



ROLE OF INTERNATIONAL LAW IN PANDEMIC

Anurag Singh
Student
Amity university

ABSTRACT

The entire population of world suffered including leaving a destructive impact on India when Coronavirus (COVID-19) emerged. As per World Health Organization, the SARS-CoV-2 virus is responsible for COVID-19 illness. Even though some individuals may suffer mild to severe respiratory conditions, the majority of them will recuperate without any need for additional care. Only a small percentage of people will necessitate medical help. Elderly people and people with prior medical conditions including malignancy, diabetes, chronic lung disease, cardiovascular disease, or circulatory disease are more susceptible to experience serious illness. COVID-19 has the potential to infect people of all ages & cause serious illness or death. The best approaches to prevent & limit infection are through education about the sickness and how it spreads. To prevent contamination to yourself and others, keep at least one couple of feet away from others, put on a mask that fits properly, wash your hands frequently, or rub your hands with something that contains alcohol. Get vaccinated when the time comes, and heed local counselling if required. Tiny liquid droplets that are produced when a person with the virus coughs, talks, sings, or inhales could spread the infection. From big particles in the respiratory system to small aerosols in the air, these particles come in all shapes and sizes.

Introduction

The outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic in late 2019 has irrevocably altered the course of history, leaving an indelible mark on societies, economies, and the global landscape. From bustling metropolises to remote rural communities, the virus has traversed continents with alarming speed, leaving devastation in its wake. With each passing day, the toll of the pandemic becomes more apparent, as economies falter, healthcare systems strain under the weight of soaring caseloads, and countless lives are lost.

At its core, the COVID-19 pandemic has laid bare the vulnerabilities of the global community in the face of health emergencies. Despite advances in science, technology, and healthcare, the rapid spread of the virus has exposed the fragility of our interconnected world. Borders, once thought to provide a semblance of security, proved porous in the face of an invisible foe, as the virus transcended geographical boundaries with

ease. In this interconnected era, where goods, people, and ideas flow freely across borders, the pandemic has served as a stark reminder of the shared vulnerabilities that bind humanity together.

Central to the global response to the pandemic has been the imperative of international cooperation and collaboration. In an increasingly interconnected world, where threats to public health transcend national borders, unilateral action is insufficient to address the magnitude of the crisis. From the outset, the WHO emphasized the need for a coordinated, multilateral response to the pandemic, recognizing that no single country can tackle the crisis alone. Indeed, the global race for vaccines, treatments, and medical supplies underscored the interdependence of nations in the fight against COVID-19, as countries grappled with shortages and supply chain disruptions.

Against this backdrop, international legal frameworks have played a crucial role in guiding and facilitating the global response to the pandemic. At the heart of these frameworks lies a complex web of treaties, agreements, and conventions aimed at promoting public health, facilitating international cooperation, and safeguarding the rights and dignity of individuals. From the International Health Regulations (IHR) to the Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC), these legal instruments provide the normative basis for collective action in times of crisis, setting out rights, responsibilities, and obligations for states and international organizations alike.

One of the key pillars of international law in pandemic response is the principle of solidarity. Enshrined in the Constitution of the World Health Organization, solidarity emphasizes the collective responsibility of the international community to promote health and well-being for all. In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, solidarity has taken on added significance, as countries work together to share knowledge, resources, and expertise in the fight against the virus. From the sharing of scientific data and research findings to the equitable distribution of vaccines, solidarity has been the guiding principle underpinning international efforts to address the pandemic.

Equally important is the principle of equity, which emphasizes the importance of fair and equal access to healthcare and essential medicines for all. In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, equity has emerged as a central concern, as disparities in access to vaccines and treatments threaten to exacerbate existing inequalities. From vaccine nationalism to hoarding of medical supplies, the pandemic has highlighted the inequities inherent in the global health system, underscoring the urgent need for concerted action to ensure that no one is left behind in the fight against COVID-19.

Background and Significance of the Study

The outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic in late 2019 has not only caused unprecedented global health and socio-economic crises but has also highlighted the critical role of international law in addressing pandemics. As the virus spread rapidly across borders, surpassing geographical and political boundaries, it underscored the interconnectedness of the global community and the need for coordinated international responses to health emergencies. The COVID-19 pandemic has brought into sharp focus the strengths and weaknesses of existing international legal frameworks governing public health, raising pertinent questions about their effectiveness, adequacy, and adaptability in the face of evolving health threats.

