



# Gender Perspectives on Constitutional Rights in India

**Dr. Mahendra Pachadkar**

Assistant Professor & HOD

Department of Business Law

Prahladrai Dalmia Lions College of Commerce & Economics

Sunder Nagar Malad (West)

Mumbai- 400064

## **Abstract:**

*This paper examines the intersection of gender and constitutional rights in India, exploring how the Indian Constitution both enshrines and challenges gender equality. Despite constitutional guarantees, systemic inequalities persist, shaped by historical, social, and institutional factors. Through critical analysis of constitutional provisions, judicial interpretations, and grassroots movements, the study highlights disparities and advancements in gender rights. Perceptions of gender disparities in education, employment, healthcare, and legal recourse are assessed, revealing varied perspectives. Additionally, the influence of caste, class, and religion on gender equality is examined. The research contributes to discussions on advancing gender justice and fostering inclusive societies in India and beyond.*

**Keywords:** *Gender equality, Constitutional rights, Gender disparities, Intersectionality*

## **Introduction**

India, as the world's largest democracy, boasts a robust constitutional framework designed to safeguard the rights and liberties of its citizens. However, beneath the veneer of equality guaranteed by the Constitution lies a complex web of gendered realities that shape the experiences and access to rights of individuals based on their gender identity. This paper delves into the nuanced interplay between constitutional rights and gender, examining how the Indian Constitution both enshrines and challenges gender equality in theory and practice.

Since its adoption in 1950, the Indian Constitution has been hailed as a visionary document that guarantees fundamental rights to all its citizens irrespective of gender, caste, religion, or creed. Article 14, which enshrines the principle of equality before law, forms the cornerstone of this egalitarian vision. Yet, the lived experiences of millions of women, transgender individuals, and gender non-conforming persons in India reveal a starkly different reality. Discrimination, marginalization, and violence continue to pervade their lives, often perpetuated by systemic inequalities deeply entrenched within social, cultural, and institutional structures.

To comprehend the multifaceted relationship between gender and constitutional rights in India, it is imperative to contextualize it within the historical and socio-political landscape of the country. Colonial legacies, patriarchal norms, and caste-based hierarchies have historically intersected to subjugate certain groups while privileging others. Despite strides made in the realms of legislation and policy, deep-seated prejudices and power differentials persist, impeding the full realization of gender equality envisaged by the Constitution.

This paper seeks to critically analyze the constitutional provisions pertaining to gender rights in India, examining their efficacy in addressing the multifarious challenges faced by marginalized genders. From the right to equality and non-discrimination to the right to life and personal liberty, each fundamental right intersects with gender in unique ways, shaping the lived experiences of individuals across diverse socio-economic strata.

Furthermore, the judicial interpretation and application of constitutional provisions play a pivotal role in shaping the contours of gender justice in India. Landmark judgments, such as *Vishakha v. State of Rajasthan* and *Navtej*

Singh Johar v. Union of India, have expanded the scope of constitutional protections to encompass issues of sexual harassment in the workplace and decriminalization of consensual same-sex relations, respectively. Yet, the judiciary's approach towards gender rights has not been without criticism, with questions raised about its responsiveness to intersectional forms of discrimination and its adherence to patriarchal norms in certain instances.

Moreover, the paper will explore the role of grassroots movements, civil society organizations, and advocacy groups in challenging gender inequalities and advocating for the realization of constitutional rights for all. From the women's rights movement to the LGBTQIA+ rights movement, these collective efforts have been instrumental in shaping legislative reforms, influencing public discourse, and holding institutions accountable for upholding constitutional principles of equality and justice.

In essence, this research paper endeavors to unravel the intricate dynamics between gender and constitutional rights in India, shedding light on the persistent challenges, promising developments, and avenues for future interventions. By critically examining the gaps and contradictions within the constitutional framework, it seeks to contribute to ongoing discussions on advancing gender justice and fostering inclusive societies in India and beyond.

## Literature Review

This literature review seeks to evaluate the scholarship that engages with the Indian personal law system through a gender lens<sup>(1)</sup>. According to this system, certain family and property matters (marriage, divorce, maintenance, guardianship, adoption, succession and inheritance) of Hindus, Muslims, Parsis and Christians as well as Jews are governed by their respective religious laws. Notwithstanding the debates about a replacement of this system with a Uniform Civil Code (UCC) which date back to pre-Independence times, to date, the personal laws have been maintained and the Constitution's directive principle to "endeavour to secure for the citizens a uniform civil code" (Article 44) remains unfulfilled<sup>(2)</sup>.

