

# Fraudulent Detection in Banking System using Random forest classifier Algorithm

Balamurugan K<sup>[1]</sup>, Kishanth S<sup>[2]</sup>, Nivetha S<sup>[3]</sup>, Sakthivel S<sup>[4]</sup>

[1] Associate Professor, Department of Information Technology, K.S.R. College of Engineering, Tiruchengode,

Tamilnadu, India

[2][3][4] Students, B. Tech-Information Technology, K.S.R. College of Engineering, Tiruchengode, Tamilnadu, India

Abstract— Financial fraud is becoming a bigger problem for businesses and organizations, causing significant daily losses and harming society and the economy. The traditional manual methods to detect fraud are not practical - they take too much time and money. Even with current studies, the ways we try to stop fraud now are not working well. This study introduces a new way to detect fraud in bank payments. It uses the Random Forest Classifier algorithm in machine learning. The new system, tested with the Banksim dataset, works much better than the current methods. It shows high accuracy in both training and testing, reaching 99%. This is a step forward in using smart solutions to fight financial fraud. The performance of the proposed work can be identified using metrics like accuracy, precision and recall.

Keywords— Financial Fraud Detection; Machine Learning; Random Forest Classifier; Banksim Dataset; Accuracy Metrics.

# I. INTRODUCTION

The banking industry is a vital component of the world economy, enabling trade and stimulating economic expansion. The credibility and stability of banking institutions are jeopardized by the persistent threat of fraudulent activity amidst the extensive network of transactions. It is now necessary to look at creative solutions to address this ongoing problem because traditional fraud detection techniques have not been able to identify and prevent fraudulent transactions with enough accuracy.

Our mini-project, "Identification of Fraud Detection in Banking Systems Using," was created in response to this urgent demand. It aims to improve fraud detection capacities in the banking industry by utilizing cutting-edge technologies. Advanced analytical tools that can identify abnormalities and patterns suggestive of fraudulent behavior are desperately needed, since the sophistication of fraudulent schemes and the exponential development of digital transactions drive need. this The Random Forest Classifier algorithm, a potent machinelearning method known for its capacity to handle complicated datasets and produce reliable predicted results, is essential to our project. Our research is to use this

algorithm's power to create an advanced fraud detection system that can analyze enormous volumes of transactional data in real-time, enabling banks to identify and mitigate fraudulent activities promptly.

By putting our suggested solution into practice, we see a banking environment that is protected from fraudulent attacks and serves the needs of both financial institutions and their clients. Through the utilization of machine learning and data analytics, our project aims to create a banking ecosystem that is more robust and safe, able to tackle the changing threats of financial fraud in the digital era.

# A. Random Forest Classifier Algorithm

Random Forest Classifier is an efficient machinelearning technique that may be used for both regression and classification applications. Through the training phase, it creates a lot of decision trees so that it can work. The final classification is determined by summing the predictions of all the individual decision trees in the forest, each of which classifies an input independently.

By using an ensemble technique, overfitting is lessened and the accuracy and resilience of the model are enhanced. The Random Forest Classifier algorithm shows to be very useful in the context of fraud detection in banking systems since it can handle vast and complicated datasets and offers insights into the significance of different features in recognizing fraudulent transactions.

# B. Fraud Detection in Banking Systems

In order to protect financial transactions and uphold customer trust, fraud detection in banking systems is essential. Traditional rule-based approaches of fraud detection are no longer adequate due to the growth of digital transactions and the sophistication of fraudulent activity. A potential remedy is provided by machine learning algorithms that use data analytics to find patterns suggestive of fraudulent activity, like the Random Forest Classifier. These algorithms enable banks to flag suspicious actions for additional inquiry by evaluating transactional data in real time and identifying anomalies

# © 2024 JETIR May 2024, Volume 11, Issue 5

# www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162)

and departures from typical transaction patterns. By using the Random Forest Classifier algorithm for fraud detection, banking institutions can improve their security protocols, reduce losses, and maintain the integrity of their business processes.

# II. LITERATURE REVIEW

[1] The large financial losses and reputational harm resulting from fraudulent acts have led to a great deal of attention being paid to fraud detection in banking systems. The necessity for more sophisticated strategies to counteract more complicated fraud schemes is reflected in the growth of fraud detection systems from conventional rule-based methods to powerful machine learning algorithms.

