



“Assessing the Effectiveness of Relative Valuation Approach in Valuing Companies in the IT-software Sector”

Author: Swetha R, MBA

Guidance: Prof. Ravi URS

PES University, Bengaluru, Karnataka.

Abstract:

This research paper delivers a comprehensive review of the effectiveness of comparative valuation methods when assessing the software business value. This valuation approach is also known as the Relative Valuation Approach, this valuation approach is used to derive a valuation of the target company and its industry peers based on various indicators. The research paper initially focuses on the various dynamics within the IT -Sector before analyzing and examining several market multiples like Price-to-earnings (P/E) price-to-sales (P/S), and Enterprise value to EBITDA ratios. This research paper also provides an overview of the strengths and limitations of a comparable valuation approach IT-Software industry. Furthermore, the research paper assesses the influence of aspects of the market such as industry trends, market fluctuations, and investors' expectations on the correctness and dependability of comparable valuation results. This research provides insights and guidance for investors and analysts involved in the valuation and investment decisions related to the IT-software sector. the research also helps to enhance the understanding of correctly evaluating the intricate worth of IT software companies in a rapidly changing, tech-centric market landscape by providing an extensive assessment of apt comparable valuation methods suited to the complexities of the related industry or sector.

By thoroughly valuing TCS, a well-established organization in this sector, this study project further deepens its understanding of the practical application of the comparable valuation approach. The study considered a set of comparable companies that, in terms of scale, market presence, and scale of business operations, are quite similar to the target company TCS. It then goes on to gather extensive financial data of the target company and its peer companies, which includes crucial figures, revenue, earnings, EBITDA, and Market value. In order to make well-informed decisions in the service market, the findings offer significant insights for investors, analysts, and industry stakeholders.

Keywords: Corporate Valuation, Comparative Valuation, Relative Valuation Approach, IT-Software Sector, Intrinsic Value, Market Multiples.

Introduction:

In the contemporary, global economy, the IT-Sector stands as an example of innovation and revolution. Businesses operating in this vibrant industry play a crucial role in defining the technical landscape, supplying crucial software solutions, and fostering exceptional developments. Understanding the intrinsic worth of the target sector and companies is crucial in this constantly changing environment, not only for investors but also for industry stakeholders trying to make wise strategic decisions. The difficulty of valuing businesses in the software industry is complex. Although reliable, traditional valuation techniques might not always account for the intricacies of this sector, which is characterized by quick technical advancements, a variety of business structures, and variable growth trajectories. In this setting, relative valuation stands out as an effective strategy, providing a framework for evaluating the value of IT sector companies in relation to their competitors. Comparing different valuation measurements, such as P/E ratio (Price-to-earnings), P/S Ratio (Price-to-sales), and EV/EBITDA ratio, is the core principle of this financial analysis. This concept is known as relative valuation. Based on how the market perceives a company's financial performance, these criteria help determine whether it is trading at a premium or a discount to its competitors. The IT software industry, which offers a distinctive and dynamic environment, finds applications for relative valuation approaches. The intangible components frequently have an equal or even greater worth than actual assets in the target sector, where innovation is encouraged and market conditions are dynamic. Therefore, it becomes essential to do a thorough analysis of how relative valuation functions in this target industry. The purpose of the study is to offer insights into TCS comparable valuation within the IT software landscape. To do this, the study carefully evaluates TCS and chooses a group of comparable companies that are similar to TCS in terms of their size, market presence, and primary business activity.

Literature Review:

Value Multiples act as a tool for measuring the link between different financial metrics, allowing for easier comparison of various businesses. Multiples show how certain financial measures relate to one another, such as the share price in relation to earnings per share. This approach offers a simple way to determine a company's worth and evaluate it in comparison to other businesses. So, this research examines several diverse categories used in the process of valuing businesses (*Types of Valuation Multiples – Equity & Enterprise Value Multiples*, n.d.). There are two main types of valuation multiples in the field of corporate valuation: equity multiples and enterprise value multiples. The value of a company's equity, or ownership shares, is the subject of equity multiples, as the name suggests. On the other hand, enterprise value multiples take a broader view by taking into account a company's debt and cash holdings in addition to its equity. Two main techniques are frequently used for analysis employing these multiples during the valuation process: Comparative company analysis and analysis of prior transactions. For the purposes of this research study, the Comparable Company Analysis is the main topic of discussion. Comps analysis is a crucial method of valuation that involves contrasting the target firm with a narrowly chosen set of comparable businesses in the same sector or industry. Analysts can learn how the market perceives the target business's value in relation to its industry competitors by computing the pertinent equity or enterprise value multiples for both the target firm and its chosen peers(*Types of Valuation Multiples – Equity & Enterprise Value Multiples*, n.d.).

