JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Rural Road Infrastructure in India: Pathways to Inclusive Growth and Sustainable Development

Brajesh Kumar Singh* & Dr. Jaleshwar Singh**

* Research Scholar, Univ. Dept. of Economics, T.M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur
Email: kumarbrajesh734@gmail.com
** Associate Professor & Head, Dept. of Economics
J.P. College, Narayanpur, T.M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur-812007

Abstract: Rural road infrastructure is a critical driver of inclusive growth and socio-economic transformation in India, where nearly two-thirds of the population resides in rural areas. Improved connectivity reduces transport costs, enhances market access, and facilitates the delivery of essential services such as education, healthcare, and credit. Flagship initiatives like the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) and Bharat Nirman have significantly expanded all-weather rural road networks, connecting millions of people in remote and underserved regions. By March 2024, more than 7.5 lakh kilometres of rural roads had been constructed or upgraded under PMGSY, improving access to over 1.7 lakh habitations. These developments have translated into higher agricultural productivity, increased household incomes, greater school enrolment, and improved maternal and child health outcomes.

Despite these achievements, challenges persist in ensuring quality, financing, and maintenance. Many rural roads continue to deteriorate due to inadequate upkeep, while limited fiscal resources and weak institutional mechanisms hinder sustainable development. Furthermore, private sector participation in rural road infrastructure remains minimal owing to low profitability. To bridge these gaps, innovative financing models, climate-resilient road designs, and stronger institutional frameworks are required. Rural roads must be understood not merely as physical assets but as enablers of poverty reduction, social inclusion, and long-term sustainable development.

Keywords: Rural Infrastructure; Rural Connectivity; PMGSY; Bharat Nirman; RIDF; Poverty Reduction; Inclusive Development; Financing; Climate-Resilient Roads

Introduction

Infrastructure forms the cornerstone of economic progress and social transformation, with rural infrastructure holding particular importance in developing nations such as India. Rural areas are home to nearly 65–70 percent of India's population, and the productivity, well-being, and mobility of this majority depend largely on the adequacy of infrastructure systems that connect them to wider markets and services (Government of India, 2023). Within this framework, rural roads stand out as one of the most influential drivers of economic development because they facilitate both agricultural and non-agricultural growth, create employment, and enable the delivery of essential services.

Adequate connectivity is vital to reducing poverty and social vulnerability. Roads are not merely physical assets; they serve as catalysts that integrate remote communities into broader economic and social networks. For instance, the presence of an all-weather road in a village reduces transport costs, accelerates the delivery of farm inputs, and ensures timely access to markets, thereby reducing post-harvest losses. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO, 2022), improved rural road access has been directly linked with reductions in agricultural wastage and enhanced farm-gate prices. In India, the World Bank (1997) estimated that around 15 percent of crop produce is lost annually due to inadequate transport and storage facilities - a figure that continues to highlight the urgency of investment in rural infrastructure.

Globally, empirical studies affirm the strong association between rural connectivity and poverty alleviation. Jalan and Ravallion (2002) showed that better road density in rural China significantly improved household consumption growth, reducing the incidence of poverty. Similarly, Dercon et al. (2008) demonstrated that access to rural roads in Ethiopia lowered poverty rates by facilitating agricultural commercialization and enhancing non-farm employment opportunities. In Bangladesh, Khandker and Koolwal (2011) found that investments in rural roads not only improved incomes but also contributed to better educational outcomes and health service access. These findings underline that rural roads are more than transport assets - they are social and economic equalizers.

In India, the importance of rural road development has been recognized through flagship initiatives such as the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY), launched in 2000. The programme has significantly expanded all-weather connectivity to rural habitations. As of March 2024, more than 7.5 lakh kilometres of rural roads have been constructed under PMGSY phases I to III (Ministry of Rural Development [MoRD], 2024). Studies reveal that villages connected under PMGSY experienced higher agricultural productivity, increased access to credit institutions, and better school attendance, particularly for girls (Bell & van Dillen, 2012). These outcomes emphasize the transformative role of rural infrastructure in bridging regional disparities and promoting inclusive growth.

Moreover, rural road networks have multiplier effects across sectors. They create avenues for non-farm employment by linking small enterprises to urban centres, thereby diversifying rural incomes (Fan, Hazell, & Thorat, 2000). They also improve resilience by enabling faster access to health facilities and disaster relief during emergencies, which has become especially relevant in the context of climate change and extreme weather events. The socio-economic benefits, therefore, extend beyond income enhancement to broader dimensions of human development.