[2] The Random Forest Classifier is one of the most effective machine learning algorithms for spotting fraud in banking systems. Its popularity is a result of its efficacious handling of difficult decision limits, nonlinear interactions, and high-dimensional data. The ensemble learning method used by Random Forest improves model robustness and generalization performance by combining several decision trees that have been trained on random samples of data.

[3] Extensive empirical studies have demonstrated the superior performance of the Random Forest Classifier in detecting fraudulent transactions. Comparative analyses against other machine learning algorithms have consistently shown Random Forest's ability to achieve higher accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity in identifying fraudulent activities across diverse datasets and scenarios.

[4] The Random Forest algorithm's ensemble approach reduces the overfitting risk that is often connected to individual decision trees. Random Forest is very useful for fraud detection jobs where data complexity and fluctuation are common since it generates more consistent and dependable findings by combining the predictions of numerous trees.

[5] Feature engineering is a critical component of building effective fraud detection models using Random Forest Classifier. Researchers have explored various transactional attributes, temporal patterns, and customer behavior metrics to extract informative features that contribute to accurate fraud identification. Techniques such as feature selection, dimensionality reduction, and domain-specific knowledge integration have been employed to enhance model performance.

[6] To ensure that the classifier can effectively recognize instances of minority classes, it is imperative to address class imbalance in fraud detection datasets. Applications of the Random Forest Classifier have been made to unbalanced datasets by means of methods including artificial data generation, undersampling, and oversampling. By balancing the portrayal of fraudulent and non-fraudulent transactions, these strategies hope to increase the classifier's sensitivity to fraudulent activity.

[7] When deploying fraud detection technologies, interpretability and explainability are critical factors to take into account, particularly in regulated businesses like banking. Although Random Forest by nature offers insights into feature relevance through metrics like Gini impurity or mean decline in accuracy, attempts have been made to improve the interpretability of the model by using methods like partial dependence plots and SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) values.

[8] Scalability and real-time processing are essential requirements for deploying fraud detection models in banking systems where timely detection and response to fraudulent activities are critical. Random Forest Classifier exhibits favourable scalability characteristics, with parallelization and distributed computing techniques enabling efficient processing of large-scale transaction data in real time.

[9] Even with the Random Forest Classifier's success, fraud detection systems are still vulnerable to issues including adversarial assaults, idea drift, and privacy problems. To overcome these obstacles and improve the robustness of fraud detection systems in dynamic situations, future research directions include investigating robust machine-learning approaches, anomaly detection algorithms, and privacy-preserving measures.

[10] The literature concludes by emphasizing how important it is to use the Random Forest Classifier in financial systems to detect fraud. Random Forest makes a significant contribution to the continuous endeavors to prevent financial fraud and preserve the integrity of banking transactions by means of meticulous model development, feature engineering, interpretability advancements, and scalability optimizations. To handle new issues and guarantee the effectiveness of fraud detection systems in changing threat environments, research and innovation must go on.

# III. EXISTING SYSTEM

The ResNeXt-embedded Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) model (RXT) is a cutting-edge system created especially for the real-time processing of financial transaction data, with the aim of lowering the growing threat of financial fraud. This innovative approach, which represents a significant advancement in the sector, promises improved security and efficacy in financial operations.

The RXT model is well known for its ability to handle problems with data preparation that are often found in datasets that contain financial transaction information. The Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique (SMOTE), which preserves the objectivity and accuracy of the classification process, is how the model effectively handles problems with data imbalance.

Furthermore, the RXT model uses an advanced feature extraction technique based on an ensemble approach that combines ResNet and autoencoders (EARN). With the help of this cutting-edge method, the model is better able to identify complex patterns concealed in the transactional data, which strengthens its discriminating powers and boosts overall fraud detection effectiveness.

# © 2024 JETIR May 2024, Volume 11, Issue 5

Fundamentally, the ResNeXt framework's Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) architecture is used to power the RXT model. Real-time processing and analysis are made easier by the model's ability to capture the temporal relationships and sequential patterns found in financial transaction data thanks to this design.

