JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Exposure to Electromagnetic Field from Mobile Communications Systems Biological and Health Effects

[1]Prof.Shivanand Konade, [2]Prof.Vinay Nimbalkar
1 Assistant Professor, Electrical Engineering Department, Smt. Indira Gandhi College of Engineering. 2 Assistant Professor, Electrical Engineering Department MGM's College of Engineering and Technology

Abstract
The radiofrequency (RF) electromagnetic field (EMF), which is ubiquitous in daily life and can lead to severe head exposure, is produced by mobile communication devices. Since this technology started to spread quickly in the 1990s, the public has been quite concerned about potential negative health impacts, particularly on brain functioning. The exposure conforms to the most recent safety regulations. The recognized expertise The edge of biological effects of radiofrequency (RF) does not offer any proof for anecdotally reported consequences such brain tumors or memory loss. Nevertheless, it is impossible to demonstrate that these effects don't exist at all. Considerable work has been put into determining the safety of this technology and looking for any unidentified impacts. Current studies on potential consequences

Keywords- Health, Effect, Electromagnetic field, Mobile, Communications

I. INTRODUCTION

In the 1990s, mobile communications became increasingly prevalent in daily life, which raised concerns among the public about potential health repercussions. exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMF) of radiofrequency (RF) emitted by mobile telecommunications equipment (MTE) and associated base stations. Even though safety recommendations for RF-EMF exposure have been published since the 1950s in a number of nations based on experimental data, public anxiety over potential side effects of exposure below recommended levels is continually growing. To encourage research on this topic, the World Health Organization (WHO) launched the International EMF Project in 1996. Within the context of this initiative, numerous research studies have been conducted in different countries that are focused on this issue. Transportation systems commonly use mobile communications. The usage of MTE in transportation systems clearly carries a danger, namely electromagnetic in interfering with the control systems' electronic circuits. It is generally agreed upon that prohibiting MTE use in aircraft will help to reduce these risks. It is also known that if the implanted cardiac pacemaker's immunity is low and the distance is short (less than 15 cm), cell phones may interfere with it. There are guidelines stating that cell phones should not be used within a particular distance (22 cm in Japan, for example) from a pacemaker in order to safeguard patients from this risk. Reduced capacity to drive a car, which raises the danger of traffic accidents, is another evident risk connected to the usage of MTE in transportation systems. An Numerous investigations, including epidemiological studies and volunteer studies showed the effect. The reduction is explained by the MTE being controlled and the conversation taking place while the MTE is held in one hand. Many nations forbid or discourage the use of MTEs while driving, citing appropriate evidence as justification. Anecdotally, it has been suggested that the EMF from MTE may directly interact with brain functions related to cognition, hence impairing one's ability to drive. This explanation is not plausible as the outcome, if any, should be In this study, we summarize the current understanding of RF-EMF's effects on biology and health. We shall first go over the recognized phenomena that form the foundation of current recommendations on the upper limit of exposure to RF-EMF. After that, we'll talk about the unresolved problems. We examine recent research findings, paying particular attention to the consequences of MTE exposure. The impacts on brain processes are examined in particular since they may be related to one's capacity for driving. We'll also talk about health risk evaluations that have been made by a number of expert groups to outline the present consensus of specialists regarding this matter.

II. RECOGNIZED OCCURRENTS

2.1 The effect of heat

RF diathermy, or the thermal effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields, was first identified in the 1930s. was tried as a therapy for a number of illnesses. Due to tissue dielectric loss, this material absorbs radiofrequency radiation, raising tissue temperature. It was thought that "artificial fever" aided in the recovery of sick patients. An increase in temperature has the potential to impact the body's physiological functions, which may have positive impacts on health occasionally but may also have negative ones. It was not long before the negative effects of excessive heating during diathermy were identified. High-power microwaves were widely employed in the military, particularly in the USA, by the 1950s. To safeguard military troops against excessive exposure to microwave radiation.

