JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

A comprehensive Survey of Micro Machining

[1] Amol Sathe, [2] Aditi Jadhav

[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical, MIT , Aurangabad
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of IT, MGM University, Aurangabad

Abstract

A thorough analysis of the literature, particularly from the previous 15 years, has been given in order to better understand the mechanics of the rapidly expanding subject of micromachining. The two techniques covered in this study that involve tools are microcutting and microelectro discharge machining (micro EDM). This study carefully examines the key technological advancements related to cutting tools, tool materials, process conditions, experimental work, surface integrity, and applications that have contributed to modern progress.

Introduction

The fundamental tool in micro engineering for creating tiny parts is micromachining. It is a collection of techniques for developing systems, gadgets, and structures with features on the order of micrometres. The majority of the technologies used in micro-manufacturing are already existing technologies that have been scaled down to create smaller structures, gadgets, and systems (Chae et al., 2005). Micro size fuel cells, micro scale pumps, micro fluidic systems, micro moulds, sub microscopic actuators and sensors, and medical devices are some specific uses of these technologies (Liu et al., 2004a; Weck et al., 1997). The following subheads were used to categorise the micromachining processes: mechanical removal, melting and vaporisation, ablation, dissolution, plastic deformation, solidification, lamination, and recomposition. Table 1 lists the main techniques categorised as micromachining.

Table 1 Major methods of micromachining

Principle	Methods
Force	Cutting, grinding, USM
Melting vaporization	EBM, LBM
Ablation	LBM (excimer, short pulse)
Dissolution	ECM, photo etching
Plastic deformation	Punching, press
Solidification	Moulding, casting
Lamination	Stereolithography
Recomposition	Electroforming

The development and tendencies in the field of micromachining are reviewed in this essay. The topics described in this paper—which is limited to micro EDM and micro cutting.. Although much of the work addressed here is centred on the developing topic of micromachining, some of the work presented here has its roots in ultra-precision investigations.

Micro cutting

Micromechanical cutting is a method for creating miniature devices and components with features that range from tens of micrometers to a few millimetres in size. Even though the mechanical micro cutting process may not be capable of obtaining the smallest feature sizes used in lithographic processes, mechanical cutting processes are very important in bridging the macro-domain and the nano- and micro-domains for making functional components. This is especially true for complex microstructures requiring a variety of materials, interfaces and functional shapes to form micro systems that function within the macro-domain (Chae et al., 2005). The principle of micro cutting is similar to those of conventional cutting operations. The work piece is machined by micro tools.

Cutting tools

Generally, for ultra precision cutting, diamond tools are often used, but have a limited ability to machine ferrous materials. Diamond has high chemical affinity for ferrous materials, which causes severe wear, and hence in micro cutting, it is used for non-ferrous machining operations (Shabouk and Nakamoto, 2003). Many micro tools are made of Tungsten Carbide (WC), which has high hot hardness and strength. Onikura et al. (2000) produced an 11 µm diameter micro carbide tool by ultrasonic vibration grinding. Schaller et al. (1999) produced end mills of diameters ranging from 35 µm to 120 µm. Using the finite element method, Fang et al. (2003) investigated various micro carbide tool geometries and concluded that semi-circular based end mills are better than triangular or the conventional two-fluted end mills. **Cutting force**

The cutting power decides the apparatus redirection and feed rate (Kim et al., 2004; Dow et al., 2004). Lucca and Search engine optimization (1991) tentatively resolved that the shearing system couldn't represent all of the noticed energy while machining Sans oxygen High-Conductivity (OFHC) copper at little upsides of profundity of cut. They showed that the furrowing and flexible recuperation of the workpiece along the flank face of the instrument assume a huge part while machining with chip thickness values moving toward the edge radii of the cutting supplements. They saw that the particular slicing energy expected to machine at extremely low chip thickness values couldn't be made sense of by the energy expected for shearing and for conquering grinding on the rake face of the device. The meaning of furrowing under these circumstances was utilized to make sense of the expansion in cutting energy. Kim and Kim (1995) showed logically that in large scale machining, shear happens along the shear plane, and in micromachining, the shear happens around the forefront. Their symmetrical miniature cutting power logical model considered the recuperation of work piece along the freedom of the apparatus and the furrowing impact by the instrument edge sweep. They assessed the versatile impact by mimicking the cutting powers and reasoned that the cutting powers are not the same as the sharp edged model. Liu et al. (2004b) found that the constrained vibration of the apparatus and versatile recuperation of the work piece adds to the size of cutting power at low feed rate. They likewise researched the impact of low feed rates and found that extremely low feed rates brought about insecurity because of versatile diversion of the work piece. This, thusly, makes variety in chip thickness, which brings about hole arrangement. Lucca et al. (1993) concentrated on the impact of a solitary precious stone, jewel device edge calculation rake point and apparatus edge sweep on the subsequent cutting and push powers and explicit energy in ultra accuracy symmetrical flycutting on copper. Both the ostensible rake point and the device edge profile were found to essentially affect the subsequent powers and the energy disseminated over a scope of whole chip thicknesses from 20 µm to 10 nm. At the point when the whole chip thickness moved toward the size of the edge sweep, the successful rake point seemed to decide the subsequent powers. At little whole chip thickness, the powerful instead of the ostensible rake point directs the course of the resultant power. The instrument wear was found to altogether affect push powers when the profundity of cut was underneath the apparatus edge sweep.