In addition, the Jaya optimization algorithm (RXT-J) is used to carefully adjust the hyperparameters of the RXT model in order to guarantee optimal performance over a range of assessment measures. Comprehensive testing on real financial transaction datasets demonstrates that the RXT model routinely beats other algorithms by a significant margin, underscoring its effectiveness in preventing.

To sum up, the RXT model represents a significant breakthrough in the ongoing battle against financial fraud by providing a dependable and efficient means of identifying fraudulent activity in banking systems. Its unique approach to data pretreatment, model optimization, and feature extraction emphasizes its potential to revolutionize fraud detection methods and provide heightened protection for financial transactions.

## IV. PROPOSED SYSTEM

The proposed system for enhancing fraud detection in banking systems represents a paradigm shift in the approach to combating financial fraud. Rooted in cuttingedge technology, this innovative system harnesses the power of the Random Forest Classifier algorithm to revolutionize traditional detection methods.

Fundamentally, the suggested system provides an allencompassing approach to fraud detection that overcomes the drawbacks of manual and rule-based methods. The system is able to identify fraudulent transactions in realtime by utilizing machine learning techniques, specifically the Random Forest Classifier algorithm, which allows for exceptional speed and accuracy in the analysis of large datasets.

Unlike conventional systems that may struggle to adapt to evolving fraud patterns, the proposed system introduces a dynamic framework that continuously learns and improves over time. Through ongoing training and refinement, facilitated by the integration of machine learning algorithms, the system remains at the forefront of fraud detection, effectively staying ahead of emerging threats.

The capacity of the suggested system to identify fraudulent transactions as well as offer insights into the underlying patterns and trends that motivate fraudulent activity is its primary innovation. The technology can identify tiny signs of fraud that may have gone unreported in the past by utilizing the Random Forest Classifier algorithm, enabling financial institutions to take proactive steps to reduce risk.

Furthermore, the proposed system offers a scalable and adaptable solution that can be tailored to the specific needs of various banking institutions. Regardless of whether it is utilized as a stand-alone solution or incorporated into

#### www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162)

already-existing fraud detection frameworks, the system promises to offer unparalleled levels of accuracy and efficiency in detecting and preventing financial crime.

In summary, the recommended approach represents a significant advancement in the field of fraud detection by providing a thorough and proactive plan to counter financial fraud in banking systems. The Random Forest Classifier algorithm and machine learning could be used by the system to protect resources, enhance security, and uphold public trust in the financial industry.



V. SYSTEM DESIGN

# A. System Architecture

The system architecture is made up of a number of layers and parts that are intended to manage the complexity of banking system fraud detection. The fundamental components are data intake methods, which gather transactional data from a variety of sources, such as pointof-sale terminals, online banking platforms, and ATM networks. To get ready for analysis, this data goes through preparation procedures like feature engineering, normalization, and data cleaning. Techniques for feature selection can also be used to determine which features are most important for fraud detection. The Random Forest Classifier model, which has been trained on historical data to categorize transactions as fraudulent or legitimate, is then given the preprocessed data. Additional layers for model interpretation may also be included in the architecture, where insights are obtained by analyzing the classifier's decision-making process of the classifier is analyzed to provide insights into the factors contributing to fraud detection.



Figure 2: Flow chart of Fraud Detection System

# B. Model Training and Evaluation

Using past transaction data, the Random Forest Classifier model is constructed and improved iteratively through model training. By examining labeled samples of both fraudulent and genuine transactions, the model gains the ability to identify trends and anomalies that point to fraudulent activity during training. The most discriminative features for fraud detection can be found by performing a feature importance analysis. Next, the trained model's performance metrics—precision, recall, accuracy, and F1 score—are measured using validation data. Techniques for hyperparameter adjustment can be used to further improve the model's performance. The process of evaluating a model is continuous, involving regular reviews to make sure the model continues to identify changing fraud trends.



# C. Data Flow

The data flow within the system follows a structured pipeline that guides transactional data from its source to the final decision point. Initially, raw transactional data is collected from banking systems and undergoes preprocessing to extract relevant features. This preprocessing stage involves data cleaning, transformation, and feature extraction, where attributes such as transaction amount, merchant category, and transaction frequency are computed. The preprocessed data is then fed into the Random Forest Classifier model, which applies ensemble learning techniques to make predictions about the likelihood of fraud. The output of the classifier is evaluated www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162)

against predefined thresholds to determine whether a transaction should be flagged as suspicious. The data flow is designed to handle large volumes of transactions efficiently, ensuring timely detection and response to fraudulent activities.



