



The Role of Instrumentation in Urbanization: An Expanded Comprehensive Survey on Green Infrastructure, Sustainability, and Human Well-being

¹Manoj D. Khediya, ²Nitinkumar R. Prajapati, ³Sunil Nayak

¹Assistant Professor, ²Assistant Professor, ³Assistant Professor

^{1,2,3}Instrumentation and Control Engineering Department,

^{1,2}Vishwakarma Government Engineering College, ³SAL Institute of Technology and Engineering Research, Ahmedabad, India

Abstract : The accelerating pace of global urbanization, projected to encompass two-thirds of the world's population by 2050, places immense strain on infrastructure, natural resources, and public health. Green Infrastructure (GI) has emerged as a vital strategy to mitigate urban environmental pressures, improve resilience, and enhance social well-being. The optimization and scalability of GI depend heavily on instrumentation technologies that transform passive green assets into adaptive, data-driven ecosystems. This paper provides a comprehensive survey of instrumentation technologies, analyzing Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs), remote sensing, and automation systems applied in urban contexts. The manuscript presents a comparative evaluation of sensor trade-offs, monitoring parameters, and case evidence from diverse cities. We synthesize how instrumented GI contributes to resource efficiency (e.g., 20–25% water savings), high-resolution environmental monitoring (air quality detection improvements), climate resilience (local temperature mitigation and runoff reduction), economic co-benefits, and enhanced human well-being. The paper concludes with practical recommendations and a roadmap for embedding instrumentation as a foundational layer of sustainable, livable cities.

Index Terms - Instrumentation, Green Infrastructure, Smart Cities, IoT, Wireless Sensor Networks, Sustainability, Happiness, Climate Resilience, Urban Monitoring

I. INTRODUCTION

Rapid global urbanization—already well underway—continues to accelerate: current United Nations projections indicate that roughly two-thirds of the world's population will be urban by 2050, a shift that places unprecedented pressure on built infrastructure, natural resources, and public services [1]. This demographic transformation requires a paradigmatic move beyond incremental fixes toward integrated Sustainable Urban Development (SUD) frameworks that combine engineering systems with ecological design and governance solutions [19]. Within this SUD agenda, Green Infrastructure (GI)—conceived as a strategically planned network of natural and semi-natural areas (parks, urban forests, street trees, green roofs/walls, wetlands, and permeable surfaces)—has emerged as a multifunctional solution able to address climate adaptation, stormwater management, air pollution, biodiversity loss, and human well-being [11], [15]. Mechanistically, GI delivers benefits through several well-understood processes. Vegetation provides shading and evapotranspiration that moderate local temperatures (mitigating the Urban Heat Island effect), captures particulate and gaseous pollutants on foliage and in soils, increases infiltration and detention of stormwater (reducing peak runoff), and creates habitat continuity that supports urban biodiversity. Instrumented monitoring and evaluation are essential to quantify these effects: in-situ hydrological and thermal sensors validate stormwater retention and cooling services, while remote-sensing indices (e.g., NDVI) and high-resolution imagery demonstrate spatial and temporal changes in vegetation health and coverage [6], [10], [15]. Beyond ecosystem services, GI confers measurable social and psychological benefits. A growing body of epidemiological and environmental-psychology research demonstrates that proximity to quality green space—particularly within short walking distances—correlates with reduced perceived stress, improved mood and cognitive recovery, increased physical activity, and strengthened social cohesion [5], [7], [8], [11]. Empirical studies report that residents living near accessible, well-maintained green areas exhibit substantially better self-reported well-being indicators and lower stress markers compared with vegetation-deprived neighborhoods; these effects are strongest where green spaces are safe, inclusive, and routinely maintained [5], [7], [8]. However, the ecological and social gains from GI are not automatic: they hinge on quality, distribution, and maintenance. Instrumentation and control engineering play a decisive role here. Advances in MEMS and low-cost sensing, coupled with robust wireless networking, have made wide-area environmental monitoring feasible and affordable; IoT sensor suites now provide continuous measurements of air pollutants (PM_{2.5}, NO₂, O₃), microclimate parameters (air/soil temperature, humidity), soil moisture, and hydrological flows, while remote sensing (satellite and UAV) maps canopy cover and vegetation health at multiple scales [2], [15], [16], [17]. These sensor feeds, transmitted via energy-efficient protocols and LPWANs, are aggregated into cloud platforms where data fusion, calibration against reference stations,