Taminiau and Dautzenberg (1991) observed that the particular cutting powers were reliant just on the proportion of the whole chip thickness to the state of the art sweep when the whole chip thickness was more modest than the edge range. In view of the deliberate explicit cutting energy, the yield shear pressure of the work piece material was assessed. The worth of the yield shear pressure in high accuracy slicing was viewed as two times as high as the worth in traditional harsh cutting for a similar work piece material. The creators credited the distinction to the presence of a higher strain rate in high accuracy cutting.

Bao and Tansel (2000) fostered an insightful micromilling cutting power model for the computation of chip thickness considering the way of hardware tip and disregarding the negative rake point impact, flexible plastic misshapening of work piece or the avoidance of the apparatus.

Minimum chip thickness

Least chip thickness of cut is characterized as the base undeformed thickness of the chip eliminated from the work surface at a state of the art under ideal execution of the framework (Ikawa et al., 1991a, 1991b). Weule et al. (2001) called attention to the presence of least chip thickness and its huge impact on the attainable surface harshness in miniature end processing. A sawtooth like surface profile was seen which was credited to least chip thickness impact. The base chip thickness to edge range proportion for micromachining was assessed to be to be 0.293. They further noticed that the base chip thickness was firmly reliant upon material properties.

Kim et al. (2002) performed full space cutting on β-metal utilizing a 635 μm miniature end plant with feed rates from 0.188 mm/woodwind to 6 mm/woodwind and contrasted the chips and the ostensible chip volume for various feed rates. It was found that for tiny feed rates the deliberate chip volume was a lot bigger than the ostensible chip volume, showing that a chip isn't shaped with each pass of the cutting tooth, which was likewise settled by inspecting the distance between the feed blemishes on the machined surface.

Liu et al. (2004a) and Kim et al. (2004) showed that there is flexible twisting of the work piece during the micromachining system and the profundity of cut or take care of should be over a specific basic chip thickness before the development of a chip. The connection between instrument range and least chip thickness relies upon state of the art sweep and material progression of the work piece. Estimating least chip thickness straightforwardly is truly challenging. Integrating the base thickness idea, Vogler et al. (2004) fostered a cycle model of miniature end processing for the expectation of surface harshness. The base chip thicknesses were found, utilizing the Limited component recreation instrument, to be 0.2 and 0.3 times the edge sweep for Pearlite and Ferrite, separately.

Child et al. (2005) found the base thickness, in light of the apparatus edge range and grinding coefficient between the work piece and device. They systematically tracked down that the base chip thickness $hm = Re[1 - \cos(\pi/4 - \beta/2)]$ where β is the grating point (i.e., contact force/typical power = FU/FV) between the device and whole work piece and Once again is the cutting apparatus range. They likewise saw that a consistent chip was produced at the base chip thickness with the best surface completion.

Tool wear

Truth be told, exceptionally restricted work had been directed on device wear observing at the miniature size level. Tansel et al. (2000a, 2000b) assessed device wear in micromachining of steel and aluminum and found a more slow wear rate for aluminum than for steel. Prakash et al. (2001) found that for the covered miniature end factory, the flank wear toward the finish of the front line is the most noteworthy and that the feed rate and cutting velocity have more critical impact over miniature cutting apparatus than the hub profundity of cut. Weinert and Petzoldt (2004) researched the impact of hardware size on instrument wear utilizing SEM. Rahman et al. (2001) tracked down in miniature processing of copper, that for little profundity of cut (0.15 mm), the device wear rate was higher than a huge profundity of cut (0.25 mm).