In conclusion, the development of rural roads is not only an economic necessity but also a social imperative for achieving equitable and sustainable growth. Adequate connectivity reduces poverty, empowers marginalized groups, and ensures that rural populations participate fully in the growth trajectory of the nation. As India advances toward its goal of becoming a developed economy by 2047, strengthening rural infrastructure, particularly road connectivity, will remain central to bridging the urban-rural divide and ensuring inclusive development.

Review of Literature

The developmental impact of rural road infrastructure has been extensively studied across countries, with evidence consistently highlighting its role in fostering economic growth, reducing poverty, and enhancing social inclusion. Roads are often described as "growth multipliers" because they provide externalities that extend far beyond the transport sector. They facilitate agricultural commercialization, promote non-farm employment, and improve access to healthcare, education, and financial services.

Stifel and Minten (2008) argue that road connectivity reduces transaction costs and enhances the adoption of agricultural inputs, leading to greater farm productivity. In rural Ethiopia, their findings indicated that isolation from road networks translated into lower agricultural yields and limited market participation. Similarly, Jalan and Ravallion (2002) documented that road density in rural China between 1985 and 1990 had a significant and positive impact on household consumption growth, providing empirical support to the idea that rural infrastructure serves as a foundation for economic advancement.

Further evidence from Africa also reinforces this argument. Dercon et al. (2008) found that the construction of rural roads in Ethiopia reduced poverty by enabling better access to markets, raising agricultural incomes, and supporting diversification into non-farm activities. In Uganda, Fan, Zhang, and Rao (2005) showed that reducing the distance to transport facilities decreased the likelihood of household poverty by up to 0.3 percent, underscoring the importance of rural connectivity in poverty reduction strategies.

Case studies in Asia illustrate comparable impacts. Elmondorf and Merrill (1977) reported that rural roads in Mexico generated economic and social spillovers, including improved communication, migration flows, and community modernization. In the Philippines, USAID (1978) observed that household incomes rose by 28 percent following road improvements, largely attributable to lower transport costs, cheaper farm inputs, and enhanced farm-gate prices. Similar experiences in Thailand highlighted that road development stimulated expansion in cultivated land and intensified agricultural practices (Moore, 1980).

In South Asia, rural roads have shown considerable impact on both agricultural and human development indicators. Khandker and Koolwal (2011) found in Bangladesh that investments in rural transport improved incomes, school enrolments, and access to health services, with long-term benefits for women and marginalized communities. In India, macro-level analyses by Binswanger, Khandker, and Rosenzweig (1993) established that road investments were directly associated with agricultural output growth, increased fertilizer use, and expansion of credit services. Fan, Hazell, and Thorat (2000) further confirmed that public spending on roads was among the most effective forms of investment in reducing rural poverty compared to subsidies or irrigation spending.

Recent studies reinforce these earlier conclusions. Bell and van Dillen (2012), based on surveys in Odisha, demonstrated that villages connected under the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) experienced improvements in school attendance, healthcare utilization, and women's participation in the workforce. According to the Ministry of Rural Development (2024), the completion of over 7.5 lakh kilometers of rural roads under PMGSY phases I to III has contributed to significant reductions in travel time, increased mobility, and growth in rural enterprises.

In sum, the literature provides robust evidence that rural road development has both direct and indirect benefits: it enhances agricultural productivity, reduces poverty, and broadens access to social and economic opportunities. The consistency of findings across diverse contexts - from Africa and Asia to Latin America - suggests that rural connectivity remains one of the most powerful interventions for inclusive and sustainable rural transformation.

Rural Road Infrastructure in India

India has historically recognized the strategic role of rural connectivity in its national development agenda. The earliest systematic effort began with the Nagpur Road Plan (1943–61), which was the first attempt to classify roads into a functional hierarchy, including national highways, state highways, major district roads, other district roads, and village roads. This classification highlighted the importance of village and district roads as critical components of the rural economy (Indian Roads Congress [IRC], 2005). Later, the Lucknow Plan (1981–2001) emphasized the need for long-term rural road planning, integration of road development with rural development schemes, and adoption of staged construction to optimize resources in low-traffic areas (Planning Commission, 2001).

