



APPROXIMATE BAYES ESTIMATE FOR THE PARAMETERS OF GENERALIZED COMPOUND RAYLEIGH DISTRIBUTION UNDER LINEX LOSS FUNCTION

Parul Yadav¹ and Uma Srivastava²

¹Lecturer GGIC Prayagraj

² Professor D D U GORAKHPUR UNIVERSITY GORAKHPUR

Abstract- The Generalized Compound Rayleigh Distribution (GCRD) is a special case of the three parameter Burr type XII distribution. This uni-modal hazard function is generalized and a flexible parametric model is thus constructed, which embeds the compound Rayleigh model, by adding shape parameter. The main objective of this paper is determine the best estimator for the three parameter Generalized Compound Rayleigh Distribution assuming all the parameters unknown. The methods under consideration are Maximum Likelihood Estimation and Bayesian Estimation underline Loss Function. Lindley Approximation is use to obtain the Approximate Bayes Estimate of GCRD assuming all the parameters unknown under LINEX LOSS FUNCTION. We have studied the sensitivity of the Approximate Bayes Estimate of the model and presented a numerical study to illustrate the above technique on generated observations. The comparison is done by R-programming.

Keywords- Maximum Likelihood Estimation, Bayesian Approximation, Linex Loss Function, Lindley Approximation

1. Introduction

Mostert, Roux, and Bekker (1999) considered a gamma mixture of Rayleigh distribution and obtained the compound Rayleigh model with unimodal hazard function.

The Generalized Compound Rayleigh Distribution is a special case of three-parameter Burr type XII distribution with probability density function,

$$f(x; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \alpha\gamma\beta^\gamma x^{\alpha-1} (\beta + x^\alpha)^{-(\gamma+1)} \quad x, \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0 \quad (1.1)$$

With Probability Distribution Function

$$F(x) = 1 - (1 - \beta x^\alpha)^{-\gamma} \quad x, \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0 \quad (1.2)$$

Reliability function is

$$R(t) = \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta + t^\alpha} \right)^\gamma$$

Hazard rate function

$$H(t) = \alpha\gamma \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\beta + t^\alpha}$$

The Generalized compound Rayleigh model includes various well-known pdfs, namely

- (i) Beta-Prime pdf (Patil, et al., 1984), if $\alpha = \beta = 1$
- (ii) $\alpha = 1$

(iii) Burr XII pdf(Burr,1942), if $\beta = 1$

Compound Rayleigh pdf (Siddiqui & Weiss,1963), if $\alpha = 2$

Ferguson (1967), Zellner & Geisel (1968), Rojo (1987), Aitchison & Dunsmore (1975) and Berger (1980) have considered the linear asymmetric loss function. Varian (1975) introduced the following convex loss function known as LINEX. (Linear Exponential) Loss Function i.e. given as;

$$L(\Delta) = be^{a\Delta} - c\Delta - b; a, c \neq 0, b > 0$$

Where $\Delta = \hat{\theta} - \theta$. It is clear that $L(0) = 0$ and the minimum occurs when $ab=c$, therefore, $L(\Delta)$ can be written as

$$L(\Delta) = b[e^{a\Delta} - a\Delta - 1], a \neq 0, b > 0; \quad (1.3)$$

Where a and b are the parameters of the loss function may be defined as shape and scale respectively. The loss function has been considered by Zellner (1986), Rojo (1987), Basu and Ebrahimi (1991) considered the $L(\Delta)$ as

$$L(\Delta) = b[e^{a\Delta} - a\Delta - 1], a \neq 0, b > 0 \quad (1.4)$$

Where, $\Delta = \frac{\hat{\theta}}{\theta} - 1$

and studied the Bayesian estimates under this linex loss function for exponential lifetime distribution. This loss function is suitable for the situation where overestimation of θ is more costly than its underestimation. This loss function $L(\Delta)$ has the following properties:

For $a=1$, the function is quite asymmetric about zero with overestimation being more costly than underestimation.

For $a < 0$, $L(\Delta)$ rises exponentially when $\Delta < 0$ (underestimation) and almost linearly when $\Delta > 0$ (overestimation); and

For small values of $|a|$, $L(\Delta)$ is almost symmetric and not from a squared error losses function, Indeed, on expanding

$$e^{a\Delta} \simeq 1 + a\Delta + \frac{a^2\Delta^2}{2} \quad \text{or} \quad L(\Delta) \simeq \frac{a^2\Delta^2}{2}; \quad (1.5)$$

is a squared error loss function. Thus for small values of $|a|$, optimal estimates are not for different from those obtained with a squared error loss function.

