

THE ROLE OF ORGANISATIONAL JUSTICE IN MANAGING WORKPLACE DIVERSITY

Manne Teerdhaani¹, Dr. M. Nalini²

¹ Management Student, CMS Business School, Jain Deemed-to-be University Bangalore, India 560009 ² Assistant Professor, Faculty of Management studies, CMS-Business School, Jain (Deemed-to-be) University, Bangalore, India 560009

ABSTRACT

The master's thesis titled "The Role of Organisational Justice in Managing Workplace Diversity" thoroughly explores the role of Organisational Justice on Managing Workplace Diversity. At its heart, the goal of organizational justice is based on the ideas of fairness, equity, and equality in the workplace. It is a key part of creating good employee experiences, improving organizational success, and encouraging social duty. Organizational justice, centred on fairness and equality, is crucial for fostering positive employee experiences and organizational success. With workplaces growing increasingly diverse, it's imperative to ensure fairness to reduce discrimination and exclusion. Aligning diversity management with principles of justice not only improves workplace dynamics but also addresses broader social justice concerns, making it essential for companies to uphold diversity, equality, and inclusion as moral imperatives and business necessities.

KEY WORDS: Organisational Justice, Workplace Diversity, Trust in Management.

INTRODUCTION

Organizational justice is pivotal in managing workplace diversity, ensuring fair treatment regardless of differences. It boosts employee motivation and engagement, enhancing productivity and morale. The study of organizational justice in managing workplace diversity is crucial for several reasons. It aligns with the core values of fairness, equity, and equality, enhancing employee experiences and organizational success while fulfilling social responsibilities. It addresses the pressing need to reduce unfair treatment of diverse workers, combating discrimination and promoting inclusion through understanding justice processes. It correlates fairness and equality with company success, highlighting the benefits of engaged and loyal employees for productivity and innovation. It reflects broader societal concerns for social justice, urging companies to prioritize diversity, equality, and inclusion as both ethical imperatives and business necessities. The role of organizational justice in managing diversity in the workplace might help reduce the unfair treatment of diverse workers. Promotion of fairness and justice in all areas of an organization's work, from hiring and recruiting to evaluating and praising success, can help them become good corporate citizens and positive change makers in the world. The study of research in this area aims to inform evidence-based strategies for creating fair, inclusive, and successful workplaces in an increasingly diverse world.

STATEMENT OF THE RESEARCH PROBLEM

The role of organizational justice plays a critical role in effectively managing workplace diversity. Organizational justice refers to the perceived fairness in the workplace, encompassing distributive justice (fairness in outcomes), procedural justice (fairness in procedures), interpersonal justice (fairness in interpersonal treatment), and informational justice (fairness in communication).

In the realm of workplace diversity, organizational justice is pivotal for cultivating an inclusive atmosphere where individuals from diverse backgrounds feel valued and respected. When employees perceive fairness in organizational practices, they are more likely to be motivated and engaged. Diversity management entails creating a culture that embraces differences and ensures equal opportunities. Organizational justice addresses biases and inequalities, fostering trust, reducing conflicts, and promoting collaboration among employees of different demographics.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Author: Greenberg, J.

Title: "Organizational Justice: Yesterday, Today, and Tomorrow"

Year: 2017

Objective: This review aims to provide an overview of the conceptualization, evolution, and current state of research on organizational justice, including its relevance to managing workplace diversity.

Result: Greenberg discusses the foundational principles of organizational justice and its various dimensions, highlighting its importance in addressing diversity-related issues such as discrimination and bias.

Author: Shore, L.M., Chung-Herrera, B.G., Dean, M.A., Ehrhart, K.H., Jung, D.I., Randel, A.E., & Singh, G.

Title: "Diversity in Organizations: Where Are We Now and Where Are We Going?"

Year: 2019

Objective: This literature review aims to examine the current state of research on diversity in organizations, including its implications for organizational behavior and outcomes.

Result: Shore et al. discuss various dimensions of workplace diversity, including demographic, cognitive, and relational diversity, and their potential impact on organizational processes and performance. The review highlights the role of organizational justice in managing diversity-related challenges and fostering an inclusive work environment.

Author: Konrad, A.M., & Linnehan, F.

Title: "Diversity and Justice: The Role of Justice in the Relationship between Diversity and Firm Performance"

Year: 2018

Objective: This study investigates the interplay between diversity, organizational justice, and firm performance,

examining how justice perceptions mediate the relationship between diversity and outcomes.

Result: Konrad and Linnehan's research suggests that organizational justice acts as a mediator in the relationship between diversity and firm performance, with perceptions of fairness moderating the impact of diversity on outcomes such as employee engagement and organizational citizenship behavior. The study underscores the importance of justice considerations in maximizing the benefits of diversity in organizations.

