



# New Neighbourhood Properties in Topological spaces

Dr. Mrityunjay K Gavimath

Assistant Professor & HOD ,

Department of Mathematics,

B.V.V.Shri S.R.Kanthi Arts,Commerce & Science College,

Mudhol-587113, Karnataka , India

**ABSTRACT:** In this paper a new class of sets called Semi Pre Generalized open sets (briefly Spg open sets) and Spg neighbourhoods are introduced and studied in topological spaces and some properties of new concepts have been studied.

**Keywords:** Spg closed sets, Spg open sets, Spg -neighbourhoods.

**AMS Mathematics subject classification (2010):** 54A05.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Stone[1] introduced and studied Regular open sets then Regular semi open sets, Pre-open sets, gspr closed sets, gpr closed sets, gp closed sets, Rg closed sets,  $rg\alpha$ -closed sets,  $\pi$ -g-closed sets, Spg closed sets are introduced and studied by Cameron[2], Mashhour, Abd El-Monsef and El-Deeb[3], Govindappa Navalagi, Chandrashakarappa & Gurushantanavar[4], Gnanambal[5], Maki, Umehara and Noiri[6], Palaniappan and Rao[7], Vadivel & Vairamamanickam[8], Dontchev and Noiri[9], Wali and Vivekananda Dembre[10] Respectively.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper space  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  (or simply  $X$  and  $Y$ ) always denote topological space on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. For a subset  $A$  of a space  $X$ ,  $Cl(A)$ ,  $Int(A)$ ,  $A^c$ ,  $P-Cl(A)$  and  $P-int(A)$  denote the Closure of  $A$ , Interior of  $A$ , Compliment of  $A$ , pre-closure of  $A$  and pre-interior of  $(A)$  in  $X$  respectively.

**Definition 2.1:** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called

- (i) Regular open set [1] if  $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$  and a regular closed set if  $A = \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$ .
- (ii) Regular semi open set [2] if there is a regular open set  $U$  such that  $U \subseteq A \subseteq \text{cl}(U)$ .
- (iii) Pre-open set [3] if  $A \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$  and pre-closed set if  $\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)) \subseteq A$ .
- (iv) Generalized semi pre regular closed (briefly, gspr-closed) set [4] if  $\text{spcl}(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is regular open in  $X$ .
- (v) Generalized pre regular closed set (briefly, gpr-closed) [5] if  $\text{pcl}(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is regular open in  $X$ .
- (vi) Generalized pre closed (briefly, gp-closed) set [6] if  $\text{pcl}(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is open in  $X$ .
- (vii) Regular generalized closed set (briefly, rg-closed) [7] if  $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is regular open in  $X$ .
- (viii) Regular-generalized- $\alpha$  closed set [8] if  $\alpha\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is regular  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$ .
- (ix)  $\pi$ -generalized closed set (briefly,  $\pi$ g-closed) [9] if  $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\pi$ -open in  $X$ .
- (x) pre generalized pre regular weakly closed set (briefly spg-closed) [10] if  $\text{pCl}(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\text{rg}\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

### 3. SEMI PRE GENERALIZED CLOSED SETS IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES:

**Definition 3.1:** A subset  $A$  of topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a semi pre generalized closed sets (briefly spg-closed set) if  $\text{SPCl}(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is Semi-open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

- (i) Every closed set is spg-closed set in  $X$ .
- (ii) Every regular closed set is Spg-closed set in  $X$ .
- (iii) Every Spg-closed set is gspr, gpr, gp, rg,  $\pi$ g closed set.
- (iv) The Union of two spg-closed subsets of  $X$  is spg-closed set.
- (v) If  $A$  is Semi Pre Generalized closed set in  $X$  and  $A \subseteq B \subseteq \text{pCl}(A)$  then  $B$  is also Semi Pre Generalized closed set in  $X$ .
- (vi) If a subset  $A$  of topological space  $X$  is a Semi Pre Generalized closed

set in  $X$ ; then  $pCl(A) - A$  does not contain any non empty  $rg\alpha$ -closed set in  $X$ .