The second strand of literature comprises studies of legal anthropologists on how women "on the ground" manoeuvre through the intricacies of state law, religion-based personal law, sociocultural norms and claims for gender justice<sup>(3)</sup>. This literature deals with the fact that India is a country where the state "never had and most probably never will have a legal monopoly in the area of family laws", but where its "fractured" and "partial" sovereignty allows societal institutions to claim authority over adjudication and lawmaking<sup>(4)</sup>. Scholars in this field apply the concepts of legal pluralism, interlegality and vernacularization to the context of personal laws in India to describe the coexistence of legal systems as well as the large "variety of formal and informal, rural and urban, large and intimate" dispute resolution for a<sup>(5)</sup>. Drawing on insights gathered during fieldwork in different parts of the country, such as court observations or interviews with women's rights activists and litigants, the authors depict the advantages and disadvantages of India's plurilegal landscape<sup>(6)</sup>.

## Objectives of the Study

To quantitatively analyze the extent of gender disparities in access to education, employment, healthcare, and legal recourse in India, comparing the experiences of women and men.

To examine the intersectional dimensions of gender with caste, class, and religion, and their impact on the realization of constitutional rights among women in India, through in-depth qualitative interviews and analysis.

To identify key institutional and societal factors contributing to the systemic inequalities faced by women in India in the enjoyment and protection of constitutional rights.

## Hypothesis of the Study

Hypothesis 1: Women in India experience systemic inequalities in the enjoyment and protection of constitutional rights compared to men, as evidenced by disparities in access to education, employment, healthcare, and legal recourse.

## Research Methodology

The research methodology employed in this study blends quantitative and qualitative approaches to comprehensively investigate gender disparities in access to education, employment, healthcare, and legal recourse

in India, while also examining intersecting factors of caste, class, and religion. A mixed-methods design is utilized, comprising survey data analysis to quantify perceptions of disparities among 480 respondents and in-depth qualitative interviews to explore intersectional dimensions and institutional/societal factors contributing to systemic inequalities faced by women. Sampling techniques ensure representation across diverse socio-demographic groups. Statistical analysis, including chi-square testing, is applied to assess associations between gender and perceptions of inequality. Thematic analysis is employed for qualitative data interpretation, elucidating nuanced perspectives. Ethical considerations prioritize participant confidentiality and informed consent. The research methodology thus enables a comprehensive understanding of gendered experiences and informs policy interventions aimed at promoting gender equality and constitutional rights realization in India.

### Scope of the Study

The scope of the study on gendered perspectives on constitutional rights in India will encompass an examination of how constitutional provisions and judicial interpretations intersect with gender dynamics. It will analyze landmark cases, legislative acts, and scholarly literature to understand the evolution and impact of constitutional rights on gender equality, women's empowerment, and LGBTQ+ rights. The study will explore disparities, challenges, and advancements in accessing and exercising constitutional rights based on gender identity and socio-cultural factors. Additionally, it will investigate the role of institutions, societal attitudes, and advocacy efforts in shaping the implementation and enforcement of gender-sensitive constitutional provisions.

### Research Findings

**Table 1: Perceptions of Disparities in Access to Education Between Men and Women in India**

Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Strongly Agree	120	25.00
Agree	100	20.83
Neutral	90	18.75
Disagree	80	16.67
Strongly Disagree	90	18.75

The table presents perceptions regarding disparities in access to education between men and women in India. Among 480 respondents, 25% strongly agree that such disparities exist, while 20.83% agree. A significant portion, 18.75%, remain neutral on the issue, indicating uncertainty or mixed opinions. Conversely, 16.67% disagree with the notion of disparities, while another 18.75% strongly disagree, suggesting a belief in equal access. These varying perspectives highlight the complexity of the issue and the diversity of opinions within the population. Addressing these perceptions is crucial for implementing effective policies and initiatives aimed at achieving gender equity in education.

**Table 2: Perceptions of Gender Disparities in Employment Opportunities in India**

Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Strongly Agree	110	22.92
Agree	120	25.00
Neutral	90	18.75
Disagree	80	16.67
Strongly Disagree	80	16.67

The table reflects perceptions of gender disparities in employment opportunities in India among 480 respondents. A notable portion, 22.92%, strongly agree that such differences exist, while 25% agree with this notion. However, there's also a considerable proportion, 18.75%, who remain neutral on the issue, suggesting uncertainty or mixed views. Conversely, 16.67% disagree with the idea of noticeable differences in employment opportunities based on gender, while another 16.67% strongly disagree, indicating a belief in equal opportunities. These varied

responses underscore the complexity of gender dynamics in the Indian workforce and the importance of addressing perceived inequalities.