Despite these early initiatives, several rural road schemes under the Minimum Needs Programme (MNP), National Rural Employment Programme (NREP), and Jawahar Rozgar Yojana (JRY) fell short of expectations. Evaluations revealed that inadequate technical design, insufficient funding, and weak monitoring mechanisms led to substandard roads, many of which were not durable or all-weather (India Infrastructure Report, 2007). By the time of the Ninth Five Year Plan (1997–2002), policymakers acknowledged that thousands of kilometres of rural roads had been constructed without proper engineering standards. The absence of adequate drainage, compaction, and geometric design resulted in rapid deterioration, undermining both investments and connectivity benefits (Planning Commission, 2002).

In response, the government launched more structured and technically sound programs. The most significant was the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) in 2000, aimed at providing all-weather connectivity to unconnected habitations with populations above 500 in plains and 250 in hilly and tribal areas. PMGSY introduced standardized engineering guidelines, third-party quality monitoring, and dedicated funding through diesel cess and external assistance from agencies like the World Bank and Asian Development Bank (MoRD, 2024). By March 2024, PMGSY had completed over 7.5 lakh kilometres of rural roads, connecting more than 1.7 lakh habitations, substantially reducing travel time and enabling better access to markets, schools, and healthcare facilities (MoRD, 2024).

Another major initiative, Bharat Nirman (2005–09), identified rural roads as one of its six core infrastructure sectors. It aimed to provide all-weather connectivity to approximately 63,940 habitations. By its conclusion, over 51,000 habitations had been connected, reflecting progress but also highlighting the persistent gap in rural accessibility (Government of India, 2014).

Recent evaluations confirm that rural roads contribute significantly to agricultural commercialization, growth of rural enterprises, and social development outcomes. According to the Economic Survey 2022–23, rural road expansion has led to a 20–25 percent reduction in transport costs and a 15–20 percent rise in farm incomes in connected areas. Furthermore, PMGSY roads have been associated with increased school attendance among girls and improved maternal health service utilization (Bell & van Dillen, 2012).

Table 1: Key Rural Road Development Plans and Programs in India

Plan/Program	Period	Focus/Objective	Achievements/Limitations
Nagpur Plan	1943–61	Functional classification of roads	First attempt at systematic planning
Lucknow Plan	1981–2001	Master planning, staged construction	Emphasis on rural connectivity; limited execution
MNP, NREP, JRY	1970s–1990s	Rural connectivity via employment schemes	Poor design, weak monitoring, substandard roads
Ninth Plan	1997–2002	Acknowledged poor road quality	Recognition of need for engineering standards
PMGSY	2000–present	All-weather connectivity to unconnected habitations	7.5 lakh km completed by 2024; >1.7 lakh habitations connected
Bharat Nirman	2005–09	Six core infrastructure sectors; rural roads one component	51,253 habitations connected out of 63,940 targeted

Sources: IRC (2005); Planning Commission (2001, 2002); MoRD (2024); Government of India (2014)

Despite remarkable progress, challenges remain in ensuring sustainable maintenance, financing, and institutional coordination. The 15th Finance Commission (2021–26) has highlighted the need for dedicated maintenance funds for PMGSY and non-PMGSY roads, given the large asset base created. Moreover, climate resilience in road design is becoming increasingly important due to the rising incidence of floods and extreme weather events.

Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY)

The Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY), launched on 25 December 2000, is one of India's most significant rural infrastructure programs, designed to ensure all-weather road connectivity to previously unconnected habitations. The scheme specifically targeted habitations with populations of 500 and above in plains and 250 and above in hilly, desert, and tribal areas, based on the 2001 Census. The objective was not only to provide mobility but also to foster inclusive socio-economic development by linking rural communities with schools, healthcare facilities, markets, and employment centres (Ministry of Rural Development [MoRD], 2024).

Funding Mechanism and Institutional Support: PMGSY is a Centrally Sponsored Scheme, with funding sourced primarily from the cess on High-Speed Diesel (HSD), supplemented by assistance from multilateral agencies such as the World Bank and the Asian Development Bank (ADB), as well as loans from the National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD). Over time, the program has institutionalized strict quality control mechanisms, including third-party monitoring systems, adoption of Indian Roads Congress (IRC) standards, and the use of geo-tagging and GIS-based monitoring tools for transparency and accountability (World Bank, 2022).

