



The Indian State–Wise Reservation System : A Comparative Analysis .

More Seema Laxman

M . A . , B . Ed, SET, Ph. D (Pur)

Assistant Professor, Department of Political Sciences

S . M . B . S . T . College, Sangamner, Dist – Ahmednagar, MH

GUIDE

Dr. Hanumant T. Kurkute

Assistant Professor, Department of Political Sciences

Sangamner College Nagarpalika Arts, D . J . Malpani Commerce & B . N . Sarda Science College . (Autonomous)
Sangamner . MH

Abstract :

The reservation system in India, rooted in the country's Constitution, is a socio–political policy designed to address historical injustices and promote social equity by providing affirmative action to marginalized communities, particularly Scheduled Castes (SCs), Scheduled Tribes (STs), and Other Backward Classes (OBCs). The system traces its origins back to the colonial era and was institutionalized post–independence under the leadership of Dr. B.R. Ambedkar. The primary aim of the policy is to rectify systemic discrimination by offering opportunities in education, public employment, and political representation. Despite its successes in increasing representation and improving socio–economic conditions for disadvantaged communities, the system has faced considerable criticism and challenges.

The most significant debates surrounding the reservation system include concerns over meritocracy versus social justice, with opponents arguing that reservations compromise efficiency and hinder competition. Additionally, the “creamy layer” phenomenon, where benefits often accrue to more privileged sections within the reserved categories, has led to calls for better identification and redistribution of reservation benefits. Another contentious issue is the disparity in reservation policies across states, with states like Tamil Nadu and Maharashtra implementing different quotas and frameworks, leading to regional inconsistencies. Furthermore, the introduction of the 10% reservation for Economically Weaker Sections (EWS) has sparked debates on the balance between caste–based and economic–based affirmative action.

The historical development of the reservation system reveals its roots in pre–independence India, where early attempts at affirmative action were made, followed by the formalization of the system through the Indian Constitution. Over the decades, commissions like the Kaka Kalelkar and Mandal Commissions shaped the framework, leading to the implementation of reservations for OBCs in the 1990s. However, the reservation system has been dynamic, with states making modifications based on regional socio–political and economic considerations. For instance, Tamil Nadu has one of the highest reservation quotas, while Maharashtra has implemented specific quotas for communities like Marathas, leading to regional variations and legal challenges.

The reservation system has had both positive and negative impacts. On the positive side, it has contributed to increased literacy rates, higher education enrollment, greater representation in government jobs, and socioeconomic mobility for marginalized communities. It has also led to enhanced political representation and the rise of leaders from historically oppressed groups. On the negative side, the system has led to issues like the exclusion of economically disadvantaged individuals from upper castes, social tensions, and caste–based polarization. The creamy layer phenomenon and the growing demand for reservations by newer communities have further complicated the policy landscape.

In response to these challenges, several reforms have been proposed . Key recommendations include transitioning to an economic-based reservation system, conducting periodic policy reviews, and focusing on educational and skill development programs to reduce dependency on quotas . Additionally, encouraging private sector inclusion, establishing a standardized framework for reservation policies, and addressing state-wise disparities are crucial steps for creating a more equitable and effective system .

In conclusion, while the reservation system has played a pivotal role in fostering social justice, its implementation requires ongoing evaluation and reform . A more balanced approach that incorporates economic criteria, promotes education and skill development, and ensures regional equity is essential for achieving the system's ultimate goal of empowering marginalized communities and fostering a more inclusive society .

Keywords : Reservation System, Affirmative Action, Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, Other Backward Classes, Social Justice, State-wise Reservations

1. Introduction

The reservation system in India is a crucial socio-political policy aimed at addressing historical injustices and promoting social equity . Rooted in the Indian Constitution, it seeks to uplift marginalized communities—primarily Scheduled Castes (SCs), Scheduled Tribes (STs), and Other Backward Classes (OBCs)—who have faced systemic discrimination and social exclusion for centuries . The policy provides affirmative action in various domains, including education, public employment, and political representation, thereby enabling underprivileged groups to gain access to opportunities that were historically denied to them . The origins of the reservation system can be traced back to pre-independence India when British colonial rulers introduced limited affirmative action policies . However, the real institutionalization of the system occurred post-independence under the leadership of Dr. B.R. Ambedkar, the chief architect of the Indian Constitution . Articles 15 (4) and 16 (4) explicitly empower the state to make special provisions for socially and educationally backward classes, reinforcing the constitutional mandate of ensuring equality and non-discrimination .

