

Role of Social Orientation and Culture in Emotion

Dr. Preeti P.Masih, Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, St. John's College, Agra

Abstract

Through cultural systems, emotions are understood as something, that is, as the object of our experiences, and as a diverse system of self-engaged signals. Knowing how we feel, learning about emotional experiences, quoting Walker Percy (1958), "conscious of something being something". And it always gives us back to the culture system, in which any object — emotion, person and event — is called something. In the current report, we study aspects of social orientation (i.e., self-reports of participation in social activities and prioritization of social and family goals) and how culture shapes emotions. Current study reports to identify social and cultural characteristics are associated with emotions. Emotions combine features of body, gesture and cultural meaning.

Keywords: social orientation, culture, emotions

Introduction

Talking about emotion comes to mind a very important question; culture and social influence affect emotions. Emotions are emotionally social or culturally, precisely because they are emergent qualities of social relations and socioeconomic processes. Society and culture can play a role in the acquisition and use of all categories of knowledge. Common sense suggests what socialization has to do with emotion. Certainly, what people say affects our feelings, and we can describe our feelings (or the feelings seen in others). However, it is generally believed that this is the connection between culture and emotion. Yet increasing psychological research suggests that the role of language can be deeply rooted in emotions, compared to those previously thought or researchers. Catherine Lutz (1988, p. 5) argues that emotional experience is "not antecedent, but antecedent cultural." Henry Tajfel (1972) wrote a famous letter with the title 'Use in a vacuum', referring to the tendency of psychologists in general and social psychologists to study the individual in particular, such as That he was in a social subject zero place. The same logic can be applied to emotion theorists and researchers. There are some respectable exceptions (see De Rivera, 1977; Parkinson, 1996), but the general tendency has been to study emotional events at the level of a socially isolated individual. It seems strange, given the strongly social quality of emotion. This social quality is evident from three simple observations.

First, emotions are 'intentional', in the sense that they are always about 'something': they have an object, and that object is very often social. This is an individual (a rival for the affection of your loved one), a social group (an organization that does inspiring work in developing countries), a social event (Wales winning a Grand Slam in a Six Nations rugby tournament), or a social Or cultural artwork (a piece of music). Of course, we sometimes experience emotions in response to non-social stimuli (fear of heights or spiders), but social objects are more likely to be the source of our everyday emotions than non-social objects (Scherer et al.), 1986).

Second, many emotions are either inherently or functionally social, in which either they will not be experienced in the absence of others, or they feel that we have no other function than to bind others. Emotions such as compassion, empathy, maternal love, affection and appreciation are those that depend on others who are physically or psychologically present. Fear of rejection, loneliness, embarrassment, guilt, shame, jealousy, and sexual attraction are feelings that form their primary function as seeking or cementing social relationships.

Third, when we experience emotions, we have a strong tendency to share them with others. In an extensive program of research, Bernard Rimé and his colleagues have studied what they call the 'social sharing' of emotions. Using a mixture of questionnaires, diaries, and experimental methods, they have shown that highly emotional experiences

are shared with others, shared with many others, and triggering events (Rieme et al., 1991) Is shared immediately after. Furthermore, this sharing of emotions with others elicits emotional reactions in the listener, which itself is an interesting phenomenon, depending on the listener's tendency to empathize with the participant. And the emotions experienced by the audience are shared with third parties, a phenomenon that Christoph and Rimi (1997) call 'secondary social sharing'. There is an interesting contradiction here. We share our emotional experiences, some of which can be painful or shocking, with the intimate because we trust them not to share our secrets with others. And yet these intimate people are the same ones who are likely to sympathize with us and therefore experience feelings for ourselves as a result of listening to what we do. This makes it likely that they will engage in secondary social sharing

Emotion

Emotions are the driving force of any person's life. Human emotions are never purely biological or personal. They are always in social context. Either we learn from the social institutions around us or are reactive to them. More than simply revealing psychological process forms of discourse — and in particular, styles of so-called emotional expression — help to constitute social understanding and explicitly internal processes. To be an individual belongs to a group, participation in all human communities emphasizes performance and sharing of cultural sensibilities. Through history, changing emotions, quasi-forms or social life also means changing others. All man-made cues (from the tears of language use) fall under the influence of possible cues. Emotion arises from the sense that a person connects to an event.