machine-learning models, and digital-twin simulations convert raw signals into operational intelligence for planners and maintenance crews [6], [13], [17]. On the control side, closed-loop systems—such as predictive irrigation controllers using Model Predictive Control (MPC)—translate sensing into automated actions (valve modulations, irrigation scheduling, and targeted maintenance) that optimize resource use (notably water—field studies report average savings on the order of 20–25% from sensor-driven irrigation versus fixed schedules) and preserve the ecological performance and aesthetics that deliver social benefits [9], [12]. Instrumentation also enables participatory and transparent governance: live public dashboards and community monitoring programs increase visibility of environmental conditions, foster stewardship, and support equitable prioritization of green investments across neighborhoods [4], [13]. In short, green infrastructure is necessary but not sufficient for resilient, healthy cities—its optimization requires the instrumentation layer that turns static green assets into adaptive, evidence-driven ecosystems. By linking multi-scale sensing with analytics and automated control, cities can ensure that GI delivers reliable cooling, cleaner air, stormwater mitigation, biodiversity support, and—importantly—equitable improvements in human well-being. The rest of this paper examines instrumentation technologies, performance trade-offs, and case evidence that demonstrate how sensor-enabled GI can be planned, managed, and scaled to meet urban sustainability and happiness objectives.

Problem Context and Research Gap

While many studies have validated GI's environmental and social benefits, a critical gap persists: few works provide an integrated perspective linking sensor-level instrumentation performance to broader urban sustainability and human well-being outcomes. Prior reviews have tended to focus separately on ecological science (e.g., carbon sequestration, UHI mitigation) or technological innovations (e.g., IoT irrigation systems, remote sensing), rather than synthesizing how instrumentation collectively contributes to resource efficiency, human happiness, and equity in green distribution. For example, reviews of smart irrigation report water-use savings between 20–50% through soil-moisture sensor automation [9], [12], but rarely connect these efficiencies with downstream social benefits such as equitable maintenance budgets in underserved neighborhoods. Similarly, remote sensing studies emphasize NDVI-based mapping accuracy and classification methods [15], but seldom link canopy coverage metrics with citywide happiness indices or public-health datasets [7], [11]. Policy research often frames GI as a planning paradigm or governance challenge [19], yet it sometimes lacks grounding in the technical performance and operational constraints of the instrumentation required to deliver and sustain GI services. Without such integration, planners risk designing visionary systems that are technically under-optimized or socially inequitable. This paper fills the gap by explicitly linking instrumentation to multi-dimensional outcomes. Specifically, it contributes by: Providing a comparative analysis of instrumentation technologies (IoT sensors, WSNs, remote sensing.), Evaluating monitoring parameters and sensor trade-offs (accuracy, cost, stability, calibration needs), Synthesizing evidence of instrumentation's contribution to social well-being, resource efficiency, and climate resilience.

II. CORE RESEARCH SURVEY: INSTRUMENTATION TECHNOLOGIES FOR URBAN GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE

Figure 1 illustrates the systematic integration of instrumentation technologies within sustainable urban environments to enable data-driven city management. The conceptual framework demonstrates how IoT sensor networks deployed across green infrastructure elements facilitate real-time environmental monitoring and automated response systems.



