ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year: 2014 | Monthly Issue



JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)

An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

AN EQUIVALENCES OF GEOMETRY OF TREES WITH MICRO-GEOMETRY

S. R. Pathak

Department of Mathematics, A.S. Campus, Kathmandu, Nepal Email: pathaksr2030@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: There is a well known correspondence between infinite trees and ultra metric spaces that comes from considering the end space of the tree [1]. The correspondence is interpreted here as equivalence between two categories, one of which encodes geometry of trees at infinity and other encodes that microgeometry of complete ultra metric spaces.

KEY WORDS: Ultra metric end spaces, Isometry, Trees, Similarity Equivalence.

0. INTRODUCTION

In this section we define the functor F from trees to ultra metric space. The functor takes a rooted tree to the end space of the tree, so we begin by defining the end space of a rooted R-trees and its natural metric. The end space functor $F: T \rightarrow U$ is indeed a functor. We shall prove that this functor is full and faithful.

1. FULL AND FAITHFULL FUNCTOR

The following concept is quite well known [2].

Definition 1.1: The end space of a rooted R-tree (T, v) is given by end

$$(T, v) = \{f: [0, \alpha) \to T \mid f(0) = v \text{ and } f \text{ is an isometric embedding}\}\$$

For $f, g \in \text{end}(T_1, v)$, we define

$$de(f,g) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f = g \\ \frac{1}{e^{t_0}} & \text{if } f \neq g \text{ and } t_0 = \sup\{t \ge 0 | f(t) = g(t)\} \end{cases}$$

where,
$$\{t \ge 0 | f(t) = g(t)\} = \begin{cases} [0, \alpha) & \text{if } f = g \\ [0, t_0) & \text{if } f \ne g \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.2: If (T, v) is a rooted R-tree, then (end (T, v), de) is a complete ultra metric space of diameter \leq

Proof: To check the ultra metric inequality, let $f, g, h \in \text{end}(T, v)$ and show that

$$de(f, q) \le \max \{ de(f, h), de(g, h) \}$$

Without any loss of generality suppose that

$$de(f,h) = e^{-t_1} \ge de(h,g) = e^{-t_2}$$
. Then $t_1 \le t_2$,

$$f = h$$
 on $[0, t_1]$ and $h = g$ on $[0, t_2]$. Thus $f = g$ on $[0, 1]$ and $de(f \cdot g) \le e^{-t_1}$.

The statement about the diameter is obvious. To verify that (en (T, v), de) is complete, let $(f_1)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence in end (T, v). By passing to a subsequence we may assume that there is a non decreasing sequence of integers [3]

$$1 \le i_1, \le i_2, \le i_3, \le \dots$$

that $f_i = f$; on [0, 1], whenever $i, j \ge n$.

Define $f: [0, \infty) \to T$ by setting f|[0, n] = f|[0, n] for each n.

Then f is well defined isometric embedding and $\lim f_i \to f$.

Theorem 1.3: Let $(f, C_r, C_s) : (T, v) \to (S, \omega)$ be an isometry at infinity between geodesically complete rooted *R*-trees. Then there is an induced local similarity equivalence $f_* : \operatorname{end}(T, v) \to \operatorname{end}(S, \omega)$. Moreover if (g, C_T^l, C_S^l) is another such symmetry at infinity and $[f_*^1] = [g]$, then $f_* = g_*$.

Proof: In order to define f_* , let

$$\alpha: [0, \infty) \to T$$

be an element of end (T, v). Since C_r is a cut set, there exists a unique $t_p > 0$ such that $\alpha(t_0) \in C_T$. Moreover, $\alpha([t_0,\infty]) \leq T_{\alpha(t_0)}$.

Let $\hat{\alpha}:[0,\Box f \hat{\alpha}(t_0)\Box] \to S$ be the unique isometric embedding such that $\alpha(0) = \omega$ and $\hat{\alpha}: (\Box f \hat{\alpha}(t_0) \Box) \to f \alpha(t_0).$

Define

$$f_*\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha(t), & \text{if } 0 < t \le f \alpha(t_0) \square \\ f \alpha(t - \square f \alpha(t_0) \square + t_0), & \text{if } \square f \alpha(t_0) \square \le t \end{cases}$$

Then clearly $f_*(\alpha) \in \text{end } (S, \omega)$. To see that f is a local similarity equivalence, we will first show, given a as above, there exist $\in > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$f_* | : B(\alpha, \in) \to \beta(f(\alpha), \lambda \in$$

is a subjective λ similarity. Let $\in = e^{-t_0}$ and $\lambda = e^{t_0 - \Box f \alpha | (t_0) \Box}$.

If $\beta \in \text{end}(T, v)$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $de(\alpha, \beta) < \in$, then

$$t\beta = \operatorname{Sup} \{t \ge 0 \mid \alpha \mid (t) = \beta(t)\} > -\log \in t_0.$$

In particular, $de(\alpha, \beta) = e^{t_0}$, $\alpha(t_0) = \beta(t_0)$ and $f\alpha(t_0) = f\beta(t_0)$.