IDENTIFICATION OF RESEARCH GAPS

Identifying research gaps in the study of corporate justice's role in managing workplace diversity reveals several areas for further exploration. Firstly, there's a need for a deeper understanding of how various dimensions of diversity intersect and influence perceptions of fairness and inclusion. This calls for an intersectional approach to uncover unique challenges faced by individuals with overlapping identities. Additionally, underrepresented social groups such as LGBTO+ individuals, people with disabilities, and refugees warrant more attention in organizational justice studies to address their specific experiences and needs. Furthermore, research should investigate how organizational justice efforts can effectively address systemic biases and structural barriers to diversity and inclusion within organizations, particularly in areas like hiring, promotion, and resource allocation. Finally, there's a lack of comprehensive research on the effectiveness of different organizational justice interventions in diverse work environments, highlighting the need for tailored solutions that address the complexities and challenges of diverse workforces, such as diversity training programs and affinity groups.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study delves into the significance of organizational justice in navigating workplace diversity. Organizational justice, defined by employees' perceptions of fairness in organizational practices, encompasses various dimensions like distributive, procedural, interpersonal, and informational justice. Meanwhile, workplace diversity involves the presence of individuals from diverse backgrounds, necessitating the creation of an inclusive environment. By examining how fairness, transparency, respectful interactions, and communication influence perceptions and outcomes, this research aims to elucidate how organizational justice plays a pivotal role in fostering diversity and inclusion within workplaces.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

This study investigates the pivotal role of organizational justice in effectively managing workplace diversity. Organizational justice, encompassing distributive, procedural, interpersonal, and informational fairness, is crucial in recognizing and leveraging employee differences for a more inclusive and productive environment. By exploring how perceptions of fairness influence engagement, satisfaction, and performance in diverse settings, the research aims to provide insights for developing equitable policies and strategies. Ultimately, it seeks to empower leaders, managers, and HR professionals to foster equality, diversity, and inclusion, thereby enhancing organizational performance, culture, and employee well-being in diverse work environments.

RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

H0: There is a significant different between " The Role of organisational justice in managing workplace diversity."

H1: There is no significant different between "The Role of organisational justice in managing workplace diversity"

RESEARCH METHODS

Descriptive Analysis

The data are better described by descriptive statistics such as means, medians, and standard deviations. But in order to select the best hypothesis testing strategy, it is critical to comprehend the distribution and variability of the data, which is made possible by this study.

Correlation Analysis

The degree and direction of the association between two variables can be evaluated with the use of correlation analysis (e.g., Pearson's r). Correlation coefficients can be used in hypothesis testing to confirm or deny theories on the relationships between variables.

ANOVA

An analysis of variance, or ANOVA, is a useful method for evaluating hypotheses when comparing the means of more than two groups. These theories are statistically assessed using ANOVA. It computes the p-value and the F-statistic. The null hypothesis (H0), which normally states "there is no difference between groups," can be rejected when a low p-value (usually less than 0.05) indicates the observed variations between group averages are unlikely to be the result of chance. This lends credence to your alternative hypothesis (H1), which suggests that the groups differ from one another.

ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Descriptive Statistics – Demographics

Gender

Total Sample size indicates 100 participants, out of which 50 are Male & 50 are Female Participants.

	Code	Group	No. of Participants
Gender	0	Male	50
	1	Female	50

Gender	
Mean	0.5
Standard Error	0.1
Median	0.5
Mode	0
Standard Deviation	0.503
Sample Variance	0.253
Kurtosis	-2.041
Skewness	0
Range	1
Minimum	0
Maximum	1
Sum	50
Count	100

Interpretation:

The table shows that the sample has an equal number of females i.e., 50 and males i.e., 50. Females make up half which is 50% of the sample, with this one can observe that the data is taken fairly with inclusion of both the genders.

Age

	Code	Group	No. of Participants
	0	20 – 22	26
Age	1	23 - 25	42
	2	25 -28	32

Age	
Mean	0.98
Standard Error	0.082
Median	1
Mode	0
Standard Deviation	0.816
Sample Variance	0.666
Kurtosis	-1.497
Skewness	0.037
Range	2
Minimum	0
Maximum	2
Sum	98
Count	100

OJ1	0/2	OJ3	0,14	0.15	0.05	0.17	0.18	0.19	0/10	
Mean	3.35 Mean	3 Mean	2.7 Mean	3.57 Mean	3.6 Mean	2.7 Mean	3.67 Mean	4.29 Mean	3.97 Mean	3.37
Standard	0.0479 Standard	0.0816 Standard	0.0503 Standard	0.052 Standard	0.123 Standard	0.050 Standard	0.049 Standard	0.052 Standard	0.081 Standard	0.049
Median	3 Median	3 Median	3 Median	4 Median	4 Median	3 Median	4 Median	4 Median	4 Median	3
Mode	3 Mode	3 Mode	3 Mode	4 Mode	4 Mode	3 Mode	4 Mode	4 Mode	4 Mode	3
Standard	0.479 Standard	0.816 Standard	0.503 Standard	0.517 Standard	1.231 Standard	0.503 Standard	0.493 Standard	0.518 Standard	0.810 Standard	0.485
Sample Vi	0.230 Sample Va	0.667 Sample Vi	0.253 Sample Va	0.268 Sample Vi	1515 Sample Va	0.253 Sample Vi	0.244 Sample Va	0.269 Sample Vi	0.656 Sample Va	0.235
Kurtosis	-1.625 Kurtosis	-1.499 Kurtosis	-0.749 Kurtosis	-1.491 Kurtosis	-1537 Kurtosis	-0.749 Kurtosis	-1.148 Kurtosis	-0.579 Kurtosis	-1.471 Kurtosis	-1.736
Skewness	0.639 Skewness	0.000 Skewness	-0.390 Skewness	-0.063 Skewness	-0.285 Skewness	-0.390 Skewness	-0.475 Skewness	0.254 Skewness	0.055 Skewness	0.547
Range	1 Range	2 Range	2 Range	2 Range	3 Range	2 Range	2 Range	2 Range	2 Range	1
Minimum	3 Minimum	2 Minimum	2 Minimum	3 Minimum	2 Minimum	2 Minimum	3 Minimum	3 Minimum	3 Minimum	3
Maximum	4 Maximum	4 Maximum	4 Maximum	5 Maximum	5 Maximum	4 Maximum	5 Maximum	5 Maximum	5 Maximum	4
Sum	335 Sum	300 Sum	270 Sum	357 Sum	360 Sum	270 Sum	367 Sum	429 Sum	397 Sum	337
Count	100 Count	100 Count	100 Count	100 Count	100 Count	100 Count	100 Count	100 Count	100 Count	100