2.2 Identification of electromagnetic dosimetry and resonance

Fields of electromagnetic in high frequency possess an attribute known as "electromagnetic waves." In the RF region, the wavelengths vary from 1mm at 300GHz to 3km at 100kHz. The majority of modern mobile phone networks operate at frequencies in the range of 800MHz to 2GHz, or 37 cm and 15 cm, respectively, in wavelength. When the wavelength of an object is similar to its scale, the object scatters waves in a complex way. Thus, it was discovered that electromagnetic fields (EMFs) with frequencies and wavelengths roughly equal to the height of the human body may resonantly absorb them. The body's total absorption peaks at around 30 MHz when it is grounded and approximately 70 MHz when it is not connected to the earth.
It became essential to evaluate the head exposures from handheld telephone devices because to the sharp rise in mobile phone use. Many resources have been used to create exposure assessment techniques for MTE using both theoretical and experimental methodologies. In order to ensure the quality control of experiments on the biological effects of electromagnetic fields, dosimetry is also crucial. One of the key elements that defines the experiment's quality is the accuracy of the exposure evaluation. When recent dosimetry knowledge was used to the evaluation of the exposure condition, several early investigations were discovered to be inaccurate in the exposure conditions. For instance, it is important to carefully interpret early research on mice exposed to 2.45GHz due to occurrence

2.3 Additional recognized phenomena

When a field has pulsed waveforms with a high peak power and a low average power, microwaves have a known effect because of a non-thermal mechanism. When pulsed microwave power is abruptly absorbed, tissue experiences a brief but quick temperature rise. This leads to thermal expansion, which creates pressure waves that travel through the tissue called "thermo elastic waves."8. The ear is an extremely vibration-sensitive organ. As a result, one may hear a clicking or buzzing sound caused by elastic waves. We refer to this phenomenon as "microwave hearing." The When the pulse duration is smaller than 50 microseconds, the threshold for specific energy absorption in the cranium is around 30 mJ/kg per single pulse. This is in line with a peak inci300 mW/cm^2 is the approximate dent power density. The earliest instances of microwave hearing were discovered in US military radar sites in the 1950s. The 1960s and 1970s saw the establishment of the thermo elastic mechanism. At the threshold of perception, the stress waves have a minimum amplitude of 0.18 Pa. This value is significantly less than the ultrasonic amplitude that is utilized in medical imaging to see the fet us in a pregnant woman. It should be mentioned that both humans and animals may detect a slight vibration in the brain due to their incredibly high sensitivity.

III. GUIDELINES FOR EXPOSURE

3.1 Fundamental limitations

Various organizations have issued safety rules regarding human exposure to electromagnetic fields. worldwide organizations. The scientific evidence of well-established occurrences serves as the foundation for the advised exposure limits. Everyone agrees that the main consequence of exposure to RF-EMF is thermal impacts. The body's thermal load is measured as the amount of power that is absorbed per mass of tissue in the body. This value is expressed in terms of watts per kilogram (W/kg) and is known as the "specific absorption rate," or SAR. Most guidelines choose a whole-body average SAR of 0.4 W/kg as the baseline restriction, which is based on the threshold of reported effects caused by whole-body heating to create a considerable reduction in core temperature (> 1°C). This In addition to the whole-body effect, localized heating effects should be taken into account, particularly when a portion of the body is exposed to a tiny radiation source. like an MTE. However, the increase in temperature in the local tissue is not only a function of the local SAR; it also depends on the circulation within the tissue and on external factors like temperature and relative humidity. Although there is currently no consensus on the exposure limit in terms of local SAR, the majority of guidelines use a limit of 10W/kg averaged over any 10 grams of tissue or 8W/kg averaged over any 1 gram of tissue. Both the European Council and Japanese guidelines have accepted the former. When the exposure condition is well controlled, those values are used. The general public's exposure has been increased by a safety factor of five, or uncontrolled environment, taking into account the increased uncertainty surrounding the sensitivity to the fields and exposure circumstances. Thus, the maximum allowable exposure for the general population is set at 2W/kg for maximum local SAR and 0.08W/kg for whole-body average SAR.