2. The Estimators

Let $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$ be the n failures in complete sample case. The likelihood function is given by;

$$L(\underline{x} | \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \prod_{j=1}^n f(x_j, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$$

$$= \alpha^n \gamma^n \beta^{n\gamma} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^n (\beta + x_j^\alpha)^{-(\gamma+1)}$$

$$L(\underline{x} | \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\alpha\gamma)^n U e^{-\gamma T} \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$T = \sum_{j=1}^n \log \left[1 + \frac{x_j^{-\alpha}}{\beta} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad U = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^{\alpha-1}}{(\beta + x_j^\alpha)}$$

from equation(2.1) the log likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Log } L &= n \log \alpha + n \log \gamma + n\gamma \log \beta + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j \\ &\quad - (\gamma + 1) \sum_{j=1}^n (\beta + x_j^\alpha) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

and differentiation of equation(2.2) with respect to α, β and γ yields respectively we get

$$\frac{\partial \text{Log } L}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{n}{\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^\alpha \log x_j}{\beta + x_j^\alpha} - \gamma \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^\alpha \log x_j}{\beta + x_j^\alpha} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial \text{Log } L}{\partial \beta} = - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\beta + x_j^\alpha} + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^\alpha}{\beta(\beta + x_j^\alpha)} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \text{Log } L}{\partial \gamma} &= \frac{n}{\gamma} + n \log \beta - \sum_{j=1}^n \log \left(1 + \frac{x_j^\alpha}{\beta} \right) - n \log \beta \\ &= \frac{n}{\gamma} - \sum_{j=1}^n \log \left(1 + \frac{x_j^\alpha}{\beta} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

setting the expressions for the derivatives in 8 equal to zero and solving α, β and γ yield. The maximum likelihood estimators (MLE) of the parameters namely $\hat{\alpha}_{MLE}$, $\hat{\beta}_{MLE}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{MLE}$.

However, no closed form solutions exist in this case the elimination of γ in $\frac{\partial \text{Log } L}{\partial \beta}$ and $\frac{\partial \text{Log } L}{\partial \alpha}$ and in $\frac{\partial \text{Log } L}{\partial \alpha}$ and $\frac{\partial \text{Log } L}{\partial \gamma}$ yield a set of equations in terms of β and α .

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\beta + x_j^\alpha}}{\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^\alpha}{\beta + x_j^\alpha}} - \frac{n}{\sum_{j=1}^n \log \left[1 + \frac{x_j^\alpha}{\beta} \right]} = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\frac{n}{\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^\alpha \log x_j}{(\beta + x_j^\alpha)} - \frac{n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^\alpha \log x_j}{(\beta + x_j^\alpha)}}{\sum_{j=1}^n \log \left[1 + \frac{x_j^\alpha}{\beta} \right]} = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

respectively. Applying the Newton-Raphson method $\hat{\alpha}_{MLE}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{MLE}$ can be derived and then from them $\hat{\gamma}_{MLE}$ can be obtained.

Bayes estimate for γ with known parameter α, β and γ

If $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ is known we assume $\gamma(a, b)$ as conjugate prior for γ as

$$g(\gamma | \underline{x}) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma a} \gamma^{a-1} e^{-\gamma b}; \quad (a, b) > 0, \gamma > 0 \quad (2.8)$$

combining the likelihood function equation(2.1) and probability density equation(1.1) we obtain the posterior density of γ in the form of

$$h(\gamma | \underline{x}) = \frac{\alpha^n \gamma^n \beta^{\gamma n} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^n (\beta + x_j^\alpha)^{-(\gamma+1)} \frac{b^a}{\Gamma a} \gamma^{a-1} e^{-b\gamma}}{\int_0^\infty \alpha^n \gamma^n \beta^{\gamma n} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^n (\beta + x_j^\alpha)^{-(\gamma+1)} \frac{b^a}{\Gamma a} \gamma^{a-1} e^{-b\gamma} d\gamma} \quad (2.9)$$

$$h(\gamma | \underline{x}) = \frac{\gamma^{n+a-1} e^{-\gamma(b+T)}}{\int_0^\infty \gamma^{n+a-1} e^{-\gamma(b+T)} d\gamma}$$

Assuming;

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \log \left(1 + \frac{x_j^\alpha}{\beta} \right) = T$$

$$h(\gamma | \underline{x}) = \frac{\gamma^{n+a-1} e^{-\gamma(b+T)} (b+T)^{n+a}}{\Gamma(n+a)} \quad (2.10)$$

3. Bayes Estimator under Linex Loss Function

Let the loss function $L(\Delta)$ is

$$L(\Delta) = e^{-k\Delta} - k\Delta - 1; \quad k = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

Where

$$\Delta = (\hat{u} - u) \quad \text{where } u = u(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} E(L(\Delta)) &= E(e^{k(\hat{u}-u)} - k(\hat{u}-u) - 1) \\ &= e^{k\hat{u}}Eu(e^{-k\hat{u}}) - k(\hat{u} - Eu(u)) - 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \hat{u}_{ABL} = -\frac{1}{k}\log E_{\mu}(e^{-k\hat{u}}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

The Bayes estimator under asymmetric loss is given by

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\gamma}_{ABL} = -\frac{1}{k}\log(E_h(e^{-k\gamma}))$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} E_h(e^{-k\gamma}) &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-k\gamma}\gamma^{(n+a-1)}e^{-\gamma(b+T)}(b+T)^{(n+a)}d\gamma}{\Gamma(n+a)} \\ &= \hat{\gamma}_{BL} = \frac{(n+a)}{k}\log\left(1 + \frac{k}{(b+T)}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