Social orientation

As Sebastian Kentillo states (2016), social orientation refers to the theory that explains why an individual has special behaviors, relationships, and adaptations with other people and / or society.

Also referred to as social dominance orientation in some disciplines, professionals use this principle to predict behavior, particularly with intergroup attitudes and behaviors. In law, social orientation takes into account the well-being of society besides customer satisfaction.

A social system exists between any two or more people who have a common purpose or orientation and interact within a limited scope or area. Examples of social systems include family groups, neighborhoods, governments, and regions.

Social orientation is self-report of engaging in social activities and prioritizing social and family goals, which then shape emotions. In the context of social science, emotions are "social objects" (McCarthy 1989). The sociology-of-emotions task entails how emotions are differentiated, socialized, and managed socially. Asch, Milgram, Sherif – proven the methods wherein situational forces have an impact on person perceptions, judgments and actions. We may want to not forget about the energy of social norms whilst it got here to the attitude–behavior relationship. Steven Gordon (1981, 1989), one of the first actual to systematize a sociology of emotions, recognized what he termed the "socially emergent homes of emotion that go beyond mental or physiological explanation." Reasoning as Durkheim did, those emergent dimensions, he argued, are explicable best when it comes to different social phenomena.

Culture

The four I's cultural version turned into evolved through Hazel Rose Markus and Alana Conner in their book *Clash! 8 Cultural Conflicts That Make Us Who We Are*. In it, they talk over with the collectively constitutive nature of culture and person as a "culture cycle." The culture cycle includes 4 layers (Individuals, Interactions, Institutions and Ideas) of cultural have an impact on that assist to provide an explanation for the interplay among self and culture.

Individuals

The first "I" issues how a person thinks approximately and expresses itself.

Interactions

Interactions with different humans and merchandise support cultural behaviors on a day by day basis.

Institutions

The subsequent layer of culture is made of the establishments wherein everyday interactions take place.

Many psychologists trust that cultural peculiarities play a crucial position in emotion manifestations, in troubles of emotional subject and emotion lexicons. Cultural psychology is the observe of ways cultures mirror and form the mental tactics in their members. Semiotic observe of culture is directed towards the observe of symbolic and signifying structures via which a social order is communicated and reproduced. The significant use nowadays of "cultural practices" is large in numerous respects. First, as a time period its goal is to locate "culture" in acts or practices dispersed all through the social order, in what was referred to as formal and casual institutional settings. Rather than in "consciousness" or in non-fabric social records as historically conceived, culture is observable in practices.

Review of Literature

In this chapter, there is a focus on a fundamental property of social orientation and culture referring to emotion.

According to Gary Lupyan (2012), study” Much of human communication involves language-What consequences does such labeling have on cognitive and perceptual processes? I review evidence indicating that verbal labels do not simply point or refer to nonlinguistic concepts, but rather actively modulate object representations that are brought on-line during “nonverbal” tasks. Using words to refer to concrete objects affects the learning of new categories, memory for and reasoning about familiar objects categories, and even basic visual processing. Object representations activated by verbal means appear to be different, and specifically, more categorical, than ostensibly the same object representations activated by nonverbal means. A connectionist model of “language augmented thought” provides a computational account of how labels may augment cognitive and perceptual processing.”

Sungok Serena Shim, Cen Wang& Jerrell C.Cassady (2013), highlighted in their study how “self-esteem and social achievement goals affect individuals’ emotions independently and jointly using the data collected from 367 college students. Social development goals were related to positive emotions (i.e., love and joy). Social demonstration-avoid goals were related to maladaptive patterns (low levels of joy but high levels of fear, shame and sadness). Social demonstration-approach goals were positively associated with joy but had null relations with all other emotions. The results indicated that social development goals buffered students with low self-esteem against negative emotions and amplified the positive emotional experiences. In contrast, social demonstration-avoid goals were especially harmful for students with low self-esteem.”