A simple method for determining the worth of a business or asset is relative valuation, commonly referred to as comparative valuation. Comparing the target entity's financial indicators to those of comparable businesses or assets belonging to the same sector is part of the process. Price-to-sales (P/S) ratios and other valuation multiples, such as price-to-earnings (P/E) ratios, are used in this approach. It has drawbacks, such as the requirement for truly comparable organizations and sensitivity to market mood, despite its simplicity and speed. For a more thorough investigation, it is frequently used in conjunction with other valuation techniques (*Relative Price Valuation - A Method To Compare Stocks & Judge Its Value - GETMONEYRICH*, n.d.). In the valuation process, the market value of a firm is determined by comparing it to its peers or rivals in the industry. Aswath Damodaran claims that because the comparable valuation approach is predicated on a number of assumptions, it is difficult to pinpoint a company's intrinsic value. Instead, this approach aims to evaluate the value of the company based on the current market price of the target company compared to the market price of the comparable companies. A few key considerations must be made when adopting the relative or comparable value approach. Finding appropriate businesses that are comparable to the one being appraised is crucial because this will provide the framework for comparison. Additionally, during the valuation process, it is necessary to calculate and take into account important financial ratios like the price-to-earnings ratio, price-to-sales ratio, and various measures of enterprise value relative to revenue or earnings (like Earnings Before Interest, Tax, Depreciation, and Amortization, or EBITDA).

Research methodology:

The effectiveness and constraints of the various market-based techniques for valuing companies in the IT service industry are thoroughly examined in this study using both qualitative and quantitative data. The quantitative component of the study uses secondary data that was obtained from databases and websites of reputable automakers. This dataset comprises a variety of data, such as financial reports from comparable automakers, income statements, balance sheets, share market databases, and financial ratios for the sector's various companies. The secondary data A thorough comprehension of the publications, such as books, papers, industry reports, and journals, is a component of qualitative data. This study's examination covers the conclusions, usefulness, and constraints of the comparable firms' valuation approaches in the IT sector.

Limitation:

There must be some restrictions that will have an impact on the research study because its goal is to assess the strengths and weaknesses of similar firms' corporate valuation methods in the IT service industry. Due to constraints including time restraints, a lack of source data, restricted access to data, the complexity of the valuation approach, and the limited scope of the qualitative and quantitative analysis, this serves as a foundation for further research. The study does not identify any constraints in the IT industry's valuation process due to the complexity of the valuation approach.

Objectives:

1. Dive deep into the world of IT software businesses to boost the comprehension of the appraisal by assessing the different financial valuation metrics to value the companies.
2. Delve deeper into this industry's core, tracking its speedy tech advancements, diverse growth trends, and shifting market conditions. Understand how these elements impact for valuing of such companies.
3. A comprehensive assessment of the pros and cons tied to implementing relative valuation approaches in this sphere will offer insight into its efficiency.
4. The research paper aims to provide insight for further study and also aims to insights to make informed investment decisions for investors and analysts.

Data Collection and Analysis:

The evaluation of the company's intrinsic value is no easy task in the fast-paced and dynamic software sector. even though they are reliable, traditional absolute valuation techniques like DCF Valuation may not always be able to reflect the particular dynamics of this sector. So, here we have relative valuation, a technique that has gained popularity in the evaluation of IT software enterprises due to its effectiveness and simplicity. A useful tool for investors and analysts looking for a quick evaluation of a company's worth is relative valuation, often known as comparative valuation. The relative valuation fundamental component is the comparison of a target company's financial metrics and value metrics to those of its competitors in the same industry. This strategy makes use of the market value of the company, which reflects how investors value the company in relation to its peers in the same industry. There are several benefits to relative valuation, especially in the IT software sector where innovation and change are constants. Its exceptional accessibility makes it appropriate for a broad spectrum of experts and investors. In situations when quick assessments are required, the efficiency and simplicity of relative valuation are extremely useful. This approach does have certain drawbacks, though. The presumption of accurate comparability between the target and its peers is the most important element. Companies may have unique characteristics and growth trajectories that make them less comparable in a fast-growing industry like IT software. Furthermore, valuation multiples may be inaccurate due to market fluctuations, investor fluctuations, and industry trends.