4 Approximate Bayes Estimators with unknown α, β and γ

Joint prior density α, β, γ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= g_1(\alpha)g_2(\beta)g_3(\gamma|\beta) \\ &= \frac{c}{\delta\Gamma\xi}\beta^{-\xi}\gamma^{\xi-1}\exp\left[-\left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\delta}\right)\right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$g_1(\alpha) = c \quad (4.2)$$

$$g_2(\beta) = \frac{1}{\delta}e^{-\frac{\beta}{\delta}} \quad (4.3)$$

$$g_3(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\xi}\beta^{-\xi}\gamma^{\xi-1}e^{-\frac{\gamma}{\beta}} \quad (4.4)$$

The Joint posterior with likelihood equation (2.10) and joint prior equation (4.1)

$$h^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{\beta^{-\xi}\gamma^{\xi-1}\exp\left[-\left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\delta}\right)\right].L(\underline{x}|\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}{\int_{\alpha} \int_{\beta} \int_{\gamma} \beta^{-\xi}\gamma^{\xi-1}\exp\left[-\left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\delta}\right)\right].L(\underline{x}|\alpha, \beta, \gamma)d\alpha d\beta d\gamma} \quad (4.5) \text{ The approximate}$$

Bayes estimators are evaluated as

$$U(\theta) = U(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$$

$$\hat{U}_{BS} = E(U|\underline{x}) = \frac{\int_{\alpha} \int_{\beta} \int_{\gamma} U(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)G^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)d\alpha d\beta d\gamma}{\int_{\alpha} \int_{\beta} \int_{\gamma} G^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)d\alpha d\beta d\gamma} \quad (4.6)$$

Lindley Approximation Procedures

The ratio of integrals in equation (4.6) does not seem to take a closed form so we must consider the Lindley approximation procedure as

$$E(\mu(\theta, p)|\underline{x}) = \frac{\int \mu(\theta).e^{(l(\theta)+\rho(\theta))}d\theta}{\int e^{(l(\theta)+\rho(\theta))}d\theta} \quad (4.7)$$

Lindley developed approximate procedure for evaluation of posterior expectation of $\mu(\theta)$. Several other authors have used this technique to obtain Bayes estimators (see Sinha(1986), Sinha and Sloan(1988),Soliman(2001)).The posterior expectation of Lindley approximation procedure to evaluate of $\mu(\theta)$ in equation (4.6 and 4.6) under LLF, where where $\rho(\theta) = \log g(\theta)$, and $g(\theta)$ is an arbitrary function of θ and $l(\theta)$ is the logarithm likelihood function (Lindley (1980)).

The modified form of equation (4.7) is given by

$$E(U(\alpha, \beta, \gamma | \underline{x})) = U(\theta) + \frac{1}{2}(A U_1 \sigma_{11} + U_2 \sigma_{12} + U_3 \sigma_{13}) + B(U_1 \sigma_{21} + U_2 \sigma_{22} + U_3 \sigma_{23}) + P(U_1 \sigma_{31} + U_2 \sigma_{32} + U_3 \sigma_{33}) + (U_1 a_1 + U_2 a_2 + U_3 a_3 + a_4 + a_5) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \quad (4.8)$$

Evaluated at MLE = $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma})$ where

$$a_1 = \rho_1 \sigma_{11} + \rho_2 \sigma_{12} + \rho_3 \sigma_{13} \quad (4.8)$$

$$a_2 = \rho_1 \sigma_{21} + \rho_2 \sigma_{22} + \rho_3 \sigma_{23} \quad (4.9)$$

$$a_3 = \rho_1 \sigma_{31} + \rho_2 \sigma_{32} + \rho_3 \sigma_{33} \quad (4.10)$$

$$a_4 = U_{12} \sigma_{12} + U_{13} \sigma_{13} + U_{23} \sigma_{23} \quad (4.11)$$

$$a_5 = \frac{1}{2}(U_{11} \sigma_{11} + U_{22} \sigma_{22} + U_{33} \sigma_{33}) \quad (4.12)$$

and

$$A = [\sigma_{11} l_{111} + \sigma_{12} l_{121} + 2\sigma_{13} l_{131} + 2\sigma_{23} l_{231} + \sigma_{22} l_{221} + \sigma_{33} l_{331}] \quad (4.13)$$

$$B = [\sigma_{11} l_{112} + 2\sigma_{12} l_{122} + 2\sigma_{13} l_{132} + 2\sigma_{23} l_{232} + \sigma_{22} l_{222} + \sigma_{33} l_{332}] \quad (4.14)$$

$$P = [\sigma_{11} l_{113} + 2\sigma_{13} l_{133} + 2\sigma_{12} l_{123} + 2\sigma_{23} l_{233} + \sigma_{22} l_{223} + \sigma_{33} l_{333}] \quad (4.15)$$

To apply Lindley approximation on equation (4.8) we first obtain

$$\sigma_{ij} = [-l_{ijk}]^{-1}, i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$$

$$L = \alpha^n \gamma^n \beta^{n\gamma} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^n (\beta + x_j^\alpha)^{-(\gamma+1)}; (x, \beta, \gamma > 0)$$

$$\log L = n \log \alpha + n \log \gamma + n \gamma \log \beta + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j - (\gamma + 1) \sum_{j=1}^n \log(\beta + x_j^\alpha)$$

