



JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)

An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

COMPARATIVE STUDY ON EFFECT OF EXPANSIVE SOIL PROPERTIES WHILE USING STABILIZERS AS LIME AND MARBLE DUST

Dr. Jitendra Mehta¹ Jignesh shah² Kinjal Rank³

Associate Professor¹, Assistant Professor², Assistant Professor³

¹ Department of Civil Engineering

¹VVP Engineering College, Rajkot, India

Abstract: Soil properties play an important role in any architectural and civil engineering marvel. Soil stabilization is the process by which engineering properties of soil such as Liquid limit, Plastic limit, Plasticity index, Optimum moisture content (OMC), Maximum dry density (MDD), CBR value, and specific gravity can be altered as per the requirements. There are numerous soil stabilizing agents available in the market. In our research paper, we have shown the comparative study of changes occurred in the engineering properties of soil when stabilized by Marble dust and when stabilized by LIME. Marble Dust is largely produced from the raw materials which are industrial waste. Hence, it helps in reducing the carbon footprint and eventually contributing in sustainable development. Moreover, it helps in reducing the industrial waste. Lime on the other hand is easily available and has minimum negative impact on the environment. With the help of results shown in this research paper, one can easily bifurcate and choose the better stabilizing agent to get the desired soil parameters.

Index Terms: Expansive soil, Marble Dust, Lime, Soil stabilization, Swelling Pressure, Shear Strength, OMC, MDD and CBR values.

I. INTRODUCTION

Indian subcontinent is largely covered with expansive soil. Expansive soil possesses characteristics like swelling on gaining the moisture and shrinking on losing the moisture. Consequently, problems like settlement, partial structural damage, rupture of pavement surface, slopes etc... Therefore, to prevent any kind of human or material loss and to meet the structural demands of civil engineering projects, soil stability is of utmost importance. Soil stabilization helps in controlling swelling pressure, by reducing liquid limit of soil and altering other properties as well to increase the SBC (soil bearing capacity) of soil.

In modern day practices, various soil stabilizing agents such as Portland cement, Cement manufacturing dust waste (CMDW), Brick manufacturing dust waste (BMDW), Class F fly ash are commonly used. In this research paper, our aim is to find out the more effective stabilizer between 1] MARBLE DUST and 2] LIME.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. INTRODUCTION

The sole purpose of the experiment is to evaluate the properties of expansive soil when treated with stabilizing agents like LIME and Marble Dust and to compare both the stabilizing agents in order to find out the most suitable stabilizing agent.

2.2. MATERIAL USED

Natural soil mainly consists of SiO₂ famously known as Silica. Before carrying out the experiment, it is essential to have the in-depth knowledge of various soil properties. Soil for the experiment has been taken from Rajkot district of Saurashtra region of Gujrat. Various properties of this soil classified as CH soil as per Unified Soil Classification System is listed below.

Table 1 index properties of clayey soil

| SR NO. | PROPERTIES OF SOILS | RESULTS |
|--------|----------------------------------|---------|
| 1 | Liquid limit (%), LL | 53 |
| 2 | Plastic limit (%), PL | 25 |
| 3 | Plasticity index, PI | 28 |
| 4 | Shrinkage limits SL | 18.5 |
| 5 | % Free swell index | 75 |
| 6 | Specific gravity, G _s | 2.63 |
| 7 | % of Gravels | 0 |
| 8 | % of Sand | 36 |
| 9 | % of silt and clay | 64 |
| 10 | % of clay | 28 |
| 11 | % silt | 36 |
| 12 | OMC % | 17.1 |
| 13 | MDD gm/cm ³ | 1.7 |
| 14 | Activity % | 1 |
| 15 | Type of soil | CH |

Table 2 chemical properties of clayey soil

| SR NO. | CHEMICAL PROPERTIES | RESULT |
|--------|---------------------|--------|
| 1 | pH | 7.74 |
| 2 | EC | 159 |
| 3 | TDS | 116 |
| 4 | TSS | 10 |
| 5 | N | 0.73 |
| 6 | P | 0.51 |
| 7 | COD | 10 |
| 8 | mg | 5.3 |
| 9 | Na | 62 |
| 10 | K | 0.3 |
| 11 | Cl | 84 |
| 12 | F | 0.1 |
| 13 | cl | 172 |

2.2.1 Lime

Soil stabilization by LIME done by two types of Lime (I) QUICKLIME (CaO) (II)HYDRAULIC LIME (Ca(OH)₂)

Choice from the above two agents should be made based on availability, cost efficiency, time available etc... Soil stabilization by LIME is essentially a process of exchange of ions by Lime and soil to each other. Extent of this exchange defines the strength of soil as more interaction between them will lead to reduction in Plasticity index as the plastic limit increases.