Figure 1. Instrumentation Framework for Sustainable Urbanization: An integrated IoT-enabled environmental monitoring system showing distributed sensors on green roofs, parks, and streets; wireless transmission to LPWAN gateways; cloud-based analytics and digital twins; and automated control outputs for irrigation, alerts, and urban planning dashboards

The diagram presents a comprehensive view of modern sustainable urbanization, where distributed sensing technologies monitor critical parameters including air quality, soil moisture, and noise levels. These sensors transmit data wirelessly to a centralized Smart City Control System, which processes environmental information through cloud-based analytics platforms. The system generates automated management responses including optimized irrigation control, air quality alerts, and energy efficiency measures. The framework emphasizes the measurable sustainability outcomes achievable through instrumentation-enabled urban management, including improved environmental quality, enhanced resource efficiency, and increased climate resilience. This integrated approach demonstrates how technological instrumentation serves as a critical enabler for achieving quantifiable sustainability goals in contemporary urban development.

Instrumentation Technologies for Sustainability

The evolution of micro-electromechanical systems (MEMS) has driven a revolution in low-cost, miniaturized, and power-efficient sensors, enabling economically viable city-wide monitoring [2]. Table 1 summarizes instrumentation technologies relevant to GI.

Table 1. Instrumentation technologies for Green Infrastructure monitoring

Technology	Function in GI	Key Development Trends	Example Reference
IoT / WSN	Real-time distributed monitoring of air, soil, water, noise	Focus on low-power protocols (LoRaWAN, NB-IoT) [5]	Rashid & Rehmani (2016) [5]
Remote Sensing	NDVI-based vegetation mapping, UHI analysis	Drone-based high-resolution imaging [2], [23]	Morar et al. (2022) [2]
Automation Control Systems	Smart irrigation, water management	Integration with cloud-based MPC algorithms [13]	Velmurugan et al. (2020) [13]

- **IoT / WSN** — *Function*: Real-time distributed monitoring of air, soil, water, and noise. *Trends*: Focus on LPWAN protocols such as LoRaWAN and NB-IoT for long-range, low-power communication. *Representative literature*: Rashid & Rehmani (WSN survey) [2]; Tramontano et al. (WSN in urban green monitoring) [16].
- **Remote Sensing** — *Function*: NDVI-based vegetation mapping and UHI analysis. *Trends*: Growing use of drone-based high-resolution imaging and ML classification. *Representative literature*: Morar et al. (spatiotemporal analysis) [15].
- **Automation & Control Systems** — *Function*: Smart irrigation, hydrological control, and resource optimization. *Trends*: Integration with cloud platforms and MPC algorithms for predictive water management. *Representative literature*: Velmurugan et al. (IoT irrigation) [9]; Yuan et al. (automation in urban water systems) [6].

The rapid miniaturization and cost reduction in MEMS sensors have catalyzed widespread pilot deployments and early city programs. Nonetheless, durability, sensor drift, and environmental exposure remain practical concerns for long-term deployments; in many cases, maintenance protocols and on-site calibration remain essential parts of any sustainable monitoring program.

Monitoring Parameters and Comparative Analysis

Air Quality Monitoring (AQM)

Air quality is a central environmental metric when evaluating GI's benefits. GI can filter particulate and gaseous pollutants, but verifying these benefits requires robust instrumentation. Urban AQM moved toward denser deployments of low-cost electrochemical and optical sensors to improve spatial resolution beyond that of sparse reference stations; however, low-cost devices require careful calibration and environmental compensation to approach reference accuracy [2], [17].

Table 2. Comparative Analysis of Air Quality Sensors

Sensor Type	Pollutants	Principle	Trade-off	Key Limitation	Representative Source
Reference Stations	NO ₂ , O ₃ , PM	Chemiluminescence, β -attenuation	High accuracy, high cost	Poor spatial density	Standard monitoring practice (reviewed in [17])
Electrochemical	NO ₂ , O ₃ , CO	Oxidation/reduction cells	Low cost, moderate accuracy	Cross-sensitivity, drift	Discussed in [2], [17]
Optical (laser scattering)	PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀	Light scattering	Low cost, easy deploy	Humidity interference, needs calibration	Practical comparisons in [17]
MOS (Metal Oxide)	VOCs, CO	Resistance change	Very low cost	Low selectivity	General WSN discussion [2]

The major challenge was data quality. Research demonstrated that low-cost sensors can be useful when combined with co-location calibration, environmental compensation (temperature/humidity), and ML-based correction models [17].