#### 4. SEMI PRE GENERALIZED OPEN SETS.

**Definition 4.1:** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called Semi pre generalized open (briefly spg-open) set in  $X$  if  $A^c$  is spg-closed in  $X$ .

The following theorem is the analogue of results 3.2 (i) to (iv).

**Theorem 4.2 :** For any topological spaces  $(X, \tau)$  we have the following .

- (i) Every open set is spg-open.
- (ii) Every regular open set is Spg closed set.
- (iii) Every spg-openset is gspr, gpr, gp, rg,  $\pi g$ -open set.

**Theorem 4.3:** If  $A$  and  $B$  are spg-opensets in space  $X$ , then  $A \cap B$  is also an spg-open in  $X$ .

**Proof :** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two spg-opensets in  $X$ . Then  $A^c$  and  $B^c$  are spg-closedsets in  $X$  by Results 3.2 (iv) ,  $A^c \cup B^c$  is also spg-closedset in  $X$ . that is  $A^c \cup B^c = (A \cap B)^c$  is spg-closedset in  $X$ . Therefore  $A \cap B$  is an spg-openset in  $X$ .

**Remark 4.4:** The union of spg-open set in  $X$  is generally not an spg-openset in  $X$ .

**Example 4.5:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$ . If  $A = \{c\}$   $B = \{a\}$  Then  $A$  &  $B$  are spg-openset in  $X$  but  $A \cup B = \{a, c\}$  is not an spg-openset in  $X$ .

**Theorem 4.6:** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is spg-open iff  $U \subseteq p\text{-int}(A)$ , whenever  $U$  is  $rg\alpha$ -closed and  $U \subseteq A$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $A$  is spg-openset in  $X$  and  $U$  is  $rg\alpha$ -closed set of  $(X, \tau)$  s.t

$U \subseteq A$ . Then  $X - A$  is a spg-closedset in  $(X, \tau)$ . Also  $X - A \subseteq X - U$  and  $X - U$  is  $rg\alpha$ -

open set of  $(X, \tau)$ . This implies that  $pcl(X - A) \subseteq X - U$ . But  $pcl(X - A) = X - p\text{-int}(A)$ .

Thus,  $X - p\text{-int}(A) \subseteq X - U$ , so  $U \subseteq p\text{-int}(A)$ . Conversely: Suppose  $U \subseteq p\text{-int}(A)$  whenever  $U$  is  $rg\alpha$ -closed and  $U \subseteq A$ . To prove that  $A$  is spg-openset. Let  $F$  be  $rg\alpha$ -open set of  $(X, \tau)$  s.t  $X - A \subseteq F$ . Then  $X - F \subseteq A$ . Now  $X - F$  is

$rg\alpha$ -closed set containing  $A$ , So;  $X-F \subseteq p\text{-int}(A), X-p\text{-int}(A) \subseteq F$  but  $pcl(X-A) = X-p\text{-int}(A) \subseteq F$ . Thus  $pcl(X-A) \subseteq F$  i.e  $X-A$  is spg-closedset & hence  $A$  is spg-openset.

**Theorem 4.7:** If  $p\text{-int}(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A$  and  $A$  is spg-openset, then  $B$  is spg-openset.

**Proof:** Let  $p\text{-int}(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A$ , Thus  $X-A \subseteq X-B \subseteq X-p\text{-int}(A)$ , i.e

$X-A \subseteq X-B \subseteq cl(X-A)$ , Since  $X-A$  is spg-closedset, then from result 3.2 (v) [10]  $X-B$  is spg-closedset. Therefore  $B$  is spg-openset.

**Theorem 4.8:** If  $A \subseteq X$  is spg-closed then  $pcl(A) - A$  is spg-openset.

**Proof :** Let  $A$  be  $pgpr\omega$ -closed. Let  $F \subseteq pcl(A) - A$ , where  $F$  is  $rg\alpha$ -closed; then from result 3.2 (vi) [10] we have  $F = \phi$ . Therefore  $F \subseteq p\text{-int}(pcl(A) - A)$  and Theorem 4.6  $pcl(A) - A$  is spg-openset.

The reverse implication does not hold good.