2.2.2 MARBLE DUST

Marble dust, a by-product of marble processing, has been investigated as a potential additive for soil stabilization due to its high calcium carbonate content and pozzolanic properties. The addition of marble dust to soil has been shown to improve its mechanical properties, such as unconfined compressive strength and shear strength, by promoting cementation and reducing soil's plasticity. Marble dust can also help to reduce the soil's permeability, making it more resistant to erosion and water infiltration. Furthermore, the use of marble dust as a soil stabilizer can provide an environmentally friendly and cost-effective solution, as it utilizes a waste material that would otherwise be discarded

3.0 TEST CONDUCTED.

To compare and find out better stabilizing agent of the Geopolymer and fly ash few tests needs to be conducted to determine the various soil properties which in turn represents the characteristics and soil bearing capacity of the soil. The tests conducted are as per the guidelines and norms provided by the Indian standards.

3.1 SAMPLE PREPARATION.

Sample preparation should be done precisely to make sure that soil collected is homogeneous and does not contain moisture. Sample is collected as per the guidelines from IS 2720 (PART 1) – 1983. Soil sample should be collected in sufficient quantity so that we can conduct tests with different concentrations of stabilizing agents.

3.1.1 SAMPLE 1 WITH LIME

Samples were taken by % of weight of soil and varied from 10 to 20%. Samples were named A, B, C respectively.

SAMPLE A: 10% LIME BY WEIGHT OF SOIL

SAMPLE B: 15% LIME BY WEIGHT OF SOIL

SAMPLE C: 20% LIME BY WEIGHT OF SOIL

3.1.2 SAMPLE 2 WITH MARBLE DUST

Geopolymers were also added based on % by weight of soil.

SAMPLE A: 10% MARBLE DUST BY WEIGHT OF SOIL

SAMPLE B: 15% MARBLE DUST BY WEIGHT OF SOIL

SAMPLE C: 20 % MARBLE DUST BY WEIGHT OF SOIL

3.2 TEST PERFORMEND.

1. Liquid Limit Test.
2. Plastic Limit Test.
3. Unconfined Compressive Strength Test.
4. CBR Test.
5. Standard Proctor Test.
6. Swelling Pressure Test.

4 TEST RESULTS.

Table 4 Test Results

| SR NO | TEST PERFORMED | TEST RESULTS | | | | | |
|-------|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | 10% Lime SAMPLE 1 | 15% Lime SAMPLE 2 | 20% Lime SAMPLE 3 | 10% Marble Dust SAMPLE 1 | 15% Marble Dust SAMPLE 2 | 20% Marble Dust SAMPLE 3 |
| 1 | LIQUID LIMIT TEST RESULT | 42.2 | 40 | 40.1 | 43.39 | 41.5 | 40.4 |
| 2 | PLASTIC LIMIT TEST RESULTS | 20.6 | 18.9 | 16.4 | 21.8 | 18.99 | 17.2 |
| 3 | OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT (%) | 20.4 | 20.12 | 21.1 | 21.66 | 21.01 | 21.31 |
| | MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY (gm/cm ³) | 1.67 | 1.88 | 1.91 | 1.6 | 1.81 | 1.89 |
| 4 | UNCONFINED COMPRESIVE STRENGTH TEST (kN/m ²) | 138 | 157.4 | 161.2 | 137.42 | 156.05 | 159.59 |
| 5 | SHEAR STRENGTH OF SOIL (kN/m ²) | 69.6 | 78.77 | 81.26 | 71.23 | 80.58 | 78.34 |

| | CBR VALUE | 2.94 | 3.72 | 3.85 | 2.9 | 3.68 | 3.8 |
|---|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 7 | SWELLING PRESSURE (kg/cm ²) | 0.77 | 0.83 | 0.96 | 0.81 | 0.89 | 0.99 |

5 RESULTS COMPARISON

Results of the test conducted are useful in determining the effectiveness of both the stabilizers individually in different concentrations. To make analysis easier and more understandable we have depicted the results of various test in form of graphs which also makes comparisons way easier.

To identify the better stabilizing evaluation of the test result must be done properly. Here the results of both stabilizing agent are compared in form of graph with property investigated on y-axis and soil stabilizer mixed proportions as x-axis.

