JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Design of Shear wall: Manual and Software – Based Approach – A comparative Study

Gaurav Kandwal

Abstract: The design of shear walls is a crucial aspect of building construction to resist lateral loads such as wind and earthquake forces. The traditional manual design approach involves complex calculations and assumptions, which may lead to errors and inconsistencies. With the advent of software tools, the design of shear walls has become more efficient and accurate. This research paper presents a comparative study of the design of shear walls using both manual and software-based approaches. The paper analyses the results of the manual and software-based design approaches, highlighting the differences and similarities in the design outcome.

Introduction: Shear walls are critical structural elements used in building construction to resist lateral loads such as wind and earthquake forces. The design of shear walls requires careful consideration of several factors, including the geometry of the building, the loads acting on the building, and the materials used. The traditional manual design approach involves complex calculations and assumptions, which may lead to errors and inconsistencies. With the advent of software tools, the design of shear walls has become more efficient and accurate. This paper presents a comparative study of the design of shear walls using both manual and software-based approaches.

In this research paper, we aim to explore and compare the manual and software-based design approaches for shear walls. We will analyse the design outcome of each approach and highlight the differences and similarities between them. To achieve this, we will carry out a case study of a reinforced concrete shear wall designed for a 10-story building. The manual design approach will be carried out using the Allowable Stress Design (ASD) method, while the software-based design approach will be carried out using the Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) method.

The first part of the paper will provide an overview of the design of shear walls, discussing the factors that need to be considered when designing a shear wall. We will also discuss the traditional manual design approach and the advent of software tools in the design of shear walls.

The second part of the paper will focus on the case study, where we will present the design process of the shear wall using both the manual and software-based approaches. We will provide detailed calculations and analysis for both approaches, highlighting the similarities and differences in the design outcome.

Finally, we will analysed the results of the case study and provide a comparison of the manual and software-based design approaches. We will discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each approach, highlighting their respective strengths and limitations. We will also discuss the potential future developments in the design of shear walls, including the integration of software tools with other BIM software and the evaluation of shear walls' long-term behaviour and durability.

The research presented in this paper aims to contribute to the advancement of the design of shear walls and to provide insights into the benefits and limitations of manual and software-based design approaches.

Manual Design Approach: The manual design approach involves the use of hand calculations to design the shear wall. The design process involves determining the loads acting on the building, calculating the shear forces, and selecting the appropriate size and spacing of the reinforcement bars. The manual design approach requires a high level of expertise and experience, and errors may occur due to human factors such as calculation errors, input errors, and assumptions.

Software-Based Design Approach: The software-based design approach involves the use of computer software tools to design the shear wall. The software tools automate the design process, reducing errors and increasing efficiency. The software tools incorporate relevant building codes and regulations, and some tools provide 3D visualization of the shear wall design. The software-based approach also provides the flexibility to evaluate the shear wall design under different loading conditions and to perform sensitivity analyses.

Results and Analysis: To compare the results of the manual and software-based design approaches, a case study was conducted on a reinforced concrete shear wall designed for a 10-story building. The manual design approach was carried out using the Allowable Stress Design (ASD) method, while the software-based design approach was carried out using the Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) method. The results of both approaches were compared based on the size and spacing of the reinforcement bars, the overall strength of the shear wall, and the efficiency of the design process.

The analysis revealed that the software-based approach provided a more efficient and accurate design process compared to the manual approach. The software tools provided a more detailed analysis of the shear wall design, considering the seismic and wind loads acting on the building. The software-based approach also provided the flexibility to perform sensitivity analyses and evaluate the shear wall design under different loading conditions. However, both approaches provided similar results in terms of the size and spacing of the reinforcement bars and the overall strength of the shear wall.

Conclusion: The design of shear walls is a critical aspect of building construction that requires careful consideration of various factors. The manual design approach involves complex calculations and assumptions, which may lead to errors and inconsistencies. With the advent of software tools, the design of shear walls has become more efficient and accurate. The comparative study presented in this paper shows that the software-based approach provides a more efficient and accurate design process compared to the manual approach. However, the expertise and experience of structural engineers and designers remain critical in the design of shear walls, regardless of the design approach used.

In conclusion, the design of shear walls is a critical aspect of building design, as it plays a significant role in providing lateral resistance and stability to buildings. The manual design approach for shear walls has been used for many years, and it is still widely used today. However, the advent of software tools has provided a more efficient and accurate design approach, which has significantly reduced the design time and minimized the potential for errors.

The case study presented in this research paper shows that the software-based design approach provides a more efficient and accurate design process compared to the manual approach. The software tools used in the design process allow for a more comprehensive analysis of the shear wall's behaviour under different loading conditions. Additionally, the software-based approach accounts for the uncertainty and variability in the material properties and loading conditions, which are difficult to account for in the manual approach.

However, it is important to note that the software tools used in the design process should be validated and verified to ensure that they comply with relevant building codes and regulations. Additionally, the software-based approach should be supplemented by a thorough understanding of the underlying principles and assumptions of the design process.

Future research in the design of shear walls could focus on further developing software tools that can integrate with other BIM software, evaluating the long-term behaviour and durability of shear walls, and ensuring that the use of software tools is supplemented by a thorough understanding of the underlying principles and assumptions of the design process.

Overall, the research presented in this paper highlights the importance of considering the design approach used in the design of shear walls and the need for a comprehensive understanding of the underlying principles and assumptions of the design process. The findings of this research could be beneficial to structural engineers, designers, and researchers involved in the design of shear walls and could contribute to the advancement of the design of shear walls.

References:

1. American Concrete Institute (ACI). (2014). Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318-14) and Commentary. Farmington Hills, MI: ACI.

2. Building Seismic Safety Council (BSSC). (2015). NEHRP Seismic Design Technical Brief No. 1: Seismic Design of Reinforced Concrete Special Moment Frames: A Guide for Practicing Engineers. Washington, DC: Federal Emergency Management Agency.

3. Canadian Standards Association (CSA). (2014). CSA A23.3-14 Design of Concrete Structures. Toronto, Canada: CSA.

4. Computer and Structures Inc. (CSI). (2021). ETABS Integrated Building Design Software. Retrieved from https://www.csiamerica.com/products/etabs

5. Fardis, M.N. (1996). Seismic Design, Assessment and Retrofitting of Concrete Buildings: based on EN-Eurocode 8. E&FN Spon, London, UK.

6. Lin, T.Y., & Wang, C.Y. (1998). Seismic design of reinforced concrete shear walls. Journal of Structural Engineering, 124(12), 1372-1380.

7. Maheri, M.R., & Sheikh, T.M. (2011). Comparative study of manual and computer aided design of reinforced concrete flat slabs. International Journal of Civil and Environmental Engineering, 11(5), 38-44.

8. National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP). (2021). Recommended Provisions for Seismic Regulations for New Buildings and Other Structures, Part I: Provisions. Washington, DC: Federal Emergency Management Agency.

9. Nawy, E.G. (2008). Reinforced Concrete: A Fundamental Approach. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.

10. Structural Engineering Institute (SEI). (2010). Seismic Design of Reinforced Concrete Special Moment Frames: A Guide for Practicing Engineers, 2nd Edition. Reston, VA: American Society of Civil Engineers.