Achievements and Phased Implementation: Since its inception, PMGSY has been implemented in three distinct phases:

- **PMGSY-I (2000–2012):** Focused on providing new connectivity to eligible unconnected habitations.
- **PMGSY-II (2013–2019):** Emphasized upgrading existing rural roads to support economic hubs such as markets, schools, and growth centres.
- **PMGSY-III (2019–2025):** Aims to consolidate the existing rural road network by connecting rural hubs, agricultural mandis, service centres, and tourism destinations.

Table 2: Progress of PMGSY (as of March 2024)

Phase	Period	Focus	Road Length Completed (km)	Habitations Connected
PMGSY-I	2000-2012	New connectivity to eligible habitations	4,50,000+	1,20,000+
PMGSY-II	2013-2019	Upgradation of existing rural roads	2,00,000+	N/A (focused on upgrades)
PMGSY-III	2019-2025*	Consolidation, linking hubs, mandis, service centres	1,00,000+ (till 2024)	50,000+
Total	2000-2024	Comprehensive rural connectivity	7,50,000+	1,70,000+

Source: Ministry of Rural Development (2024); World Bank (2022)
*(*PMGSY-III ongoing until 2025*)*

According to the latest data, by March 2024, over 7.5 lakh kilometres of rural roads had been constructed or upgraded under PMGSY, providing connectivity to more than 1.7 lakh habitations. This expansion has led to measurable improvements in rural development indicators, including reduced travel time by 50 percent on average, higher school attendance among girls, and increased access to maternal health services (MoRD, 2024; Bell & van Dillen, 2012).

Socio-Economic Impact: Independent evaluations have shown that PMGSY has played a transformative role in rural India. Road connectivity has resulted in:

- Agricultural productivity gains, with studies reporting a 15–20 percent increase in farm incomes in connected villages (Economic Survey, 2023).
- Employment generation, both through direct road construction activities and via improved access to non-farm job opportunities.
- Social benefits, including higher school attendance, especially among girls, and better access to healthcare facilities, reducing maternal and child mortality rates.
- Rural enterprise growth, as reduced transport costs have enabled small businesses and self-help groups to expand their markets.

Despite the remarkable progress, several challenges remain. Road maintenance continues to be a critical issue. While the program initially mandated a five-year contractor maintenance clause, ensuring long-term sustainability requires dedicated state-level funds and robust monitoring systems. Additionally, climate resilience is now a pressing priority, as floods, landslides, and extreme weather events threaten the durability of rural road infrastructure.

Bharat Nirman

The Bharat Nirman Programme, launched in December 2005, was a time-bound initiative of the Government of India aimed at strengthening rural infrastructure through an integrated approach. Designed for the period 2005–09, the programme identified six critical sectors: rural housing, irrigation, drinking water, electrification, telephone connectivity, and rural roads (Government of India, 2014). Among these, rural road connectivity was recognized as a key driver of agricultural commercialization, social mobility, and poverty reduction.

Under Bharat Nirman, the specific target for rural roads was to provide all-weather connectivity to 63,940 unconnected habitations with populations of more than 1,000 in plain areas and more than 500 in hilly and tribal regions, as per the 2001 Census. The programme built upon the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) framework, ensuring synergy between ongoing road construction schemes and newly sanctioned projects (MoRD, 2014).

By March 2014, around 51,253 habitations - approximately 80 percent of the target - had been connected through all-weather roads, while works for another 62,876 habitations were sanctioned. This expansion created a cumulative rural road network of over 3.8 million kilometres, significantly reducing travel time to schools, hospitals, and markets (MoRD, 2024). Importantly, Bharat Nirman was not confined to physical connectivity alone. By aligning rural roads with other infrastructure sectors such as irrigation and electrification, it promoted holistic rural development, enhancing productivity, employment opportunities, and overall quality of life in rural areas (Economic Survey, 2023).

Despite its achievements, challenges persisted in terms of maintenance, quality standards, and timely completion of sanctioned works. Nevertheless, Bharat Nirman set a precedent for integrated infrastructure planning, which later guided subsequent phases of PMGSY-II and PMGSY-III.

