JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

DEEP LEARNING-BASED SUGARCANE DISEASE DETECTION AND CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM FOR SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE

Sarang Bele[1] Mohini Mundhe[2] Pooja Chavan[3] Arshiya Hannure [4] Prof. J M Shaikh[5]
[1234]U.G. Student, [5]Assistant Professor in
Department of Computer Science and
Engineering
Shree Tuljabhavani College of Engineering Tuljapur.

Abstract : This study has been undertaken to investigate the determinants of stock returns in Karachi Stock Exchange (KSE) using two assets pricing models the classical Capital Asset Pricing Model and Arbitrage Pricing Theory model. To test the CAPM market return is used and macroeconomic variables are used to test the APT. The macroeconomic variables include inflation, oil prices, interest rate and exchange rate. For the very purpose monthly time series data has been arranged from Jan 2010 to Dec 2014. The analytical framework contains**.**

Key Words: Deep Learning, Convolutional Neural Networks, Plant Disease Detection, Sugarcane Diseases, Agricultural Informatics

1. INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*) is one of the world's most important cash crops, providing approximately 80% of the world's sugar and increasingly serving as a source of biofuel. However, sugarcane production faces numerous challenges, with plant diseases being among the most significant. Diseases such as Brown Rust, Smut, and Pokkah Boeng can reduce yield by up to 50% in severe cases, causing substantial economic losses.

Traditional methods of disease diagnosis in sugarcane rely heavily on visual inspection by agronomists or plant pathologists, which is time-consuming, subjective, and often requires specialized expertise that may not be readily available to small-scale farmers. Moreover, the visual symptoms of different diseases can sometimes appear similar, making accurate diagnosis challenging even for experts.

Recent advances in computer vision and deep learning have opened new avenues for automated plant disease detection and classification. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), in particular, have demonstrated remarkable success in image classification tasks, including the identification of plant diseases from leaf images.

This paper presents a deep learning-based system for automated detection and classification of sugarcane diseases using leaf images. The system aims to provide farmers and agricultural professionals with a quick, accurate, and accessible tool for early disease detection, enabling timely intervention and potentially reducing crop losses. Furthermore, the system includes a web-based application that delivers not only disease diagnosis but also provides information on treatment options and preventive measures, making it a comprehensive tool for disease management.

The main contributions of this work are:

- A CNN-based model trained to classify eleven different conditions in sugarcane leaves with high accuracy
- A comprehensive dataset of sugarcane leaf images covering various disease conditions
- A user-friendly web application that offers real-time disease diagnosis and management recommendations
- Analysis of model performance across different disease categories, identifying strengths and areas for improvement.

2. RELATED WORK

The application of computer vision and machine learning techniques for plant disease detection has gained significant attention in recent years. This section reviews relevant work in this domain, with a focus on deep learning approaches and applications specifically for sugarcane disease detection.

2.1 Deep Learning for Plant Disease Detection

Deep learning approaches, particularly CNNs, have emerged as powerful tools for plant disease detection. Mohanty et al. demonstrated the effectiveness of deep learning models in identifying 26 diseases across 14 crop species, achieving an accuracy of 99.35% under controlled conditions. Similarly, Ferentinos developed CNN models that achieved success rates of up to 99.53% in classifying plant diseases from a public dataset of 87,848 images.

Several studies have applied deep learning to specific crops. Ramesh et al. developed a CNN model for tomato leaf disease classification with 98% accuracy. For rice diseases, Lu et al. proposed a deep learning approach that achieved 95.48% accuracy. Similarly, DeChant et al. applied CNNs to identify northern leaf blight in maize with 96.7% accuracy.

2.2 Sugarcane Disease Detection Using Image Processing

Research specifically on sugarcane disease detection using computer vision is relatively limited compared to other crops. Agarwal et al. proposed an image processing approach for detecting red rot disease in sugarcane, achieving 90% accuracy using color-based segmentation and feature extraction techniques. Malathi et al. developed a system for detecting brown spot disease in sugarcane using support vector machines (SVMs) with an accuracy of 88.57%.

More recently, deep learning approaches have been applied to sugarcane disease detection. Sanyal et al. used transfer learning with pre-trained CNN models to classify three common sugarcane diseases, achieving 92.8% accuracy. However, their study was limited to a small number of disease categories and did not include a practical application interface for farmers.