Burr formation

Byrne et al. (2003) detailed that in processing, the kinematics of the apparatus as it exits from the work piece impacted burr development because of plastic distortion (i.e., bowing) of chips as opposed to shearing. Lee and Dornfeld (2002) completed trial concentrates on miniature burr arrangement, in processing aluminum 6061-T6 and copper 110. A scope of various chip burdens and profundities of cut, utilizing 127 µm, 254 µm and 635 µm device measurements, was thought of. The burr sizes were subjectively estimated utilizing SEM. They talked about various burr development types in miniature processing and ordinary processing. Banner sort, rollover type, wavy sort and battered type burr were seen in the miniature processing of aluminum and copper. The turn over type burr on device entrance and the banner kind burr on the apparatus exit were viewed as relatively greater than in the ordinary processing process, taking into account the proportion of burr size to chip load. This distinction is because of low cutting pace and enormous edge sweep to-chip load proportion in miniature processing. The creators additionally noticed that up-processing delivered more modest top burrs than down-processing. As the profundity of cut, and feed rate expanded inside the concentrated on range, the burr size was found to increment.

Schaller et al. (1999) analyzed the ways of eliminating burrs from metal and treated steel miniature parts. Metal was covered with cyanacylate polymeric material. This makes up for the shortfalls around the edges of the work piece where burrs structure, permitting the slicing apparatus generally to be locked in with work piece or cyanacylate, permitting the slicing device generally to be locked in with the work piece or cyanacylate layer. At last, subsequent to machining, the cyanacylate is eliminated with CH3)2CO as a ultrasonic shower. For hardened steel, they utilized electrochemical cleaning methods to eliminate burrs. The cycles to limit miniature burrs are essential however costly.

Surface integrity (analysis of microstructure)

Since the length size of the translucent grain size of most usually utilized designing materials, like steel, aluminum, and so on, is between 100 nm and 100 µm and the element size of the miniature machined part is of an equivalent request, the material microstructure impacts will assume a significant part in micromachining. In ultraprecision machining, a run of the mill cutting profundity of a couple of micrometers is normal. With such a little profundity of cut, chip development happens inside the singular grains of a polycrystalline material. Moriwaki et al. (1991) found that the crystallographic direction influences the chip development process as far as the greatness of the shear point and the cutting powers in machining copper with different profundities of cut. The shear point was found to arrive at values as high as 60°. Ueda and Iwata (1980) explored the impacts of crystallographic direction on cutting execution during jewel cutting of metal. They noticed a lamella structure on the free surface of the chip and detailed the development of spasmodic chips in a specific scope of crystallographic directions. They noticed shear point varieties from 15° to 60°, with changes in crystallographic direction. The cutting powers and surface harshness values were additionally seen to rely upon material anisotropy. Vogler et al. (2001) performed series of full-space end processing tests on both single stage ferrite and malleable iron. They found the presence of high recurrence parts in the bendable iron trials however not in the Ferrite or Pearlite tests and these high recurrence parts are expected to the multiphase microstructure. The surface unpleasantness values for multiphase pliable iron work pieces were bigger than that for the single stage material over the inspected scope of cutting circumstances. The expanded surface harshness was credited to the interfered with chip development that happens as the state of the art moves between the different stages. This speculation was upheld by the recurrence range of the surface follow.

To et al. (1997) directed jewel turning of single-gem aluminum bars with the crystallographic tomahawks typical to , and utilizing a precious stone instrument with a 0° rake and a 5° freedom point. They saw that the best surface completion was gotten in machining precious stones with the {100} planes as the cutting planes, though the {110} planes would bring about the most elevated surface unpleasantness because of the greatest cutting powers and huge extents of power varieties. As per the creators, the surface unpleasantness was considerably impacted by the crystallographic direction, however not altogether impacted by the profundity of cut over the reach utilized in the examinations.

Arefin et al. (2004) did a review to research the impact of cutting circumstances and instrument edge radii for nano-scale bendable mode cutting of silicon wafers utilizing single-gem precious stone devices with edge radii going from 23 nm to 807 nm. The creators brought up that two circumstances should be fulfilled for malleable mode cutting of silicon wafers; the jewel apparatus edge sweep ought to be more modest than an upper bound (tentatively observed to be around 807 nm) and the undeformed chip thickness ought to be not exactly the state of the art range.