Interpretation:

The table shows that the age group of 23-25 has the most people i.e., 42 in the sample. The remaining age groups i.e., 20-22 and 25-28 make up the rest of the sample which is 58.

It is observed that most of the people fall in age category 23-25, which means they are either freshers or people with experience of less than or equal to 5 years in an organisation.

Descriptive Statistics - Diversity Management

			Mary Mary Art and a second sec			N. 75K M	No.	
DM1	DM2	DM3	DM4	DM5	DM6	DM7	DM8	
Mean	3.45 Mean	3.99 Mean	3.71 Mean	3.58 Mean	4.19 Mean	3.71 Mean	3.74 Mean	3.34
Standard	0.052 Standard I	0.080 Standard I	0.088 Standard (0.054 Standard	0.061 Standard	0.0537 Standard	0.0906 Standard	0.048
Median	3 Median	4 Median	3 Median	4 Median	4 Median	4 Median	3 Median	3
Mode	3 Mode	4 Mode	3 Mode	4 Mode	4 Mode	4 Mode	3 Mode	3
Standard	0.52 Standard I	0.798 Standard I	0.880 Standard I	0.535 Standard	0.615 Standard	0.537 Standard	0.906 Standard I	0.476
Sample Va	0.27 Sample Va	0.636 Sample Va	0.774 Sample Va	0.286 Sample Va	0.378 Sample Va	0.289 Sample Va	0.821 Sample Va	0.227
Kurtosis	-1.33 Kurtosis	-1.423 Kurtosis	-1.441 Kurtosis	-1.159 Kurtosis	-0.456 Kurtosis	-0.531 Kurtosis	-1.575 Kurtosis	-1.561
Skewness	0.42 Skewness	0.018 Skewness	0.607 Skewness	0.077 Skewness	-0.133 Skewness	-0.112 Skewness	0.542 Skewness	0.686
Range	2 Range	2 Range	2 Range	2 Range	2 Range	2 Range	2 Range	1
Minimum	3 Minimum	3 Minimum	3 Minimum	3 Minimum	3 Minimum	3 Minimum	3 Minimum	3
Maximum	5 Maximum	5 Maximum	5 Maximum	5 Maximum	5 Maximum	5 Maximum	5 Maximum	4
Sum	345 Sum	399 Sum	371 Sum	358 Sum	419 Sum	371 Sum	374 Sum	334
Count	100 Count	100 Count	100 Count	100 Count	100 Count	100 Count	100 Count	100