Soil and Water Monitoring

Efficient irrigation underpins GI performance and longevity. Capacitance/FDR-based soil moisture sensors emerged as the practical choice for urban deployments because of their balance of cost, stability, and ease of integration into automated irrigation systems [9].

Table 3. Comparative Analysis of Soil Moisture Sensors

Sensor Type	Principle	Accuracy / Stability	Typical Application
Capacitance / FDR	Dielectric permittivity	High / Good	Smart irrigation for parks, green roofs [9]
TDR	Wave propagation	Very high / Excellent	Research-grade deployments [6]
Tensiometers	Soil suction	Moderate	Direct plant-available water measure, maintenance-heavy

Smart irrigation systems integrating FDR sensors, local micro-weather stations, and predictive control achieved significant water savings (typical savings reported in field studies: 20–25% compared to fixed schedules) [9], [12].

III. GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE COMPONENTS AND INSTRUMENTATION APPLICATIONS

Smart Irrigation Systems: Instrumentation transforms irrigation into precision irrigation by integrating:

- **Sensor nodes:** Distributed FDR soil moisture and temperature probes.
- **Gateways:** LPWAN/LoRaWAN or cellular gateways to relay data to cloud services.
- **Actuators:** Electrically controlled valves for zone-specific irrigation.
- **Control algorithms:** MPC and data-driven scheduling that ingest weather forecasts to avoid unnecessary irrigation (e.g., preemptive shutdowns when rainfall is forecast).

Field studies and commercial deployment reported typical water savings of 20–25% with sensor-guided strategies; more advanced systems reported even higher savings under optimal tuning [9], [12].

Green Roofs and Walls: Green roofs and vertical gardens mitigate UHI and retain stormwater. Instrumentation packages for these elements commonly include substrate temperature sensors, moisture probes, and runoff flow meters to quantify retention and cooling co-benefits. Remote sensing and drone surveys complement in-situ monitoring by providing high-resolution maps of vegetation health across multiple rooftops and walls [15], [3], [16].

Instrumentation for Social Well-being and Happiness

Instrumentation ensures that GI translates into tangible psychological and social benefits in several ways through:

1. **Performance Measurement:** Sensors provide objective evidence of environmental enhancements (reduced PM_{2.5}, lower surface temperatures, reduced runoff), enabling linkage between environmental change and health outcomes [17], [6].
2. **Community Engagement:** Participatory monitoring (mobile apps, citizen sensors) captures perceptions and usage patterns, revealing how people interact with GI and how those interactions relate to social cohesion and satisfaction [4], [5].
3. **Health Impact Assessment:** Combining environmental sensor data with health surveys enables assessment of reductions in stress, respiratory symptoms, and mental-health indicators attributable to nearby GI [7], [11].
4. **Social Equity Analysis:** Spatial sensor networks expose disparities in environmental quality and GI access, informing targeted investments to redress inequities [13].
5. **Longitudinal Studies:** Repeated monitoring supports before/after analyses of GI interventions on both environmental metrics and community well-being.
6. **Data Visualization and Communication:** Public dashboards translate sensor outputs into accessible metrics (AQI, soil moisture status), fostering trust and stewardship [13].
7. **Feedback Mechanisms:** Instruments enable two-way feedback: maintenance teams receive operational alerts, and communities provide usage or satisfaction feedback to planners.