Micro EDM

In miniature EDM, the peculiarity of the traditional EDM process is applied at the micron level for micromachining. In regular EDM, how much material eliminated is an element of the energy which crosses the release hole higher energy brings about a higher evacuation rate yet in addition in a harsher surface, in light of the pits abandoned in the workpiece. In miniature EDM, the key is to restrict the energy in the release. Since little energy is the central issue to make miniature items with high precision and great surface completion, the energy per single release is limited and release recurrence is expanded. Miniature EDM can deal with materials, for example, silicon and ferrite which have high unambiguous obstruction and have the issue of breaking when handled by the customary EDM process. This capacity of miniature EDM makes it assume an extremely vital part in the production of miniature mechatronic frameworks and other miniature parts fabricating ventures.

Micro EDM process optimisation

Today, the most effective machining strategy is determined by identifying the different factors affecting the micro EDM process and seeking different ways of obtaining the optimal machining condition and performance.

The micro EDM process is a complex machining process controlled by a large number of process parameters such as pulse duration, discharge frequency and discharge current intensity. Any slight variations in the process parameters can affect the machining performance measures such as surface roughness and MRR, which are the most significant aspects of the EDM operation (Guo et al., 2002). Liu et al. (2005) found that under constant peak current the Electrode Wear Rate (EWR) decreased with increase in electrode gap voltage.

Hybrid Machining Processes (HMPs)

There are various Half breed Machining Cycles (HMPs) looking for the consolidated benefit of WEDM with other machining methods. One such blend is Wire Electrical Release Crushing (WEDG), which is regularly utilized for the micromachining of fine poles used in the electronic hardware. WEDG utilizes a solitary wire manual for limit the wire pressure to the release region between the bar and the front edge of the wire and to limit the wire vibration. Subsequently, it is feasible to crush a bar that is basically as little as 5 µm in breadth (Masuzawa and Tonshoff, 1997) with high precision, great repeatability and palatable straightness (Masuzawa et al., 1985). Different benefits of WEDG incorporate the capacity to machine a bar with a huge perspective proportion, keeping up with the concentricity of the bar and giving a more extensive decision of intricate shapes, like tightened and ventured shapes at different segments (Masuzawa et al., 1994). A few creators have utilized the WEDG cycle in the micromachining of fine terminals or pins with an enormous viewpoint proportion, which are challenging to be machined by customary accuracy micromachining techniques, for example, miniature EDM, LIGA and excimer laser boring. A portion of the HMPs look to further develop the WEDM execution estimates like surface trustworthiness and current rate. For instance, ultrasonic vibration is applied to the wire anode to further develop the surface completion quality along with the ongoing rate and to lessen the leftover weight on the machined surface (Guo et al., 1997). Then again, the Wire Electrochemical Crushing (WECG) process replaces the electrical release utilized in WEDG with an electrochemical answer for produce a high surface completed quality part for an extensive variety of machining conditions (Masuzawa et al., 1994). Qu et al. (2002a, 2002b) and Rhoney et al. (2002) analyzed the surface completion quality acquired from the WECG with WEDG, which is appropriate for completing miniature parts. A rotational pivot was likewise added to WEDM to accomplish a higher MRR and to empower the age of freestyle barrel shaped calculations. The impacts of the different cycle boundaries, for example, part rotational speed, wire feed rate and heartbeat on-time on a superficial level uprightness and roundness of the part delivered have been researched in a similar practicality study.

Conclusion

This paper has given a survey of the writings of the most recent 15 years that on the whole characterize the science base necessities to make sense of the peculiarities special for micromachining. In light of this appraisal, a portion of the perceptions concerning the previous work are advertised. From limited component examination of different miniature carbide apparatus calculations it was found that semi-roundabout end factories are superior to three-sided or customary two fluted end plants and the cutting powers in micromachining are viewed as not exactly that from regular sharp edged models. In ultra accuracy symmetrical fly cutting on copper, the device wear is found to fundamentally affect push force, when profundity of cut is underneath the apparatus edge span. It is likewise found that the crystallographic directions influence the chip arrangement process as far as the greatness of shear point and the cutting powers. In miniature processing of copper it is viewed that as, for little profundities of cut, the device wear rate is higher than that for a huge profundity of cut and the size of burr is viewed as relatively greater than in ordinary processing. The particular cutting powers were reliant just on the proportion of the whole chip thickness to the state of the art range when the whole chip thickness was more modest than the edge span. For a similar work piece material, the worth of yield pressure in high accuracy slicing is viewed as two times as high as the worth in customary unpleasant cutting. In miniature processing, the cutting power model for estimation of least chip thickness and the cycle model for the expectation of surface harshness have been created. The advancement of fresher and more extraordinary materials has tested the practicality of the miniature WEDM process. Consequently, nonstop improvement is to be made to broaden the machining ability, efficiency and effectiveness.

