JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Reviewing the Role of Supply Chain Management in Enhancing Business Efficiency: A Comparative Analysis

Sanjeev Kumar, Assistant Professor (Commerce)

Govt. Girls Degree College, Kharkhauda, Meerut (U.P.)

Abstract*: This research study examines the role of Supply Chain Management (SCM) in enhancing business efficiency through a comparative analysis of four key industries in India: manufacturing, retail, healthcare, and information technology (IT). The study aims to identify the effectiveness of SCM practices in improving operational performance, reducing costs, and increasing customer satisfaction. A quantitative approach was used, involving a survey of 100 firms across these sectors, focusing on key metrics such as inventory turnover, lead time, transportation costs, and customer satisfaction. The results showed that retail and IT industries outperformed manufacturing and healthcare in inventory management and customer satisfaction, reflecting the successful implementation of Just-In-Time (JIT) systems and technology-driven SCM practices. Healthcare, on the other hand, faced challenges in managing lead times and transportation costs due to regulatory constraints and the complexity of medical supplies. The study highlights the importance of tailoring SCM strategies to industry-specific needs and suggests that healthcare and manufacturing sectors can benefit from adopting more advanced technologies such as blockchain for improving traceability and reducing delays. Overall, the research contributes to the understanding of how SCM practices impact business efficiency across different sectors, providing actionable insights for optimizing supply chain performance in emerging markets like India.*

Keywords: Supply Chain Management, business efficiency, inventory turnover, lead time, healthcare logistics, Just-In-Time systems.

1. Introduction

Supply Chain Management (SCM) has evolved into a critical function that enables businesses to enhance their efficiency, reduce costs, and maintain a competitive advantage in a globalized market. In an increasingly interconnected world, SCM acts as the backbone of organizational performance by managing the flow of goods, information, and resources across the entire value chain. Efficient supply chain management can reduce lead times, minimize wastage, optimize inventory levels, and increase customer satisfaction (Elmuti, 2002). As global supply chains become more complex, the role of SCM in improving operational efficiency has expanded significantly.

Businesses that integrate advanced SCM strategies are more resilient to disruptions and can better respond to market fluctuations. For instance, effective SCM strategies have been shown to reduce operational costs by as much as 20% while simultaneously improving customer service by streamlining procurement, manufacturing, and distribution activities (Purohit, 2021). The growing emphasis on agility, flexibility, and cost management highlights the significance of SCM in today's competitive environment. Many organizations are now turning to digitalization, artificial intelligence, and big data analytics to further enhance the efficiency of their supply chains (Yue, 2008).

The impact of supply chain management is not confined to operational improvements alone. SCM has also emerged as a key enabler of strategic business outcomes. Companies that excel in supply chain management outperform their competitors by achieving greater operational flexibility and responsiveness to market changes (Bocanegra, 2023). This is evident in industries such as manufacturing, retail, and logistics, where supply chain disruptions can significantly affect the bottom line. Moreover, companies that adopt best practices in SCM often experience higher customer retention rates and improved market share, demonstrating the far-reaching implications of effective supply chain management (Jaiswal et al., 2019).

In the context of modern business, supply chain management plays a pivotal role in enhancing business efficiency by addressing challenges such as fluctuating demand, supplier reliability, and inventory optimization. The integration of suppliers and customers into a unified supply chain network allows for better coordination, improved information sharing, and greater agility in responding to market demands (Manuela, 2019). Research has shown that companies with optimized supply chains can reduce inventory costs by 10-30% while increasing revenue by 5-10% through improved demand forecasting and streamlined logistics (Sahu & Rao, 2012).

The globalization of markets has further underscored the importance of SCM in business efficiency. As companies expand their operations across borders, managing the complexities of global supply chains has become essential for maintaining operational efficiency and minimizing costs. A case study on the automotive industry revealed that supply chain management strategies have reduced lead times by 40%, allowing manufacturers to respond more quickly to changes in customer demand and market conditions (Kale et al., 2011). Similarly, in the retail sector, the implementation of just-in-time (JIT) inventory systems has significantly reduced holding costs, contributing to overall business efficiency (Singh, R.K. and Kumar, 2020).

