JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

"Eyes on Safety: Smart Surveillance for a Secure Future"

Samikshak Kaulkar, Prerana Patil, Shivam Kumar, Samrendera Singh Yadav, Sakshi Gajbhiye
Student
Priyadarshini College of Engineering, Nagpur

ABSTRACT

It is now an indispensable fixture that public safety surveillance has become in crime prevention, emergency response, and urban security. By harnessing artificial intelligence (AI), video analytics, and the Internet of Things (IoT), this monitoring has gone from passive to active systems that can real-time-threat detect and police forecasting. For instance, behavioural analysis, A mediated facial recognition, and anomaly detection will be the fastest tools in law enforcement when it comes to immediate response and deterring criminal acts.

In fact, the hefty price tag comes with these technologies. Surveillance can become pervasive, with privacy issues that stem from the innocent operation of such a system, as it could serve as a tool for massive data collection that could be abused in future. More than that, ethical and social justice issues would emerge as those algorithmic biases potentially unfairly target a particular community. Apart from this, another downside of the interconnected surveillance network would be that the systems could fall victim to cyber vulnerabilities: the networks could be hacked, therefore perpetrating data breaches or illegal access.

The rule of the day in the proper use of surveillance technology is legality and rightness. Regulations shall, therefore, make clear about the intent of implied consent, its collection, storage, and use of data, and measures against misuse. On the other hand, ethical design of AI must deal with bias prevention and respect for frameworks of human rights as it pertains to discriminatory usage of surveillance technologies.

In the future, surveillance for public safety is going to touch upon the balancing of security and civil rights. In this regard, ethics development in AI, combined with the technology for data privacy and the regulatory arm, would assist in minimizing possible harm while further enhancing the legitimate benefits of surveillance. These technologies, when controlled properly and transparently implemented, would thus facilitate the ability of law enforcement to secure public safety and underpin human and ethical principles.

INTRODUCTION

The past two decades have witnessed a radical transformation in public safety surveillance from traditional CCTV watching to AI-powered, data-driven systems. In these scenarios, technologies are being employed for added safety, crowd management of mass events, and response to emergencies [1]. Predictive policing and speedy detection of threats became possible with the integration of smart city infrastructure and real-time analytics. These considerations bring internal and external technical, ethical, and legal issues to be resolved, thus to be discussed [2]. The paper highlights the states of the art, the technological advancement, the efficiency, disadvantages, and future trends concerning public safety surveillance. The history of public safety surveillance can be traced back to the installation of early-era CCTV for public safety. Since time immemorial, early systems were supposedly manually monitored without fulfilling their works.

Digital improvements include better image quality, enhanced storage, and enforcement capabilities [3]. Today, systems use IoT, cloud computing, and AI analysis to conduct real-time analysis of incoming data and threaten detection in real-time [4]. The efficacy of proactive surveillance in preventing crime and enhancing responsive actions has improved.

Modern public safety monitors employ advanced technology for greater efficiency and accuracy. AI-powered video analytics allow for the automated detection of anomalies and help potentially lessen the reliance on human operators [5]. Facial recognition systems, which are mostly used for border control purposes and city surveillance, provide a basis for the rapid identification of persons of interest [6]. It was determined, however, that AI-powered surveillance systems are discriminatory, as a study asserted that they identify minority individuals with disproportionately greater errors [7]. Drones also prove an asset for crowd control; in situations requiring urgent attention, drones offer more extensive surveillance than fixed camera setups [8]. Impact on Crime Prevention and Public Safety Research has proven that surveillance reduces crime by discouraging criminal activities and assisting in investigations. A meta-analysis demonstrated that the use of CCTV surveillance is moderately effective in reducing crime, especially in high-risk areas such as parking lots and city centers [9]. Predictive policing via AI and big data analysis could predict crime hotspots and optimize police deployment.

CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Public safety surveillance has remained important in balancing crime prevention and emergency response, yet addressing these many significant challenges is equally important.

Privacy Problems

Possibly the most contentious issue in surveillance has to do with privacy. The feeling that they are under the unblinking gaze of a real-time surveillance eye may incite in people's minds concerns about an overly coercive state. Surveillance can serve to deter crime, but an excess of it can infringe upon some of the freedoms of individuals within a given society. In this regards, the balance between security and personal privacy becomes the most pressing issue in the mind of public trust.

Algorithmic Analysis and Fairness

AI applications have sprung up in facial recognition, predictive policing, and many recent surprises. The fundamental question remains: Is AI accurate all the time? There are occasions when your recognition systems can misidentify someone, laying wrongful blame on that individual. Likewise, there is the possibility that predictive policing projects its vengeance on a community because of earlier crime data, thus enhancing prior biases. Unchecked, these technologies can create havoc on the land.