Table 4. Instrumentation linkages to social well-being outcomes

Parameter	Instrumentation	Social Outcome
Noise pollution[5]	IoT sound level meters	Lower stress, improved concentration
Air quality[7]	Electrochemical / optical sensors	Reduced respiratory risk, lower anxiety
Microclimate[6]	Temperature / humidity sensors	Greater outdoor usage, community interaction

Furthermore, public dashboards displaying live AQI and GI performance create visible accountability, increasing public engagement and support for GI investments [13].



Figure 2. Process Flow Framework for Instrumentation in Sustainable Urbanization: A five-stage process flow from GI inputs → distributed sensors → Smart City Control System (cloud + AI) → automated actions (irrigation, alerts) → measured outcomes (air quality, water savings, wellbeing).

Case Studies

Singapore — Sensor-informed Urban Greening: Singapore’s long-standing “City in a Garden” strategy pairs ambitious urban greening with data-driven management approaches. While comprehensive instrumentation programs vary by agency and pilot, national and municipal reports indicate increased emphasis on sensor networks, remote monitoring, and integrated urban-green management to sustain canopy health and support urban biodiversity and human well-being [14], [19]. Property-market analyses also show economic co-benefits where GI investments are substantial [18].

Implication: integrated policy and instrumentation supports long-term stewardship in land-constrained tropical cities.

Barcelona (Spain) — Dense IoT Air Quality Deployments: Barcelona and other European cities have invested in denser deployments of low-cost air quality sensors to complement sparse reference networks; these denser networks improve spatial resolution of pollution maps and assist targeted interventions such as low-emissions zones and vegetation buffers [17].

Implication: sensor density and near-real-time mapping enable responsive urban air-quality management and better targeting of green corridors.

Portland, Oregon (USA) — Monitored Green Street

Portland’s Green Streets program integrates bioswales, vegetated curb extensions, and permeable pavements. Instrumented monitoring—flow meters and soil saturation sensors—has documented substantial stormwater retention and runoff reduction, with monitoring reports indicating large reductions (e.g., substantial site-level runoff mitigation) when compared to conventional grey systems [20][21].

Implication: instrumented GI provides quantitative evidence that supports scale-up and investment in green stormwater infrastructure.

IV. Research Findings & Technical Specifications

Dimension	Finding	Quantitative Evidence	Representative Sources
Water Efficiency	IoT-enabled smart irrigation optimizes delivery	20–25% reduction in water use vs. fixed schedules	[9], [12],[22]
Air Quality Monitoring	Distributed WSNs improve pollutant mapping accuracy	Improved detection and spatial resolution when calibrated	[2], [17],[22]
Social Happiness	Proximity to GI improves mental health	15–25% reduction in perceived stress for residents near GI	[5], [7], [8], [11],[22]
Climate Resilience	Instrumented GI moderates microclimate & hydrology	2–5 °C local cooling; substantial runoff reductions in monitored sites	[6], [20],[22]
Economic Outcomes	GI raises property desirability	5–15% higher values near substantial GI investments	[18], [14],[22]

Technical note: many of these quantitative figures come from site-level pilots, meta-analyses, or modelling studies up currently. Transferability depends on local climate, species selection, soil depth, maintenance regimes, and sensor calibration protocols.

Future Research Directions: Machine Learning for Happiness in Greener Areas

Although the evidence demonstrates that instrumented GI enhances environmental quality and social well-being, there remains significant opportunity to advance this field through machine learning (ML) approaches that directly link environmental sensor data with human happiness metrics. Future research can build upon the reviewed instrumentation frameworks in several directions:

1. **Happiness Index Modelling:** ML algorithms—such as random forests, support vector machines, or deep learning models—can be trained on multimodal datasets (air quality, microclimate, noise levels, and vegetation indices) alongside survey-based happiness scores. By integrating environmental parameters with subjective well-being surveys, predictive models can estimate a “Happiness Index” for urban green areas.
2. **Spatial-Temporal Prediction of Well-being:** Combining IoT/WSN sensor feeds with remote sensing data offers potential for spatio-temporal mapping of happiness hotspots. Models could forecast how changes in GI (e.g., canopy expansion, new green corridors) affect surrounding communities’ psychological well-being over time.
3. **Citizen-Sourced Data Integration:** Mobile applications and participatory sensing platforms can enable residents to self-report mood, stress levels, and frequency of green-space use. Integrating these subjective responses with objective sensor data allows personalized ML models that capture local variation in how greenery impacts happiness.
4. **Equity and Inclusion in Model Design:** ML models must account for socio-economic and demographic variables. Future work should emphasize fairness-aware ML approaches that identify disparities in access to and benefits from GI, ensuring that predicted happiness indices do not reinforce existing inequalities.
5. **Digital Twins for Happiness Optimization:** Integration of ML-driven happiness indices into urban digital twin platforms would allow planners to simulate how new GI interventions (e.g., park creation, green street retrofits) are likely to influence both environmental quality and social well-being. This capability could guide investment decisions and ensure evidence-based prioritization of projects with the highest social returns.
6. **Validation and Longitudinal Studies:** Long-term, repeated data collection—combining sensor measurements, health records, and citizen surveys—will be necessary to validate ML models. This will enable researchers to distinguish short-term psychological responses from sustained well-being impacts of urban greenery.

In summary, ML-enabled approaches represent a natural progression of instrumented GI research: moving from descriptive monitoring (environmental parameters) to predictive and prescriptive analytics (happiness outcomes). By fusing real-time sensor data with subjective human experience, future urban systems could be designed not only to sustain ecological resilience but also to maximize human flourishing in green cities.

IV. CONCLUSION

Instrumentation transforms static green infrastructure (GI) into intelligent, adaptive ecosystems that continuously sense, analyse, and respond to evolving urban conditions. By leveraging IoT sensors, Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs), remote sensing, and advanced control strategies, cities can monitor the health of green assets, optimize resource use (notably water), and enable evidence-based decision making that advances environmental resilience and human well-being. The body of research provides strong evidence supporting instrumentation's value: IoT-enabled irrigation systems routinely report water savings in urban deployments; distributed sensor networks enable improved air-quality mapping and detection when properly calibrated; and proximity to accessible GI is associated with measurable improvements in happiness and stress reduction. Instrumentation also contributes to climate adaptation by moderating local temperatures and materially reducing stormwater runoff in instrumented green street examples while economic analyses show property value uplift in areas with substantial, well-maintained GI. Beyond operational metrics, instrumentation enhances governance, equity, and transparency. Public dashboards, participatory monitoring, and open data platforms make environmental conditions visible to communities and decision makers, enabling targeted investments and reducing the risk that GI benefits accrue only to privileged neighborhoods. Thus, instrumented GI links ecological performance with social outcomes and provides the accountability mechanisms necessary for inclusive, long-term urban sustainability. Recommendations: policymakers and urban managers should embed instrumentation early in GI project cycles (planning, pilot, scaling), allocate budgets for sensor maintenance and calibration, prioritize equitable sensor placement in underserved neighborhoods, and invest in data systems (interoperability, digital twins, ML calibration) that translate sensor signals into actionable decisions.

Instrumented GI is not an end in itself but a foundational layer for smart, liveable cities—providing measurable pathways to environmental stewardship, public health, and social well-being. Embedding instrumentation as a standard practice in GI planning and management will help ensure that sustainability is not an abstract aspiration but a quantifiable and equitable reality.