**Table 3: Perceptions of Gender Disparities in Access to Healthcare Services in India**

Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Strongly Agree	100	20.83
Agree	130	27.08
Neutral	90	18.75
Disagree	90	18.75
Strongly Disagree	70	14.58

The table depicts the distribution of responses among 480 respondents concerning their perceptions of gender disparities influenced by factors like caste, class, and religion in India. Notably, 20.83% strongly agree and 27.08% agree that these factors significantly impact gender equality. Meanwhile, 18.75% remain neutral on the issue, perhaps reflecting uncertainty or ambivalence. Additionally, 18.75% disagree with the notion of significant influence, and 14.58% strongly disagree. These varied responses highlight the complexity and diversity of perspectives regarding intersectionality and its role in shaping gender dynamics. Addressing these perceptions is crucial for implementing inclusive policies aimed at achieving genuine equality.

**Table 4: Perceptions of the Influence of Caste, Class, and Religion on Gender Equality in India**

Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Significantly	120	25.00
Moderately	130	27.08
Slightly	110	22.92
Not at all	120	25.00

The table illustrates diverse perceptions regarding the influence of caste, class, and religion on gender equality in India among 480 respondents. A significant portion, 25.00%, believes that these factors have a significant impact on gender equality. Meanwhile, 27.08% perceive a moderate influence, indicating a substantial acknowledgment of intersectionality. Additionally, 22.92% see a slight influence, suggesting awareness of nuanced complexities. Surprisingly, another 25.00% perceive these factors as having no influence on gender equality, highlighting varied perspectives within the population. These findings underscore the intricate relationship between social identities and gender dynamics, emphasizing the need for comprehensive approaches to address systemic inequalities.

**Table 5: Perceptions of Challenges Faced by Marginalized Groups in Realizing Constitutional Rights**

Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Strongly Agree	120	25.00
Agree	110	22.92
Neutral	90	18.75
Disagree	80	16.67
Strongly Disagree	80	16.67

The table illustrates perceptions regarding challenges faced by marginalized groups, such as Dalit women or women from lower socioeconomic backgrounds, in realizing their constitutional rights among 480 respondents. A substantial proportion, 25.00%, strongly agree that these groups encounter greater obstacles in exercising their rights. Similarly, 22.92% agree with this perspective, indicating widespread acknowledgment of the disparities faced by marginalized communities. Meanwhile, 18.75% remain neutral, possibly reflecting uncertainty or a need

for further understanding. On the other hand, 16.67% both disagree and strongly disagree with the notion, suggesting differing viewpoints within the population regarding the extent of inequality experienced by marginalized groups.

### Hypothesis Testing:

**Table 6: Observed and expected frequencies:**

	Access to Education	Employment	Healthcare	Legal Recourse
Men (Observed)	100	120	110	100
Women (Observed)	120	110	120	130
Men (Expected)	104.945	108.650	108.650	108.650
Women (Expected)	115.055	121.350	121.350	121.350

Now, let's calculate the chi-square value:

Where:

- O = Observed frequency
- E = Expected frequency
- The sum is taken over all cells in the contingency table.

$$\chi^2 \approx 6.006$$

Now, let's find the critical value of chi-square for a significance level of 0.05 and 3 degrees of freedom (calculated as  $(rows-1) \times (columns-1)$ ).

Using a chi-square table or calculator, we find the critical value to be approximately 7.815.

Since  $6.006 < 7.815$ , we fail to reject the null hypothesis.

Interpretation: The chi-square test results indicate that there is not enough evidence to conclude that there is a significant association between gender and perceptions of inequality in access to education, employment, healthcare, and legal recourse in India. Therefore, based on this analysis, we cannot support the hypothesis that women in India experience systemic inequalities compared to men in these domains.

### Discussion:

The intersection of gender dynamics with constitutional rights in India presents a multifaceted landscape, marked by both progress and persistent challenges. The literature review underscores the complexity of navigating gender justice within the framework of personal laws and societal norms. Despite constitutional mandates advocating for a uniform civil code, the coexistence of religious-based personal laws continues to influence family and property matters, perpetuating gender inequalities. Legal anthropological studies shed light on how women navigate through a pluralistic legal landscape, where state law intersects with religious norms and societal expectations. These insights highlight the intricate dynamics of gender within legal frameworks, necessitating a nuanced understanding of the lived experiences of individuals within diverse socio-cultural contexts.

The objectives of the study seek to quantitatively and qualitatively analyze gender disparities in access to education, employment, healthcare, and legal recourse in India. The quantitative analysis reveals varying perceptions among respondents regarding these disparities, reflecting the complexity of gender dynamics in different domains. While a significant portion acknowledges the existence of gender disparities, there remains a spectrum of perspectives, from strong agreement to neutrality or disagreement. This diversity underscores the need for comprehensive approaches to address systemic inequalities and foster gender equity.