In today's highly competitive environment, businesses are increasingly recognizing the strategic importance of SCM in driving growth and profitability. Supply chain management is no longer viewed as a mere operational function; rather, it is considered a strategic asset that can deliver long-term value to the organization. According to research, companies that excel in supply chain management experience up to a 15% increase in profit margins, further highlighting the role of SCM in enhancing business efficiency (Chengbiao, 2010). Additionally, SCM contributes to sustainability initiatives by promoting resource optimization, waste reduction, and environmentally friendly practices, thereby aligning business goals with broader societal objectives (Sharma, 2014).

In conclusion, supply chain management has become an indispensable tool for improving business efficiency in various industries. Its role in optimizing operational processes, reducing costs, and increasing customer satisfaction underscores its importance in today's competitive landscape. As businesses continue to face challenges such as fluctuating demand, supply chain disruptions, and globalization, SCM will remain a key driver of business efficiency and competitiveness. The next sections of this paper will explore the various strategies and practices that businesses have adopted to enhance their supply chain performance, drawing on empirical evidence and case studies to provide a comprehensive understanding of the role of SCM in improving business efficiency.

2. Review of Scholarly Works

Supply Chain Management (SCM) has garnered significant attention in academic research, particularly in its role in enhancing business efficiency. Scholars have extensively explored the intersection of SCM practices, organizational performance, and competitive advantage. Many studies emphasize that effective supply chain strategies are integral to operational success across various industries. A closer examination of these works helps to build a coherent understanding of how SCM contributes to business efficiency.

Alomari et al. (2020) provided valuable insights into the role of competitive advantages in enhancing SCM's contribution to business performance. Their research highlighted the importance of aligning SCM practices with organizational goals to boost productivity. The study employed a quantitative methodology, collecting data from various manufacturing firms to understand the moderating effect of competitive advantages on supply chain quality. The findings suggested that companies leveraging SCM as a strategic tool saw considerable improvements in both operational efficiency and financial performance. Moreover, competitive advantages served as a key driver in the successful implementation of SCM initiatives, further contributing to business efficiency.

Another notable study by **Abdrahamane et al. (2020)** focused on the relationship between supplier relationship management (SRM) and business performance in Mali. This study utilized a descriptive analysis to evaluate the impact of information exchange and collaboration with suppliers on business outcomes. The research found that efficient SRM practices resulted in better cost control and higher customer satisfaction. Their findings were significant in that they underscored the importance of long-term partnerships and trust between suppliers and businesses in achieving operational efficiency. The study concluded that SRM is a critical factor in enhancing the overall performance of supply chains, particularly in developing economies.

In a similar vein, **Samaržija (2020)** explored how SCM practices improve competitiveness and customer satisfaction. His research focused on the integration of internal processes with external stakeholders through strategic alliances. Using qualitative analysis, Samaržija argued that SCM not only reduces operational costs but also plays a pivotal role in linking independent organizations. By fostering collaboration across the value chain, companies can achieve greater flexibility and responsiveness to market demands. This study contributed to the understanding that SCM is more than an internal function; it is a strategic alliance that amplifies organizational performance.

Prabhu and Rodrigues (2015) emphasized the significance of Just-In-Time (JIT) inventory management techniques in SCM. Their study employed a system dynamics simulation to examine how JIT principles contribute to operational efficiency. By reducing inventory holding costs and improving inventory velocity, JIT enables businesses to respond swiftly to changes in demand. The authors demonstrated that JIT practices streamline procurement and production processes, resulting in improved overall supply chain performance. This study added to the growing body of literature that emphasizes the role of lean supply chain practices in enhancing business efficiency.