3.2 Points of reference

The limitations in SAR are intimately linked to physical occurrences, but we lack the necessary equipment. possible to monitor SAR in the body directly. To assess if the environment may result in exposure above the SAR listed in the fundamental constraints, we require reference levels stated in quantifiable quantities, such as ambient electric and magnetic field strengths. Thus, we translate the SAR bounds to the magnitudes of ambient magnetic and electric fields. The calculated values, referred to as "reference levels," are given in magnetic (H) and electric (E) field strengths. It should be noted that the reference levels are calculated under the supposition that there is the worst-case coupling between the body and EMF. Usually, this illness is a exposure of the entire body to homogenous plane waves that are parallel to the body axis in terms of polarization (E-field orientation). When someone is exposed to MTE, the exposure is typically confined and the radiation source is close to the head. The highest coupling anticipated in the computation of the reference values is far from this exposure condition. The fundamental limitations must be followed in the evaluation of exposure by MTE; the reference levels cannot be used.

IV MTE EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

Most MTEs are handheld devices that run between 800MHz and 2GHz. Typically, the antennas are found on top of the chassis. Thus, the brain close to the ear of the EMF from the device is significantly exposed to the user. This fact sparked public worry about potential negative health effects. on the brain as a result of exposure to such devices, including the development of brain tumors. It is necessary to base the exposure evaluation on the fundamental limitations listed in SAR values, as was discussed in the part before this one. The exposure by currently utilized MTE really conforms with public exposure limits, or the local SAR is less than the limit of the fundamental constraints (2W/kg averaged over 10g tissue), according to the results of the exposure assessment. But there isn't a big enough deviation from the recommendation to take compliance into account. Authorities in numerous nations, including Japan, have made the decision to impose regulations that are mandatory regarding these devices'

adherence to the criteria. However, the public continues to be worried about potential unidentified consequences. To lessen their exposure to MTE, some people wear hands-free headsets. Clearly, the easiest and most efficient method of lowering exposure is to maintain the structure that radiates forth from the body. On the other side, according to a report, the hands-free headset can increase exposure. More precise measurements have since been recorded, and this report is now regarded as incorrect. An additional source of exposure for mobile communications is cellular phone system base stations. People are more concerned about base stations than they are about telephone devices because they tend to think that things they cannot control carry more risk. The exposure levels from base stations, however, are significantly lower than the recommendations' reference levels. The non-thermal consequences of the particular EMF waveforms from mobile communications systems are a reason for worry. There have been recommendations that exposure to continuous waves may not have the same effect on biological activities as RF-EMF with amplitude modulation at extremely low frequencies (ELF) (see Section 6.1). Digital modulation signals with pulsed waveforms and a repetition rate in the ELF zone are used in many mobile communication systems. Usually, time division multiple access (TDMA) systems are used. For instance, digital cellular telephones in Japan and the US use PDC (Personal Digital Cellular) and NADC (North American Digital Cellular) systems, respectively, which have a pulsed waveform with a 50Hz repetition rate. One popular digital cellular system is the GSM system.