Now differentiating log likelihood function with respect to α, β, γ we get

$$l_1 = \frac{n}{\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j - (\gamma + 1) \omega_{11} \quad \text{where} \quad \omega_{11} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^\alpha \log x_j}{\beta + x_j^\alpha} \quad (4.16)$$

$$l_2 = \frac{n\gamma}{\beta} - (\gamma + 1) \delta_{11} \quad \text{where} \quad \delta_{11} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\beta + x_j^\alpha} \quad (4.17)$$

$$l_3 = \frac{n}{\gamma} + n \log \beta - q_{11} \quad \text{where} \quad q_{11} = \sum_{j=1}^n \log(\beta + x_j^\alpha) \quad (4.18)$$

$$l_{11} = \frac{-n}{\alpha^2} - \beta(\gamma + 1) \omega_{122} \quad \text{where} \quad \omega_{122} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^\alpha (\log x_j)^2}{(\beta + x_j^\alpha)^2} \quad (4.19)$$

$$l_{22} = \frac{-n\gamma}{\beta^2} - (\gamma + 1) \delta_{12} \quad \text{where} \quad \delta_{12} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(\beta + x_j^\alpha)^2} \quad (4.20)$$

$$l_{33} = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \gamma^2} = \frac{-n}{\gamma^2} \quad (4.21)$$

$$l_{12} = (\gamma + 1)\omega_{14} \quad \text{where} \quad \omega_{14} = \sum \frac{x_j^\alpha \log x_j}{(\beta + x_j)^2} \quad (4.22)$$

$$l_{21} = (\gamma + 1)\omega_{14} \quad (4.23)$$

$$l_{13} = -\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^\alpha \log x_j}{\beta + x_j^\alpha} = -\omega_{11} = l_{31} \quad (4.24)$$

$$l_{23} = \frac{n}{\beta} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\beta + x_j^\alpha} = \frac{n}{\beta} - \delta_{11} = l_{32} \quad (4.25)$$

$$l_{111} = \frac{2n}{\alpha^3} + (\gamma + 1)\beta \omega_{133}, \quad \text{where} \quad \omega_{133} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^\alpha (\log x_j)^3 (\beta - x_j^\alpha)}{(\beta + x_j^\alpha)^3} \quad (4.26)$$

$$l_{222} = \frac{2n\gamma}{\beta^3} - 2(\gamma + 1) \delta_{13} \quad \text{where} \quad \delta_{13} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(\beta + x_j^\alpha)^3} \quad (4.27)$$

$$l_{333} = \frac{\partial^3 L}{\partial \alpha^3} = \frac{2n}{\gamma^3} \quad (4.28)$$

$$l_{112} = -(\gamma + 1) \omega_{123} = l_{121} \quad \text{where} \quad \omega_{123} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^\alpha (\log x_j)^2 (\beta - x_j^\alpha)}{(\beta + x_j^\alpha)^3} \quad (4.29)$$

$$l_{113} = -\beta \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(\log x_j)^2 x_j^\alpha}{(\beta + x_j^\alpha)^2} = -\beta \omega_{122} = l_{131} \quad (4.30)$$

$$l_{221} = -2(\gamma + 1)\omega_{113} = l_{212} \quad \text{where} \quad \omega_{113} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^\alpha \log x_j}{(\beta + x_j^\alpha)^3} \quad (4.31)$$

$$l_{223} = \frac{-n}{\beta^2} + \delta_{12} = l_{232} \quad (4.32)$$

$$l_{331} = 0 = l_{313} \quad (4.33)$$

$$l_{332} = 0 = l_{323} \quad (4.34)$$

$$l_{231} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \gamma \partial \alpha} \right) = 0 = l_{213} \quad (4.35)$$

$$l_{122} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta^2} \right) = -2(\gamma + 1)\omega_{113} \quad (4.36)$$

$$l_{132} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \gamma \partial \beta} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^\alpha \log x_j}{(\beta + x_j^\alpha)^2} = \omega_{112} = l_{123} \quad (4.37)$$

$$l_{133} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \gamma^2} \right) = 0 \quad (4.38)$$

$$l_{233} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \gamma^2} \right) = 0 \quad (4.39)$$

The matrix of derivatives is given as

$$[-l_{ijk}] = - \begin{bmatrix} l_{111} & l_{112} & l_{113} \\ l_{221} & l_{222} & l_{223} \\ l_{331} & l_{332} & l_{333} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[-l_{ijk}] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2n}{\alpha^3} + (\gamma + 1)\beta \omega_{133} & -(\gamma + 1)\omega_{123} & -\beta \omega_{122} \\ -2(\gamma + 1)\omega_{113} & \frac{2n\gamma}{\beta^3} - 2(\gamma + 1)\delta_{13} & -\frac{n}{\beta^2} + \delta_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2n}{\gamma^3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[-l_{ijk}] = \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & M_{23} \\ M_{31} & M_{32} & M_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