References

[1] Arefin, S., Liu, K., Li, X.P. and Rahman, M. (2004) ‘Cutting conditions and tool edge radius for nanoscale ductile cutting of silicon wafer’, Proc. 2004 Japan-USA Symposium on Flexible Automation, CD, Paper No. UL_031, pp.421–450.

[2] Azizur Rahman, M., Rahman, M., Senthil Kumar, A. and Lim, H.S. (2005) ‘CNC microturning: an application to miniaturization’, International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture, Vol. 45, pp.631–639.

[3] Bao, W.Y. and Tansel, I.N. (2000) ‘Modeling micro-end-milling operations: Part1: analytical cutting force model’, International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture, Vol. 40, pp.2155–2173.

[4] Byrne, G., Dornfeld, D. and Denkena, B. (2003) ‘Advancing cutting technology’, Annals of CIRP, Vol. 52, pp.483–507.

[5] Chae, J., Park, S.S. and Freiheit, T. (2005) ‘Investigation of micro-cutting operations’, International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture, Vol. 20, pp.1–20.

[6] Dow, T.A., Miller, E.L. and Garrard, K. (2004) ‘Tool force and deflection compensation for small milling tools’, Precision Engineering, Vol. 28, pp.31–45.

[7] Fang, F.Z., Wu, H., Liu, X.D. and Liu, Y.C. (2003) ‘Tool geometry study in micromachining’, Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering, Vol. 13, pp.726–731.

[8] Guo, Z.N., Lee, T.C., Yue, T.M. and Lau, W.S. (1997)‘A study of ultrasonic – aided wire electrical discharge machining’, Journal of Materials Processing Technology, Vol. 63 Nos. 1–3, pp.823–828.

[9] Guo, Z.N., Lee, T.C., Yue, T.M. and Lau, W.S. (2002) ‘Experimental investigation into shaping particle-reinforced material by WEDM-HS’, Journal of Materials Processing Technology, Vol. 129, Nos. 1–3, pp.56–59.

[10]Huang, S.H., Huang, F.Y. and Yan, B.H. (2005) ‘Fracture strength analysis of micro WC-shaft manufactured by micro-electro-discharge machining’, International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, Vol. 26, pp.68–77.

[11]Ikawa, N., Donaldson, R.R., Komanduri, R., Koenig, W., Aachen, T.H., McKeown, P.A., Moriwaki, T. and Stowers, I.F. (1991a) 'Ultraprecision metal cutting, the past, the present, and the future', Annals of the CIRP, Vol. 40, pp.587–594.

[12]Ikawa, N., Shimada, S., Tanaka, H. and Ohmori, G. (1991b) 'Atomistic analysis of nanometric chip removal as affected by tool-work interaction in diamond turning', Annals of the CIRP, Vol. 40, pp.551–554.

[13]Kim, B., Schmittdiel, M.C., Degertekin, F.L. and Kurfess, T.R. (2004) 'Scanning grating micro interferometer for MEMS metrology', Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering, Vol. 126, pp.807–812.

[14]Kim, C.J., Bono, M. and Ni, J. (2002) 'Experimental analysis of chip formation in micro-milling', Trans. NAMRI/SME, Vol. 30, pp.1–8.

[15]Kim, D.J., Yi, S.M., Lee, Y.S. and Chu, C.N. (2006) 'Straight hole micro EDM with a cylindrical tool using a variable capacitance method accompanied by ultrasonic vibration', Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering, Vol. 16, pp.1092–1097.

[16]Kim, J.D. and Kim, D.S. (1995) 'Theoretical analysis of micro-cutting characteristics in ultra-precision machining', Journal of Materials Processing Technology, Vol. 49, pp.387–398.

[17]Lee, K. and Dornfeld, D.A. (2002) 'An experimental study on burr formation in micro milling aluminum and copper', Trans. NAMRI/SME, Vol. 30, pp.1–8.

[18]Li, Y., Guo, M., Zhou, Z. and Hu, M. (2002) 'Micro electro discharge machine with an inchworm type of micro feed mechanism', Journal of the International Societies for Precision Engineering and Nanotechnology, Vol. 26, pp.7–14.