While the reservation policy has undeniably contributed to increased representation and improved socio-economic conditions for disadvantaged communities, it has also been a subject of intense debate and controversy . One of the most significant criticisms revolves around the meritocracy-versus-reservation argument, where opponents argue that reservations compromise efficiency and hinder competition . Additionally, there is concern over the misuse of the system, where benefits often accrue to the more privileged sections within the reserved categories, leading to the concept of the "creamy layer" .

Another key issue is the disparity in reservation policies across Indian states . Various states have implemented customized reservation frameworks based on regional socio-political dynamics . For instance, Tamil Nadu has one of the highest reservation quotas at nearly 69%, while states like Uttar Pradesh and Bihar have distinct sub-categorization within the OBC segment to ensure equitable distribution of benefits . Maharashtra's Maratha reservation and Rajasthan's inclusion of Gujjars under special backward classes further illustrate the state-wise variations in implementation . These disparities often lead to legal and political challenges, making it difficult to establish a uniform and consistent reservation policy nationwide .

Despite its successes, the reservation system faces ongoing challenges, including economic disparities within caste groups, the exclusion of economically weaker sections from non-reserved categories, and the need for a more comprehensive approach that includes socio-economic status as a criterion . The recent introduction of the 10% reservation for Economically Weaker Sections (EWS) among the unreserved category marks a shift towards a more inclusive affirmative action approach, though its implementation remains a topic of debate .

Given these complexities, it is imperative to periodically reassess and refine the reservation policy to align it with contemporary socio-economic realities . Policymakers must focus on ensuring that reservations reach the most deserving individuals while also emphasizing long-term measures such as quality education, skill development, and economic empowerment to reduce dependency on quotas . Only a balanced and well-executed approach can ensure that the reservation system fulfills its intended purpose of fostering an inclusive and equitable society .

2. Historical Background

The origins of the reservation system in India can be traced back to the colonial period, when the British administration introduced limited affirmative action measures to uplift marginalized communities. The princely states of Mysore and Kolhapur were among the first to implement caste-based reservations in the early 20th century under the leadership of progressive rulers such as Chhatrapati Shahu Maharaj. The Government of India Act, 1935, also included provisions for the representation of Scheduled Castes (SCs) and Scheduled Tribes (STs) in legislative bodies, setting a precedent for future affirmative action policies (Galanter, 1984).

Following India's independence in 1947, the reservation policy was formalized in the Indian Constitution (1950) under Articles 15 (4) and 16 (4), which empowered the state to make special provisions for the advancement of socially and educationally backward classes. The primary objective was to rectify historical injustices faced by SCs, STs, and later, Other Backward Classes (OBCs) by ensuring their representation in education, employment, and governance. The First Backward Classes Commission (Kaka Kalelkar Commission, 1953) was established to identify backward communities, but its recommendations were not implemented due to a lack of clear criteria (Austin, 1999).

The reservation system gained significant momentum with the establishment of the Second Backward Classes Commission, popularly known as the Mandal Commission (1980). The commission, chaired by B.P. Mandal, conducted extensive socio-economic research and recommended a 27% reservation for OBCs in government jobs and educational institutions. However, these recommendations were implemented only in 1992, following the Supreme Court's landmark judgment in *Indra Sawhney v. Union of India* (1992), which upheld the reservations but introduced the concept of the "creamy layer" to exclude the affluent sections among OBCs from availing of the benefits (Jaffrelot, 2003).

Over the decades, several Indian states have modified and expanded their reservation policies to align with regional socio-political and economic considerations. For example, Tamil Nadu has one of the highest reservation quotas, with nearly 69% of seats reserved across various categories. States like Maharashtra and Karnataka have introduced reservations for specific communities such as Marathas and Lingayats, respectively. Rajasthan has witnessed prolonged agitations by Gujjars demanding inclusion under special backward classes, illustrating the evolving and contested nature of state-wise reservation policies (Deshpande, 2013).