Against this backdrop, this dissertation seeks to explore the multifaceted role of international law in pandemics, examining its historical evolution, guiding principles, institutional mechanisms, and practical implications. By critically analyzing relevant legal instruments, treaties, and agreements, as well as examining the responses to past pandemics, this study aims to provide insights into how international law can be leveraged to enhance global health security and mitigate the impact of future pandemics.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Role of International Law in Pandemic

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

The outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic in late 2019 has sparked renewed interest in the role of international law in addressing global health emergencies. Scholars from various disciplines have explored the multifaceted dimensions of international law in pandemic response, shedding light on the legal frameworks, principles, and mechanisms shaping the collective response to pandemics. This review of the literature synthesizes key insights from scholarly works related to the role of international law in pandemics, providing a foundation for the comprehensive analysis presented in this study.

Scholars have traced the historical evolution of international law in addressing pandemics, highlighting the development of legal frameworks and mechanisms for global health governance. Notable works by Fidler (2004)¹ and Gostin and Friedman (2015)² provide comprehensive overviews of the evolution of international health law, from the establishment of the International Sanitary Conferences in the 19th century to the adoption of the International Health Regulations (IHR) in the 21st century. These legal instruments have played a crucial role in facilitating international cooperation and coordination in pandemic response, providing a normative framework for states and international organizations to address emerging health threats.

The literature on legal principles guiding pandemic response emphasizes the importance of sovereignty, solidarity, equity, and human rights in shaping international law and governance. Heymann et al. (2015)³ and Hoffman and Røttingen (2014)⁴ have explored the tension between national sovereignty and international cooperation in pandemic response, highlighting the need for a balance between state autonomy

¹ Fidler, D. P. (2004). From international sanitary conventions to global health security: the new international health regulations. *Chinese Journal of International Law*, 3(2), 325-392.

² Gostin, L. O., & Friedman, E. A. (2015). A retrospective and prospective analysis of the west African Ebola virus disease epidemic: robust national health systems at the foundation and an empowered WHO at the apex. *The Lancet*, 385(9980), 1902-1909.

³ Heymann, D. L., Chen, L., Takemi, K., Fidler, D. P., Tappero, J. W., Thomas, M. J., ... & Sridhar, D. (2015). Global health security: the wider lessons from the west African Ebola virus disease epidemic. *The Lancet*, 385(9980), 1884-1901.

⁴ Hoffman, S. J., & Røttingen, J. A. (2014). Split WHO in two: strengthening political decision-making and securing independent scientific advice. *Public Health*, 128(2), 188-194.

and collective action. Moreover, scholars such as Burci and Vignes (2016)⁵ and Taylor (2017)⁶ have examined the principles of solidarity and equity in the context of pandemic preparedness and response, emphasizing the importance of fair and equitable access to healthcare and essential medicines for all.

OBJECTIVES

The primary objectives of this dissertation are as follows:

- To examine the historical evolution of international law in addressing pandemics, tracing the development of legal frameworks and mechanisms for global health governance.
- To analyze the legal principles guiding pandemic response, including issues of sovereignty, solidarity, equity, and human rights, and their implications for international cooperation and coordination.
- To assess the role and effectiveness of international organizations, particularly the World Health Organization (WHO), in pandemic preparedness, response, and governance.
- To explore the intersection of human rights, ethics, and public health in the context of pandemics, examining the tensions between public health measures and individual liberties.

HYPOTHESIS

The hypothesis of this study posits that the effectiveness of international law in pandemic response is contingent upon several factors, including the degree of international cooperation, the strength of legal frameworks, and the ability of states and international organizations to adapt to evolving health threats. Specifically, it is hypothesized that:

- The level of international cooperation among states and international organizations correlates positively with the effectiveness of pandemic response efforts. Greater collaboration and coordination lead to more efficient allocation of resources, sharing of information, and implementation of public health measures, thereby reducing the spread of infectious diseases and mitigating the impact of pandemics.
- The robustness of legal frameworks governing public health emergencies influences the extent to which states and international organizations can effectively respond to pandemics. Strong legal frameworks provide clear guidelines, mechanisms, and accountability measures for pandemic preparedness, response, and recovery, enhancing the resilience of health systems and promoting adherence to international norms and standards.
- The adaptability of states and international organizations to emerging health threats is crucial for effective pandemic response. Flexibility in policy-making, resource allocation, and decision-making

⁵ Burci, G. L., & Vignes, C. (2016). World Health Organization (WHO): international health law and health diplomacy. In A. von Bogdandy & R. Wolfrum (Eds.), *Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law*.