[19]Liu, H.S., Yan, B.H., Chen, C.L. and Huang, F.Y. (2005) 'Application of micro-EDM combined with high-frequency dither grinding to micro-hole machining', International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture, Vol. 20, pp.1–8.

[20]Liu, X., DeVor, R.E., Kapoor, S.G. and Ehman, K.F. (2004a) 'The mechanics of machining at the micro scale: assessment of the current state of the science', Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering, Vol. 126, pp.666–678.

[21]Liu, X., Jun, M.B., DeVor, R.E. and Kappor, S.G. (2004b) 'Cutting mechanisms and their influence on dynamic forces, vibrations and stability in micro-end milling', Proceedings of the ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition, Anaheim California, November, pp.13–20.

[22]Lucca, D.A. and Seo, Y.W. (1993) 'Effect of tool geometry on energy dissipation in ultraprecision machining', Annals of the CIRP, Vol. 43, pp.83–86.

[23]Lucca, D.A., Rhorer, R.L. and Komanduri, R. (1991) 'Energy dissipation in the ultraprecision machining of copper', Annals of the CIRP, Vol. 40, pp.69–72.

[24]Masuzawa, T. and Tonshoff, H.K. (1997) 'Three-dimensional micromachining by machining tools', Annals of the CIRP, Vol. 46, No. 2, pp.621–628.

[25]Masuzawa, T., Fujino, M., Kobayashi, K., Suzuki, T. and Kinoshita, N. (1985) 'Wire electro-discharge grinding for micro-machining', Annals of CIRP, Vol. 34, No. 1, pp.431–434.

[26]Masuzawa, T., Kuo, C.L. and Fujino, M. (1994) 'A combined electrical machining process for micronozzle fabrication', Annals of the CIRP, Vol. 43, No. 1, pp.189–192.

[27]Moriwaki, T., Sugimura, N., Manabe, K. and Iwata, K. (1991) 'A study on orthogonal micromachining of single crystal copper', Transaction of the NAMRI/SME, Vol. 19, pp.177–183.

[28]Onikura, H., Ohnishi, O. and Take, Y. (2000) 'Fabrication of micro carbide tools by ultrasonic vibration grinding', Annals of the CIRP, Vol. 49, pp.721–728.

[29]Prakash, J.R.S., Senthil Kumar, A., Rahman, M. and Lim, S.C. (2001) 'A model for predicting tool life for coated micro end mill', Proc. Fourth International Machining and Grinding Troy, Michigan, 7–10 May, pp.149–158.

[30]Qu, J., Shih, A.J. and Scattergood, R.O. (2002a) 'Development of the cylindrical wire electrical discharge machining process, part 1: concept, design and material removal rate', Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering, Vol. 124, No. 3, pp.702–707.

[31]Qu, J., Shih, A.J. and Scattergood, R.O. (2002b) 'Development of the cylindrical wire electrical discharge machining process, part 2: surface integrity and roundness', Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering, Vol. 124, No. 3, pp.708–714.

[32]Rahman, M., Kumar, S. and Prakash, J.R.S. (2001) 'Micro milling of pure copper', Journal of Materials Processing Technology, Vol. 116, pp.39–43.

[33]Rhoney, B.K., Shih, A.J., Cattergood, R.O., Akemon, J.L., Grant, D.J. and Grant.M.B. (2002) 'Wire electrical discharge machining of metal bond diamond wheels for ceramic grinding', International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture, Vol. 42, No. 12, pp.1355–1362.

[34]Schaller, T., Bohn, L., Mayer, J. and Schubert, K. (1999) 'Microstructure grooves with a width of less than 50 μm cut with ground hard metal micro end mills', Precision Engineering, Vol. 23, pp.229–235.

[35]Shabouk, S. and Nakamoto, T. (2003) 'Micro machining of single crystal diamond by utilization of tool wear during cutting process of ferrous material', Journal of Micromechatronics, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp.13–26.

[36]Son, S.M., Lim, H.S. and Ahn, J.H. (2005) 'Effects of the friction coefficient on the minimum cutting thickness in micro cutting', International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture, Vol. 45, pp.529–535. Stovicek, D.R. (1993) The State-of-the-Art EDM Science, in: Tooling and Production, Nelson Publishing Inc., Ohio, USA, Vol. 59, No. 2, pp.42–65.