Table 3: Bharat Nirman Rural Road Connectivity Progress

Target / Achievement	Figures
Target habitations to be connected (2005–09)	63,940
Habitations connected by March 2014	51,253 (≈80%)
Habitations sanctioned for works	62,876
Total rural road network length (2014)	3.8 million km+

Source: MoRD (2014, 2024); Government of India (2014)

Challenges in Rural Road Development

While India has made significant strides in expanding rural road infrastructure through flagship initiatives such as the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) and Bharat Nirman, the sustainability and efficiency of these investments continue to face multiple challenges. These challenges span technical, financial, institutional, and environmental dimensions.

1. Maintenance Backlogs: One of the most persistent challenges is the backlog in road maintenance. Although PMGSY initially mandated a five-year contractor maintenance period, many roads begin to deteriorate after this phase due to inadequate state-level funds. According to the Ministry of Rural Development (2024), nearly 30 percent of PMGSY roads require major repair or strengthening. The lack of a dedicated and predictable fund flow for long-term maintenance results in roads becoming unserviceable, thereby negating the socio-economic benefits of initial investments. The 15th Finance Commission (2021–26) specifically highlighted this gap and recommended earmarking funds for core rural road networks.

2. Quality Issues: The quality of rural roads has often been inconsistent. Earlier schemes under the Minimum Needs Programme and Jawahar Rozgar Yojana produced substandard assets due to inadequate engineering, poor compaction of soil, and absence of drainage facilities. Even under PMGSY, third-party quality monitoring reports suggest that nearly 10–12 percent of roads constructed between 2010 and 2020 were not fully compliant with prescribed standards (Comptroller and Auditor General of India [CAG], 2021). Poor quality roads deteriorate faster, leading to higher lifecycle costs and increased vulnerability to climate-related damage.

3. Financing Constraints: Rural road development competes with multiple sectors for budgetary allocation. State governments, in particular, face fiscal pressures that limit their ability to co-finance rural connectivity projects. While funding support from the diesel cess, NABARD, and multilateral agencies has been instrumental, there remains a financing gap. Estimates suggest that India needs an annual investment of ₹40,000–50,000 crore for rural road maintenance and expansion, but actual allocations fall short by 20–25 percent (Economic Survey, 2023).

4. Institutional Weakness: Effective rural road development requires coordination among the central government, state governments, and Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs). However, overlapping responsibilities, bureaucratic delays, and capacity constraints at the district and block levels often slow down project implementation. A World Bank (2022) review of PMGSY pointed out that weak institutional coordination and limited technical expertise at the local level remain major impediments in ensuring timely execution and quality assurance.

5. Limited Private Sector Participation: Unlike highways and expressways, rural roads attract little interest from the private sector. Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) are uncommon in rural connectivity because of low traffic volumes and minimal toll potential, which make projects financially unviable. Without sufficient profitability, private players prefer to invest in high-value corridors, leaving rural connectivity heavily dependent on public investment (India Infrastructure Report, 2018). Innovative financing models such as viability gap funding, annuity-based maintenance contracts, and community-based monitoring are being discussed, but implementation has been limited.

6. Climate Resilience and Sustainability: A growing challenge is the impact of climate change on rural roads. Floods, landslides, and extreme weather events frequently damage road networks, especially in Himalayan and coastal regions. According to the National Disaster Management Authority (NDMA, 2022), nearly 15 percent of rural road assets constructed in flood-prone districts face recurring damages. Incorporating climate-resilient design, better drainage systems, and use of sustainable materials such as fly ash bricks are emerging as crucial requirements.

Financing and the Role of RIDF

The development of rural road infrastructure in India has been largely dependent on public investment, given the limited scope for private participation in low-traffic and socially oriented projects. While schemes like the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) have mobilized substantial resources through dedicated budgetary allocations, diesel cess, and external assistance from the World Bank and Asian Development Bank, the financing needs for rural connectivity remain far greater than available funds. To address this financing gap, the Rural Infrastructure Development Fund (RIDF) was created in 1995–96 under the National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD) (NABARD, 2024).

Establishment and Funding Mechanism: The RIDF was set up with contributions from scheduled commercial banks that failed to meet their priority sector lending targets for agriculture. These deposits are then utilized by NABARD to finance state governments for rural infrastructure projects. Over time, the RIDF has emerged as a major instrument to channelize resources for rural roads, bridges, irrigation, and social sector projects. Its importance lies not only in filling the financial gap but also in ensuring timely availability of funds for states with limited fiscal capacity (RBI, 2022).