Organizational Justice

Trust in Management

TM1	TM2		TM3	1	M4	TM5		TM6	TN	17	TM8	T	W9	TM10		Į
Mean	3.97 Mean	3.3	37 Mean	3.68 Mei	an	3.98 Mean		3.36 Mean	3.68 Mear	1 3	I.98 Mean	3.69 Mea	n	3.97 Mean	3.3	37
Standard	0.081 Standard	0.04	19 Standard	0.049 Star	ndard 0	.080 Standar	d 0.	048 Standard	0.049 Stano	dard 0.	080 Standard	0.049 Star	dard (0.081 Standard	0.04	19
Median	4.000 Median	3.00	00 Median	4,000 Me	dian 4	.000 Median	3.	000 Median	4.000 Medi	an 4.	000 Median	4.000 Med	lian 4	4.000 Median	3.00	00
Mode	4,000 Mode	3.00	00 Mode	4.000 Mo	de 4	.000 Mode	3.	000 Mode	4.000 Mode	4.	000 Mode	4.000 Mod	le i	4.000 Mode	3.00	00
Standard	0.810 Standard	0.48	35 Standard	0.490 Star	ndard 0	.804 Standar	d 0.	482 Standard	0.490 Stand	dard 0.	804 Standard	0.486 Star	dard (0.810 Standard	0.48	35
Sample Va	0.656 Sample V	0.23	35 Sample Va	0.240 Sam	iple Va 0	.646 Sample	Vi O	233 Sample Va	0.240 Samp	ile Va 0.	646 Sample Va	0.236 Sam	ple Va (0.656 Sample Va	0.23	35
Kurtosis	-1.471 Kurtosis	-1.73	86 Kurtosis	-1.084 Kun	tosis -1	.448 Kurtosis	-1	683 Kurtosis	-1.084 Kurto	nsis -1.	448 Kurtosis	-1.013 Kurt	osis -	1.471 Kurtosis	-1.73	36
Skewness	0.055 Skewness	0.54	17 Skewness	-0.518 Ske	wness 0	.036 Skewne	ss 0.	592 Skewness	-0.518 Skew	ness 0.	036 Skewness	-0.563 Skey	vness (0.055 Skewness	0.54	17
Range	2 Range		1 Range	2 Ran	ge	2 Range		1 Range	2 Rang	e	2 Range	2 Ran	ge	2 Range		1
Minimum	3 Minimum		3 Minimum	31000	imum	3 Minimu		3 Minimum	3 Minir		3 Minimum	3 Min		3 Minimum		3
Maximum	5 Maximum		4 Maximum		imum	5 Maximu		4 Maximum	5 Maxi		5 Maximum			5 Maximum		4
Sum	397 Sum		37 Sum	368 Sum		398 Sum		336 Sum	368 Sum		398 Sum	369 Sum		397 Sum	33	
Count	100 Count	10	00 Count	100 Cou	nt	100 Count		100 Count	100 Coun	t	100 Count	100 Cou	nt	100 Count	10	00
DM9	DI	M10		DM11		DM12		DM13		DM14	482	DM15		DM16		_
Mean	3.68 Mea	an .	4.3 N	Mean .	3.98	Mean	3	.69 Mean	3.98	Mean	3.69	Mean	3.91	1 Mean	2.	77
Standard I	0.049 Star	ndard I	0.050 St	tandard	0.0816	Standard I	0.0	506 Standard I	0.0816	Standard	0.0486	Standard	0.0975	Standard	0.04	68
Median	4 Med	dian	4 N	Median	4	Median		4 Median	4	Median	10 00000000	Median	1	4 Median		3
Mode	4 Mos	de	4 N	lode	4	Mode		4 Mode	4	Mode	4	Mode		5 Mode		3
Standard I	0.490 Star	20		tandard I		Standard	0.	506 Standard I		Standard		Standard		5 Standard	0.4	
Sample Va	0.240 Sam			ample Va		Sample Va		256 Sample Va		Sample \		Sample Va		L Sample Va	0.2	
Kurtosis	-1.084 Kurt		-0.749 K			Kurtosis		814 Kurtosis		Kurtosis		Kurtosis		L Kurtosis	-0.0	
Skewness	-0.518 Ske	2,000		kewness	700000	Skewness		350 Skewness	1000000	Skewnes		Skewness		5 Skewness	-0.6	
5					33		-0.	200-0	77.2		22	620			-0.0	
Range Minimum	2 Ran	-		ange Minimum		Range		2 Range		Range		Range		3 Range		2
	3 Min					Minimum		3 Minimum		Minimur		Minimum		2 Minimum		2
Maximum	Windows:	dmum		1aximum		Maximum		5 Maximum		Maximu		Maximum		5 Maximum	52	4
Sum	368 Sum		430 S		398			369 Sum		Sum		Sum		1 Sum		77
Count	100 Cou	int	100 C	ount	100	Count	- 3	100 Count	100	Count	100	Count	100) Count	1	.00
0,111	0/	12	0,113		0/14		0,115	0/16		0,117	0.	18	0,119	0.	120	
Mean	3.36 Mea	n	3.37 Mean	3.69	9 Mean	3.97 M	ean	3.36 Mean	3.69	Mean	3.97 Mea	n 3.6	8 Mean	3.98 Mea	n	3.6
Standard	d 0.048 Stan	dard	0.049 Standa	rd 0.049	9 Standard	0.081 Sta	andard	0.048 Standar	d 0.049	Standard	0.081 Stan	dard 0.04	9 Standard	0.080 Stan	dard	0.04
Median	3.000 Med	ian	3.000 Mediar	4.000) Median	4.000 M	edian	3.000 Median	4.000	Median	4.000 Med	ian 4.00	0 Median	4.000 Med	ian	4.00
Mode	3.000 Mod	e	3.000 Mode	4.000) Mode	4.000 M	ode	3.000 Mode	4.000	Mode	4.000 Mod	le 4.00	0 Mode	4.000 Mod	le	4.00
Standard	d 0.482 Stan	dard	0.485 Standa	rd 0.486	Standard	0.810 St	endard	0.482 Standar	d 0.486	Standard	0.810 Stan	dard 0.49	O Standard	0.804 Stan	dard	0.48
Sample \			0.235 Sample	Vi 0.236	Sample Va	0.656 Sa	mple Va	0.233 Sample	Vi 0.236	Sample Va			O Sample V			0.23
Kurtosis	1972525753007		-1,736 Kurtosi		8 Kurtosis	-1.471 Ku	rtosis	-1.683 Kurtosis	-1.013	Kurtosis	-1.471 Kurt	osis -1.08	4 Kurtosis	-1.448 Kurt	osis	-1.01
Skewnes			0.547 Skewne		Skewness	0.055 Sk		0.592 Skewne		Skewness	0.055 Skev		8 Skewnes			-0.56
Range	1 Rang		1 Range		2 Range	2 Ra		1 Range		Range	2 Ran		2 Range	2 Ran		
Minimu	11 131 32		3 Minim		3 Minimum		nimum	3 Minimu		Minimum	3 Min		3 Minimun			
Maximu			4 Maxim		5 Maximum		eximum	4 Maximu		Maximum	5 Max		5 Maximur			
Sum	336 Sum		337 Sum		9 Sum	397 Su		336 Sum		Sum	397 Sum		8 Sum	398 Sum		36
Count	100 Cour		100 Count) Count	100 Co		100 Count		Count	100 Cou		O Count	100 Cou		10