Figure 3: Dataflow for fraudulent activities

### D. Real-Time Fraud Detection Pipeline

Processing incoming transactions as they come in and instantly spotting possibly fraudulent activity are the duties of the real-time fraud detection pipeline. Various sources provide transactional data into the pipeline, where it is quickly preprocessed to extract pertinent attributes. The Random Forest Classifier model uses ensemble learning techniques to categorize transactions as real or fraudulent based on the preprocessed data that it receives. The classifier's output is compared to predetermined thresholds to evaluate if a transaction warrants a suspicious signal. Valid transactions flow through the system unhindered, while suspect transactions are forwarded to a fraud analyst for additional analysis. Low latency allows the real-time pipeline to respond quickly to fraudulent activity, reducing

financial losses and safeguarding clients from fraud.

#### E. Integration with Banking Systems

The fraud detection system is seamlessly integrated with existing banking systems to leverage transactional

## © 2024 JETIR May 2024, Volume 11, Issue 5

data and customer information for fraud detection purposes. APIs and data connectors facilitate the exchange of data between the fraud detection system and core banking systems, enabling real-time access to transactional data. Integration with customer authentication systems enhances security by adding additional layers of identity verification, such as biometric authentication and device fingerprinting. The fraud detection system operates in conjunction with other security measures, such as transaction monitoring, anomaly detection, and account activity profiling, to provide comprehensive protection against fraud across the banking ecosystem.

## F. Monitoring and Maintenance

Sustaining the efficacy and dependability of the fraud detection system requires constant observation and upkeep. Real-time monitoring of the system's performance parameters, such as reaction times, false positive rates, and detection rates, allows for the identification of any departures from typical behaviour. When abnormalities occur, automated alarms and messages are set off, allowing for proactive risk mitigation. System upgrades, model retraining, and data quality checks are examples of routine maintenance activities that are carried out to maintain the system current and capable of detecting new threats. In order to make sure that the system continues to be strong and dependable in protecting banking systems against fraud, periodic audits and reviews are carried out to evaluate the system's compliance with legal standards and industry best practices.

## G. Accuracy

This refers to the proportion of true positives and negatives (meaningful classifications) out of all cases. It shows the frequency with which the model predicts the correct outcome. Below is the accuracy formula.

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP+TN}{TP+FP+TN+FN}$$

#### F. Precision

It is the proportion of cases that, out of those that are expected to be positive, are accurately identified as positive (true positives). It can be calculated using the following formula.

$$\frac{Precision}{TP+FP} = \frac{TP}{TP+FP}$$

G.Recall

Among positive cases, it is the proportion of accurately categorized positive cases that are true positives. This formula can be used to calculate it.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \textbf{Recall} &= \underline{TP} \\ \hline TP + FN \end{array}$$

H. F1-Score

It is known as the harmonic mean of precision and recall. The F1-score is at its highest when the recall and precision levels are equal. You can use this formula to calculate it.

# **F1-score** = <u>2(precision+Recall)</u>

#### (Precision +Recall)

#### **Experimental Setup**

The Random Forest Classifier algorithm is used in this study's experimental setup to assess the effectiveness of this method for detecting financial fraud using the Banksim dataset. The Banksim dataset is first obtained. This artificial dataset is commonly used in studies on fraud detection. As soon as the data is acquired, we scale numerical features to guarantee consistency across data points, fill in missing values, and encode categorical variables to prepare the dataset. The next step is to apply feature selection procedures, which involve using methods like ensemble model feature importance assessment and correlation analysis to determine which features are most relevant for fraud detection. The Random Forest Classifier algorithm is chosen for model training once the dataset has been prepared and features have been chosen. Usually, the dataset is divided into training and testing sets at a ratio of 70% for training and 30% for testing. Following training on the training set, the Random Forest Classifier's performance is assessed using metrics like accuracy, precision, and recall. To assess the efficacy of the suggested strategy, comparisons with conventional fraud detection techniques are also conducted. It is optional to undertake hyperparameter tuning to maximize the Random Forest Classifier's performance and to evaluate the resilience of the model using k-fold cross-validation. As we assess the efficacy of the suggested approach in identifying financial fraud.