V A PAIN FOR NON-THERMAL IMPLICATIONS

Concerns about potential "non-thermal effects" have been raised. An incident at the US Embassy in Moscow in the former Soviet Union was the source of the fear. Soviet Union, which in 1952 relocated from a location close to the Kremlin to a new one a few miles away. When nonionizing radiation was routinely checked in 1953, a microwave signal that appeared to be beaming at the embassy from a nearby structure was discovered. Although the intensity was much lower than US limits, it was later discovered to be 100 times higher than the Soviet Union's exposure limit at the time. Additionally, they discovered several bio effect studies indicating the possibility of "non-thermal effects" in Soviet literature translated in the 1960s. These results sparked Several observations from the 1970s suggested that the efflux of calcium ions might be affected in an unexpected way by low-level RF-EMF with amplitude modulation at very low frequencies. in the brain's tissue (see section below). Researchers were interested in these observations since calcium ions are crucial for controlling how cells function. It should be mentioned that the waveforms employed in these reports are comparable to those of various digital cellular communication systems. Some people continue to hold the belief that low-level radiofrequency fields can have negative consequences, particularly when they have certain waveforms. Concerns regarding the non-thermal consequences of MTE have resurfaced as its use increases. The impact on brain activities is a significant concern because the brain is the organ with the most EMF exposure from MTE. They wonder if RF-EMF interferes with the brain's regular regulating processes. The main outcomes of studies on non-thermal effects have been the neurological and behavioural impacts of RF-EMF at non-thermal levels. Additionally, there have been worries about the carcinogenicity of mild radiofrequency electromagnetic fields, which could ultimately result from a disruption in cellular control. We provide an overview of the present understanding of potential RF-EMF effects that cannot be explained by thermal mechanisms in the sections that follow. Further In-depth analyses can be obtained in further publications published by multiple expert groups.

VI. IMPACT ON MENTAL FUNCTION

6.1 Research conducted in vitro

The impact of low RF-EMF on the system's functionality It was proposed to use the central nervous system as early as the 1970s. According to Bawin et al only when the field was amplitude modulated at 16Hz did exposure to 147MHz fields at very low intensities promote the outflow of calcium ions from isolated chick brain. There was no discernible impact from the continuous wave at the RF carrier frequency alone. The similar phenomenon was confirmed by Blackman et al. They discovered that the effect peaked around 16 Hz, with lower and higher modulation frequencies having less of an impact. This type of behavior was dubbed the "window effect." Given that calcium ions in cells are crucial for signal transduction, which controls a variety of cell functions, However, several further investigations in different labs have not found an increase in calcium efflux from isolated brain tissue in vitro, even when they did not adhere to the exact same guidelines. Because these 1980s replication studies had generally stronger experimental designs than previous studies, the presence of these phenomena is questioned.

6.2 Research on animals (1) Electroencephalography (EEG) Parallel to the research on calcium outflow, the same We also noted that exposure to weak radiofrequency (RF) with amplitude modulation at a dominant EEG frequency altered the animals' EEG activity. They subjected cats to a 147MHz field that was manipulated. The animals had previously been trained to react to a flash light by producing specific EEG rhythms. The conditioned EEG response task performance was shown to have changed. It was suggested that the fields changed the excitability of membranes in the brain, causing calcium ions to be released and perhaps affecting EEG rhythms. Rabbits' EEG alterations were documented by Takashima et al. after they were exposed to a modulated 5MHz field with amplitude modulation at 14–16 Hz. Improvement of There was EEG activity at 10–15 Hz. There was no discernible increase in body temperature during exposure, and the SAR was estimated to be around 1 mW/kg. Additional research has documented the impact on EEG activity. In conclusion, despite all papers recommending certain modifications, no solid and consistent proof of the impacts on EEG activity has been provided.

(2) Brain-blood barrier

The purpose of the brain's capillaries is to maintain the blood-brain barrier (BBB), which keeps undesirable substances from soaking through to the CSF fluid. An increase in the BBB's permeability may raise the likelihood of neurological symptoms like headaches as well as brain disorders like brain tumors. It is well recognized that a considerable increase in brain tissue temperature can result in a permeability increase in the blood-brain barrier. However, recent research has also indicated that the BBB may be vulnerable to low-level radiofrequency field. Subsequent criticism of several early research suggested that they might have been influenced by changes in cerebral blood flow and the effects of an esthesia. In the last few years, two studies