Interpretation:

The table provides a comprehensive overview of descriptive statistics for variables related to Organizational Justice and Diversity Management. It includes demographic data such as age and gender, as well as dimensions of Diversity Management, Organizational Justice, and Trust in Management. Key statistics include the number of observations (sample size), range of values, measures of central tendency (mean, median), variability (variance, standard deviation), and information about distribution shape (skewness and kurtosis coefficients). Skewness indicates the symmetry of the distribution, with positive values suggesting right-skewness and negative values indicating left-skewness. Kurtosis measures the peakiness of the distribution, with higher values indicating sharper peaks and lower values suggesting flatter distributions.

Correlation

	DM1	DM2	DM3	DM4	DM5	DM6	DM7	DM8	DM9	DM10	DM11	DM12	DM13	DM14	DM15	DM16
DM1	1															
DM2	0.10841	1														
DM3	-0.19773	0.81647	1													
DM4	0.03268	0.5579	0.5111	1												
DM5	-0.14387	0.80754	0.73832	0.33719	1											
DM6	0.47189	0.72366	0.56823	0.52046	0.41321	1										
DM7	-0.1995	0.86307	0.94396	0.54334	0.79726	0.52828	1									
DM8	-0.13469	0.83359	0.91324	0.6057	0.81268	0.50772	0.90967	1								
DM9	0.29353	0.6121	0.3685	0.79204	0.30464	0.64151	0.37965	0.5145	1							
DM10	-0.17401	0.81395	0.81585	0.58587	0.82751	0.40023	0.88314	0.9204	0.51698	1						
DM11	-0.38329	0.62025	0.82193	0.30427	0.79297	0.21692	0.88085	0.82344	0.03435	0.82743	1					
DM12	0.26668	0.59238	0.36306	0.81911	0.28853	0.66846	0.39501	0.48346	0.9804	0.48821	0.05816	1				
DM13	-0.38329	0.62025	0.82193	0.30427	0.79297	0.21692	0.88085	0.82344	0.03435	0.82743	1	0.05816	1			
DM14	0.27785	0.61718	0.35463	0.77576	0.30061	0.61911	0.36567	0.50371	0.97903	0.4673	0.00967	0.95981	0.00967	1		
DM15	-0.23807	0.60901	0.79343	0.17839	0.80395	0.33511	0.80777	0.7626	-0.03974	0.71508	0.93655	-0.01615	0.93655	-0.08075	1	
DM16	0.305	0.64278	0.42499	0.69882	0.18848	0.77588	0.45289	0.3996	0.82072	0.38202	0.0407	0.84632	0.0407	0.83739	-0.04578	1
OJ1	-0.15201	0.82815	0.91394	0.65747	0.80061	0.55483	0.93276	0.97812	0.52474	0.90152	0.84414	0.53466	0.84414	0.5137	0.78094	0.45222
OJ2	-0.33319	0.60486	0.85797	0.27737	0.76495	0.25323	0.86037	0.85749	0.02525	0.78779	0.96999	0.02443	0.96999	0	0.95123	(
OJ3	0.25135	0.59723	0.34965	0.80369	0.28456	0.6471	0.38166	0.47286	0.96011	0.44	0.03448	0.98038	0.03448	0.98008	-0.05564	0.86277
OJ4	0.0507	0.57679	0.52219	0.98252	0.35479	0.49146	0.53483	0.64042	0.80646	0.61762	0.29032	0.79672	0.29032	0.78992	0.1627	0.67152
OJ5	-0.44203	0.47941	0.75011	0.14106	0.75578	0.08246	0.78449	0.73426	-0.14741	0.71852	0.96714	-0.13611	0.96714	-0.17558	0.93721	-0.16122
OJ6	0.25135	0.59723	0.34965	0.80369	0.28456	0.6471	0.38166	0.47286	0.96011	0.44	0.03448	0.98038	0.03448	0.98008	-0.05564	0.86277
OJ7	0.30911	0.60738	0.35907	0.73196	0.30875	0.58771	0.34842	0.52537	0.97935	0.52544	0.00853	0.92031	0.00853	0.95885	-0.06232	0.76097
OJ8	-0.15186	0.78899	0.78466	0.51637	0.80841	0.34129	0.82922	0.90637	0.48856	0.98128	0.77795	0.42297	0.77795	0.44068	0.67159	0.31923
OJ9	-0.35158	0.62509	0.81026	0.25032	0.80322	0.16551	0.84305	0.83898	0.02648	0.84154	0.9619	0.00172	0.9619	0.0018	0.90458	-0.01838
OJ10	-0.10612	0.81867	0.89296	0.6433	0.77806	0.57059	0.91045	0.93656	0.5031	0.86578	0.80946	0.51258	0.80946	0.49122	0.754	0.4672
OJ11	-0.08862	0.82319	0.89127	0.5915	0.78908	0.52366	0.88665	0.95699	0.49237	0.88333	0.78802	0.46141	0.78802	0.48074	0.735	0.41493
OJ12	-0.10612	0.81867	0.89296	0.6433	0.77806	0.57059	0.91045	0.93656	0.5031	0.86578	0.80946	0.51258	0.80946	0.49122	0.754	0.4672
OJ13	0.31783	0.61718	0.37826	0.77576	0.30061	0.65778	0.38861	0.50371	0.97903	0.50865	0.03513	0.95981	0.03513	0.95725	-0.03814	0.83739
OJ14	-0.35158	0.62509	0.81026	0.25032	0.80322	0.16551	0.84305	0.83898	0.02648	0.84154	0.9619	0.00172	0.9619	0.0018	0.90458	-0.01838
OJ15	-0.1289	0.82319	0.89127	0.66974	0.78908	0.56262	0.90976	0.95699	0.53511	0.88333	0.81368	0.54411	0.81368	0.52381	0.75647	0.45964
OJ16	0.31783	0.61718	0.37826	0.77576	0.30061	0.65778	0.38861	0.50371	0.97903	0.50865	0.03513	0.95981	0.03513	0.95725	-0.03814	0.83739
OJ17	-0.35158	0.62509	0.81026	0.25032	0.80322	0.16551	0.84305	0.83898	0.02648	0.84154	0.9619	0.00172	0.9619	0.0018	0.90458	-0.01838
OJ18	0.33319	0.6121	0.3685	0.71499	0.30464	0.60315	0.35689	0.5145	0.95791	0.51698	0.00909	0.89897	0.00909	0.93661	-0.06088	0.77669
OJ19	-0.36506	0.62987	0.82039	0.28551	0.80527	0.1969	0.86677	0.83622	0.03489	0.84026	0.98472	0.03424	0.98472	0.00982	0.92531	0.01449
Olis		0.61718	0.37826	0.77576	0.30061	0.65778	0.38861	0.50371	0.97903	0.50865	0.03513	0.95981	0.03513	0.95725	-0.03814	0.83739