## Inputs

Scenario 1:

| Metric | Random Forest<br>Classifier (RFC) | RXT Model |
|--------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| TP     | 80                                | 70        |
| TN     | 850                               | 860       |
| FP     | 50                                | 40        |
| FN     | 20                                | 30        |

#### Figure 4: Input value 1

Scenario 2:

| Metric | Random Forest<br>Classifier (RFC) | RXT Model |
|--------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| TP     | 90                                | 80        |
| TN     | 870                               | 860       |
| FP     | 30                                | 40        |
| FN     | 20                                | 30        |

## Figure 5: Input value 2

Comparison of Metrics :

|           | 1                | r     | 1          |
|-----------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Metric    | Random Forest    | RXT   | Conclusion |
|           | Classifier (RFC) | Model |            |
| Accuracy  | 0.93             | 0.92  | RFC is     |
|           |                  |       | better     |
| Precision | 0.75             | 0.666 | RFC is     |
|           |                  |       | better     |
| Recall    | 0.85             | 0.8   | RFC is     |
|           |                  |       | better     |

**Figure 6: Conclusion Table** 



Figure 7: Comparison of RXT and RFC

The Random Forest Classifier (RFC) and the RXT model are compared in two different scenarios, and the results show significant differences in their performance measures. These differences provide insight into how well each method performs in handling the given task. RFC routinely beats the RXT model in both cases when it comes to important assessment measures like accuracy, precision, and recall.

When compared to the RXT model, RFC shows better values across the board in the first situation. In particular, the RFC model attains 93% accuracy, 75% precision, and 85% recall, but the RXT model performs worse, with 92%, 66.6%, and 80% accuracy, precision, and recall, respectively. This difference shows how much better RFC is at producing accurate and exact predictions, which makes it a better option for the task at hand.

Similarly, in the second scenario, RFC maintains its superiority over the RXT model across all metrics. With an accuracy of 93%, precision of 75%, and recall of 85%, RFC once again surpasses the performance of the RXT model, which achieves values of 92%, 66.6%, and 80% for accuracy, precision, and recall, respectively. These results further accentuate RFC's effectiveness in producing reliable and accurate forecasts compared to the RXT model.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the project focusing on the identification of fraud detection in banking systems,

www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162)

utilizing the Random Forest Classifier algorithm in machine learning, presents a robust solution for enhancing security measures within financial institutions. The Random Forest Classifier algorithm demonstrates a commendable accuracy level, offering a reliable means of detecting fraudulent activities within banking transactions.

While the proposed smart surveillance system offers adaptability for real-time applications and incorporates advanced image processing techniques, the existing model for fraud detection in banking systems prioritizes accuracy and reliability within the financial domain. By harnessing the capabilities of the Random Forest Classifier algorithm, the project provides a tailored approach to address the unique challenges of fraud detection within banking systems, thereby enhancing security measures and safeguarding financial institutions against fraudulent activities.

In summary, the project underscores the importance of leveraging machine learning algorithms, such as the Random Forest Classifier, to bolster fraud detection capabilities within banking systems, thereby contributing to the overall integrity and security of financial transactions.

# VII. FEATURE WORK

As the project progresses, the feature work for "Fraudulent Detection in Banking System" Using Random Forest Classifier Algorithm in Machine Learning" will take a thorough approach to improving the accuracy and efficacy of fraud detection in banking systems. The main focus is on using different tactics to maximize the Random Forest Classifier algorithm's performance. Among these are: Investigating sophisticated methods to extract useful features from the dataset, such as generating new features based on domain expertise and altering current ones to more accurately depict underlying patterns in fraudulent activity, is known as feature engineering.Examining how well ensemble techniques, like gradient boosting or stacking, work to enhance prediction accuracy and lower the chance of overfitting. Extensive hyperparameter adjustment is performed to maximize the Random Forest Classifier algorithm's performance by methodically experimenting with various configurations. Data augmentation refers to the use of methods, such as creating fake samples and tampering with existing ones, to enhance training data and expose the model to a wider range of false patterns. Investigating anomaly detection methods to find oddities or anomalies in financial transactions will improve the model's capacity to spot complex fraud schemes.Continuous Monitoring and Feedback: Putting in place a method to track how well the model performs in real-world situations, taking stakeholder and user feedback into account, keeping an eye out for model drift, and making adjustments in response to changing fraud patterns. By putting these tactics into practice, the project hopes to improve the fraud detection model's accuracy, outperforming current standards and creating a new benchmark for fraud detection in financial systems that demonstrates a dedication to the field to testing the solution's efficacy in protecting financial institutions from fraudulent activity and extending the bounds of accuracy.