(3) Memory and learning

According to Lai et al., rats' ability to learn spatially is negatively impacted. The animals discovered how to get food pellets. at a radial-arm maze's arm. Just before training sessions, they were severely exposed for 45 minutes per day to pulsed 2.45GHz fields with a whole-body average SAR of 0.6W/kg. 500 pulses per second (pps) was the repetition rate and 1,000 was the duty factor of the pulse. Compared to the control animals, the exposed animals regularly made more mistakes. Additionally, Wang and Lai

reported RF-induced modifications to spatial memory. In this experiment, they used a circular water maze. Rats were supposed to learn how to use a submerged platform as a means of escaping the water and surviving. During 60 minutes to pulsed 2.45GHz fields at a temporally averaged value and at 1.2W/kg whole-body average SAR. Compared to the control animals, the exposed animals required longer time to locate the platform. It was determined that exposure had interfered with the way that spatial reference memory worked. It should be mentioned that the waveform (temporally peak SAR reached 1,200W/kg) had a very high peak power, up to 1,000 times higher than the average power in these positive investigations. By using numerical modeling, Watanabe et al. demonstrated that rats exposed to the exposure condition had sufficient exposure to produce microwave auditory perception. There was a strong suggestion that the learning task deficit could be caused by aural irritation. In the PDC system, the duty ratio of digital cellular phone pulsed waveforms is three; in the GSM system, it is eight. The waveforms' maximum powers are significantly smaller than those that, at the same average power, Lai's group uses. Microwave auditory effects cannot be produced by these waveforms. Using an experimental setup akin to that of Lai et al., Sienkiewicz et al. exposed mice to RF radiation at 900MHz with a simulated GSM system waveform at a whole-body SAR of 0.05W/kg. For ten days, the animals' behavior was observed in an eight-arm radial maze either right away, after 45 minutes of exposure, or after delays of 15 and 30 minutes. There were not any notable variations in Using a T-maze test, Yamaguchi et al. reported no effect on learning spatial memory. Rats were shown a simulated PDC waveform signal. 1,439 MHz. They discovered no variation in learning capacity at up to 7.4W/kg for the typical brain SAR. The learning capacity was clearly impaired when the brain's average SAR was 25 W/kg because of the extreme heat stress. These findings demonstrate that while exposure to a pulsed field with a very high peak strength may produce some disruption in spatial memory learning, exposure to an RF-EMF with waveforms comparable to cell phones at non-thermal levels does not create any disturbance. This is because of the microwave auditory effect.6.3 Studies on human volunteers For ethical considerations, there are restrictions on the amount of exposure that can be tolerated and the number of volunteers that can participate in volunteer studies. As a result, the results' repeatability is frequently questioned. Nevertheless, they may represent impacts on people that differ from those on animals. Despite all of these restrictions, several research with volunteers have been carried out; some of these investigations have revealed effects on human neuronal function.

(1) Mental abilities

The effects of a simulated mobile phone signal on cognitive functioning were documented by Preece et al. They used volunteer volunteers and looked at fifteen measures of cognitive abilities in humans, such as short- and long-term memory tests, choice and simple reaction times. When the respondents were exposed to a simulated radio frequency signal of analog phones, they saw a small but statistically significant decrease in the decision reaction time in the discrimination of the words "yes" and "no." When exposed to the radio frequency of a digital phone signal, no changes were seen. They didn't notice any variations in the other measurements. Similar findings of reduced reaction times following exposure to the RF signal of GSM digital modulation were later reported by Koivisto et al. These outcomes were fairly consistent with Preece et al.'s findings and implied that exposure to RF-EMF from cell phones may have an impact on cognitive processes in humans. "With respect to the behavioral consequences of the RF fields in humans, all available evidence points to the same direction: RF fields facilitate rather than disrupt performance," the authors said in their study. It is too soon to determine the relevance of the observed effects on human health because the physiological mechanisms underlying such influences are poorly understood. Even though these results are relatively promising, we need use caution when interpreting them.

