) choosing a random number between 0 and 1. If the number is less than a threshold \( T(n) \) and residual energy greater than pre-set value, the node becomes a cluster-head for the current round. The threshold for the D-LEACH is set as:

\[ T(n) = \frac{p}{1-p(\text{random number})} \text{ if } n \in G \text{ and } E(n) \]

V. CONCLUSION

Scalability is an important aspect which is used to save the energy in WSNs. The proposal which is used to save the energy for small networks consisting of few nodes can also be applicable for large network, i.e., it should be adaptive to adjust for the larger network domain. There is another parameter named stability that cannot be ignored while designing an energy efficient routing protocol. Most of protocols sited in the literature improve the operational lifetime of the network by ignoring its effect on the robustness or stability period of the network. There is a tradeoff between operational lifetime and stability period of the network, which needs to be handled wisely.
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