Relative value has a number of advantages, especially in the IT software industry where change and innovation are constants. It is suitable for a wide range of specialists and investors due to its remarkable accessibility. The effectiveness and simplicity of relative valuation are quite helpful in situations when quick judgments are required. However, there are several disadvantages to this strategy. The most crucial component is the assumption that the target and its peers can be accurately compared. Businesses may have distinct traits and growth trajectories that make them less similar in a rapidly expanding sector like IT software. Additionally, market alterations, investor sentiment, and business trends can all affect how accurate valuation multiples are. In our research, we delve into the heart of IT software firms with the objective of accurately comprehending their assessment process. We meticulously observe financial valuation indices such as price-to-earnings (P/E), price-to-sales (P/S), and enterprise value-to-EBITDA (EV/EBITDA) ratios. Our goal is to investigate how competent these metrics are in determining values within this ever-evolving sector by extensively critiquing them.

Throughout our exploration, we concentrate on the rapid tech advancements, diverse growth patterns, and unstable market circumstances prevalent in the IT Software industry. We aspire to grasp how dynamic elements interact thus influencing worth evaluation for businesses under this domain. Gaining a higher understanding enables us to better equip ourselves against complexities that arise when valuing companies amidst a constantly changing business landscape.

S.No.	Name	CMP Rs.	No. Eq. Shares Cr.	Market Capitalization	Debt Rs.Cr.	Cash End Rs.Cr.	Net debt
1	TCS	3596.55	365.91	1316013.611	7688	11032	-3344
2	Infosys	1467.85	415.04	609216.464	8483	12173	-3690
3	HCL Technologies	1262	271.37	342468.94	4794	14724	-9930
4	Wipro	416.05	549.09	228448.8945	17466.6	9188	8278.6
5	LTIMindtree	5436.4	29.59	160863.076	1578.6	2932	-1353.4
6	Tech Mahindra	1287.5	97.49	125518.375	2740.2	4254.7	-1514.5
7	L&T Technology	4745.45	10.57	50159.4065	454.2	689.9	-235.7

Source: (Tata Consultancy Services Ltd Financial Results and Price Chart - Screener, n.d.)

Our research paper desires to be more than an intellectual pursuit. It has the ambition to serve as a channel between theoretical principles and real-world applications by imparting actionable intelligence to the parties involved. To those who invest, examine trends, and work in the information technology software industry - we aspire our findings offer wisdom that can help guide your investment choices wisely. The subject of our investigation, Tata Consultancy Services (TCS), stands out among its peer group with relatively high value multiples. When compared to its competitors, TCS's EV/Revenue ratio of 5.66x shows that investors are prepared to pay more per unit of revenue. A greater valuation based on EBITDA is shown in the EV/EBITDA ratio of 20.23x, indicating market confidence in TCS's earnings potential. Additionally, TCS demands a P/E ratio of 29.97x, which is much higher than the industry standard, indicating that investors are willing to pay a hefty premium for both its present earnings and potential for future development.

S.No.	Name	EV Rs.Cr.	Sales Rs.Cr.	EV / EBITDA	NP 12M Rs.Cr.	Cap Rs.Cr.	Mar	EBITDA	EBITDA Margin	
1	TCS	1312652.09	232081	20.23	43904	1315996.09		64886.41078	28%	
2	Infosys	598125.12	150230	15.37	24691	609214.12		38915.10215	26%	
3	HCL Technologies	332534.52	104288	13.68	15095	342464.52		24308.07895	23%	
4	Wipro	236729.19	91790	12.06	11693.6	228450.59		19629.28607	21%	
5	LTIMindtree	160281.94	34240.9	23.71	4456.1	160866.04		6760.098693	20%	
6	Tech Mahindra	124009.44	53741.3	15.01	4413.1	125523.94		8261.788141	15%	
7	L&T Technology	49915.81	8715.7	24.89	1241.7	50151.51		2005.456408	23%	
S.No.	Name	CMP Rs.	No. Eq. Shares Cr.	Debt Rs.Cr.	Cash End Rs.Cr.	EV Rs.Cr.	Sales Rs.Cr.	EV/ EBITDA	NP 12M Rs.Cr.	Mar
1	TCS	3596.55	365.91	7688	11032	1312652.1	232081	20.23	43904	1315996.1
2	Infosys	1467.85	415.04	8483	12173	598125.12	150230	15.37	24691	609214.12
3	HCL Technologies	1262	271.37	4794	14724	332534.52	104288	13.68	15095	342464.52
4	Wipro	416.05	549.09	17466.6	9188	236729.19	91790	12.06	11693.6	228450.59
5	LTIMindtree	5436.4	29.59	1578.6	2932	160281.94	34240.9	23.71	4456.1	160866.04
6	Tech Mahindra	1287.5	97.49	2740.2	4254.7	124009.44	53741.3	15.01	4413.1	125523.94
7	L&T Technology	4745.45	10.57	454.2	689.9	49915.81	8715.7	24.89	1241.7	50151.51

Source: (Tata Consultancy Services Ltd Financial Results and Price Chart - Screener, n.d.)

