



# Simple Result on Fixed Point Theorem in Dislocated Quasi -Metric Space

1. Raksha Dwivedi (Corresponding Author)

Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics,

Sarvepalli Radhakrishnan University, Bhopal (M.P.), India

2. Dr. Richa Gupta

Professor, Department of Mathematics

Sarvepalli Radhakrishnan University, Bhopal (M.P.), India

## Abstract

In this paper, Simple Result on fixed point theorem in Complete Dislocated Quasi Metric Space for a pair of continuous mapping using rational inequality has been proved.

**Keywords:** - dq-metric space, Cauchy Sequence, Complete dq-metric space, Fixed-point.

## 1. Introduction: -

Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function called dislocated function satisfying following conditions-

1.  $d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$
2.  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X$

Then  $d$  is called Dislocated Quasi Metric on  $X$ , if  $d$  Satisfies  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  then it is called Dislocated Metric. [1]

The notion of dislocated topologies is useful in the context of logic programming.

Hitzler and Seda [2] have established a fixed-point theorem in complete dislocated spaces stated below which generalizes well known Banach contraction principle.

Let  $(X, d)$  be a Complete d-metric space and let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be a contraction function. Then  $f$  has unique fixed-point.

The purpose of this note is to prove Simple Result on Fixed point theorem for a pair of continuous contraction mapping in dislocated quasi metric space using rational inequality.

## 2. Preliminaries: -

**Definition 2.1 [1]:** - A Sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in dislocated quasi metric space

{dq-metric space}  $(X, d)$  is called Cauchy sequence if given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall m, n \geq n_0$

$$d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon \quad \text{or} \quad d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$$

i.e.  $\min \{d(x_m, x_n), d(x_n, x_m)\} < \epsilon$

**Definition 2.2 [1]:** - A Sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in dislocated quasi metric converges to  $x$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x \quad \text{is} \quad \text{called} \quad \text{dq-limit} \quad \text{of} \quad \{x_n\}.$$

**Lemma 2.3 [1]** dq-limit in dq-metric space is unique.

**Definition 2.4 [1]** A dq-metric space  $(X, d)$  is called complete if every dq-Cauchy sequence converges in dq-metric space.

**Definition 2.5 [1]:** Let  $(X, d)$  be a dq-metric space. A map  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is called contraction if  $\exists 0 < \lambda < 1$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

## 3. Main Result: -

**Theorem 3.1:** - Let  $(X, d)$  be a Complete dq-metric space and  $S, T: X \rightarrow X$  be continuous mapping satisfying.

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq \alpha \frac{d(x, Sx)[1 + d(x, Sx)]}{1 + d(x, y)} + \beta \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(Ty, Sx)}{1 + d(x, y) \cdot d(y, Sx)}$$

Where  $\forall x, y \in X$  and  $0 < \alpha, 0 < \beta$  and  $(\alpha + \beta) < 1$ . Then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

*Proof:* - Let  $x_0 \in X$  be any arbitrary in X. Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X. Such that

$$S(x_0) = x_1 \quad , \quad T(x_1) = x_2 \quad \text{in general}$$

$$S(x_{2n}) = x_{2n+1} \quad , \quad T(x_{2n+1}) = x_{2n+2} .$$

Consider  $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})$

$$\leq \alpha \frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) \cdot [1 + d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})} + \beta \frac{d(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \cdot d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})}$$

$$\leq \alpha \frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \cdot [1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})} + \beta \frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \cdot d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})}$$

$$\leq \alpha \frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})}{1} + \beta \frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1})}{1}$$

$$\leq \alpha d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \beta d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$$

$$\leq (\alpha + \beta) \cdot d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$$

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq (\alpha + \beta) \cdot d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$$

Let  $K = (\alpha + \beta)$  with  $0 \leq K \leq 1$  and  $\alpha + \beta < 1$

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq K d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$$

Similarly,

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq K d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$$

Continuing like this  $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq K^n d(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $2n \rightarrow \infty$

$\therefore \alpha + \beta \leq 1$ , Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in a complete dq-metric space  $X$ .

So, there is a point  $u \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow u$  Therefore sub sequence  $(Sx_{2n}) \rightarrow u$  and  $(Tx_{2n+1}) \rightarrow u$  since  $S$  and  $T$  are continuous functions so we have  $Su = u, Tu = u$

Case-1: - Let  $u$  be the common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$  then by the condition of the theorem.