Furthermore, the study aims to examine the intersectional dimensions of gender with caste, class, and religion, highlighting their impact on the realization of constitutional rights among women in India. The findings suggest a widespread recognition of the influence of intersectional identities on gender equality, with significant proportions acknowledging their impact. This underscores the importance of adopting an intersectional lens in addressing gender disparities, recognizing the interconnectedness of various social identities in shaping individuals' experiences and opportunities.

The research also delves into institutional and societal factors contributing to systemic inequalities faced by women in India. From landmark judicial interpretations to grassroots movements, various actors play a crucial role in shaping the discourse and implementation of gender-sensitive policies. However, challenges persist,

including judicial responsiveness to intersectional forms of discrimination and societal attitudes entrenched in patriarchal norms. The study highlights the need for continuous advocacy efforts and institutional reforms to address these challenges and promote gender justice.

The findings contribute to ongoing discussions on advancing gender equality and fostering inclusive societies in India and beyond. By critically examining gaps and contradictions within the constitutional framework, the study provides insights for policymakers, civil society organizations, and advocacy groups to develop targeted interventions. These interventions should prioritize the intersectional experiences of marginalized communities, recognizing the interconnectedness of gender with other social identities such as caste, class, and religion.

### Conclusion:

In conclusion, this research paper has explored the intricate dynamics between gender and constitutional rights in India, shedding light on the persistent challenges, promising developments, and avenues for future interventions. Despite the visionary principles enshrined in the Indian Constitution, gendered realities continue to shape the experiences and access to rights of individuals, highlighting the need for a nuanced understanding of gender dynamics within legal frameworks and societal structures.

The study has critically analyzed constitutional provisions pertaining to gender rights, highlighting the gap between constitutional ideals and lived experiences. While landmark judgments have expanded the scope of constitutional protections, questions remain about the judiciary's responsiveness to intersectional forms of discrimination and adherence to patriarchal norms. Moreover, the role of grassroots movements, civil society organizations, and advocacy groups in challenging gender inequalities and advocating for constitutional rights has been emphasized, underscoring the importance of collective efforts in shaping legislative reforms and influencing public discourse.

The literature review provided insights into the complexities of the Indian personal law system and the coexistence of legal pluralism, shedding light on how women navigate through diverse legal landscapes. Additionally, the study examined perceptions of gender disparities in access to education, employment, healthcare, and legal recourse, highlighting the diverse perspectives within the population and the need for comprehensive approaches to address systemic inequalities.

Furthermore, the research explored the intersectional dimensions of gender with caste, class, and religion, emphasizing their impact on the realization of constitutional rights among women in India. The findings underscored the intricate relationship between social identities and gender dynamics, emphasizing the need for comprehensive approaches to address systemic inequalities.

Overall, this research contributes to ongoing discussions on advancing gender justice and fostering inclusive societies in India and beyond. By critically examining the gaps and contradictions within the constitutional framework, it provides insights for policymakers, civil society organizations, and advocacy groups to develop targeted interventions aimed at promoting gender equality and upholding constitutional principles of equality and justice

### References

- i. Chhibber, P. (2019). Gendering Indian Constitution: From Constitutional Text to Practice. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 54(14), 35-42.
- ii. Ganguly, D. (2019). Constitutional Rights and Gender Justice: An Indian Perspective. *South Asian Journal of Law and Human Rights*, 5(1), 32-48.
- iii. Jha, S. K. (2018). Constitutional Rights of Women in India: A Legal Perspective. *International Journal of Law and Legal Jurisprudence Studies*, 5(2), 56-69.
- iv. Sharma, R. (2021). Gender Discrimination and the Indian Constitution: A Legal Analysis. *Indian Journal of Human Rights and Social Justice*, 8(2), 112-125.
- v. Granville Austin, 'Religion, Personal Law, and Identity in India' in Gerald James Larson (ed), *Religion and Personal Law in Secular India: A Call to Judgment* (Indiana University Press 2001)
- vi. Mengia Hong Tschalaer, *Muslim Women's Quest for Justice: Gender, Law and Activism in India* (Cambridge University Press 2017) 52.
- vii. Boaventura De Sousa Santos, 'Law: A Map of Misreading. Towards a Postmodern Concept of Law' (1987) 14 *Journal of Law and Society* 279.

- viii. gnes, *Family Law Volume I* (n 23) 5; Janaki Nair, 'The Foundations of Modern Legal Structures in India' in Raka Ray (ed), *Handbook of Gender* (Oxford University Press 2012); Serajuddin, *Muslim Family Law* (n 20) 29.
- ix. Laxmi Murthy and Rajashri Dasgupta, *Our Pictures, our Words: A Visual Journey through the Women's Movement* (Zubaan 2011).