The introduction of the 10% reservation for Economically Weaker Sections (EWS) in 2019 marked a significant shift in affirmative action, extending benefits to unreserved categories based on economic criteria rather than caste. While this move was seen as an attempt to balance social justice with economic realities, it has sparked debates over its constitutional validity and potential dilution of caste-based reservations (Kumar & Reddy, 2020).

Thus, the historical trajectory of the reservation system in India highlights its role as a crucial policy instrument for social equity. However, its implementation has been dynamic and region-specific, influenced by political, economic, and judicial interventions. As the debate over its effectiveness continues, there remains a pressing need to periodically assess and refine the policy to ensure that it serves its intended purpose of uplifting genuinely disadvantaged communities while promoting long-term socio-economic development.

3. State-Wise Reservation Policies

India's reservation system is not uniform across states, as each state has developed policies that reflect its distinct socio-political and economic realities. While the central government provides a framework for affirmative action, individual states have expanded, modified, or restructured reservation quotas to address regional disparities. The following sections outline key variations in reservation policies across major Indian states.

Tamil Nadu– Tamil Nadu has one of the highest reservation quotas in India, accounting for nearly 69% of seats in education and government jobs. The reservation policy includes 18% for Scheduled Castes (SCs), 1% for Scheduled Tribes (STs), and 50% for Other Backward Classes (OBCs), which includes Most Backward Classes (MBCs) and Denotified Communities. This high percentage exceeds the Supreme Court-mandated 50% ceiling but has been protected under the Ninth Schedule of the Indian Constitution (Mehta, 2019). The state's proactive approach to affirmative action has contributed to social mobility among backward classes but has also sparked debates on meritocracy and efficiency.

Maharashtra– Maharashtra has seen several changes in its reservation policies, particularly with the inclusion of the Maratha community under the Socially and Educationally Backward Classes (SEBC) category. The

Maharashtra government passed a law in 2018 granting 16% reservation to Marathas, which was later revised after judicial scrutiny. The Supreme Court struck down the SEBC quota in 2021, stating that it breached the 50% reservation limit (Sharma, 2021). Additionally, Maharashtra provides reservations for SCs, STs, and OBCs, with ongoing discussions about further restructuring.

Rajasthan– Rajasthan's reservation policies reflect the demands of various communities. Apart from the standard quotas for SCs, STs, and OBCs, the state has provided a 5% reservation for Gujjars and other communities under the Special Backward Classes (SBC) category. The state also implemented a 10% reservation for Economically Weaker Sections (EWS) as per the 103rd Constitutional Amendment. However, periodic agitations by communities such as Gujjars and Jats have led to legal and administrative challenges (Jain, 2020).

Karnataka– Karnataka follows a structured and complex reservation system, categorizing OBCs into multiple groups based on socio-economic status. The state divides OBCs into four categories (2A, 2B, 3A, and 3B) to ensure a more equitable distribution of benefits. The total reservation stands at 50%, with OBCs receiving around 32%, SCs 15%, and STs 3% (Patil, 2018). Additionally, Karnataka has pioneered reservation policies for linguistic and religious minorities, making its model unique in India.

Uttar Pradesh and Bihar– Uttar Pradesh and Bihar have significant OBC populations, leading to extensive sub-categorization within the OBC quota. In Bihar, the Extremely Backward Classes (EBCs) receive a separate share within the OBC category, ensuring that more marginalized groups benefit from reservations. The state government has also implemented 10% EWS reservations and continues to debate increased quotas for marginalized castes (Yadav, 2019). Similarly, Uttar Pradesh has divided OBC reservations to prioritize underprivileged groups and balance historical inequities in the distribution of benefits.

The variation in reservation policies across Indian states highlights the dynamic and evolving nature of affirmative action. While reservations have played a crucial role in uplifting historically marginalized communities, their effectiveness varies depending on regional social structures and political dynamics. The ongoing legal and administrative challenges underscore the need for periodic assessments to ensure equitable access to opportunities while maintaining a balance between social justice and economic efficiency.