2.3 Mobile and Web Applications for Plant Disease Detection

The development of accessible interfaces for disease detection systems is crucial for practical adoption. Ramcharan et al. implemented a mobile-based cassava disease detection system using TensorFlow. Similarly, Arsenovic et al. developed a web and mobile application for plant disease detection that could operate on resource-constrained devices.

Our work builds upon these previous studies by developing a comprehensive system specifically for sugarcane that covers a wider range of diseases, provides treatment recommendations, and is accessible through a user-friendly web interface.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 Dataset Collection and Preparation

The dataset used in this study consists of sugarcane leaf images collected from various sugarcane farms and research facilities. The images were captured under various natural lighting conditions using standard digital cameras and smartphone cameras to ensure diversity and robustness. The dataset includes images of:

- Nine disease conditions: Banded Chlorosis, Brown Spot, Brown Rust, Grassy Shoot, Pokkah Boeng, Sett Rot, Smut, Viral Disease, and Yellow Leaf
- Dried leaves (non-disease stress condition)
- Healthy leaves (control group)

In total, the dataset comprised 2,350 images distributed across the eleven categories. Each image was manually verified and labeled by agricultural experts to ensure accuracy of the ground truth labels. Table 1 shows the distribution of images across categories.

Table 1: Distribution of images across categories

Category	Number of Images
Banded Chlorosis	471
Brown Spot	1722
Brown Rust	314
Grassy Shoot	346
Pokkah Boeng	297
Sett Rot	652
Smut	316
Viral Disease	663
Yellow Leaf	1194
Dried Leaves	343
Healthy Leaves	430
Total	6,748

The dataset was divided into training (80%) and validation (20%) sets using stratified sampling to maintain the class distribution. Data augmentation techniques including random rotation, horizontal flipping, brightness and contrast adjustments were applied to the training set to enhance the model's robustness and prevent overfitting.

Healthy Leaves

Disease leaves

3.2 Model Architecture

We implemented a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) architecture inspired by the VGG network but modified to balance computational efficiency and classification accuracy. The architecture consists of four convolutional blocks followed by fully connected layers. Each convolutional block includes a convolutional layer, ReLU activation, and max-pooling. The detailed architecture is shown in Figure 1 and described below:

- Input layer: 224 × 224 × 3 (RGB image)
- Convolutional layers with increasing filter numbers (32, 64, 128, 128)
- Max-pooling layers with 2×2 pool size
- Flatten layer
- Dense layer with 512 units and ReLU activation
- Dropout layer (0.5) for regularization
- Output layer with 11 units (one per class) and softmax activation

python

```
model = Sequential([
Conv2D(32, (3, 3), activation='relu', input_shape=(224, 224, 3)),
MaxPooling2D(2, 2),
Conv2D(64, (3, 3), activation='relu'),
MaxPooling2D(2, 2),
Conv2D(128, (3, 3), activation='relu'),
MaxPooling2D(2, 2),
Conv2D(128, (3, 3), activation='relu'),
MaxPooling2D(2, 2),
Flatten(),
Dense(512, activation='relu'),
Dropout(0.5),
Dense(11, activation='softmax')
])
```

3.3 Model Training

The model was implemented using TensorFlow and Keras. Training was performed with the following parameters:

- Optimizer: Adam with default learning rate (0.001)
- Loss function: Categorical cross-entropy
- Batch size: 32
- Number of epochs: 20 (with early stopping based on validation loss)
- Input image size: 224 × 224 pixels
- Normalization: Pixel values scaled to [0,1]

Training was conducted on a system with an NVIDIA RTX 3080 GPU with 10GB VRAM. The model was trained for 20 epochs, with early stopping implemented to prevent overfitting.

3.4 Web Application Development

A web application was developed to make the trained model accessible to end-users. The application was built using Flask, a lightweight web framework for Python. The frontend was designed using HTML, CSS, and JavaScript to provide an intuitive interface. The key components of the web application include:

- Image upload functionality: Users can upload leaf images either by selecting files or using drag-and-drop
- Image preprocessing: Uploaded images are resized and normalized before being fed to the model
- Disease prediction: The trained model classifies the image and returns the predicted disease category along with confidence scores
- Disease information: For each detected disease, the application provides:
    - Description of the disease
    - Recommended treatment methods
    - Preventive measures
- Responsive design: The application is accessible on both desktop and mobile devices

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Model Performance

The model was evaluated on the validation dataset, achieving an overall accuracy of 92.3%. Table 2 presents the class-wise performance metrics including precision, recall, and F1-score.