⁶ Taylor, A. L. (2017). *The politics of global health governance: United by contagion*. Palgrave Macmillan.

processes enables timely and coordinated responses to evolving epidemiological trends, technological advancements, and socio-economic challenges, thereby enhancing the capacity of the international community to address pandemics effectively.

Through empirical analysis and case studies, this study aims to test these hypotheses and provide insights into the factors influencing the effectiveness of international law in pandemic response.

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

This dissertation will focus primarily on the COVID-19 pandemic as a case study for examining the role of international law in pandemics. However, it will also draw on insights from previous pandemics, such as the H1N1 influenza pandemic of 2009, the Ebola outbreak in West Africa in 2014-2016, and the SARS epidemic in 2002-2003, to provide a broader context for analysis.

The study will adopt an interdisciplinary approach, drawing on legal, political, ethical, and public health perspectives to analyze the complex interplay between law, governance, and public health in pandemics.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY: The research methodology adopted for this study is primarily doctrinal, focusing on the analysis of existing legal and policy frameworks, academic literature, government reports, and Role of International Law in Pandemic in India. The following steps will be undertaken:

Research Design: This study employs a mixed-methods research design to comprehensively analyze the role of international law in pandemics. By integrating qualitative and quantitative approaches, the research aims to provide a holistic understanding of the multifaceted dimensions of international law's role in pandemic response.

Literature Review: A thorough literature review will be conducted to identify relevant scholarly articles, books, reports, and legal documents pertaining to international law, public health, and pandemics. The review will serve as the theoretical foundation for the study, elucidating key concepts, theories, and debates in the field.

Document Analysis: Legal documents including international treaties, agreements, and conventions relevant to pandemics will be subjected to systematic analysis. This analysis will uncover the legal principles, norms, and mechanisms governing pandemic response at the international level⁷.

Case Studies: In-depth case studies will be undertaken to examine the role of international law in pandemic response across different contexts. Case studies may focus on past pandemics such as the COVID-19 pandemic, the H1N1 influenza pandemic, or the Ebola outbreak. Through comparative analysis, similarities, differences, and lessons learned from various approaches to pandemic governance will be explored⁸.

Expert Interviews: Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with experts in international law, public health, and global governance. Experts including legal scholars, policymakers, and representatives from

⁷ Smith, J., & Jones, A. (Year). Title of the Document. Journal Name, Volume(Issue), Page Range. DOI

⁸ Johnson, B., & Jackson, C. (Year). Title of the Case Study. Journal Name, Volume(Issue), Page Range. DOI

international organizations will provide insights into the effectiveness of legal frameworks, challenges in implementation, and opportunities for improvement⁹.

Quantitative Analysis: Quantitative methods will be employed to analyze statistical data and trends related to pandemic response and international cooperation. Statistical techniques such as regression analysis and correlation analysis will be used to test hypotheses and identify patterns in the data¹⁰.

Content Analysis: Qualitative data from document analysis and interviews will undergo content analysis. Themes, patterns, and key findings will be systematically identified and coded to elucidate the role of international law in pandemic response.

Ethical Considerations: Ethical considerations will guide the research process to ensure the protection of participants' rights and confidentiality. Informed consent will be obtained from participants, and anonymity will be maintained in reporting findings.

Data Triangulation: Triangulation of data sources and methods will be employed to enhance the validity and reliability of the findings. By integrating findings from multiple sources, the study will provide a comprehensive understanding of the role of international law in pandemics.

Research Dissemination: The findings of the study will be disseminated through academic publications, conference presentations, and policy briefs. Efforts will be made to communicate research findings to relevant stakeholders to inform evidence-based decision-making and enhance understanding of the role of international law in pandemic response.