A more recent study by **Hastig and Sodhi (2020)** examined the role of blockchain technology in improving supply chain traceability and efficiency. Their research was aimed at identifying the critical success factors for implementing blockchain in supply chains. The study utilized a mixed-methods approach, incorporating both quantitative and qualitative data, to evaluate the business requirements for blockchain integration. The findings indicated that blockchain technology enhances supply chain visibility, reduces fraud, and increases operational efficiency. Furthermore, the study highlighted collaboration and technology maturity as essential components for successful blockchain adoption. This research contributed to the emerging literature on digitalization in SCM and its potential for improving business outcomes.

Valdez-Bocanegra (2020) conducted an empirical study on the effects of SCM on competitiveness and performance, particularly in the Mexican manufacturing industry. The study used a combination of surveys and case studies to gather data on how SCM practices influence operational outcomes. Valdez-Bocanegra's findings underscored the importance of SCM in achieving higher levels of customer satisfaction, reduced lead times, and enhanced financial performance. This research added depth to the understanding of SCM's role in emerging markets, where supply chain optimization can significantly impact business performance.

Purohit (2019) investigated the effectiveness of various SCM strategies in improving business performance. Using an analytical approach, Purohit's study examined several key SCM practices, including demand forecasting, inventory management, and supplier collaboration. The findings revealed that companies that implemented these strategies experienced marked improvements in operational efficiency, cost reduction, and customer service. Purohit's research further emphasized the importance of aligning SCM practices with organizational goals to maximize business efficiency.

Finally, **Kot et al. (2014)** explored how supply chain strategies contribute to overall company performance. Their study focused on the synchronization of material flow and how it impacts various aspects of business operations. Using a case study methodology, Kot and his colleagues demonstrated that SCM practices improve coordination between departments, enhance inventory management, and optimize production processes. This study contributed to the broader understanding of how SCM can serve as a strategic tool for improving business efficiency.

Despite the rich body of research on SCM and its role in enhancing business efficiency, a notable gap remains in the comparative analysis of SCM practices across different industries, particularly in emerging markets such as India. While existing studies have explored the impact of individual SCM strategies, there is a lack of comprehensive research that compares the effectiveness of these strategies in various sectors within the Indian context. This study aims to fill this gap by conducting a comparative analysis of SCM practices across industries in India, thereby providing valuable insights into which practices are most effective in enhancing business efficiency. This research is significant as it can guide companies in optimizing their SCM strategies to improve operational performance, reduce costs, and enhance competitiveness in a rapidly evolving market.

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This research adopts a **comparative analysis** approach to evaluate the effectiveness of Supply Chain Management (SCM) practices across various industries in India. The study focuses on understanding how different sectors implement SCM strategies and their resulting impact on business efficiency. The research employs a **quantitative research design**, utilizing survey data collected from a single source, namely mid-to-large scale enterprises operating in India, to ensure consistency and comparability.

3.2 Data Collection Source

The primary data source for this research is a structured survey distributed to **100 firms** across four key industries in India: manufacturing, retail, healthcare, and information technology. Each firm's senior supply chain executives were requested to respond to a series of questions designed to gauge their implementation of specific SCM practices, their outcomes on operational performance, and their perception of business efficiency. The survey is structured to capture specific supply chain metrics such as inventory turnover, order lead time, transportation costs, and customer satisfaction rates, ensuring that the data reflects key performance indicators linked to SCM effectiveness.

The following table outlines the specific details related to the source and survey:

Details	**Specification**
Source	Senior Supply Chain Executives from 100 Indian Firms across Manufacturing, Retail, Healthcare, and IT sectors.
Sampling Method	Purposive sampling (Targeting firms with well-established SCM operations).
Data Collection Method	Structured Questionnaire (Distributed via online survey platforms).
Survey Instrument	Likert scale-based questions on SCM practices, performance metrics, and efficiency perceptions.
Number of Questions	25 Close-ended and 5 Open-ended questions.
Survey Period	Data collected over three months (January to March 2023).
Geographical Coverage	India (Top 5 metropolitan cities: Delhi, Mumbai, Bangalore, Hyderabad, Chennai).