**Example 4.9:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$  Let  $A = \{a, d\}$ ,  $pcl(A) = \{a, c, d\}$  then  $pcl(A) - A = \{c\}$  which is spg-openset in  $X$ , but  $A$  is not  $pgpr\omega$ -closed.

**Theorem 4.10:** A set  $A$  is spg-openset in  $(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $U = X$  whenever  $U$  is  $rg\alpha$ -open and  $p\text{-int}(A) \cup (X - A) \subseteq U$ .

**Proof:-** Suppose that  $A$  is spg-openset in  $X$ . Let  $U$  be  $rg\alpha$ -open and

$p\text{-int}(A) \cup (X-A) \subseteq U$ ,  $U^c \subseteq (p\text{-int}(A) \cup A^c)^c = (p\text{-int}(A))^c \cap A$  i.e  $U^c \subseteq (p\text{-int}(A))^c - A^c$  (because  $A - B = A \cap B^c$ ). Thus  $U^c \subseteq pcl(A^c) - A^c$  (because  $(p\text{-int}(A))^c = pcl(A^c)$ ). Now  $A^c$  is also spg-closed and  $U^c$  is  $rg\alpha$ -closed then from result 3.2 (vi) [10] it follows  $U^c = \phi$  then  $U = X$ . Conversely: Suppose  $F$  is spg-closed and  $F \subseteq A$ . Then  $p\text{-int}(A) \cup (X-A) \subseteq p\text{-int}(A) \cup (X-F)$ . It follows that  $p\text{-int}(A) \cup (X - F) = X$ .

**Theorem 4.11:** If  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of space  $(X, \tau)$ . If  $B$  is spg-open and  $p\text{-int}(B) \subseteq A$  then  $A \cap B$  is  $pgpr\omega$ -open.

**Proof:** Let  $B$  is spg-open in  $X$ .  $p\text{-int}(B) \subseteq A$  and  $p\text{-int}(B) \subseteq B$  is always then

$p\text{-int}(B) \subseteq A \cap B$  and also  $p\text{-int}(B) \subseteq A \cap B \subseteq B$  and  $B$  is spg-openset by Theorem 4.7,  $A \cap B$  is also spg-openset in  $X$ .

## 5. SPG-NEIGHBOURHOOD

**Defintion 5.1:** (i) Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and Let  $x \in X$ , A subset of  $N$  of  $X$  is said to be  $pgpr\omega$ -neighbourhood of  $x$  if there exists an Spg-open set  $G$  s.t.  $x \in G \subseteq N$ .

(ii) The collection of all  $pgpr\omega$ -neighbourhood of  $x \in X$  is called  $pgpr\omega$ -neighbourhood system at  $x$  and shall be denoted by  $Spg-N(x)$ .

**Theorem 5.2 :** Every neighbourhood  $N$  of  $x \in X$  is a  $pgpr\omega$ -neighbourhood of  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $N$  be neighbourhood of point  $x \in X$ . To prove that  $N$  is a  $pgpr\omega$ -neighbourhood of  $x$  by definition of neighbourhood  $\exists$  an open set  $G$  s.t.  $x \in G \subset N$ . Hence  $N$  is  $pgpr\omega$ -neighbourhood of  $x$ .

**Remark 5.3 :** In general, a  $pgpr\omega$ -nbhd  $N$  of  $x \in X$  need not be a nbhd of  $x$  in  $X$ , as seen from the following example.

**Example 5.4:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with topology  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ . Then  $pgpr\omega(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$ . The set  $\{c, d\}$  is  $pgpr\omega$ -nbhd of the point  $c$ , since the spg-open set  $\{c\}$  is such that  $c \in \{c\} \subset \{c, d\}$ . However, the set  $\{c, d\}$  is not a nbhd of the point  $c$ , since no Spg open set  $G$  exists such that  $c \in G \subset \{c, d\}$ .

**Theorem 5.5:** If a subset  $N$  of a space  $X$  is  $pgpr\omega$ -open, then  $N$  is a  $pgpr\omega$ -nbhd of each of its points.