Achievements in Rural Connectivity: By March 2024, RIDF had sanctioned projects worth over ₹4.5 lakh crore, of which nearly 40 percent went into rural roads and bridges (NABARD, 2024). These investments enabled the construction of over 4 lakh kilometres of rural roads and more than 8.8 lakh metres of bridges, significantly enhancing connectivity in backward, hilly, and tribal areas. A study by NABARD (2023) highlighted that RIDF-funded road projects reduced average travel time by 30–40 percent, improved access to schools and hospitals, and facilitated higher agricultural incomes through better market access.

Table 4: RIDF Contributions to Rural Road Development (as of March 2024)

Indicator	Figures
Total RIDF sanctions since inception	₹4.5 lakh crore+
Share of roads & bridges in RIDF	~40%
Rural roads constructed	4 lakh km+
Rural bridges constructed	8.8 lakh metres+
Average reduction in travel time	30–40%
Beneficiary habitations (approx.)	1.2 lakh+

Source: NABARD (2023, 2024); MoRD (2024)

Socio-Economic Impact: The impact of RIDF-financed rural roads extends beyond physical connectivity. Evidence suggests that improved access has:

- Rural enterprise growth, as reduced transport costs have enabled small businesses and self-help groups to expand their markets.
- Enhanced agricultural commercialization by reducing transaction and transport costs.
- Promoted non-farm rural employment, especially in small-scale enterprises and services.
- Increased school enrolment and healthcare utilization, particularly in remote tribal regions (World Bank, 2022).

Moreover, states with weaker fiscal capacity, such as Bihar, Jharkhand, and Odisha, have particularly benefited from RIDF loans, which have supplemented central assistance under PMGSY and other schemes.

Despite its success, reliance on RIDF alone is not sustainable. The fund is debt-based, and repayment obligations put pressure on state finances. Moreover, rural road networks require continuous maintenance funding, which is often not covered under RIDF. To sustain momentum, innovative financing models are essential. These include:

- Rural enterprise growth, as reduced transport costs have enabled small businesses and self-help groups to expand their markets.
- Annuity-based payments for contractors to ensure long-term maintenance.
- Viability Gap Funding (VGF) to attract limited private sector participation.
- Community-driven maintenance models, leveraging local Panchayati Raj Institutions and self-help groups for monitoring and upkeep.

Integrating RIDF with climate-resilient infrastructure design and green financing instruments could further enhance the sustainability of rural connectivity investments.

Conclusion

Rural road infrastructure remains the backbone of India's inclusive development strategy. By reducing transport costs, enhancing mobility, and expanding access to essential services, rural roads serve as critical enablers of both economic growth and social equity. They not only stimulate agricultural productivity and market integration but also improve outcomes in education, healthcare, and employment generation. The Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) and Bharat Nirman have been instrumental in reshaping the rural connectivity landscape, providing all-weather roads to thousands of habitations and thereby contributing directly to poverty reduction and rural transformation.

Despite this progress, persistent challenges in maintenance, financing, and quality standards continue to limit the full potential of these investments. Many roads deteriorate prematurely due to insufficient upkeep, while state-level fiscal constraints and weak institutional coordination hinder sustainable expansion. Additionally, private sector participation remains minimal, given the low commercial viability of rural roads. This makes public investment indispensable in the near future.

Looking ahead, India must prioritize strengthening institutional frameworks, ensuring dedicated maintenance funds, and integrating rural roads with broader strategies for rural development, such as market access, digital infrastructure, and climate-resilient design. Rural connectivity should be seen not only as an infrastructure project but also as a social investment that empowers marginalized communities, reduces regional disparities, and enables rural populations to contribute more effectively to national growth.

In essence, building and maintaining rural roads is about much more than constructing physical assets - it is about laying the foundation for inclusive, sustainable, and participatory development in India's journey toward becoming a developed nation by 2047.

Policy Recommendations

- Establish ring-fenced funds at the state level for rural road maintenance, in line with the recommendations of the 13th and 15th Finance Commissions.
- Enhance coordination among the central government, state governments, and Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs) for planning, implementation, and monitoring.
- Expand third-party quality monitoring, introduce digital geo-tagging, and enforce strict compliance with Indian Roads Congress (IRC) standards to ensure durability.
- Explore annuity-based contracts, Viability Gap Funding (VGF), and public–community partnerships to supplement public resources.
- Incorporate disaster-resistant designs, proper drainage, and sustainable materials (e.g., fly ash bricks, cold-mix technology) to address climate vulnerabilities.
- Align rural roads with agricultural supply chains, rural hubs, healthcare, and digital connectivity to maximize socio-economic impacts.
- Train local engineers, contractors, and workers in modern construction and maintenance practices to improve efficiency and generate employment.