Details	Specification
Key Metrics Collected	Inventory turnover, Order lead time, Transportation costs, Customer satisfaction rates.
Response Rate	80% (80 firms out of 100 responded).
Survey Software	SurveyMonkey (For survey distribution and data collection).

The collected survey data was analyzed using **Descriptive Statistics**. Descriptive statistics were applied to summarize key variables such as average inventory turnover, lead time, and transportation costs, providing an overview of SCM practices across industries.

3.3 Research Validity and Reliability

To ensure **validity**, the survey instrument was pre-tested with 10 supply chain experts before full deployment. Adjustments were made based on feedback to ensure that the questions accurately captured the SCM practices and outcomes relevant to this research. Furthermore, **Cronbach's Alpha** was used to test the reliability of the survey instrument, resulting in a reliability score of 0.85, indicating high internal consistency among the survey items.

In summary, the research methodology used in this study ensures a comprehensive approach to understanding the comparative impact of SCM practices on business efficiency across multiple industries in India. Through structured data collection and rigorous statistical analysis, the study aims to provide actionable insights into which SCM strategies are most effective in enhancing business performance.

4. Results and Analysis

4.1 Demographic Information

The survey respondents were divided across four key industries: manufacturing, retail, healthcare, and information technology (IT). A total of 80 responses were collected, representing a balanced distribution across these sectors. The manufacturing industry contributed the highest number of respondents at 31.25%, while both retail and IT each contributed 25%, and healthcare had 18.75% of the total respondents.

Industry	Number of Respondents	Percentage (%)
Manufacturing	25	31.25
Retail	20	25.00
Healthcare	15	18.75
IT	20	25.00

Interpretation:
The demographic distribution indicates that the data collected reflects a broad representation of key industries in India. This diversity is essential for the comparative analysis, ensuring that SCM practices from various sectors can be accurately compared.

4.2 Inventory Turnover

Inventory turnover rates varied across industries, reflecting different SCM efficiencies in managing stock levels.

Industry	Average Inventory Turnover	Standard Deviation
Manufacturing	8.34	1.12
Retail	9.25	1.08

Industry	Average Inventory Turnover	Standard Deviation
Healthcare	7.91	1.23
IT	9.14	1.09

Interpretation:
Retail and IT sectors showed higher inventory turnover rates, indicating efficient stock management and faster product movement. Manufacturing and healthcare had slightly lower turnover rates, which may reflect the necessity for holding higher inventory levels due to the nature of products in these industries. Overall, a higher turnover rate correlates with better SCM practices, which reduce holding costs and improve cash flow.

4.3 Lead Time

Lead time, the duration taken to fulfill an order, provides insights into operational efficiencies across the supply chain.

Industry	Average Lead Time (days)	Standard Deviation
Manufacturing	10.72	1.21
Retail	9.31	1.10
Healthcare	12.45	1.32
IT	8.95	1.08

Interpretation:
IT and retail exhibited shorter lead times, suggesting quicker responsiveness to customer demands, likely due to better integration of suppliers and optimized SCM processes. Healthcare, with the longest lead time, might be constrained by regulatory requirements or the complexity of medical supplies. Manufacturing falls in the middle, indicating steady lead times reflective of production schedules.

4.4 Transportation Costs

Transportation costs across industries reveal the logistical efficiency of supply chain management.

Industry	Average Transportation Costs (USD in millions)	Standard Deviation
Manufacturing	1.34	0.15
Retail	1.28	0.13
Healthcare	1.41	0.16
IT	1.29	0.14

Interpretation:
Healthcare reported the highest transportation costs, likely due to the stringent requirements for handling and transporting medical goods. Manufacturing and IT sectors displayed moderate costs, while retail had the lowest transportation costs, which may be attributed to the efficiency of just-in-time inventory systems.

4.5 Customer Satisfaction

Customer satisfaction is a critical indicator of how well SCM practices are aligned with service levels and end-user expectations.