5.1 LIQUID LIMIT TEST RESULTS COMPARISON.




Chart 1 Liquid Limit Test

It is clear from the above graph that geopolymers as a stabilizing agent helps us to achieve lower liquid limit as compared to lime.

5.2 STANDARD PROCTOR TEST RESULTS COMPARISON.




Chart 2 Optimum Moisture Content

graph of optimum moisture content is always in U-shape lower the value of OMC, greater will be the portion of soil. As shown in graph it clearly reflects that geopolymers helps to lower the moisture content of soil consequently providing greater Stability.




Chart 3 Maximum Dry Density

MDD is done by standard proctor test it is a typical test of compaction. Higher the compaction, higher will be the dry density. As it can be clearly observed from graph that by used geopolymers we can achieve MDD by lower compacting efforts. Moreover high MDD is also achieved at the same concentration.

5.3 UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH TEST




Chart 4 Unconfined Compressive Strength

However, it was observed that effect of both of the stabilizers were similar as far as the unconfined compressive strength is concerned. Hence from UCS Point of view any of the two stabilizing agent may be used.

6. CONCLUSION.

- 1] Both Marble Dust and lime as soil Stabilizing agent help to improve the engineering properties of soil. However, On the basis of results obtained, It can be concluded that Geopolymer is Better stabilizing agent as compared to Lime.
- 2] Data of MDD gives us insight that soil stabilized with Lime can be Compacted to higher density which means densified Soil. Also, it helps to achieve MDD at lower water content.
- 3] Soil may have liquidity at some fixed water content in Marble dust as compared to Lime. It is responsible for soil Comparison and determining SBC of soil.
- 4] The studies of conducted so far on both stabilizing agents Emphasize on the use of Lime as soil stabilized agent as it helps to improve almost all engineering properties of soil.

REFERENCE

- [1] Al-Mhaidib, Abdullah I. "Swelling Behaviour of Expansive Shales from the Middle Region of Saudi Arabia", Geotechnical and Geological Engineering Vol.16, pp. 291-307, 1999.
- [2] Basma, Adnan A. "Prediction of Expansion Degree for Natural Compacted Clays", Geotechnical Testing Journal, Vol.16, No.4, pp. 542-549, December 1993.
- [3] Bishop, A.W. and Wesley, L.D. "A Hydraulic Trixie Apparatus for Controlled Stress Path Testing" Geotechnique Vol.25, No.4, pp. 657-670, 1975.
- [4] Skempton, A.W., "The colloidal activity of clays", Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. on S.M. & F.E., Zurich, Vol.1, pp 57-61, 1953.
- [5] Rees, S.W. And Thomas, H.R., "Simulating seasonal ground movement in unsaturated clay", Jrl. of Geotech. Engg., ASCE Vol.119, pp 1127-1143, 1993.
- [6] Jennings, Snethen, D.R., "Characterization of expansive soil using soil suction data", Proc. 4th Int. Conf. on Expansive Soils, Vol.1, pp 54-75, 1980
- [7] Dr. Damyanti G Badagha., "Utilization of Waste Materials to Produce Economical Concrete: Achived Goal for Environment Prevention & Sustainable Development", journal of management research and analysis ISSN:2394-2770, volume 05 Issue 04, February 2019
- [8] Dr. Robert M. Brooks., "Soil Stabilization with Fly ash and Rice Husk Ash", International Journal of Research and Reviews in Applied Sciences ISSN: 2076-734X, EISSN: 2076-7366 Volume 1, Issue 3, December 2009
- [9] Tara Sen and Umesh Mishra., "Usage of Industrial Waste Products in Village Road Construction" International Journal of Environmental Science and Development, Vol. 1, No. 2, June 2010 ISSN: 2010-0264
- Karthik.S, Ashok kumar.E, Gowtham.P, Elango.G, Gokul.D, Thangaraj.S 'Soil Stabilization by Using Fly Ash
- [10] Brackley, I.J.A., "A Model of Unsaturated Clay Structure and its Application to Swell Behaviour", Proc. of 6th Regional Conf. For Africa on Soil Mech. And Found. Engrg. Durban, South Africa, pp.71-79. 1975
- [11] Brackley, I.J.A., "Swell Under Load", Pros. of 6th Regional Conf. For Africa on Soil Mech. And Found. Engrg. Durban, South Africa, pp. 65-70, 1975.
- [12] Katti, R.K. "Search for Solutions to Problems in Black Cotton Soils". Indian Geotechnical Journal, LG.S. Vol. 9, No.1, 1979