**Proof:** Suppose  $N$  is  $pgpr\omega$ -open. Let  $x \in N$ . We claim that  $N$  is  $pgpr\omega$ -nbhd of  $x$ . For  $N$  is a spg-open set such that  $x \in N \subseteq N$ . Since  $x$  is an arbitrary point of  $N$ , it follows that  $N$  is a  $pgpr\omega$ -nbhd of each of its points.

**Remark 5.6:** The converse of the above theorem is not true in general as seen from the following example.

**Example 5.7:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with topology  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$ . Then  $pgpr\omega(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$ . The set  $\{b, c\}$  is a  $pgpr\omega$ -nbhd of the point  $b$ , since the spg-open set  $\{b\}$  is such that  $b \in \{b\} \subseteq \{b, c\}$ . Also the set  $\{b, c\}$  is a  $pgpr\omega$ -nbhd of the point  $\{c\}$ , Since

the spg-openset  $\{c\}$  is such that  $c \in \{c\} \subseteq \{b,c\}$ . That is  $\{b,c\}$  is a pgpr $\omega$ -nbhd of each of its points. However the set  $\{b,c\}$  is not a spg-openset in  $X$ .

**Theorem 5.8:** Let  $X$  be a topological space. If  $F$  is a spg-closedsubset of  $X$ , and  $x \in F^c$ . Prove that there exists a pgpr $\omega$ -nbhd  $N$  of  $x$  such that  $N \cap F = \phi$ .

**Proof:** Let  $F$  be spg-closedsubset of  $X$  and  $x \in F^c$ . Then  $F^c$  is spg-openset of  $X$ . So by theorem 5.5  $F^c$  contains a pgpr $\omega$ -nbhd of each of its points. Hence there exists a pgpr $\omega$ -nbhd  $N$  of  $x$  such that  $N \subset F^c$ . That is  $N \cap F = \phi$ .

**Theorem 5.9:** Let  $X$  be a topological space and for each  $x \in X$ , Let pgpr $\omega$ - $N(x)$  be the collection of all pgpr $\omega$ -nbhds of  $x$ . Then we have the following results.

- (i)  $\forall x \in X, \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x) \neq \phi$ .
- (ii)  $N \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x) \Rightarrow x \in N$ .
- (iii)  $N \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x), M \supset N \Rightarrow M \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x)$ .
- (iv)  $N \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x), M \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x) \Rightarrow N \cap M \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x)$ .
- (v)  $N \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x) \Rightarrow$  there exists  $M \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x)$  such that  $M \subset N$  and  $M \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(y)$  for every  $y \in M$ .

**Proof: (i)** Since  $X$  is a spg-openset, it is a pgpr $\omega$ -nbhd of every  $x \in X$ . Hence there exists at least one pgpr $\omega$ -nbhd (namely -  $X$ ) for each  $x \in X$ . Hence  $\text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x) \neq \phi$  for every  $x \in X$ .

(ii) If  $N \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x)$ , then  $N$  is a pgpr $\omega$ -nbhd of  $x$ . So by definition of pgpr $\omega$ -nbhd,  $x \in N$ .

(iii) Let  $N \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x)$  and  $M \supset N$ . Then there is a spg-openset  $G$  such that  $x \in G \subset N$ . Since  $N \subset M$ ,  $x \in G \subset M$  and so  $M$  is pgpr $\omega$ -nbhd of  $x$ . Hence  $M \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x)$ .

(iv) Let  $N \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x)$  and  $M \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x)$ . Then by definition of pgpr $\omega$ -nbhd there exists spg-opensets  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  such that  $x \in G_1 \subset N$  and  $x \in G_2 \subset M$ .

Hence  $x \in G_1 \cap G_2 \subset N \cap M$  -- (1). Since  $G_1 \cap G_2$  is a spg-openset, (being the intersection of two spg-opensets), it follows from (1) that  $N \cap M$  is a pgpr $\omega$ -nbhd of  $x$ . Hence  $N \cap M \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x)$ .

(v) If  $N \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x)$ , then there exists a spg-openset  $M$  such that  $x \in M \subset N$ . Since  $M$  is a spg-openset, it is pgpr $\omega$ -nbhd of each of its points. Therefore  $M \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(y)$  for every  $y \in M$ .