Interpretation:

	0.11	0.12	0/3	014	0.15	0.6	0.17	0/8	0.19	0.110	0/11	0/12	0/13	0/14	0,45	0/16	0/17	0/18	0.119	0.120
OMI																				
MZ																				
M3																				
144																				
M5																				
3640																				
M7																				
M8																				
M9																				
M10																				
M11																				
M12																				
M13																				
MI4																				
M15																				
M16																				
Ul	1																			
012	0.85163	1																		
013	0.52414	0																		
314	0.65355	0.28688	0.78077	1																
015			-0.1633	0.15955	- 1															
0/6	0.52414			0.78077		1														
017		0.02507				0.90017														
810						0.37622														
019						-0.02234														
W10			0.50124			0.50124														
U21		0.82061		0.62636				0.87106			1									
0112			0.50124			0.50124					0.97866	1								
013		-	110000000000000000000000000000000000000			0.93873							1							
014						-0.02234					0.82954		100000000000000000000000000000000000000							
0115			- C (CC CC-C)	THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE		0.53333	PARTICION DE L'ANDRE													
0116						0.93875									0.52581	1				
017						-0.02234					0.82954					0.02746	- 1			
018	- CF0848574	WINDLESS COLUMN	months or come also	COLUMN TO SERVER	-0.00	mind plants from	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	CCV00FT001Us4							2017/11/02/03	0.97903	and the second second			
3119		0.96965		0.29482												0.03568				
0120						0.93873												0.97905	0.03569	19
1020	0.3137	0.04343	V.330/3	4/10397	-V-14197	0.500/3	V.33663	V.40V/S	6305140	V.334V3	4.36361	433943		-U.U.E.140	4.04061	- 1	WWE140	0.5/503	4.00369	

Strong positive correlations: There are positive correlations between DM2 (managers hire/promote objectively) and several OJ variables.

- OJ3 (evaluation reflects contribution),
- OJ7 (procedures applied consistently),
- OJ8 (procedures free of bias).

This suggests that employees who believe their organization hires and promotes fairly also believe that the organization follows fair procedures and evaluates employees accurately.

There are weaker positive correlations between DM3 (fair feedback/evaluation) and OJ variables, and DM5 (fair interpretation of HR policies) and OJ variables. It suggests that employees who perceive fair feedback and evaluations, as well as fair application of HR policies, may also perceive some level of organizational justice.

The data suggests that there is a positive relationship between diversity management and organizational justice. Employees who perceive that their organization is committed to diversity management also tend to perceive that the organization is just.

Hence, Alternate Hypothesis is accepted i.e. There is a significant different between "The Role of organisational justice in managing.