4. Objectives of the Reservation System

The primary objectives of the reservation policy include:

- Providing access to education and employment for marginalized groups.
- Reducing social and economic disparities.
- Promoting political representation of historically disadvantaged communities.
- Ensuring equal opportunities in public services and governance.

5. Impact of the Reservation System

The reservation system in India has had profound social, political, and economic effects over the decades. While it has contributed significantly to the upliftment of historically marginalized communities, it has also led to certain unintended consequences. The impact of reservations can be broadly categorized into positive and negative aspects.

Positive Impacts

1. **Increased Literacy and Higher Education Enrollment:** The reservation policy has facilitated a substantial rise in literacy rates among Scheduled Castes (SCs), Scheduled Tribes (STs), and Other Backward Classes (OBCs). Affirmative action in educational institutions has enabled students from these communities to access quality education, which was historically denied to them (Deshpande, 2019). This has led to an increase in the number of graduates and professionals emerging from marginalized groups.
2. **Greater Representation in Government Jobs and Political Institutions:** Reservations in government employment and legislative bodies have enhanced representation for disadvantaged communities. This has allowed for more inclusive governance, with policymakers from diverse backgrounds advocating for the needs of underprivileged groups (Jaffrelot, 2018). Political empowerment through reserved constituencies has also led to the rise of leaders from marginalized sections, influencing policies that address socio-economic disparities.
3. **Socioeconomic Mobility:** Reservations have played a crucial role in elevating the economic status of historically oppressed communities. Access to stable government jobs and higher education opportunities has helped many SC, ST, and OBC families break the cycle of poverty and attain upward social mobility.

(Yadav, 2020) . This has contributed to a more diverse workforce and a gradual reduction in caste-based discrimination in professional settings .

Negative Impacts

1. **Creamy Layer Phenomenon :** One of the major criticisms of the reservation system is that its benefits often reach only the well-off families within the reserved categories . The concept of the “creamy layer,” particularly within the OBC category, highlights how economically and educationally advanced individuals continue to take advantage of reservations, while truly marginalized sections remain excluded (Mandal, 2021) . This has led to calls for better identification and redistribution of reservation benefits .
2. **Exclusion of Economically Disadvantaged Individuals from Upper Castes :** The reservation policy, primarily based on caste rather than economic status, has been perceived as unfair by economically weaker individuals from the general category . While the introduction of the Economically Weaker Sections (EWS) quota (2019) aimed to address this issue, debates persist on whether reservations should be exclusively based on financial need rather than caste identity (Sharma, 2022) .
3. **Social Tensions and Caste-Based Polarization :** Reservations have sometimes exacerbated caste-based divisions rather than bridging them . Protests, agitations, and legal battles over reservation policies indicate ongoing tensions between different caste groups . Some argue that reservations reinforce caste identities rather than promoting a casteless society (Mehta, 2020) . Additionally, there have been instances where demands for reservations by new communities (such as Marathas, Patels, and Jats) have led to conflicts and unrest .

The reservation system has been instrumental in providing social justice and upliftment for historically disadvantaged communities . However, its implementation has also raised concerns regarding equity, efficiency, and social harmony . Moving forward, a balanced approach—considering both caste-based and economic disadvantages—may be required to ensure that reservations serve as a tool for true social transformation rather than perpetuating new inequalities .

6. Challenges and Criticism

The reservation system in India, while essential for social justice, has faced several challenges and criticisms . These challenges stem from economic disparities, legal complexities, political manipulation, and inconsistencies in implementation across states . Addressing these concerns is crucial for ensuring that reservations achieve their intended goals without creating new forms of inequality .

Economic Disparities

One of the primary criticisms of the reservation system is that it does not adequately differentiate between the economically disadvantaged and affluent members within reserved categories . While the policy aims to uplift marginalized communities, benefits are often concentrated among the more privileged sections within SCs, STs, and OBCs, leading to the phenomenon of the “creamy layer” (Deshpande, 2020) . This has resulted in calls for a more nuanced approach that considers economic status along with caste-based disadvantage .