Determinant of $[-l_{ijk}]$

$$D = -M_{33}\{M_{11}M_{22} - M_{12}M_{21}\}$$

$$[-l_{ijk}]^{-1} = \frac{(\text{Adjoint of } [-l_{ijk}])'}{[-l_{ijk}]}$$

$$[-l_{ijk}]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{M_{22}M_{33}}{D} & \frac{M_{12}M_{33}}{D} & \frac{M_{22}M_{13} - M_{12}M_{23}}{D} \\ \frac{M_{21}M_{33}}{D} & -\frac{M_{11}M_{33}}{D} & \frac{M_{11}M_{23} - M_{21}M_{13}}{D} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{M_{11}M_{22} - M_{12}M_{21}}{D} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[-l_{ijk}]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{11/D} & Y_{12/D} & Y_{13/D} \\ Y_{21/D} & Y_{22/D} & Y_{23/D} \\ 0 & 0 & Y_{33/D} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[-l_{ijk}]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{23} \\ \sigma_{31} & \sigma_{32} & \sigma_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

5. Approximate Bayes Estimator under Linex Loss function

$$\hat{U}_{ABL} = -\frac{1}{k} \log(E_u(e^{-ku}))$$

where

$$E_u(e^{-ku} | \underline{x}) = \frac{\int_{\alpha} \int_{\beta} \int_{\gamma} e^{-ku} G^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \partial \alpha \partial \beta \partial \gamma}{\int_{\alpha} \int_{\beta} \int_{\gamma} G^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \partial \alpha \partial \beta \partial \gamma} \quad (5.1)$$

The above equation (5.1) is evaluated by method of Lindley approximation, whose simplified form is equation(4.8)

Special Cases :-

$$U(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = U$$

1. Approximate Bayes Estimate of α

$$U(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = U e^{-k\alpha}$$

$$U_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} (e^{-k\alpha}) = -ke^{-k\alpha}; \quad U_{11} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} (-e^{-k\alpha}) + k^2 e^{-k\alpha}, \quad U_{12} = U_{13} = 0$$

$$U_2 = U_{21} = U_{22} = U_{23} = 0$$

$$U_3 = U_{31} = U_{32} = U_{33} = 0$$

$$E(e^{-k\alpha}) = e^{-k\alpha} + \varphi_1 + \varphi_2$$

$$\varphi_1 = U_1 a_1 + U_2 a_2 + U_3 a_3 + a_4 + a_5$$

$$\varphi_1 = -ke^{-k\alpha} \left(-\frac{1}{2D} Y_{11} k + \left(\frac{-\xi}{\beta} + \frac{\gamma}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \frac{Y_{12}}{D} + \left(\frac{\xi-1}{\gamma} + \frac{-1}{\beta} \right) \frac{Y_{13}}{D} \right)$$

$$\varphi_2 = \frac{1}{2} [(A\sigma_{11} + B\sigma_{21} + P\sigma_{31}) U_1 + (A\sigma_{12} + B\sigma_{22} + P\sigma_{32}) U_2 + (A\sigma_{13} + B\sigma_{23} + P\sigma_{33}) U_3]$$

$$\varphi_2 = -\frac{1}{2} [(A\sigma_{11} + B\sigma_{21}) ke^{-k\alpha}]$$

$$E(e^{-k\alpha}) = e^{-k\alpha} - ke^{-k\alpha} \left(\frac{-\xi}{\beta} + \frac{\gamma}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \frac{Y_{12}}{D} - \frac{1}{2D} Y_{11} k \left(\frac{\xi-1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \frac{Y_{13}}{D} - ke^{-k\alpha} \left(\frac{A\sigma_{11} + B\sigma_{21}}{2} \right)$$

$$E(e^{-k\alpha}) = e^{-k\alpha} \varphi_3$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{ABL} = -\frac{1}{k} \log[E_u(e^{-k\alpha})]$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{ABL} = \alpha - \frac{1}{k} \log \varphi_3$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{k} = k'$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{ABL} = \alpha + k' \log \varphi_3 ; \text{ at } (\hat{\alpha}_{ML}, \hat{\beta}_{ML}, \hat{\gamma}_{ML}) \quad (5.2)$$

where

$$\varphi_3 = \left[1 - k \left(\frac{-\xi}{\beta} + \frac{\gamma}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \frac{Y_{12}}{D} - \frac{1}{2D} Y_{11} k + \left(\frac{\xi-1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \frac{Y_{13}}{D} + \frac{k}{2} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{D} Y_{11} \left(\frac{2n}{\alpha^3} - (\gamma+1)\omega_{133} \right) + 2 \frac{Y_{12}}{D} (\gamma+1)\omega_{123} - 2 \frac{Y_{13}}{D} \beta \omega_{122} - 2 \frac{Y_{22}}{D} (\gamma+1)\omega_{113} \right) \sigma_{11} + \left(-\frac{Y_{11}}{D} (\gamma+1)\omega_{123} - 4 \frac{Y_{12}}{D} (\gamma+1)\omega_{113} + 2 \frac{Y_{13}}{D} \omega_{112} + \frac{2Y_{23}}{D} \left(\frac{-n}{\beta^2} + \delta_{12} \right) + \frac{Y_{22}}{D} \left(\frac{2n\gamma}{\beta^3} - 2(\gamma+1)\delta_{13} \right) \right) \sigma_{21} \right\} \right] \quad (5.3)$$