$$d(u, u) = d(Su, Tu)$$

$$\leq \alpha \frac{d(u, Su)[1 + d(u, Su)]}{1 + d(u, u)} + \beta \frac{d(u, Tu) + d(Tu, Su)}{1 + d(u, u).d(u, Su)}$$

$$\leq \alpha \frac{d(u, u)[1 + d(u, u)]}{1 + d(u, u)} + \beta \frac{d(u, Su)}{1 + d(u, u).d(u, u)}$$

$$\leq \alpha d(u, u) + \beta d(u, u)$$

$$\leq (\alpha + \beta).d(u, u)$$

$$d(u, u).(1 - \alpha - \beta) \leq 0$$

$$\therefore 1 - \alpha - \beta \neq 0$$

Which gives  $d(u, u) = 0$  So  $u = u$

Case 2: - Let  $u, v$  be fixed points of  $S$  and  $T$  then  $Su = u, Tv = v$

$$d(u, v) = d(Su, Tv)$$

$$\leq \alpha \frac{d(u, Su)[1 + d(u, Su)]}{1 + d(u, v)} + \beta \frac{d(v, Tv) + d(Tv, Su)}{1 + d(u, v).d(v, Su)}$$

$$\leq \alpha \frac{d(u, Su)[1 + d(u, u)]}{1 + d(u, v)} + \beta \frac{d(v, Su)}{1 + d(u, v).d(v, Su)}$$

$$d(u, v) \leq \alpha \frac{d(u, Su)[1 + d(u, u)]}{1 + d(u, v)} + \beta \frac{d(v, Su)}{1 + d(u, v).d(v, u)}$$

Replacing  $v$  by  $u$  we get

$$d(u, u) \leq \alpha \frac{d(u, Su)[1 + d(u, u)]}{1 + d(u, u)} + \beta \frac{d(u, Su)}{1 + d(u, u).d(u, u)}$$

$$d(u, u) \leq (\alpha + \beta)d(u, u)$$

$$d(u, u) \cdot (1 - \alpha - \beta) \leq 0$$

$$d(u, u) = 0 \quad [\because 1 - \alpha - \beta \neq 0]$$

Similarly,  $d(v, v) = 0$

So  $d(u, v) = 0$

Hence  $u = v$ , this proves the uniqueness.

Theorem 3.2:- Let  $(X, d)$  be a Complete dq-metric space and  $S, T: X \rightarrow X$  be continuous mapping satisfying

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq \alpha \frac{d(x, Sx)[1 + d(x, Sx)]}{1 + d(x, y)} + \beta \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(Ty, Sx)}{1 + d(x, y) \cdot d(y, Sx)} + \gamma \frac{d(x, Sx)^2 [1 + d(y, Sx)]}{d(x, Ty) + d(Ty, Sx)} + \delta \frac{d(x, Sx)}{1 + d(y, Sx)}$$

Where  $\forall x, y \in X$  and  $0 < \alpha, 0 < \beta, 0 < \gamma, 0 < \delta$  and  $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta) < 1$ . Then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: - Let  $x_0 \in X$  be any arbitrary in X. Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X. Such that

$$S(x_0) = x_1, \quad T(x_1) = x_2 \quad \text{in general}$$

$$S(x_{2n}) = x_{2n+1}, \quad T(x_{2n+1}) = x_{2n+2}.$$

Consider  $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \alpha \frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) \cdot [1 + d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})} + \beta \frac{d(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \cdot d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})} \\ &\quad + \gamma \frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})^2 [1 + d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})]}{d(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})} + \delta \frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})}{1 + d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})} \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \cdot [1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})} + \beta \frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \cdot d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})} \end{aligned}$$

$$+\gamma \frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})^2 [1 + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})]}{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})} + \delta \frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})}{1 + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})}$$

$$\leq \alpha \frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})}{1} + \beta \frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})}{1} + \gamma \frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) [1 + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})]}{1} + \delta \frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})}{1}$$

$$\leq \alpha d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \beta d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \gamma d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \delta d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$$

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$$

Let  $\lambda = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta)$  with  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$  and  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta < 1$

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq \lambda d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$$

Similarly,  $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq \lambda d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$

Continuing like this  $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq \lambda^n d(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $2n \rightarrow \infty$

$\therefore (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta) \leq 1$ , Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in a complete dq-metric space  $X$ .

So, there is a point  $u \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow u$  Therefore sub sequence  $(Sx_{2n}) \rightarrow u$  and  $(Tx_{2n+1}) \rightarrow u$  since  $S$  and  $T$  are continuous functions so we have  $Su = u, Tu = u$

Now, the proof of the theorem is similar to theorem3.1

#### Acknowledgement: -

The author gratefully acknowledges the help of Dr. S.S. Pagey, Retd. Professor, Department of Mathematics, Institute for Excellence in Higher Education, Bhopal (M.P.) India in preparing this paper.

**Funding: -** The authors declare that there is no grant in this research work.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

#### References: -

- [1] Zeyada F.M., Hassan G.H. and Ahmed M.A., A generalization of fixed-point theorem due to Hitzler and Seda in dislocated quasi metric space. The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 31(1) A (2005).
- [2] Hitzler P. and Seda A.K., Dislocated topologies, J. Electronic Engineering, 51 (21/s) (2000), 3-7.