Industry	Customer Satisfaction Rate (%)	Standard Deviation
Manufacturing	84.23	3.12

Industry	Customer Satisfaction Rate (%)	Standard Deviation
Retail	87.56	2.98
Healthcare	80.75	3.45
IT	89.23	2.91

Interpretation:

The IT and retail industries demonstrated higher customer satisfaction rates, suggesting effective SCM practices that lead to timely deliveries and better service. Healthcare, while slightly lower, may face challenges due to complex logistics and the critical nature of medical supplies. Manufacturing also performed well, though customer satisfaction in this sector is often dependent on production schedules and supplier reliability.

The analysis across industries provides a comprehensive understanding of SCM performance. Industries such as IT and retail are leading in terms of inventory turnover, shorter lead times, and higher customer satisfaction. Manufacturing and healthcare, although efficient in certain areas, have room for improvement in reducing lead times and transportation costs. These results are instrumental in demonstrating how sector-specific challenges influence SCM efficiency. The following sections will discuss these findings in relation to existing literature, with implications for improving SCM practices across all sectors.

5. Discussion

The results of this study offer a comparative analysis of Supply Chain Management (SCM) practices across four key industries in India: manufacturing, retail, healthcare, and information technology (IT). Each sector displayed unique characteristics in how SCM practices influenced business efficiency, and these findings provide valuable insights into sector-specific SCM challenges and opportunities. This section will analyze the results presented in Section 4 in the context of the literature reviewed in Section 2 and discuss how these findings contribute to addressing the literature gap identified earlier.

5.1 Comparative Analysis of Inventory Turnover

Inventory turnover rates varied significantly across industries, with retail (9.25) and IT (9.14) showing higher turnover rates than manufacturing (8.34) and healthcare (7.91). These findings are consistent with earlier studies that have highlighted the importance of effective inventory management in enhancing business efficiency (Alomari et al., 2020). Higher inventory turnover in the retail and IT sectors suggests better alignment of SCM strategies with demand forecasting, allowing firms to minimize holding costs and reduce excess stock. This reflects the implementation of Just-in-Time (JIT) systems, which are common in retail and IT, as highlighted by **Prabhu and Rodrigues (2015)**. Their study on JIT practices emphasized the role of efficient inventory management in reducing costs and improving operational responsiveness.

In contrast, the lower turnover rates in healthcare and manufacturing industries could be attributed to the nature of their operations. Healthcare organizations, for instance, may require larger stockpiles of critical medical supplies, leading to lower turnover rates. This is supported by **Valdez-Bocanegra (2020)**, who noted that industries dealing with essential goods often maintain higher inventory levels due to uncertainty in supply and demand. Similarly, manufacturing firms may have longer production cycles, necessitating more significant inventory reserves to buffer against supply chain disruptions. These findings suggest that while SCM practices are effective in increasing business efficiency, they must be tailored to industry-specific requirements.

5.2 Lead Time and Operational Efficiency

Lead time, a critical measure of supply chain responsiveness, displayed considerable variation among industries. IT (8.95 days) and retail (9.31 days) exhibited the shortest lead times, indicating more agile and responsive supply chains. These results align with the findings of **Samaržija (2020)**, who argued that efficient supply chain integration and the use of technology enable firms to reduce lead times, thereby enhancing operational efficiency. The shorter lead times in these industries suggest that they are better equipped to manage fluctuating customer demands through close coordination with suppliers and the use of real-time data for decision-making.

Healthcare, with an average lead time of 12.45 days, faced the longest delays, which is consistent with the complex logistics and regulatory constraints associated with this industry. As **Hastig and Sodhi (2020)** observed, industries such as healthcare often face challenges in supply chain traceability and regulatory compliance, contributing to longer lead times. The extended lead time in healthcare also highlights the need for more advanced SCM practices, such as the use of blockchain for traceability, which could potentially reduce delays and improve overall supply chain efficiency.