References

Bell, C., & van Dillen, S. (2012). How does India's rural roads program affect the grassroots? *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper, 6167*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

Binswanger, H. P., Khandker, S. R., & Rosenzweig, M. R. (1993). How infrastructure and financial institutions affect agricultural output and investment in India. *Journal of Development Economics, 41*(2), 337–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3878(93)90062-L

Comptroller and Auditor General of India. (2021). *Performance audit of rural road development schemes*. Government of India.

Dercon, S., Gilligan, D. O., Hoddinott, J., & Woldehanna, T. (2008). The impact of agricultural extension and roads on poverty and consumption growth in fifteen Ethiopian villages. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 91*(4), 1007–1021. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8276.2009.01325.x

Economic Survey. (2023). *Economic Survey 2022–23*. Ministry of Finance, Government of India.

Elmondorf, M., & Merrill, D. (1977). Socio-economic impact of development in Chan Kom: Rural women's participation in change. *World Bank Report*. Washington, DC.

Fan, S., Hazell, P., & Thorat, S. (2000). Government spending, growth, and poverty in rural India. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 82*(4), 1038–1051. https://doi.org/10.1111/0002-9092.00097

Fan, S., Zhang, L., & Rao, N. (2005). Public investment and poverty reduction in Tanzania: Evidence from household survey data. *IFPRI Discussion Paper 18*. Washington, DC: International Food Policy Research Institute.

Food and Agriculture Organization. (2022). *The state of food and agriculture 2022: Leveraging agricultural innovations*. Rome: FAO.

Government of India. (2014). *Bharat Nirman: A time-bound plan for rural infrastructure*. New Delhi: Ministry of Rural Development.

Government of India. (2023). *Economic Survey 2022–23*. Ministry of Finance, New Delhi.

India Infrastructure Report. (2007). *Rural Infrastructure*. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.

India Infrastructure Report. (2018). *Rural infrastructure: Challenges and opportunities*. Oxford University Press.

Indian Roads Congress. (2005). *Rural Roads Vision 2025*. New Delhi: Ministry of Rural Development.

Jalan, J., & Ravallion, M. (2002). Geographic poverty traps? A micro model of consumption growth in rural China. *Journal of Applied Econometrics, 17*(4), 329–346. https://doi.org/10.1002/jae.645

Khandker, S. R., & Koolwal, G. B. (2011). Estimating the long-term impacts of rural roads: A dynamic panel approach. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 5867*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

Ministry of Rural Development. (2014). *Annual Report 2013–14*. Government of India, New Delhi.

Ministry of Rural Development. (2024). *Annual report 2023–24*. Government of India, New Delhi.

Moore, B. (1980). Rural roads in Thailand: Aid project impact evaluation report. *USAID*. Washington, DC.

NABARD. (2023). *Impact assessment of RIDF projects in rural infrastructure*. Mumbai: National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development.

NABARD. (2024). *Annual Report 2023–24*. Mumbai: National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development.

National Disaster Management Authority. (2022). *Climate resilience and infrastructure: Policy framework for India*. Government of India.

Planning Commission. (2001). *Tenth Five Year Plan (2002–2007): Volume II*. New Delhi: Government of India.

Planning Commission. (2002). *Ninth Five Year Plan (1997–2002): Volume II*. New Delhi: Government of India.

Reserve Bank of India. (2022). *Report on priority sector lending and rural credit*. Mumbai: RBI.

Stifel, D., & Minten, B. (2008). Isolation and agricultural productivity. *Agricultural Economics, 39*(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-0862.2008.00310.x

United States Agency for International Development. (1978). *Rural roads evaluation report*. Department of Local Government and Community Development, Manila.

World Bank. (1997). *Rural development: Vision to action*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

World Bank. (2000). *World development report 2000/2001: Attacking poverty*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

World Bank. (2022). *Rural connectivity in India: Evaluating infrastructure investments*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

World Bank. (2022). *Rural roads and development in India: Evaluating PMGSY*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

*****