Manstead & Edwards (1992) examined the ways in which children’s ability to recognize emotions from facial expressions (which would nowadays be regarded as an aspect of ‘emotional intelligence’) influenced their social acceptance or rejection by their peers at school.

In a study conducted by Fischer, Manstead, Rodriguez and Mosquera (1999), examined the ways in which cultural values influence the experience and expression of emotion and the ways in which facial displays during emotion are shaped as much by the social context in which they occur as by the emotion being experienced.

According to Rubin, Kenneth H. (1998), "to better understand developmental norms, and deviations thereof, researchers typically focus on individual (e.g., temperament), interactional (e.g., parenting behaviors), and relational (e.g., attachment, friendship) levels of analysis. Often forgotten, however, is the extent to which cultural beliefs and norms play a role in the interpretation of the acceptability of individual characteristics and the types and the ranges of interactions and relationships that are likely or permissible. This special issue comprises four sections in which culture is examined insofar as it relates to the aforementioned levels of social analysis: "Emotional Development," "Parenting and Parent-Child Relationships," "Social Cognition and Social Relationships," and "Social and Emotional Adjustment and Maladjustment."

Conclusion

From these researches we are able to conclude that in most cases, emotions cannot be single from the mental object meanings during which they're tough and expressed. mental object factors play a vital role in feeling as a result of social norms and culture supports the abstract data want to build that means of sensations from the body and society during a given context. Social relations and interactions influence perception of our own emotions likewise as others. the actual cultural and philosophical contexts during which emotions square measure known and planted, the institutional and discursive moorings inside that emotions and also the self-square measure tough as what they very square measure, that is, however they're put together thought and best-known to be and the way they're purported to be felt. Emotions square measure "preeminently cultural" (Lutz 1988, p.5) and, therefore, subject to social and political forces that render them "natural" (Crapanzano 1992, p. 12). The point then isn't what proportion culture matters. For culture doesn't represent emotions by degree. the purpose is however culture matters. As culture is that the assemblage of these discourses inside that emotions come back to be. Building on the accessible researches we have a tendency to conclude that social orientation and culture play a vital role in shaping behavior. way more has to be learned, however, concerning the underlying mechanisms.

References

1. Lindquist, K. A., MacCormack, J. K., & Shablack, H. (2015). The role of language in emotion: predictions from psychological constructionism. *Frontiers in psychology*, 6, 444. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00444>
2. Lupyan, G. (2012) What Do Words Do? Toward a Theory of Language-Augmented Thought. *Psychology of Learning and Motivation*. Volume 57, 2012, Pages 255-297. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-394293-7.00007-8>
3. Lupyan, G. (2012a). Linguistically modulated perception and cognition: the label-feedback hypothesis. *Front. Psychol.* 3:54. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00054
4. Borghi, A. M., and Binkofski, F. (2014). Words As social Tools: An Embodied View on Abstract Concepts. *SpringerBriefs in Cognition Series*. New York: Springer. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-9539-0
5. Lindquist, K.A; MacCormack, J.K.and Shablack, H. (2015). The role of language in emotion: predictions from psychological constructionism. HYPOTHESIS AND THEORY ARTICLE *Front. Psychol.*, 14 April 2015 | <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00444>
6. Ekman, P., and Cordaro, D. (2011). What is meant by calling emotions basic. *Emot. Rev.* 3, 364–370. doi: 10.1177/1754073911410740
7. Panksepp, J. (2011). Empathy and the laws of affect. *Science* 334, 1358–1359. doi: 10.1126/science.1216480
8. Shariff, A. F., and Tracy, J. L. (2011). What are Emotion Expressions for? *Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.* 20, 395–399. doi: 10.1177/0963721411424739
9. Fontaine, J. R. J., Scherer, K. R., and Soriano, C. (2013). "A paradigm for a multidisciplinary investigation of the meaning of emotion terms," in *Components of Emotional Meaning: A Sourcebook*, eds J. R. J.