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

Since its discovery in China in the latter half of 2019, and subsequent spread to other nations in the early beginning of 2020, research experts from all over the world have been examining the newly discovered virus. Governments, specialists, and the general public were all obliged to rely on dubious knowledge and experience earned through work in the appropriate field to confront this unprecedented and mostly unknown threat. Asian nations were alerted by rumors originating in China, and they responded swiftly by implementing a proactive eradication policy. This was done in light of the region's previous tragic experiences, such as the outbreak of SARS in 2003. When it came to the response of the WHO and other organizations in the Global North, it may be described as a lethargic and cautious one.

SUGGESTIONS

Following the evaluation of various legislative and constitutional provisions, 3 components emerge from our research to improve India's legal and constitutional structures for dealing with COVID-19 and similar future events. To begin, there is an urgent need to assess colonial period EDA. Second, it is critical to adopt comprehensive law that covers all aspects of health & guarantees everyone the Right to health. Finally, there is a need to investigate alternative approaches to incorporating health emergency measures within the Indian Constitution. Here listing below enlarge suggestions-

⁹ Brown, R., & White, S. (Year). Title of the Interview Study. Journal Name, Volume(Issue), Page Range. DOI

¹⁰ Williams, D., & Wilson, E. (Year). Title of the Quantitative Study. Journal Name, Volume(Issue), Page Range. DOI

A. Amendments to EDA, 1897: The EDA is imperfect on the following grounds.

1. The law fails to identify and categorise different types of illnesses, as well as their severity.
2. The statute does not address the confinement procedure or the establishment of zones based on risk categories; it merely demands that the state limit the subject's mobility.
3. The role of Panchayats as well as other local governments isn't addressed in the statute.
4. The Act completely ignores pharmaceutical and vaccination constraints during pandemic.
5. The act emphasises restricting disease spread by ship, but it makes no mention of airline travel.

BIBLIOGRAPHY**Books**

1. Craven M- The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: A Perspective on Its Development. (Clanderon Press, 1995).
2. Garrison Fh – An Introduction to the History of Medicine along with Medical Chronology, (Saunders 1929).
3. Lamb G- The Etiology and Epidemiology of Plague. A Summary of the Work of the Plague Commission. Issued under the Authority of the Government of India, (2010).
4. Marnot M & Willinkson Rc- Social Determinants of Health (Oxford University Press, 1999).
5. Mark Harrison- Public Health in British India: Anglo Indian Preventive Medicine 1859-1914, (Cambridge University Press, 1994).
6. Nathan R- The Plague in India 1896-1897. (Government Central Print Office 1898).
7. Park K - Park's Textbook of Preventive and Social Medicine." (Banarsidas Publishers, 2005).
8. Hannah Ritchie, Edouard Mathieu, Lucas Rodés-Guirao, Cameron Appel, Charlie Giattino, Esteban Ortiz-Ospina, Joe Hasell, Bobbie Macdonald, Diana Beltekian and Max Roser (2020) - "Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19)". OurWorldInData.org.
9. Mishra, S., Scott, J.A., Laydon, D.J. et al - Comparing the responses of the UK, Sweden and Denmark to COVID-19 using counterfactual modelling. Sci Rep (2021).
10. Flaxman, S. et al - Estimating the effects of non-pharmaceutical interventions on COVID-19 in Europe. NATURE (2020).
11. Gelfand, M. J. -. Culture's constraints: International differences in the strength of social norms. P.S., (2012).
12. Markus, H., Kitayama, S. - Culture and the self: Implications for cognition, emotion, and motivation. P.R. (1991)
13. Kraemer, M. U. G., Yang, C.-H., Gutierrez, B., Wu, C.-H., Klein, B., Pigott,

14. D. M., Scarpino, S. V. - The effect of human mobility and control measures on the COVID-19 epidemic in China. *Science*, (2020).
15. Zhang, S., et al - COVID-19 containment: China provides important lessons for global response. *FRONTIERS* (2020).
16. Altakarli N.S. - China's Response to the COVID-19 Outbreak: A Model for Epidemic Preparedness and Management. *D.M.J.* (2020)
17. Burki, Talha - China's successful control of COVID-19. *LANCET* (2020).
18. Altakarli N.S. - China's Response to the COVID-19 Outbreak: A Model for Epidemic Preparedness and Management. *D.M.J.* (2020).
19. Bradt, J. (2019). Comparing the effects of behaviorally informed interventions on flood insurance demand: An experimental analysis of "boosts" and "nudges." *A.O.P.* (2019).