REFERENCES

- [1] United Nations, *World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision*, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, New York, 2019.
- [2] B. Rashid and M. H. Rehmani, "Applications of wireless sensor networks for urban areas: A survey," *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, vol. 60, pp. 192–219, Jan. 2016.
- [3] J. G. Allen, P. MacNaughton, U. Satish, S. Santanam, J. Vallarino, and J. D. Spengler, "Green buildings and health," *Current Environmental Health Reports*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 250–258, Sep. 2015.
- [4] PatrasSense, "Participatory monitoring of environmental conditions in urban areas using sensor networks and smartphones," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 3224–3234, 2011.
- [5] J. Jennings and O. Bamkole, "The relationship between social cohesion and urban green space: An avenue for health promotion," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 16, no. 3, p. 452, Feb. 2019.
- [6] Z. Yuan, M. Rauch, A. Kolios, and M. Short, "Sweating the assets – The role of instrumentation, control and automation in urban water systems," *Water Research*, vol. 155, pp. 381–402, May 2019.
- [7] O. H. Kwon, I. Hong, J. Yang, D. Y. Wahn, W. S. Jung, and M. Cha, "Urban green space and happiness in developed countries," *EPJ Data Science*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 28, May 2021.
- [8] American Psychological Association, "Nurtured by nature," *Monitor on Psychology*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 50–57, Apr. 2020.
- [9] S. Velmurugan, V. Balaji, T. M. Bharathi, and K. Saravanan, "An IoT based Smart Irrigation System using Soil Moisture and Weather Prediction," *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 1–6, May 2020.
- [10] Y. Barwise and P. Kumar, "Designing vegetation barriers for urban air pollution abatement: A practical review for appropriate plant species selection," *npj Climate and Atmospheric Science*, vol. 3, p. 12, 2020.
- [11] M. Braubach, D. Egorov, P. Mudu, T. Wolf, C. Ward Thompson, and M. Martuzzi, "Green and blue spaces and mental health: New evidence and perspectives for action," World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen, 2021.
- [12] Ko, S., & Lee, D. (2021). Effectiveness of Green Infrastructure Location Based on a Social Well-Being Index. *Sustainability*, 13(17), 9620. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13179620>
- [13] NIUA, "CSCAF in Monitoring Urban Green Spaces," *C-Cube Blog*, Feb. 2022.
- [14] World Green Building Council, *Better Places for People in India: Our Research Shows Green Buildings Support Healthier, Happier Lives*, Research Report, Mar. 2022.
- [15] C. Morar, T. Lukić, A. Valjarević, L. Niemets, S. Kostrikov, K. Sehida, I. Telebienieva, L. Kliuchko, P. Kobylin, and K. Kravchenko, "Spatiotemporal analysis of urban green areas using change detection: A case study of Kharkiv, Ukraine," *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, vol. 10, p. 823129, Mar. 2022.
- [16] Tafa, Z. (2022). WSNs in environmental monitoring: Data acquisition and dissemination aspects. In A. R. Hurson (Ed.), *Advances in computers* (Vol. 126, pp. 65–149). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.adcom.2021.11.010>
- [17] J. Á. Martín-Baos, C. Rodríguez-Donate, L. M. Fernández-Ahumada, and E. Ramírez-Juidías, "IoT based monitoring of air quality and traffic using regression analysis," *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 115, p. 108282, Jan. 2022.
- [18] F. Dell'Anna, M. Bravi, and M. Bottero, "Urban green infrastructures: How much did they affect property prices in Singapore?," *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, vol. 68, p. 127475, 2022.
- [19] TERI, *Global Urban Solutions for Sustainable Smart Cities in an Indian Context*, Knowledge Product, The Energy and Resources Institute, New Delhi, 2021.
- [20] City of Portland, *Green Streets Program Monitoring and Assessment*, Bureau of Environmental Services, Portland, OR, 2021.
- [21] Rodríguez-Valencia, A., & Ortiz-Ramírez, H. A. (2021). Understanding Green Street Design: Evidence from Three Cases in the U.S. *Sustainability*, 13(4), 1916. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13041916>
- [22] Monteiro, R., Ferreira, J. C., & Antunes, P. (2022). Green Infrastructure Planning Principles: Identification of Priorities Using Analytic Hierarchy Process. *Sustainability*, 14(9), 5170. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14095170>