Meritocracy vs. Social Justice

A long-standing debate surrounding reservations is the balance between social justice and meritocracy . Critics argue that reserving seats in education and employment leads to the dilution of merit-based selection processes (Bhattacharya, 2019) . While proponents emphasize the need for affirmative action to level the playing field, opponents contend that reservations may compromise overall institutional efficiency and performance by prioritizing identity over merit .

Judicial and Legislative Conflicts

Reservation policies frequently become subjects of legal battles, leading to policy instability . The Supreme Court has intervened multiple times to set limits on reservations, most notably in the Indra Sawhney case (1992), which capped reservations at 50% (Rao, 2021) . However, various state governments have attempted to exceed this limit, leading to conflicts between legislative actions and judicial rulings . The recent extension of the Economically Weaker Sections (EWS) quota and debates over sub-categorization within OBC reservations further highlight the evolving and contentious nature of these policies .

Political Manipulation

The use of reservations as a tool for political gains remains a significant challenge . Political parties often promise increased reservation quotas to specific communities as part of their electoral strategies rather than focusing on genuine empowerment (Sharma, 2022) . Such vote-bank politics can undermine the core

objective of social justice and lead to demands for inclusion from politically influential groups that may not be genuinely disadvantaged.

State-Wise Disparities

The implementation of reservation policies varies significantly across states, creating inequalities in access to opportunities. For instance, Tamil Nadu has a reservation quota of nearly 69%, while other states adhere to the Supreme Court's 50% ceiling (Kumar, 2020). Differences in sub-categorization, allocation of quotas, and inclusion/exclusion criteria result in regional disparities, affecting the uniformity of the policy's impact. These disparities lead to concerns over fairness and equal access to opportunities for marginalized groups across different states.

While the reservation system has played a crucial role in addressing historical injustices, its challenges highlight the need for reform and recalibration. A balanced approach that incorporates economic criteria, ensures judicial clarity, and prevents political exploitation can help refine the policy. Addressing state-wise disparities and focusing on genuine upliftment rather than populist measures will be essential for the long-term effectiveness and fairness of the reservation system in India.

7. Recommendations for Reform

While the reservation system in India has contributed significantly to social justice, its implementation requires reforms to enhance effectiveness, fairness, and inclusivity. The following recommendations aim to address existing challenges and create a more equitable approach to affirmative action.

Economic Criteria-Based Reservations

A key reform measure is transitioning towards an economic-based reservation system rather than relying solely on caste-based quotas. While caste remains an essential factor in determining historical disadvantage, economic status should also play a crucial role in ensuring that reservations benefit those who are genuinely underprivileged, irrespective of caste or religion (Mehta, 2021). The introduction of the Economically Weaker Sections (EWS) quota was a step in this direction, but further refinements are needed to create a more balanced and inclusive system.

Periodic Review of Policies

There is a need for regular assessment of the effectiveness of reservation policies to ensure that they serve their intended purpose. A systematic review mechanism should be established to evaluate whether the benefits of reservations are reaching the most deserving individuals or if they are being disproportionately utilized by certain sections within the reserved categories (Patil, 2020). This would help in making data-driven decisions on modifications and necessary adjustments to the system.

Educational and Skill Development Programs

Instead of focusing solely on reservation quotas, emphasis should be placed on improving the quality of primary and secondary education. Strengthening foundational education will reduce dependency on quotas at higher levels by enabling students from marginalized backgrounds to compete on merit (Sharma, 2019). Additionally, vocational training and skill development programs should be expanded to enhance employability, thereby reducing reliance on government job reservations.

Incentives for Private Sector Inclusion

Currently, reservations are primarily applicable to public sector jobs and educational institutions. Encouraging affirmative action policies in the private sector can create broader employment opportunities and contribute to social equity (Rao, 2022). Offering tax benefits and incentives to private organizations that implement diversity hiring initiatives can be a strategic approach to expanding the reach of affirmative action.

Uniformity in State Policies

The disparities in reservation policies across states create inconsistencies and inequalities in access to opportunities. Establishing a standardized framework while allowing flexibility for regional variations is crucial to ensuring a fair distribution of benefits (Kumar, 2020). A central regulatory body can oversee and coordinate state-wise implementation to maintain a balance between uniformity and regional considerations.