Manufacturing, with an average lead time of 10.72 days, falls between the two extremes, indicating that while the sector has implemented SCM strategies to improve responsiveness, there is still room for improvement. **Purohit (2019)** noted that manufacturing firms often deal with complex production schedules and supplier dependencies, which can lead to longer lead times. The findings of this study suggest that while manufacturing firms have made strides in SCM, further integration of technology and collaboration with suppliers could help reduce lead times.

5.3 Transportation Costs and Logistical Efficiency

The study found that healthcare had the highest transportation costs ($1.41 million), while retail had the lowest ($1.28 million). This disparity is likely due to the specialized requirements for transporting medical supplies, which often necessitate higher costs for ensuring safety, security, and compliance with regulations. **Kot et al. (2014)** discussed how industries with stringent regulatory requirements tend to incur higher transportation costs, as firms must invest in specialized logistics solutions. In contrast, the retail sector benefits from more efficient logistics systems, such as the use of third-party logistics providers and economies of scale, which help reduce transportation costs.

The moderate transportation costs in manufacturing ($1.34 million) and IT ($1.29 million) reflect the effectiveness of SCM practices in optimizing logistical operations. **Samaržija (2020)** emphasized the role of strategic alliances in reducing logistical costs, as firms can leverage partnerships with logistics providers to enhance efficiency. The findings of this study support this argument, as both manufacturing and IT sectors appear to have established efficient logistics networks that contribute to overall business efficiency.

5.4 Customer Satisfaction and Service Levels

Customer satisfaction rates were highest in the IT (89.23%) and retail (87.56%) industries, suggesting that these sectors have successfully aligned their SCM practices with customer expectations. These findings are consistent with the work of **Alomari et al. (2020)**, who found that effective SCM practices, such as demand forecasting and supplier collaboration, directly contribute to higher customer satisfaction. The higher satisfaction rates in IT and retail indicate that these industries are better able to meet customer demands through timely deliveries and efficient inventory management.

Healthcare, with a customer satisfaction rate of 80.75%, performed relatively well despite the challenges associated with longer lead times and higher transportation costs. This finding suggests that while operational efficiency is critical, factors such as the quality of goods and services play a significant role in customer satisfaction. **Purohit (2019)** highlighted that customer satisfaction in industries like healthcare is influenced by multiple factors beyond SCM, such as product quality and reliability. Therefore, while improving SCM practices can enhance service levels, healthcare firms must also focus on ensuring product quality to maintain customer satisfaction.

The manufacturing sector, with a satisfaction rate of 84.23%, also performed well, but there is room for improvement in terms of aligning SCM practices with customer expectations. **Valdez-Bocanegra (2020)** noted that customer satisfaction in manufacturing is closely tied to timely deliveries and product quality, suggesting that firms must focus on reducing lead times and improving supplier relationships to enhance satisfaction further.

5.5 Addressing the Literature Gap

The findings of this study contribute to addressing the literature gap identified in Section 2.2 by providing a comprehensive comparative analysis of SCM practices across industries in India. While previous studies have focused on individual SCM practices in isolation, this study provides a broader perspective by comparing these practices across different sectors, offering valuable insights into the specific challenges and opportunities faced by each industry. For instance, the higher inventory turnover rates and shorter lead times in retail and IT reflect the successful implementation of SCM strategies, while the healthcare sector's higher transportation costs and longer lead times highlight the need for further improvements in logistical efficiency.

This study also contributes to the growing body of literature on the role of technology in SCM. The findings suggest that industries with greater technological integration, such as IT and retail, are better equipped to manage their supply chains efficiently. This supports the arguments made by **Hastig and Sodhi (2020)** and **Samaržija (2020)**, who emphasized the importance of digitalization and real-time data in enhancing SCM practices. By comparing industries with varying degrees of technological adoption, this study highlights the critical role of technology in improving supply chain efficiency.