2. Approximate Bayes Estimate of β

$$U(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = U e^{-k\beta}$$

$$U_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} (e^{-k\beta}) = -ke^{-k\beta}; \quad U_{22} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} (-e^{-k\beta}) k^2 e^{-k\beta}, \quad U_{21} = U_{23} = 0$$

$$U_1 = U_{11} = U_{12} = U_{13} = 0$$

$$U_3 = U_{31} = U_{32} = U_{33} = 0$$

$$E(e^{-k\beta}) = U + \varphi_1 + \varphi_2$$

$$\varphi_1 = U_1 a_1 + U_2 a_2 + U_3 a_3 + a_4 + a_5$$

$$\varphi_1 = -e^{-k\beta} \left(k \left(\frac{-\xi}{\beta} + \frac{\gamma}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \frac{Y_{22}}{D} + k \left(\frac{\xi-1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \frac{Y_{23}}{D} - k^2 \frac{Y_{22}}{2D} \right)$$

$$\varphi_2 = \frac{1}{2} [(A\sigma_{11} + B\sigma_{21} + P\sigma_{31}) U_1 + (A\sigma_{12} + B\sigma_{22} + P\sigma_{32}) U_2 + (A\sigma_{13} + B\sigma_{23} + P\sigma_{33}) U_3]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} [(A\sigma_{12} + B\sigma_{22}) U_2]$$

$$E(e^{-k\beta}) = e^{-k\beta} - e^{-k\beta} k \left(\frac{-\xi}{\beta} + \frac{\gamma}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \frac{Y_{22}}{D} + \left(\frac{\xi-1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \frac{Y_{23}}{D} - \frac{k Y_{22}}{2 D} - \frac{k e^{-k\beta}}{2} (A\sigma_{12} + B\sigma_{22})$$

$$E(e^{-k\beta}) = e^{-k\beta} + \varphi_4$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{ABL} = -\frac{1}{k} \log[E_{\mu}(e^{-k\beta})]$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{ABL} = \beta - \frac{1}{k} \log \varphi_4$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{ABL} = \beta + k' \log \varphi_4 ; \quad \text{at } (\hat{\alpha}_{ML}, \hat{\beta}_{ML}, \hat{\gamma}_{ML}) \quad (5.4)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_4 = & \left[1 - k \left(\frac{-\xi}{\beta} + \frac{\gamma}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \frac{Y_{22}}{D} - \left(\frac{\xi-1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \frac{Y_{23}}{D} - \frac{k Y_{22}}{2 D} \left(\frac{1}{D} Y_{11} \left(\frac{2n}{\alpha^3} - (\gamma+1)\omega_{133} \right) - 2 \frac{Y_{12}}{D} (\gamma+1)\omega_{123} - \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \frac{2Y_{13}}{D} \beta \omega_{122} - \frac{2Y_{22}}{D} (\gamma+1)\omega_{113} \right) \frac{\sigma_{12}}{2} + \frac{\sigma_{22}}{2} \left(-\frac{Y_{11}}{D} (\gamma+1)\omega_{123} - 4 \frac{Y_{12}}{D} (\gamma+1)\omega_{113} + 2 \frac{Y_{13}}{D} \omega_{112} + \frac{2Y_{23}}{D} \left(\frac{-n}{\beta^2} + \right. \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \delta_{12} \right) + \frac{Y_{22}}{D} \left(\frac{2n\gamma}{\beta^3} - 2(\gamma+1)\delta_{13} \right) \right] \quad (5.5) \end{aligned}$$

3. Approximate Bayes

Estimate of γ

$$U(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = U = e^{-k\gamma}$$

$$U_1 = U_{12} = U_{13} = U_{11} = 0$$

$$U_2 = U_{21} = U_{22} = U_{23} = 0$$

$$U_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} (e^{-k\gamma}) = -k e^{-k\gamma}; \quad U_{33} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} (-k e^{-k\gamma}) = k^2 e^{-k\gamma}, \quad U_{31} = U_{32} = 0$$

$$\varphi_1 = U_1 a_1 + U_2 a_2 + U_3 a_3 + a_4 + a_5$$

$$= -k e^{-k\gamma} \left(\left(\frac{\xi-1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{k^2}{2} \right) \frac{Y_{33}}{D} \right)$$

$$\varphi_2 = \frac{1}{2} [(A\sigma_{11} + B\sigma_{21} + P\sigma_{31}) U_1 + (A\sigma_{12} + B\sigma_{22} + P\sigma_{32}) U_2 + (A\sigma_{13} + B\sigma_{23} + P\sigma_{33}) U_3]$$

$$= -k e^{-k\gamma} \left(\frac{A\sigma_{13} + B\sigma_{23} + P\sigma_{33}}{2} \right)$$

$$E(u|\underline{x}) = e^{-k\gamma} - k e^{-k\gamma} \left(\frac{\xi-1}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma}{\beta} - \frac{k^2}{2} \right) \frac{Y_{33}}{D} - k e^{-k\gamma} \left(\frac{A\sigma_{13} + \sigma_{23}B + P\sigma_{33}}{2} \right)$$

$$E(u|\underline{x}) = e^{-k\gamma} \varphi_5$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_{ABL} = -\frac{1}{k} \log[e^{-k\gamma} \varphi_5]$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_{ABL} = \gamma - \frac{1}{k} \log \varphi_5,$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_{ABL} = \gamma + k' \log \varphi_5; \quad \text{at } (\hat{\alpha}_{ML}, \hat{\beta}_{ML}, \hat{\gamma}_{ML}) \quad (5.6)$$

Where;

$$\varphi_5 = 1 - k \left(\frac{\xi - 1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{k^2}{2} \right) \frac{Y_{33}}{D} + \left(\frac{A\sigma_{13} + B\sigma_{23} + P\sigma_{33}}{2} \right)$$

Simulation and Numerical Comparison

The simulations and numerical calculations are done by using R Language programming and results are presented in form of tables in table (1).