Reforming the reservation system requires a multi-pronged approach that integrates economic considerations, policy evaluation, educational improvements, private-sector participation, and greater uniformity in implementation. By adopting these recommendations, India can create a more equitable system that continues to uplift marginalized communities while addressing emerging socio-economic challenges.

8. Conclusion

The Indian reservation system has played a crucial role in promoting social justice, ensuring representation for historically marginalized communities, and enabling access to education and employment

opportunities. However, it requires continuous evaluation and reform to address emerging socio-economic realities. While reservations have successfully uplifted many individuals, challenges such as economic disparities within reserved categories, the need for meritocracy, and regional inconsistencies in implementation necessitate a rethinking of current policies.

A balanced approach that considers both historical discrimination and present economic conditions can help create a more inclusive and equitable society. The introduction of economic criteria in reservation policies, periodic policy reviews, and emphasis on educational and skill development programs are essential steps toward enhancing the effectiveness of affirmative action. Additionally, encouraging private sector participation and establishing a more standardized yet flexible framework can ensure fair distribution of benefits while accommodating regional socio-economic differences.

Ultimately, the goal of the reservation system should be to empower marginalized communities, reduce socio-economic disparities, and promote social cohesion. By addressing the challenges and implementing targeted reforms, India can create a system that fosters both equity and excellence in its social and economic landscape.

References

- Bhattacharya, R. (2019). Meritocracy and social justice in Indian reservations. *Indian Journal of Social Studies*, 14 (2), 112–130.
- Deshpande, A. (2011). *The Grammar of Caste: Economic Discrimination in Contemporary India*. Oxford University Press.
- Deshpande, A. (2019). Caste and economic disparity in India: The impact of reservations. *Indian Economic Review*, 54 (2), 145–167.
- Deshpande, A. (2020). Caste, class, and economic disparity: Examining the creamy layer debate. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 55 (3), 54–72.
- Deshpande, S. (2013). Caste and social mobility: The reservations debate in India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 48 (38), 34–42.
- Government of Tamil Nadu. (2021). *Reservation Policy Overview*.
- Jain, R. (2020). Reservation policies in Rajasthan: An analysis of legal and social implications. *Indian Journal of Social Justice*, 15 (1), 45–63.
- Jaffrelot, C. (2018). India's affirmative action policies: A political and social analysis. *South Asian Journal of Social Sciences*, 10 (1), 78–99.
- Kumar, A., & Reddy, V. (2020). EWS reservation and its implications on higher education in India. *Journal of Social Inclusion Studies*, 6 (2), 102–119.
- Kumar, V. (2020). State-wise reservation policies and their impact on regional disparities. *Journal of Indian Policy Studies*, 17 (1), 67–89.
- Mandal, S. (2021). The creamy layer debate: Reassessing OBC reservations in India. *Journal of Public Policy*, 15 (3), 203–221.
- Mandal Commission. (1980). *Report of the Backward Classes Commission*. Government of India.
- Maharashtra State Commission for Backward Classes. (2022). *Maratha Reservation Report*.
- Mehta, P. B. (2019). Affirmative action in Tamil Nadu: The politics of quotas. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 54 (17), 20–27.
- Mehta, P. (2020). Caste and polarization: The unintended consequences of reservation policies. *Indian Journal of Sociology*, 12 (4), 88–112.
- Mehta, R. (2021). Economic criteria in affirmative action: A step towards equitable reservations. *Indian Journal of Social Development*, 22 (3), 45–63.
- Patil, A. (2020). Reviewing the impact of reservation policies: A case study approach. *Indian Policy Review*, 15 (2), 88–104.
- Patil, S. (2018). Backward class politics and reservations in Karnataka. *South Asian Studies Journal*, 10 (2), 101–121.
- Rao, S. (2021). Judicial interventions in Indian affirmative action policies. *Indian Constitutional Review*, 9 (4), 98–115.
- Rao, S. (2022). Private sector inclusion in affirmative action: Policy considerations. *Business and Society Review*, 11 (4), 34–49.