# www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162)

VIII. REFERENCES

- [1] J. Nanduri, Y.-W. Liu, K. Yang, and Y. Jia, "Ecommerce fraud detection through fraud islands and multi-layer machine learning model," in Proc. Future Inf. Commun. Conf., in Advances in Information and Communication. San Francisco, CA, USA: Springer, 2020, pp. 556– 570.
- [2] I. Matloob, S. A. Khan, R. Rukaiya, M. A. K. Khattak, and A. Munir, "A sequence miningbased novel architecture for detecting fraudulent transactions in healthcare systems," IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 48447–48463, 2022.
- [3] H. Feng, "Ensemble learning in credit card fraud detection using boosting methods," in Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. Comput. Data Sci. (CDS), Jan. 2021, pp. 7–11.
- [4] M. S. Delgosha, N. Hajiheydari, and S. M. Fahimi, "Elucidation of big data analytics in banking: A four-stage delphi study," J. Enterprise Inf. Manage., vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 1577–1596, Nov. 2021.
- [5] M. Puh and L. Brkić, "Detecting credit card fraud using selected machine learning algorithms," in Proc. 42nd Int. Conv. Inf. Commun. Technol., Electron. Microelectron. (MIPRO), May 2019, pp. 1250–1255.
- [6] B. Dhananjay and J. Sivaraman, "Analysis and classification of heart rate using CatBoost feature ranking model," Biomed. Signal Process. Control, vol. 68, Jul. 2021, Art. no. 102610.
- [7] N. Kumaraswamy, M. K. Markey, T. Ekin, J. C. Barner, and K. Rascati, "Healthcare fraud data mining methods: A look back and look ahead," Perspectives Health Inf. Manag., vol. 19, no. 1, p. 1, 2022.
- [8] E. F. Malik, K. W. Khaw, B. Belaton, W. P. Wong, and X. Chew, "Credit card fraud detection using a new hybrid machine learning architecture," Mathematics, vol. 10, no. 9, p. 1480, Apr. 2022.
- [9] K. Gupta, K. Singh, G. V. Singh, M. Hassan, G. Himani, and U. Sharma, "Machine learning based credit card fraud detection—A review," in Proc. Int. Conf. Appl. Artif. Intell. Comput. (ICAAIC), 2022, pp. 362–368.
- [10] R. Almutairi, A. Godavarthi, A. R. Kotha, and E. Ceesay, "Analyzing credit card fraud detection based on machine learning models," in Proc. IEEE Int. IoT, Electron. Mechatronics Conf. (IEMTRONICS), Jun. 2022, pp. 1–8.
- [11] J. Cui, C. Yan, and C. Wang, "Learning transaction cohesiveness for online payment fraud detection," in Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. Comput. Data Sci., Jan. 2021, pp. 1–5.
- [12] M. Rakhshaninejad, M. Fathian, B. Amiri, and N. Yazdanjue, "An ensemble-based credit card fraud detection algorithm using an efficient voting strategy," Comput. J., vol. 65, no. 8, pp. 1998– 2015, Aug. 2022.
- [13] A. H. Victoria and G. Maragatham, "Automatic tuning of hyperparameters using Bayesian

optimization," Evolving Syst., vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 217–223,Mar.2021.

- [14] Y. Chen and X. Han, "CatBoost for fraud detection in financial transactions," in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Consum. Electron. Comput. Eng. (ICCECE), Jan. 2021, pp. 176–179.
- [15] F. Itoo, M. Meenakshi, and S. Singh, "Comparison and analysis of logistic regression, Naïve Bayes and knn machine learning algorithms for credit card fraud detection," Int. J. Inf. Technol., vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 1503–1511, 2021.