Journals and Articles

1. Bahn Ak and mausner J- "Epidemiology- An introductory test" *P.I.T.*, (1974).
2. Bannerman WB.-" Statistics of inoculations with Haffkine's anti-plague vaccine 1897-1900: Compiled from records in the Plague Department of the Secretariat and the Plague Research Laboratory, Bombay" *G.C.P.*, (1900).
3. Balog-Way, DHP, McComas, KA – "COVID19: Reflections on trust, trade- offs, and preparedness", *J.R.R.*, (2020).
4. Baker, P – "American and British English. Divided by a Common Language?" *C.U.P.*, (2017).
5. Bellew HW. – "Cholera in India, 1862 to 1881: Bengal Province, 1862 to 1881, and review", *B.S.P.*, (1884).
6. Bentley CA.- "Report of an investigation into the causes of malaria in Bombay and the measures necessary for its control" *G.C.P.*, (1911).
7. Bhadra, A., Mukherjee, A., & Sarkar, K. Impact of population density on Covid-19 infected and mortality rate in India. *Modeling earth systems and environment*, 1–7, *A.O.P.*, (2020).
8. Burkle F. Global Health security demands a strong international health regulations treaty and leadership from a highly resourced World Health Organization. *Disaster Med Public Health Prepared.* (2015).
9. Claeson M, Hanson S - COVID-19 and the Swedish enigma. *LANCET* (2020).
10. Christensen, T., Lægreid, P., Rykkja, L. H. (2016). Organizing for crisis management: Building governance capacity and legitimacy. *Public Administration Review*, 76(6), 887–897.

Online Sources

- Altman LK- Is this the pandemic? *NEW YORK TIMES*, (June 8th, 2009)
<https://www.nytimes.com/2009/06/09/health/09docs.html>.
- Anjum R. Faisal, Anam. Sidra, Rahman. Sajjad –" Novel Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19):

new challenges and new responsibilities in developing countries.” NATIONAL LIBRARY OF MEDICINE (Sept. 10th 2021, 9:38 AM), <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7644207/>.

- BOOTH R. – “BAME groups hit harder by Covid-19 than white people”, 2020. The Guardian, 2020. Available at: www.theguardian.com/world/2020/apr/07/bame-groups-hit-hardercovid-19-than-white-people-uk (Last accessed on May 20, 2022)
- Chakravarty, I. – “As lakhs of migrant workers try to go home, Indian states spar over logistics: The Centre, meanwhile, has gone missing”, 2020, Available at:- <https://scroll.in/article/961566/as-lakhs-of-migrant-workers-try-to-go-home-indian-states-spar-over-logistics>. (Last accessed on feb 4 2021).
- Chokkakula- “India’s response to Covid-19 reflects the power, problems, potential of federalism”, I.E., (2020).
- DW (2020)- “China cancels Lunar New Year events over deadly virus fears.”2020. Available at: www.dw.com/en/china-cancels-lunar-new-year-events-over-deadly-virus-fears/a-52121516, (Last accessed on May 20, 2022).
- Fleming A.- “Our face mask future: Do they really help beat flu, coronavirus and pollution?” 2020. Available at: www.theguardian.com/world/2020/jan/31/our-face-mask-future-do-they-really-help-beat-flu-coronavirus-and-pollution (Last accessed on Mar 7 2022)
- Gaby Galvin0 Western Countries View Covid 19 and the Spread of Infectious Diseases as Major Threat 2021 At:- <https://morningconsult.com/2021/06/10/global-health-covid-infectious-diseases-threat-poll/>(Last accessed on Oct, 12, 2021)
- Government of Punjab- Punjab Medical Manual- https://highcourtchd.gov.in/sub_pages/left_menu/Rules_orders/high_court_rules/vol-III-pdf/chap18partA.pdf
- Howard, J – “To help stop coronavirus, everyone should be wearing face masks.”The Guardian, 2020. Available at: www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2020/apr/04/why-wear-a-mask-may-be-our-best-weapon-to-stop-coronavirus.