High Cost and Financial Constraints

Surveillance technology is a huge liability to law enforcement agencies and local authorities due to the very high price of the cameras, AI analytics, and data storage systems. More than any other burden, the installation, maintenance, and upgrade costs of that technology bear down on law enforcement agencies. Many agencies cannot even remotely meet their share of the budget for these systems, which, in return, has an adverse bearing on surveillance program effectiveness.

Cybersecurity Threats

In addition to transmitting vast amounts of sensitive data, the surveillance networks offer targets for hackers and cybercriminals when the systems are not properly secured. Unauthorized access to footage from a surveillance system or even a successful breach of the data could jeopardize public safety, endanger the lives of private persons, and undermine confidence in the law enforcement system. It is therefore relevant to uphold a better protection scheme from cyber threats, and enable the surveillance system to work in a safe and trusted manner.

Establishing a Balance

To guarantee an effective and ethical approach to surveillance, mechanisms of stringent regulation, transparency, and technological improvement must be put in place by the authorities. The laws must allow the rights of individuals to be protected while allowing security systems to operate efficiently.

Legal and Ethical Implications

Legal frameworks for citizens' rights encountered beginnings by public safety surveillance programs. With amendments, limitations were implemented on data collection and surveillance practices [16]. Territorial differences in legal regimes hinder enforcement mechanisms. Ethical issues arise from bulk data collection and abuse; hence, policymakers are challenged to balance security and civil liberties [17].

Most importantly, transparency and public scrutiny will ensure that surveillance systems remain ethical at present and in the future [18].

Future Directions- Future of public safety surveillance would be in the hands of responsible AI regimes backed by regulatory policies in support of advanced privacy-enhancing technologies. Researchers are advocating the use of explainable AI models to begin addressing questions of bias and accountability for surveillance analysis [19]. Privacy-enhancing techniques such as differential privacy and decentralized storage are another form of mitigation against data security risk [20]. The establishment of public surveillance practices that would grant the public with some ability to choose just what data gets monitored is likely to create trust and acceptance [21]. Joint interventions by governments, tech companies, and NGOs for human rights' sake would play a key role in guiding the future of ethical surveillance.

Conclusion- Public safety surveillance has grown tremendously with tools enabling law enforcement to prepare for emergencies and control crime. Yet, it is raising questions about privacy, discrimination, cybersecurity, and ethics. Matching such dispersal with technological advances should take place using transparency and accountability in the name of ethics. Future research and policy-making should seek to restore a balance somewhere between liberty and security so as to enable the surveillance of the public interest onto something close to the impossible infringement.

CONCLUSION

Historically, interventions in public safety monitoring have undergone much change, from simple watch with CCTV to those based on AI for real-time threat detection and predictive analysis. While these technologies enhance the prevention of crime, response to emergencies, and security of the city, they pose serious threats and concerns for privacy, algorithmic bias, cybersecurity, and ethics. Increasing dependence on AI-based surveillance requires effective regulatory scaffolding, transparency, and accountability to ensure responsible use. In the years ahead, keeping that fine balance between public security and individual rights would involve an amalgamation of privacy-protecting technologies, fair AI models, and transparent legal frameworks. With the cooperation of relevant policymakers, law enforcement agencies, tech developers, and civil rights organizations, public safety surveillance can responsibly contribute towards building safer communities without infringing upon fundamental freedoms.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Smith and J. Brown, "The Role of AI in Modern Surveillance Systems," IEEE Transactions on Security, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 22-30, 2021.

[2] M. Zhang, "Ethical Dilemmas in Public Safety Surveillance," Journal of Law and Technology, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 45-60, 2020. [3] P. Wilson and L. Taylor, "CCTV and Crime Deterrence: A Meta-Analysis," Security Studies Review, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 78-92, 2018.

[4] T. Nguyen et al., "Smart City Surveillance and IoT Integration," IEEE Internet of Things Journal, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 112-125, 2019. [5] K. Anderson and H. Lee, "AI-Driven Video Analytics in Law Enforcement," Computational Intelligence Review, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 55-70, 2021.

[6] R. Green and D. Lyon, "Facial Recognition and Privacy Concerns," Journal of Ethics in AI, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 99-115, 2022.

[7] J. Buolamwini and T. Gebru, "Gender and Racial Bias in AI Surveillance," IEEE Transactions on AI Ethics, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 88-102, 2019.

[8] L. Kumar and R. Patel, "Drone Surveillance and Public Safety," Aerospace Security Journal, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 66-80, 2020.

[9] D. Welsh and D. Farrington, "The Effectiveness of CCTV on Crime Rates," Criminal Justice Studies, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 112-130, 2017.

[10] B. Ratcliffe, "Predictive Policing: Applications and Challenges," Security Informatics, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1-15, 2016.

[11] Himanshu V Taiwade, Premchand B Ambhore, “Hybrid bioinspired approach for secret sharing algorithm and ownership transfer optimization in cloud based models”