- Fontaine, K. R. Scherer, and C. Soriano (Oxford: Oxford University Press). doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199592746.001.0001
10. Wilce, J.M. (2009). *Language and Emotion*. Cambridge University Press. Retrieved from [https://www.google.co.in/books/edition/Language and Emotion/0hItA8MRqy0C?hl=en&gbpv=1&printsec=frontcover](https://www.google.co.in/books/edition/Language_and_Emotion/0hItA8MRqy0C?hl=en&gbpv=1&printsec=frontcover)
 11. McCarthy, E.D. (1994). *The Social Construction of Emotions: New Directions from Culture Theory*. Sociology Faculty Publications.4. https://research.library.fordham.edu/soc_facultypubs/4
 12. Gordon, S. L. 1981."The Sociology of Sentiments and Emotions. "Pp.551-75 in *Social Psychology: Sociological Perspectives*. Edited by M. Rosenberg and R. Turner. New York: Basic.
 13. Gordon, S.L. 1989. "Institutional and Impulsive Orientations in the Selective Appropriation of Emotions to Self." Pp.115-35 in *The Sociology of Emotions*, edited by D. D. Franks and E. D. McCarthy. Greenwich, q: JAI Press.
 14. Lutz, C. A. 1988. *Unnatural Emotions*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
 15. Crapanzano, V. 1992.*Hermes'Dilemma and Hamlet's Desire*.Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
 16. Percy,W. 1958."Symbol,Consciousnessand Intersubjectivity."*Journalof Philosophy*55:631- 41
 17. Tajfel, H. (1972). Experiments in a vacuum. In J. Israel & H. Tajfel (Eds.) *The context of social psychology: A critical assessment* (pp.69–119). London: Academic Press.
 18. Parkinson, B. (1996). Emotions are social. *British Journal of Psychology*, 87, 663–683.
 19. de Rivera, J. (1977). *A structural theory of emotions*. New York: International Universities Press.
 20. Scherer, K.R., Wallbott, H. & Summerfield, A.B. (1986). *Experiencing emotion*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
 21. Rimé, B., Mesquita, B., Philippot, P. & Boca, S. (1991). Beyond the emotional event: Six studies of the social sharing of emotion. *Cognition and Emotion*, 5, 435–465.
 22. Christophe, V. & Rimé, B. (1997). Exposure to the social sharing of emotion. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 27, 37–54.
 23. Manstead, T. (2005). The social dimension of emotion. *The psychologist*, 18, 484-487. Retrieved from <https://thepsychologist.bps.org.uk/volume-18/edition-8/social-dimension-emotion>
 24. Manstead, A.S.R. & Edwards, R. (1992). Communicative aspects of children's emotional competence. In K.T. Strongman (Ed.) *International review of studies on emotion*, Vol. 2 (pp.167–195). Chichester: Wiley.
 25. Fischer, A.H., Manstead, A.S.R. & Rodriguez Mosquera, P. (1999). The role of honor-related versus individualistic values in conceptualizing pride, shame and anger: Spanish and Dutch cultural prototypes. *Cognition and Emotion*, 13, 149–179.
 26. Gerstorff,D., Löckenhoff, C.E.,Schupp, J., Hoppmann, C.A., Infurna, F.J.&Wagner, G.G.(2016). *Psychology and Aging*. American Psychological Association. Vol. 31, No. 2, 149 –165 0882-7974/16/\$12.00 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/pag0000072>
 27. Shim, S.S., Wang, C.& Cassady, J.C. (2013). Emotional well-being: The role of social achievement goals and self-esteem. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 55(7), 840-845 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2013.07.004>
 28. Rubin, K. H. (1998). Social and emotional development from a cultural perspective. *Developmental Psychology*, 34(4), 611–615. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.34.4.611>
 29. Cantillo, S.(2016). *Social Orientation*. Prezi. Retrieved from <https://prezi.com/usvnf8oi5yjl/social-orientation/>
 30. Heine, S. J. (2011). *Cultural Psychology*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.
 31. Markus, H. R., & Conner, A. C. (2013). *Clash! Eight Cultural Conflicts that Make Us Who We Are*. New York: Penguin (Hudson Street Press)
 32. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cultural_psychology#:~:text=Cultural%20psychology%20is%20the%20study,i%20also%20shaped%20by%20them.