5.6 Implications for Practice

The implications of these findings are significant for both practitioners and policymakers. Firms in industries such as healthcare and manufacturing can benefit from adopting more advanced SCM practices, such as blockchain technology for traceability and closer supplier collaboration, to reduce lead times and transportation costs. Additionally, the higher customer satisfaction rates in IT and retail suggest that firms in other sectors can learn from the SCM strategies implemented in these industries, particularly in terms of demand forecasting and inventory management.

In conclusion, the findings of this study provide a comprehensive understanding of how SCM practices influence business efficiency across different industries in India. By comparing SCM performance across sectors, this study offers valuable insights into the specific challenges and opportunities faced by each industry, contributing to the ongoing efforts to optimize SCM practices and enhance business performance.

6. Conclusion

The study conducted a comparative analysis of Supply Chain Management (SCM) practices across four key industries in India: manufacturing, retail, healthcare, and information technology (IT). The research aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of these practices in enhancing business efficiency, and the findings provided valuable insights into the specific challenges and advantages each industry faces in implementing SCM strategies.

One of the key findings is the variation in inventory turnover rates, with the retail and IT sectors outperforming manufacturing and healthcare in terms of managing stock levels efficiently. Higher inventory turnover in retail and IT indicates more effective supply chain practices such as Just-in-Time (JIT) systems and demand forecasting, leading to reduced holding costs and improved cash flow. On the other hand, the healthcare sector, while essential, faces inherent challenges due to the nature of its operations, which require larger inventory reserves, leading to lower turnover rates. Manufacturing, similarly, showed moderate turnover rates, which can be attributed to longer production cycles and supply chain dependencies.

Lead time, another critical SCM metric, varied significantly among the sectors. IT and retail exhibited shorter lead times, reflecting their ability to quickly respond to changing customer demands. These industries have adopted technology and data-driven approaches to streamline their operations, which explains their superior performance in this regard. Healthcare, on the other hand, displayed the longest lead times, likely due to complex regulatory and logistical requirements. Manufacturing fell in the middle, reflecting its reliance on production schedules and supplier networks. These findings suggest that while all industries benefit from SCM practices, healthcare and manufacturing have more room for improvement in reducing lead times and becoming more agile.

Transportation costs were found to be highest in the healthcare sector, driven by the specialized nature of medical supplies and the need for stringent safety and compliance measures. In contrast, the retail sector had the lowest transportation costs, benefiting from economies of scale and more efficient logistics systems. Manufacturing and IT displayed moderate transportation costs, indicating well-established logistics networks but still facing industry-specific challenges. The higher costs in healthcare suggest a need for more efficient logistics practices and perhaps greater reliance on third-party logistics providers to optimize costs without sacrificing compliance.

Customer satisfaction, a critical outcome of effective SCM, was highest in IT and retail, indicating that these industries have successfully aligned their SCM practices with customer expectations. Their ability to deliver products on time, manage inventory efficiently, and maintain strong supplier relationships has directly contributed to higher satisfaction rates. Healthcare and manufacturing, while still performing reasonably well, lagged behind in customer satisfaction. This reflects the more complex supply chains in these sectors, where operational efficiencies are harder to achieve but are crucial for maintaining customer trust and loyalty.

The broader implications of this research lie in its contribution to filling the literature gap regarding the comparative analysis of SCM practices across industries, particularly in an emerging market like India. The study highlights that while SCM practices are universally beneficial, their effectiveness depends on the specific needs and challenges of each industry. Firms must tailor their SCM strategies to their operational realities, and sectors like healthcare and manufacturing can benefit from adopting more advanced technologies and practices that have been successfully implemented in retail and IT. The findings also underscore the importance of technology in modern supply chains, with industries that have embraced digital transformation displaying superior performance in key SCM metrics.

In conclusion, this study provides a comprehensive understanding of how SCM practices contribute to business efficiency across different sectors. By identifying the strengths and weaknesses of each industry's approach to SCM, the research offers practical insights that can guide firms in optimizing their supply chains for improved performance, cost reductions, and customer satisfaction.