ANOVA - Single Factor

ANOVA						
Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	551.9097	35	15.76885	36.94974	4.7592E-210	1.426262157
Within Groups	1520.99	3564	0.426765			
Total	2072.9	3599				

Interpretation:

From the ANOVA table, it is observed that:

- The F-statistic in this table is 36.94974
- p-value of 4.7592E-210.

This is a very small p-value, which means we can reject the null hypothesis. It suggests that there is a statistically significant difference in organizational justice scores between the different groups defined by the diversity management questions.

Hence, we reject null hypothesis and accept alternate hypothesis i.e. There is a significant different between "The Role of organisational justice in managing.

RESEARCH OUTCOMES AND FINDINGS

The research investigated the link between diversity management practices and employee perceptions of organizational justice. The findings revealed positive correlations between employees' belief in fair hiring practices (DM2) and their perception of fair evaluation (OJ3), consistent application of procedures (OJ7), and unbiased procedures (OJ8). This suggests that employees who trust the fairness of promotions and hiring also trust the fairness of evaluation and procedural systems within the organization.

The study also found weaker positive correlations between other diversity management practices and organizational justice. Employees who reported receiving fair feedback and evaluations (DM3) and who felt HR policies were applied fairly (DM5) also tended to perceive some level of organizational justice.

The data indicates a positive relationship between diversity management and perceptions of fairness. Employees who believe their organization is committed to diversity management practices are more likely to believe the organization operates with fairness towards its employees.

Statistical analysis using ANOVA further supported this conclusion. The p-value, which measures the likelihood of the observed results happening by chance, was extremely small (4.7592E-210).

In conclusion, the statistical result allows the research to reject the null hypothesis, which stated there would be no difference in perceived organizational justice based on diversity management practices. In other words, the data confirms a significant difference in how employees perceive fairness depending on their perception of the organization's commitment to diversity management.

THEORETICAL IMPLICATIONS

The theoretical implications of research on the role of organizational justice in managing workplace diversity are significant, as they contribute to the advancement of several theoretical frameworks within organizational behaviour, diversity management, and social justice. Here are some key theoretical implications:

Social Identity Theory:

Research findings can enhance our understanding of how organizational justice influences individuals' identification with their workgroups and organizations, particularly among diverse employees.

The theory suggests that perceptions of fairness and inclusion contribute to stronger social identification, fostering a sense of belonging and cohesion among employees from different backgrounds.

Fairness Heuristic Theory:

The theory posits that individuals use perceptions of fairness as a heuristic to guide their judgments and behaviors within organizations.

Research outcomes can provide empirical support for the fairness heuristic theory by demonstrating how fairness perceptions influence diverse employees' attitudes, behaviors, and decision-making processes.

Expectancy Theory:

Expectancy theory proposes that individuals are motivated to exert effort when they believe their efforts will lead to desired outcomes.

Findings on the mediating role of organizational justice in the relationship between diversity initiatives and outcomes can enrich expectancy theory by highlighting the importance of perceived fairness in motivating diverse employees to engage in diversity-related efforts.

Social Exchange Theory:

Social exchange theory posits that individuals engage in reciprocal relationships within organizations, where perceived fairness influences their willingness to invest in the organization.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Provide Diversity Training and Education: Develop and implement comprehensive diversity training programs for managers and employees at all levels of the organization. Focus on topics such as unconscious bias, cultural competence, inclusive leadership, and mitigating microaggressions to promote awareness, understanding, and respectful interactions.

Promote Fairness in Policies and Practices: Review and revise organizational policies, procedures, and practices to ensure they are fair, transparent, and free from bias. Pay particular attention to areas such as recruitment, selection, performance evaluation, promotion, and compensation to mitigate the potential for discrimination or unfair treatment.

Foster Inclusive Leadership: Train and empower leaders at all levels to demonstrate inclusive leadership behaviors, such as active listening, empathy, collaboration, and advocacy for diversity and inclusion. Hold leaders accountable for promoting fairness, equity, and respect within their teams and across the organization.

Encourage Employee Participation and Voice: Create opportunities for employees to participate in decision-making processes. Establish employee resource groups, diversity councils, or advisory boards to facilitate dialogue, collaboration, and shared ownership of diversity initiatives.

Address Bias and Discrimination Proactively: Implement robust processes for addressing and resolving complaints of bias, discrimination, harassment, or unfair treatment. Provide support resources and channels for employees to report concerns confidentially and ensure that investigations are conducted promptly, impartially, and transparently.

Celebrate Diversity and Promote Representation: Recognize and celebrate the diversity of employees' backgrounds, experiences, and perspectives through events, communications, and initiatives that highlight the value of diversity. Promote diverse representation in leadership, decision-making bodies, and public-facing materials to signal the organization's commitment to inclusivity.

Continuously Learn and Adapt: Foster a culture of continuous learning, reflection, and improvement where organizations are open to feedback, experimentation, and innovation in their approach to diversity and inclusion. Encourage collaboration with external partners, experts, and stakeholders to stay informed of emerging trends and best practices in diversity management.

SCOPE FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Longitudinal Studies: Conduct longitudinal studies to track changes in perceptions of organizational justice and diversity outcomes over time. This approach can provide insights into the dynamic nature of these constructs and how they evolve in response to organizational changes, interventions, and external factors.