IT-Software Sector Relative or Multiple Approach Valuation

Comparable companies (in Crores)

Company	Shares			Market Data				Financials				Valuation	
	Share Price	Outstanding	Equity Value	Net Debt	Enterprise Value	Revenue	EBITDA	Net Income	EV/Revenue	EV/EBITDA	P/E (Current)	Market Cap)	
TCS	3596.55	365.91	1316013.611	-3344.00	1312669.61	232081	64886.41	43904	5.66x	20.23x	29.97x	29.97x	
Infosys	1467.85	415.04	609216.464	-3690.00	605526.46	150230	38915.1	24691	4.03x	15.56x	24.67x	24.67x	
HCL Technologies	1262	271.37	342468.94	-9930.00	332538.94	104288	24308.08	15095	3.19x	13.68x	22.69x	22.69x	
Wipro	416.05	549.09	228448.8945	8278.60	236727.49	91790	19629.29	11693.6	2.58x	12.06x	19.54x	19.54x	
LTIMindtree	5436.4	29.59	160863.076	-1353.40	159509.68	34240.9	6760.099	4456.1	4.66x	23.60x	36.10x	36.10x	
Tech Mahindra	1287.5	97.49	125518.375	-1514.50	124003.88	53741.3	8261.788	4413.1	2.31x	15.01x	28.44x	28.44x	
L&T Technology	4745.45	10.57	50159.4065	-235.70	49923.71	8715.7	2005.456	1241.7	5.73x	24.89x	40.40x	40.40x	
High									5.73x	24.89x	40.40x	40.40x	
75th Percentile									5.16x	21.91x	33.04x	33.04x	
Average									4.02x	17.86x	28.83x	28.83x	
Median									4.03x	15.56x	28.44x	28.44x	
25th Percentile									2.883835744	14.34475412	23.68059963	23.68059963	
Low									2.31x	12.06x	19.54x	19.54x	

Tata Motors Comparable Valuation

	EV/Revenue	EV/EBITDA	P/E (Current)	Market Cap)
Implied Enterprise Value	935440	1009645	1245383	1245383
Net Debt	-3344	-3344	-3344	-3344
Implied Market Value	938784	1012989	1248727	1248727
Shares Outstanding	366	366	366	366
Implied value Per Share	2566	2768	3413	3413
	Overvalued	Overvalued	Overvalued	Overvalued

TCS is currently trading at a premium, however, despite the multiples' indications to the contrary, these premium value highlights the market's optimism over the company's growth trajectory and future performance.

These valuation criteria should be carefully taken into account by analysts and investors when making decisions on TCS investments.

Conclusion:

By the above Comparative valuation approach, we can understand that the stocks of TCS are trading at an overvalued price when compared to its comparable because the present share price of TCS is more than the implied value per share. As a result of our analysis, Tata Consultancy Services (TCS) is currently trading at a premium to its competitors in the IT software sector. EV/Revenue, EV/EBITDA, and P/E ratio are a few of the valuation metrics where the premium is clear. Investors are prepared to fork over a sizable premium for TCS's revenue, profitability, and potential for future growth. The market's high level of confidence in TCS is reflected in this premium, but it also begs the question of whether such values can be sustained. For investment decisions involving TCS in the dynamic IT software sector, where market conditions change quickly, careful analysis of these valuation measures is crucial. Although a premium denotes optimism, it also carries higher expectations and the possibility of volatility. Overall, our research provides insightful information for analysts and investors to navigate the valuation process.

Reference:

Relative Price Valuation - A Method To Compare Stocks & Judge Its Value - GETMONEYRICH. (n.d.). Retrieved September 28, 2023, from <https://getmoneyrich.com/relative-price-valuation/>

Tata Consultancy Services Ltd financial results and price chart - Screener. (n.d.). Retrieved September 28, 2023, from <https://www.screener.in/company/TCS/consolidated/>

Types of Valuation Multiples – Equity & Enterprise Value Multiples. (n.d.). Retrieved September 28, 2023, from <https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/valuation/types-of-valuation-multiples/>