**Theorem 5.10:** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set, and for each  $x \in X$ , let  $\text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x)$  be a nonempty collection of subsets of  $X$  satisfying following conditions.

- (i)  $N \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-}N(x) \Rightarrow x \in N$

(ii)  $N \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-N}(x), M \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-N}(x) \Rightarrow N \cap M \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-N}(x)$ .

Let  $\tau$  consists of the empty set and all those non-empty subsets of  $G$  of  $X$  having the property that  $x \in G$  implies that there exists an  $N \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-N}(x)$  such that  $x \in N \subset G$ , Then  $\tau$  is a topology for  $X$ .

**Proof.:**(i)  $\emptyset \in \tau$  by definition. We now show that  $x \in \tau$ . Let  $x$  be any arbitrary element of  $X$ . Since  $\text{pgpr}\omega\text{-N}(x)$  is nonempty, there is an  $N \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-N}(x)$  and so  $x \in N$  by (i). Since  $N$  is a subset of  $X$ , we have  $x \in N \subset X$ . Hence  $X \in \tau$ .

(ii) Let  $G_1 \in \tau$  and  $G_2 \in \tau$ . If  $x \in G_1 \cap G_2$  then  $x \in G_1$  and  $x \in G_2$ . Since  $G_1 \in \tau$  and  $G_2 \in \tau$ , there exists  $N \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-N}(x)$  and  $M \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-N}(x)$ , such that  $x \in N \subset G_1$  and  $x \in M \subset G_2$ . Then  $x \in N \cap M \subset G_1 \cap G_2$ . But  $N \cap M \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-N}(x)$  by (2). Hence  $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$ . Let  $G_\lambda \in \tau$  for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . If  $x \in \cup\{G_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ , then  $x \in G_{\lambda_x}$  for some  $\lambda_x \in \Lambda$ . Since  $G_{\lambda_x} \in \tau$ , there exists an  $N \in \text{pgpr}\omega\text{-N}(x)$  such that  $x \in N \subset G_{\lambda_x}$  and consequently  $x \in N \subset \cup\{G_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . Hence  $\cup\{G_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \in \tau$ . It follows that  $\tau$  is topology for  $X$ .

## REFERENCES:

1. M. Stone, Application of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, Trans. Amer. Math.Soc.41(1937), 374–481.
2. D.E. Cameron, Properties of s-closed spaces, prac Amer Math, soc 72(1978),581-586.
3. A.S.Mashhour, M.E.Abd El-Monsef and S.N.El-Deeb, On pre-continuous and weak Precontinuous mappings, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, 53(1982), 47-53.
4. Govindappa Navalagi, Chandrashakarappa A.S. & S.V.Gurushantanavar, Int.Jour of Mathematics and Computer Applications Vol.2, No.s 1-2, Jan-Dec-2010, pp 51-58.
5. Y. Gnanambal, On generalized preregular closed sets in topological spaces, Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math., 28(3)(1997), 351-360.
6. H. Maki, J. Umehara and T. Noiri, Every Topological space is pre  $T_{1/2}$  mem Facsci, Kochi univ, Math, 17 1996, 33-42
7. N. Palaniappan and K.C. Rao, Regular generalized closed Sets, Kyungpook, Math. J., 33(2)(1993), 211-219.
8. A. Vadivel & K. vairamamanickam,  $rg\alpha$ -Closed sets &  $rg\alpha$ -open sets in Topological Spaces, Int J of math, Analysis Vol 3, (2009) 37, 1803-1819.
9. J. Dontchev and T. Noiri, Quasi-normal spaces and  $\pi g$ -closed sets, Acta Math. Hungar. 89(3)(2000), 211–219.
10. R.S. Wali and Vivekananda Dembre, on Semi Pre Generalized closed sets in topological spaces, Journal of computer and Mathematical science Vol 6,

issue 2, 28 Feb 2015.

11. T.Indira and K.Rekha, Applications of  $*b$ -open sets and  $**b$ -open sets in topological Spaces, Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 1(1)(2012)32-43
12. N.Meenakumari and T.Indira, Weakly  $*g$  closed sets in Topological spaces, Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 8(1)(2014)67-75.