References:

1. Abdrahamane, K., Traore, S., & Yorote, A. (2020). Descriptive analysis of the relationship between supply chain management and business performance: Evidence from Mali. *European Journal of Business and Management*. https://dx.doi.org/10.7176/ejbm/11-15-19
2. Alomari, K., Salah, A., Mansour, A. M., Alshaketheep, K. I., Altarawneh, M. I., & Jray, A. A. A. (2020). Supply chain quality and organizational performance: Moderating role of competitive advantages. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*. https://dx.doi.org/10.37394/23207.2020.17.79
3. Bocanegra, H. G. V. (2020). Effects of supply chain management on competitiveness and performance: Empirical evidence in Mexico. *AMA Empresarial*. https://dx.doi.org/10.47260/amae/1416
4. Chengbiao, L. (2010). Research on framework and model of supply chain integration. *International Conference on Information Technology and Information Integration*. https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ICIII.2010.590
5. Elmuti, D. (2002). The perceived impact of supply chain management on organizational effectiveness. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 38(3), 49-57. https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-493X.2002.tb00135.x
6. Hastig, G. M., & Sodhi, M. (2020). Blockchain for supply chain traceability: Business requirements and critical success factors. *Production and Operations Management*, 30(4), 955-973. https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/poms.13147

7. Jaiswal, V., Balaji, M., & Bhargava, S. (2019). The role of supply chain in achieving competitive advantage. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*. https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/international-journal-of-supply-chain-management

8. Kale, S., Palacios Fernández, M., Segura, J. J., Jarad, R., & Chitale, C. (2011). Auto component supply chain: A path to global India. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*. https://dx.doi.org/10.31428/10317/12491

9. Kot, S., Pluta, D., Stasiak, I., & Struzik, W. (2014). Supply chain management as an element of company strategy. *International Journal of Management and Enterprise Development*, 13(2), 161-178. https://dx.doi.org/10.1504/IJMED.2014.066323

10. Manuela, P. G. C. (2019). The impact of supply chain management processes on competitive advantage and organizational performance. *Strategic Journal of Business & Change Management*, 6(2), 45-61. https://dx.doi.org/10.61426/sjbcm.v6i2.1022

11. Prabhu, M., & Rodrigues, L. L. (2015). Role of JIT principles in a dyadic supply relationship: A system dynamics simulation analysis. *World Journal of Management*, 9(62), 44-60. https://dx.doi.org/10.21102/WJM.2015.09.62.02

12. Purohit, P. (2019). An investigation into the effectiveness of supply chain management strategies in improving business performance: An analytical study. *Journal of Contemporary Development & Research*, 12(6), 325-339. https://dx.doi.org/10.48047/jcdr.2021.12.06.325

13. Purohit, P. (2021). An investigation into the effectiveness of supply chain management strategies in improving business performance: An analytical study. *Journal of Contemporary Development & Research*, 12(6), 325-339. https://dx.doi.org/10.48047/jcdr.2021.12.06.325

14. Sahu, D. C., & Rao, D. P. S. (2012). Supply chain management key to business success: A review. *International Journal of Business Studies*, 1(3), 83-97. https://dx.doi.org/10.15373/22778179/SEP2013/83

15. Samaržija, L. (2020). Analysis of the development of supply chain management as a concept of strategic alliance management. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 11(12), 112-124. https://dx.doi.org/10.30845/IJBSS.V11N12P12

16. Valdez-Bocanegra, H. G. (2020). Effects of supply chain management on competitiveness and performance: Empirical evidence in Mexico. *AMA Empresarial*. https://dx.doi.org/10.47260/amae/1416

17. Yue, Q. (2008). A case study of supply chain management and competitive advantage in manufacturing. *World Congress on Intelligent Control and Automation*. https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/WICOM.2008.1568

18. Singh, R.K. and Kumar, R. (2020), "Strategic issues in supply chain management of Indian SMEs due to globalization: an empirical study", *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, Vol. 27 No. 3, pp. 913-932. https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-09-2019-0429