It follows that

$$f \cdot (b)(t) = \begin{cases} \hat{\alpha}(t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq |f\beta(t_0)| \square \\ f\beta(t-\square f\beta(t_0))\square + t_0, & \text{if } \square f\beta(t_0)\square < t, \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \hat{\alpha}(t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq |f\beta(t_0)| \square \\ f\alpha(t-\square f\alpha(t_0))\square + t_0, & \text{if } \square f\alpha(t_0) \square \leq t \leq t\beta - t_0 + \square f\alpha(t_0) \square \\ f\beta(t-\square f\alpha(t_0))\square + t_0, & \text{if } \square \text{if } t\beta - t_0 + \square f\alpha(t_0) \square \leq t, \end{cases}$$

Hence $\sup\{t \ge 0 | f \cdot \alpha(t) = f \cdot \beta(t)\} = t_{\beta} - t_0 + \Box f \alpha(t_0) \Box$

and
$$de(f, \alpha, f \cdot \beta) = e^{-t_{\beta} + t_{0} - \Box f \alpha(t_{0})\Box}$$

$$= e^{t_{0} - \Box f_{\alpha}(t_{0})\Box} de(\alpha, \beta)$$

$$= \lambda de(\alpha, \beta)$$

To see that $f_*|B(\alpha, \in) \to B(f_*\alpha, \lambda \in)$ is subjective, let $\lambda \in B(f_*\alpha, \alpha \in)$

Then $d(\gamma, f_*\alpha) = \lambda \in = e^{-\Box f \alpha(t_0)\Box}$. It follow that

$$\gamma(\Box f\alpha(t_0)\Box) = f\alpha(t_0) \text{ and } \gamma([\Box f\alpha(t_0)\Box,\infty]) \subseteq S_{f\alpha}(t_0).$$

Since $f \mid : T_{\alpha}(t_0) \to S_{f\alpha}(t_0)$ is an isometry,

we can define $\beta: [0, \infty] \to T$ by

$$\beta(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha(t), & \text{if } 0 \le t \le t_0 \\ \left(f \middle| T_{\alpha}(t_0) t_0 \right)^{-1} \gamma \left(t + \Box f \alpha(t_0) \right) \Box - t_0, & \text{if } t_0 \le t, \end{cases}$$

Then $\beta \in \text{end}(T, \nu)$ and $\beta \in B(\alpha, \in)$ and $f \in \beta = \gamma$. Under the similar argument, we can show that: $f \in \text{end}(T, \nu) \to \text{end}(S, \omega)$ is surjective. Here are the detail.

If $\gamma \in \text{end } (S, \omega)$, then there exists a unique $t_{\gamma} > 0$, such that $\gamma(t_{\gamma}) \in C_s$, and there exists a unique $c \in C_T$ such that $f(c) = \gamma(t_{\gamma})$.

Let $\hat{\gamma}: [o, ||c||] \to T$ be unique isometric embedding such that $\hat{\gamma}(o) = v$ and $\hat{\gamma}(||c||) = c$. Define $\beta: [0, \infty) \to T$ by

$$\beta(t) = \begin{cases} \hat{\gamma}(t) & \text{if } o \le t \square c \square \\ ((f|T_c)^{-1} \gamma (t+\square \gamma (t_c) \square - \square c \square), & \text{if } \square c \square \le t \end{cases}$$

To see that f_* is injective, suppose that f_* $\alpha = f_*$ β for some α , $\beta \in$ end (T, ν) . Then there exists $t_1 > 0$ such that $\alpha(t_1, \infty) \cup = \beta(t_1, \infty)$ is a domain of f and $f\alpha([t_1, \infty] = f\beta([t_1, \infty))$.

Since f is a homeomorphism, it follows that $\alpha([t_1, \infty] = \beta([t_1, \infty)))$ and hence $\alpha = \beta$. We know that if $c \in C_T$ and $x \in T_c$, then

$$||x|| - ||c|| = ||f(x)|| - ||f(c)|| [1, 2].$$

Hence f_* is independent of representation of [f]. The definition of $f_*(\alpha)$ will not change if another cut set C_T for (T, v) larger than C_T is used in place of C_T . For such a cut set, there exists a unique $t_1 > 0$ such that $\alpha(t_1) \in C_T$. If follows that $t_1 \ge t_0$, $\alpha(t_1) \in Ta(t_0)$ and $f\alpha(t_1) = T_{fa}(t_0)$.

Let $\hat{\alpha}^1:[0, ||f\alpha(t_1)||] \to S$ be unique isometric embedding

such that $\hat{\alpha}^1(0) = \omega$ and $\hat{\alpha}^1 || f \alpha(t_1) ||] = f \alpha(t_1)$.

The map $f_*^1(\alpha):[0,\infty)\to\infty$ is given by

$$f_*^1(\alpha)(t) = \begin{cases} \hat{\alpha}^1(t) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le f\alpha(t_1) \square, \\ f\alpha(t-\square f\alpha(t_1)\square + t_1) & \text{if } \square f\alpha(t_1)\square \le t_1. \end{cases}$$

would be defined if C_T were used in place of C_T . However, since S is an R-tree and $\alpha_1(\Box f\alpha(t_0)\Box) = f\alpha(t_0)$, if follows that $\alpha_1[0,\Box f\alpha(t_0)\Box] = \alpha$. Also we have

$$t_1 - t_0 = \square \alpha(t_1) \square - \square \alpha(t_0) \square = \square f \alpha(t_1) \square - \square f \alpha(t_0) \square$$

and, hence $t_1 - \Box f \alpha(t_1) \Box = t_0 - \Box f \alpha(t_0) \Box$.

It follows that $f_1^*(\alpha) = f_*(\alpha)$ and $f_1^* = f_*$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hughes, B. and Ranicki, A. [2002]: Ends of complexes in: Cambridge tracts in Mathematics, Vol., 123, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- [2] Manjul, B [2009]: On P-orderings, ring of integer-valued polynomials and ultra metric analysis, J. of AMS, 22, 963-993.