(2) Impact on sleep and EEG

The brain's neuronal electrical activity is reflected in the EEG. It is measurable objectively, allowing us to exclude the subjective swings that are frequently the cause of human study problems. The EEG measurement can be done in two different ways. One is EEG that occurs spontaneously and is observed in that state. EEG waveform characteristics, particularly in the spectrum region, can provide insight into the functioning of the brain. Nevertheless, spontaneous EEG is susceptible to all stimulation to the topic. Extracting information about a little variation brought about by exposure is difficult. During sleep, the spontaneous EEG is quite steady.The event-related potential (ERP), also known as the evoked potential, is an additional method wherein the person is purposefully stimulated to elicit a potential. Since the evoked signal is so tiny, the signal to noise ratio is increased by measuring the phase-locked summation of the waveforms for a repeated stimulation sequence. The power of waking patients' spontaneous EEG increased in frequencies over 10 Hz, according to Reiser et al.; however, Roschke and Mann were unable to identify any variations in EEG. Additionally, a statistically significant rise in waking EEG power was reported by Hietanen et al. Though the circumstances are not all that different, sleep EEG is slightly more steady than awake EEG. According to Mann and Roschke, exposure to GSM-like signals changed the quantity and spectrum features of REM sleep as well as the latency to sleep start. However, the same group's later investigation were unable to confirm these results. A "GSM-like signal" (900MHz, duty cycle of 87.5% compared to 12.5% in GSM) was reported to generate an increase in EEG power in a specific frequency range (around 10Hz) and a decrease in awakening after sleep onset by Borbely et al. in a more recent study. In a follow-up investigation, the same group reported that the exposure before to sleep increased the sleep EEG power in the same frequency range. Research on ERPs has According to the results of EEG research, exposure to cell phone signals may have a minor impact on brain function. The evidence supports additional research. However, given the wide range of results, it would be exceedingly challenging to gather conclusive proof of any impacts on the brain from EEG research.

(3) Irreversible symptoms

Anecdotal reports of subjective symptoms resulting from cell phone use are common. Hocking 40 persons who complained of subjective symptoms they connected to using cell phones were evaluated. Headache, hot feeling on the skin, and light-headedness were the primary head-related complaints. Nevertheless, it remained unclear if using a cell phone had any causative association. There was also a more extensive investigation on subjective, self-reported symptoms. In Sweden and Norway, data from roughly 11,000 mobile phone subscribers were gathered. A postal questionnaire on a range of symptoms was used to collect the data. According to the findings, 13% of participants in Sweden and 30% in Norway said they had experienced at least one symptom. Nonetheless, we must proceed with caution when interpreting these reports if they suggest a causal connection between the usage of mobile phones and these symptoms. The information were gathered from self-reports, which can be impacted by a number of variables, including anecdotal concerns about the potential health risks of electromagnetic fields from cell phones. In order to investigate the causal association, 24 men and 24 women participated in a voluntary study done by Koivisto et al. The subjective symptoms that the subjects scored were headache, light-headedness, exhaustion, skin tingling and itching, skin redness,

and a feeling of warmth on the skin. The participants provided their ratings at the start, halfway through (30 minutes after the start), and conclusion of a 60-minute exposure/non-exposure session. They discovered nothing.

VII.Studies Connected to Cancer

When potential dangers of an agent are discussed, the public is more concerned about the influence on cancer. This is true with the mobile communication safety issue. communications. There are thought to be multiple phases in the genesis of cancer. The first time an agent damages a gene or piece of DNA is called initiation. The next stage of the damaged gene's development into cancer cells is called promotion. The stage of cancer progression is when the disease's cells multiply to become a malignant tumor and may even spread to other organs. Several research studies have been conducted to investigate the potential link between mobile phone exposure and the development of cancer. An summary of this research is provided in the review that follows.

1.First, genotoxicity The lowest ionization energy of a molecule, which correlates to photon energy in the ultraviolet, is much larger than the photon energy of RF-EMF. Therefore, it is unlikely that RF-EMF will harm DNA in a way that is comparable to that of ionizing radiation like X-rays or gamma rays. However, nontoxicity has long been a source of significant worry. Early research revealed that RF-EMF could be genotoxic at relatively high intensities in both in vitro and in vivo tests. However, the exposure settings in these studies were not well controlled, raising the possibility that the specimen's temperature had dropped.