1. The Random variable of Generalized Compound Rayleigh Distribution is generated by R-Language programming by taking the values of the parameters α, β, γ , taken as $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0.5$ and $\gamma = 0.8$ in the equations[(4.2)-(4.4)] and equation(1.1).
2. Taking the different sizes of samples $n=10(10)80$ with complete sample, MLE's, the Approximate Bayes estimators, and their respective MSE's (in parenthesis) are obtained by repeating the steps 500 times, are presented in the table (1), for $t=0.5$, $k= -10$, $R(t)=0.42$, $H(t)=0.625$ and parameters of prior distribution $a =2$ and $b =3$.
3. Table (1) presents the MSE of α and β and Approximate Bayes estimators of α and β (for α, β and γ unknown) under LLF. The MSE's in all above cases are presented in parenthesis. Here $\hat{\alpha}_{ABL}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{ABL}$ under LLF has the lowest MSE's which shows its domination amongst other estimators.
4. Table (1) presents the MLE of parameter γ of (for known α, β) and Approximate Bayes estimator of γ under Linex Loss function(LLF) (for α, β and γ unknown). The MSE's in all above cases are presented in parenthesis. The estimators have minimum MSE's for small sample sizes, as the sample sizes increase, the MSE's increased. Among all the four estimators $\hat{\beta}_{ABL}$ under LLF has the lowest MSE.

Table (1)

Mean and MSE'S of α, β, γ
 $(\alpha = 1, \beta = 0.5 \text{ and } \gamma = 0.8)$

n	$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$	$\hat{\alpha}_{ABL}$	$\hat{\beta}_{ML}$	$\hat{\beta}_{ABL}$	$\hat{\gamma}_{ML}$	$\hat{\gamma}_{BL}$	$\hat{\gamma}_{ABL}$		
10	0.6845795	0.6999856	0.6584213	0.6125874	0.5900124	0.5999879	0.7995885		
	[0.886547]	[0.895467]	[0.052146]	[0.056125]	[0.0256142]	[.0017239]	[0.003071]		
20	0.6998754	0.7025461	0.6231444	0.5984521	0.6922601	0.713952	0.800211		
	[0.895647]	[0.902354]	[0.852365]	[0.004411]	[0.026537]	[0.016369]	[4.081x10 ⁻²]		
30	0.7451688	0.7854789	0.5825888	0.5745896	0.6865478	0.740252	0.793433		
	[0.057125]	[0.057812]	[0.658458]	[0.088541]	[0.096548]	[0.02589461]	[0.4317145]		
40	0.79865421	0.8012125	0.5482658	0.5801811	0.86975682	0.768581	0.8937546		
	[0.457812]	[0.045812]	[0.002354]	[0.005718]	[0.003265]	[.78954623]	[0.8547969]		
50	0.8956874	0.8999574	0.5996584	0.5592358	0.8490011	0.8757613	0.8592358		
	[0.004578]	[0.045821]	[0.004577]	[0.036142]	[0.004265]	[0.001624]	[0.015437]		
60	0.91478523	0.9141255	0.6990011	0.6792358	0.9490011	0.9757613	0.9792358		
	[0.004325]	[0.004789]	[0.054663]	[0.055437]	[0.004226]	[0.001624]	[0.015437]		
70	1.000001	1.0254612	0.6988845	0.6807394	0.9454543	0.9924443	0.9807398		
	[0.000125]	[0.000521]	[0.001125]	[0.010374]	[0.001367]	[0.003718]	[0.010374]		
80	1.2354782	1.0645871	0.7235814	0.7258725	0.9657432	1.0524443	1.007398		
	[0.325874]	[0.254235]	[0.025258]	[0.013258]	[0.001245]	[0.004012]	[0.010544]		