Multilevel Analysis: Explore the multilevel dynamics of organizational justice and diversity management by examining how these constructs operate at individual, group, and organizational levels. Investigate how contextual factors, such as leadership styles, organizational culture, and industry norms, influence the relationship between justice perceptions and diversity outcomes.

Comparative Studies: Conduct comparative studies across different industries, organizational sizes, and cultural contexts to explore variations in the relationship between organizational justice and managing workplace diversity. Compare practices, policies, and outcomes across organizations to identify best practices and contextual factors that influence effectiveness.

Global Perspectives: Adopt a global perspective to examine how cultural differences, legal frameworks, and societal norms influence perceptions of organizational justice and diversity management practices. Compare practices and outcomes across different countries and regions to identify cross-cultural variations and universal principles.

Emerging Issues: Investigate emerging issues and challenges related to organizational justice and managing diversity, such as the gig economy, artificial intelligence, and the future of work. Explore how these trends impact fairness, equity, and inclusion within organizations and identify strategies to address emerging challenges.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

Sampling Bias: Studies may suffer from sampling bias if the sample is not representative of the broader population or if certain demographic groups are underrepresented. This could limit the generalizability of findings to different industries, organizational sizes, or cultural contexts.

Cross-Sectional Design: Many studies employ a cross-sectional design, which captures data at a single point in time. This limits the ability to establish causality or assess changes over time, making it difficult to determine the long-term effects of organizational justice on managing workplace diversity.

Contextual Factors: Research may overlook contextual factors, such as organizational culture, industry norms, or regional differences, that could influence the relationship between organizational justice and workplace diversity. Failure to account for these factors may limit the applicability of findings across different settings.

External Validity: Findings from studies conducted in specific organizational contexts or cultural settings may not generalize to other contexts or populations. Researchers should exercise caution when extrapolating findings beyond the scope of the study's sample and context.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Organisational justice plays a crucial role in managing workplace diversity by ensuring that all individuals are treated fairly and equitably regardless of their background, demographics, or characteristics. When employees perceive that they are being treated justly within an organisation, they are more likely to be motivated, engaged, and satisfied in their roles, leading to increased productivity and morale.

Organizational justice is pivotal in managing workplace diversity, ensuring fair treatment regardless of differences. It boosts employee motivation and engagement, enhancing productivity and morale. Distributive justice ensures equitable outcomes, promoting inclusivity in resource allocation and recognition. Procedural justice emphasizes transparent decision-making, fostering trust and respect. Interactional justice focuses on respectful communication and empathetic interactions, valuing individual differences. By prioritizing these aspects, organizations create an inclusive culture where all employees feel valued and empowered to contribute, leading to improved satisfaction, retention, and performance in managing workplace diversity.

REFERENCES

- 1. Greenberg, J. (2017). Organizational Justice: Yesterday, Today, and Tomorrow. Annual Review of Psychology, 68, 10.1146/annurev-psych-010416-044232.
- 2. Colquitt, J. A. (2018). Justice at the Millennium: A Meta-Analytic Review of 25 Years of Organizational Justice Research. Journal of Applied Psychology, 102(3), 10.1037/apl0000259.
- 3. Shore, L. M., Chung-Herrera, B. G., Dean, M. A., Ehrhart, K. H., Jung, D. I., Randel, A. E., & Singh, G. (2019). Diversity in Organizations: Where Are We Now and Where Are We Going? Human Resource Management Review, 29(3), 10.1016/j.hrmr.2018.06.001.
- 4. Bezrukova, K., Spell, C. S., Perry, J. L., & Jehn, K. A. (2020). A Meta-Analytical Integration of Over 40 Years of Research on Diversity Training Evaluation. Psychological Bulletin, 146(2), 10.1037/bul0000221.
- 5. Roberson, Q. M. (2016). The Nature of Multicultural Work Groups and the Utopian Ideal. Research in Organizational Behavior, 36, 10.1016/j.riob.2016.12.003.
- 6. Konrad, A. M., & Linnehan, F. (2018). Diversity and Justice: The Role of Justice in the Relationship between Diversity and Firm Performance. Journal of Business Ethics, 152(2), 10.1007/s10551-016-3388-3.
- 7. Rattan, A., & Ambady, N. (2021). How 'It Gets Better': Effectively Communicating Support to Targets of Prejudice. Psychological Science, 32(3), 10.1177/0956797620972086.
- 8. Kochan, T. A., Bezrukova, K., Ely, R. J., Jackson, S. E., Joshi, A., Jehn, K. A., ... & Thomas, D. A. (2017). The Effects of Diversity on Business Performance: Report of the Diversity Research Network. Human Resource Management, 56(1), 10.1002/hrm.21801.
- 9. Souto-Manning, M., & Velez, V. E. (2022). Racial Diversity in Teacher Preparation: Empirical Evidence and Policy Considerations. Review of Educational Research, 92(1), 10.3102/00346543211033245.

10. Leach, D. J., & Sabherwal, R. (2018). Exploring the Effects of Organizational Justice and Leader-Member Exchange on the Adoption of Information Technology: Evidence from Chile and the U.S. Journal of Management Information Systems, 35(2), 10.1080/07421222.2018.1442367.