Three recent rodent experiments have revealed that DNA may be directly impacted by RF fields at lower intensities. According to Sarker et al., extensive structural rearrangements took place in mouse brain cells subjected to 2.45GHz microwaves. Nonetheless, it was anticipated that some artifact would skew the outcome. Lai and Singh proposed that rat brain exposure to 2.45GHz microwaves increased the number of breaks in the DNA strands of cells. Researchers from other fields attempted to duplicate these phenomena under a variety of experimental settings, including Lai's original experiment. Nevertheless, no research was able to replicate the same phenomenon.

(2) Promotion of cancer

One encouraging investigation raises the possibility that using digital mobile phones could cause cancer. Eμ-Pim1 For eighteen months, mice that have been genetically modified to be prone to the formation of lymphoblastic lymphomas were either subjected or sham-exposed to pulse-modulated 900MHz radiofrequency radiation, which mimics the GSM signal, for one hour each day. The incidence of lymphomas in the exposed mice increased, according to the scientists (43% in the exposed animals vs 22% in the controls). The significant concern raised by this research is the possibility of low-level exposure to cell phone frequencies causing cancer. Nonetheless, this study has been criticized in a lot of ways. First off, this study's dosimetry was so subpar that the SAR of the majority of other recent research looking into a potential promotion effect on chemically caused malignancies has produced unfavourable findings. Imaida et al. discovered no The medium-term rat liver cancer promotion model was used to assess the impact of six weeks of exposure to 929.2MHz and 1.439GHz PDC signals. Over a 24-month period, Adey et al. observed no rise in brain cancers in rats subjected to 836.55MHz radiation using the frequency modulation waveform employed in analog systems70 and the NADC waveform. These unfavourable investigations, which were conducted under carefully monitored exposure settings, revealed that there is little chance of cancer from mobile phone radiation exposure.

(3) Cancer epidemiological research

Since epidemiology tracks the link between sickness in humans and exposure to the agent of concern, it is thought to offer the most accurate evidence of environmental risks to human health. It is well recognized that some substances have a distinct carcinogenic risk in rodents than in humans. For this reason, epidemiological statistics are given top attention by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) when evaluating an agent's overall potential to cause cancer in people. Despite all of its advantages, the epidemiological approach has a number of limitations. Regarding studies involving mobile phones, one of these limitations ions is the precision of the exposure evaluation. Although self-reporting on a questionnaire is a common method of data collection, its accuracy is not very high. Furthermore, the real radiation power output from the MTE is highly dependent on both the speech quality and the distance to the base station. When estimating the actual "dose" from the data gathered, uncertainty cannot be completely eliminated. The most significant restriction when dealing with delayed consequences like cancer is a short exposure duration. It has only been a few years since mobile Phones spread widely. This time frame might not be sufficient to find any correlation, if any, between cell phone use and cancer incidence. Additionally, confounding variables need to be ruled out. Since the beginning, people have used mobile phones.may, in comparison to non-users, be relatively healthy workers with a higher socioeconomic standing.Numerous studies on epidemiology have been conducted thus far to investigate the potential link between using a cell phone and cancer, particularly brain tumors. A small number of studies raised the possibility of a connection between brain cancers and cell phone use, while other studies found no such connection. Nevertheless, given the aforementioned limitations, these research are not yet definitive. It is acknowledged that more research is required. An multinational epidemiological investigation on the link between cell phone use and head and neck cancers has been organized by the IARC. Japan is among the fourteen nations taking part in this project. The outcomes from every participating nation will be combined.