Selected Bibliography

1. Ahmad, A. A. and Mohammad, Z.R. and Mohamed, T.M. (2007): “*Bayesian Prediction Intervals for the Future Order Statistics from the Generalized Exponential Distribution*”, JIRSS, Vol. 6, No.1, pp. 17–30.
2. Ahmadi, J., Doostparast, M. and Parsian, A. (2005): “*Estimation and Prediction in A Two-Parameter Exponential Distribution Based on K-Record Values Under LINEX Loss Function*”, Communications in Statistics – Theory and Methods. Vol. 34, pp. 795–805.
3. Aitchison, J. and Dunsmore, I.R. (1975): “*Statistical Prediction Analysis*”, Cambridge University Press, London.
4. Basu, A.P. and Ebrahimi, N. (1991): “*Bayesian Approach to Life Testing and Reliability Estimation Using Asymmetric Loss Function*”, J Statist, Vol. 15, pp 190-204.
5. Berger, J. O. (1980): “*Statistical Decision Theory and Bayesian Analysis*”, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York.
6. Berger, J.O. (1980): “*Statistical Decision Theory Foundations, Concepts and Methods*”, New York; Springer Verlag.
7. Bernardo, J.M. and Smith, A.F.M. (Eds.) (1994): *Bayesian Theory*, New York : Wiley.
8. Burr, I.W. (1942): “*Cumulative Frequency Distribution*”, Annals of Mathematical Statistics, Vol. 13, pp 215-232.
9. Dey, D.K., Ghosh, M. and Srinivasan, C. (1987): “*Simultaneous Estimation of Parameter under Entropy Loss*”, Jour. Statist. Plan and Infer., pp 347-363.
10. Dubey, S., Pradhan, B. and Kundu, D. (2010): “*Parameter Estimation of The Generalized Rayleigh Distribution*”
11. Ferguson, Thomas S. (1967): “*Mathematical Statistics: A Decision Theoretic Approach*”. Academic press, New York.
12. Lindley, D.V. (1965): “*Introduction to Probability and Statistics from A Bayesian View Point, Part-1: Probability, Part-2: Inference*”, University Press, Cambridge.
13. Lindley, D.V. (1980): “*Approximate Bayesian Method*”. Trab. Esta., Vol. 31, pp 223-237.
14. Mostteit, J.J.J., Roux and Bekker(2000): ‘*A Generalized Compound Rayleigh Distribution Using Bayesian Method* 29;7, 1419-1433’
15. Mudholkar, G.S., Srivastava, D.K. and Freimer, M. (1995): “*The Exponentiated Weibull Family; A Re-Analysis of the Bus Motor Failure Data*”, Techno., Vol. 37, pp 436-445.
16. Pandya, Mayuri, Pandya Smita, Andheria Paresh et. al. (2014): “*Bayes Estimation of Generalized Compound Rayleigh Distribution*”, Science and Engineering company.
17. Prakash, G. and Singh, D.C. (2006): “*Shrinkage Testimators for the Inverse Dispersion of the Inverse Gaussian Distribution under the LINEX Loss Function*”, Austrian Journal of Statistics, Vol. 35, No. 4, pp. 463–470.
18. Prakash, G. and Singh, D.C. (2008): “*Shrinkage Estimation in Exponential Type-II Censored data Under the LINEX Loss function*”, Journal of the Korean Statistical Society, No. 37, No. 1, pp. 53–61.
19. Press, S.J. (1989): “*Bayesian Statistics- Principles, Models and Applications*”, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
20. Rao, A.K. (2002): “*Bayesian Estimation Of Parameter And Reliability Function Under Asymmetric Loss Functions With Censoring*”, An unpublished Ph. D. thesis, DDU Gorakhpur University
21. Raqab, M.Z. and Kundu, D. (2003): “*Burr Type X Distribution; Revisited*”, <http://home.iitk.ac.in/kundu/paper118.pdf>
22. Rojo (1987): “*On The Admissibility of $Cx+D$ With respect to the LINEX Loss Function*,” commun. Statist theory Math. Vol. 16, No. 12, Vol. 3745-3748
23. Singh, D.C., Prakash, G. and Singh, P. (2007): “*Shrinkage Testimators For The Shape Parameter Of Pareto Distribution Using The LINEX Loss Function*”, Commun. in Statistics – Theory and Methods, Vol. 36, No. 4, pp. 741–753.

24. Sinha, S.K. (1986): *“Reliability And Life Testing”*, Wiley Eastern Ltd., Delhi, India.
25. Sinha, S.K. and Sloan, J.A. (1988): *“Bayesian Estimation of Parameters and Reliability Function Of The 3-Parameters Weibull Distrib.”*. IEEE Tra. Rel., V-R-37, pp 364-369.
26. Soliman, A.A. (2000): *“Comparison of Linex and Quadratic Bayesian Estimators for Rayleigh Distribution”*. Comm. in Stat, Theory and Methods, Vol. 29, No. 1, pp 95-107.
27. Soliman, A.A. (2001): *“Linex and Quadratic Approximate Bayesian Estimators Applied to Pareto Model”*, Communication in Statistics - Simulation Vol. 30, No.1, pp 47-62.
28. Srivastava, S.R. and Gupta, V.P. (1965): *“Estimation After Preliminary Testing in ANOVA Model”*, Biometrics, Vol. 21, pp 752-758.
29. Wu,Z.Tian,Y.(2005): *“Weighted-Loss-Function CUSUM Chart for Monitoring Mean and Variance of A Production Process”*, Int. J. Product. Res. 43:3027-3044.
30. Xu, Y. and Shi, Y. (2004): *“Empirical Bayes Test for Truncation Parameters using LINEX Loss”*, Bulletin of the Institute of Math Acad. SINICA, Vol. 32, No.3, pp 207–
31. Zellner, A. (1986): *“Bayesian Estimation and Prediction Using Asymmetric Loss Function”*, Journal of Amer. Statist. Assoc., Vol. 81, pp. 446–451.