CONCLUSION

One of the main sources of RF-EMF exposure to the human head in daily life is mobile communication devices. Potential risks to health from the electric Concerns about tromagnetic fields from mobile communication devices have grown, and significant efforts have been made to elucidate the potential risks and hazards of exposure related to this technology. Although research is ongoing, what has been found thus far suggests that there aren't many impacts that could seriously harm people's health. There might only be little variations in the physiological function of the brain, but the data variation is too great to conclude that the exposure has the potential to influence function. Overall, there would be relatively little health risk

References

1. Lamble D., Kauranen T., Laakso M. and Summala H. Cognitive load and detection in thresholds in car following situations: safety implica tions for using mobile (cellular) telephones while driving. Accid Anal Prev, 31, 617. (1999).
2. McKnight A. J. and McKnight A. S. The effect of cellular phones use upon driver attention. Accid Anal Prev, 25, 259. (1993).
3. Alm H. and Nilsson L. The effects of a mobile telephone task on driver behaviour in a car following situation. Accid Anal Prev, 27, 707. (1995).
4. Violanti J. M. and Marshall J. R. Cellular phones and traffic accidents: an epidemiological approach. Accid Anal Prev, 29, 265. (1996).
5. Redelmeir D. A. and Tibshirani, R. J. Association between cellular telephone calls and motor vehicle collisions. J Med, 336, 453. (1997).

6. Steneck N.H. The microwave debate. MIT Press. (1984)
7. Durney C.H., Massoudi H., lskander M.F. (Eds.) Radiofrequency radiation dosimetry handbook (fourth edition). Armstrong Laboratory (AFMC) Occupational and Environment Directorate Radiofrequency Radiation Division USAFSAM-TR-85-73. (1984).
8. Lin J.C.: "Auditory perception of pulsed microwave radiation", in O.P. Gandhi (ed.), "Biological Effects and Medical Applications of Electro magnetic Energy", Prentice Hall, New Jersey. (1990).
9. Watanabe Y., Tanaka T., Taki M., Watanabe S.: "Numerical analysis of microwave hearing", IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory & Tech Vol. 48, No. 11, pp. 2126-2132. November (2000).
10. Foster K.R., "Electromagnetic field effects and mechanisms", IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Mag., Vol.15, No.4, pp. 50-56. (1996).
11. International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection: Guide lines for limiting exposure to time-varying electric, magnetic and electromagnetic fields. Health Physics, 74, 494-522. (1998).
12. Radio-Radiation Protection Guidelines for Human Exposure to Elec tromagnetic Fields. Ministry of Public Management, Home Affairs, Posts and Telecommunications. http://www.joho.soumu.go.jp/ policyreports/english/telecouncil/Radio-Contents.html (1990).
13. IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 28: Standard for safety levels with respect to human exposure to radiofrequency electromag netic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz (ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1999), IEEE, New York. (1999).
14. Watanabe S., Taki M., Nojima T., and Fujiwara O. "Characteristics of the SAR distributions in a head exposed to electromagnetic fields radiated by a hand-held portable radio". IEEE Microwave Theory Tech., 44, 1874-1883. (1996).
15. Mann S. M., Cooper T. G. et al: Exposure to radio waves near mobile phone base stations. National Radiation Protection Board (U.K.), June (2000).
16. Independent Expert Group on Mobile Phones: Report on Mobile Phones and Health. Chilton, National Radiation Protection Board. Online at: http://www.iegmp.org.uk/IEGMPtxt.htm. (2000).
17. Royal Society of Canada: A review of the potential risks of radiofrequency fields from wireless telecommunication devices. Royal Society of Canada, Ottawa, Ont, (http://www.rsc.ca/english/RFreport.pdf) Repa.
18. Bawin S. M., Kaczmarek L. K. and Adey W. R. Effects of modulated VHF fields on the central nervous system. Ann NY Acad Sci, 247, 74. (1975).
19. Blackman C. F., Elder J. A., Weil C. M., Benane S. G., Eichinger D. C. and House D. E. Induction of calcium-ion efflux from brain tissue by radiofrequency radiation: effects of modulation frequency and field strength. Radio Sci, 14(S), 93. (1979).