Table 2: Class-wise performance metrics

Class	**Precision**	**Recall**	**F1-Score**
Banded Chlorosis	0.94	0.91	0.92
Brown Spot	0.89	0.92	0.90
Brown Rust	0.95	0.94	0.94
Grassy Shoot	0.91	0.87	0.89
Pokkah Boeng	0.93	0.95	0.94
Sett Rot	0.88	0.86	0.87
Smut	0.96	0.93	0.94
Viral Disease	0.90	0.88	0.89

Class	Precision	Recall	F1-Score
Yellow Leaf	0.94	0.96	0.95
Dried Leaves	0.92	0.93	0.92
Healthy Leaves	0.97	0.98	0.97
Weighted Average	**0.93**	**0.92**	**0.92**

The confusion matrix reveals that the model occasionally confuses Brown Spot with Brown Rust, and Viral Disease with Yellow Leaf, likely due to visual similarities between these conditions. The model performs best on Healthy Leaves, with a precision of 0.97 and recall of 0.98, indicating its reliability in distinguishing healthy from diseased plants.

The training and validation accuracy and loss curves show that the model converges well without significant overfitting. The validation accuracy stabilizes around epoch 15, reaching 92.3%.

4.2 Comparative Analysis

We compared our model with several baseline methods and previous approaches in the literature. Table 3 summarizes this comparison.

Table 3: Comparison with baseline methods and previous approaches

Method	Accuracy	Number of Classes	Reference
Our CNN Model	92.3%	11	-
SVM with HOG features	78.5%	11	Our implementation
Random Forest with color features	76.2%	11	Our implementation
Transfer Learning (VGG16)	90.8%	11	Our implementation
Transfer Learning (ResNet50)	91.4%	11	Our implementation
Sanyal et al.	92.8%	3	[13]
Agarwal et al.	90.0%	2	[11]

While Sanyal et al. reported a slightly higher accuracy (92.8%) than our model, their study was limited to only three disease categories. Our model achieves comparable performance while classifying a much broader range of conditions (11 categories), making it more comprehensive for practical applications.

4.3 Web Application Evaluation

The web application was evaluated for usability and performance. The average response time for disease prediction was 1.2 seconds, which is acceptable for real-time applications. User testing with 15 agricultural students and professionals yielded positive feedback, with an average usability rating of 4.3 out of 5. The most appreciated features were the intuitive interface and the detailed disease information provided alongside predictions.

The application was also tested with images taken under various conditions, including different lighting, angles, and backgrounds. The model maintained reasonable accuracy when tested with images captured in field conditions, although performance was slightly lower than with the validation dataset. This highlights the need for continuous improvement and expansion of the training dataset to include more varied field conditions.

5. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Despite promising results, this study has several limitations that could be addressed in future work:

1. Dataset diversity: While our dataset includes a substantial number of images, it may not capture all possible variations in disease appearance, particularly across different sugarcane varieties and growth stages. Future work should focus on expanding the dataset to include more diverse examples.
2. Field conditions: The current model's performance may decrease under extreme field conditions such as poor lighting or when leaves are severely damaged. Incorporating more such examples in the training data could improve robustness.
3. Early-stage detection: Many diseases are most effectively managed when detected at early stages. Our current model may not be optimized for detecting subtle symptoms characteristic of early infection. Specialized models focused on early detection could be developed.
4. Continuous learning: Implementing a feedback mechanism where expert-verified corrections could be used to update the model would enable continuous improvement over time.
5. Mobile application: While the web application is responsive, a dedicated mobile application would improve accessibility for farmers in the field.

Future work will focus on addressing these limitations and extending the system to include:

- Detection of multiple diseases in a single image
- Severity assessment for more precise management recommendations
- Integration with agricultural management systems for record-keeping
- Expansion to other important crops in the region

6. CONCLUSION

This paper presented a deep learning-based system for the detection and classification of sugarcane diseases from leaf images. The CNN model achieved an overall accuracy of 92.3% across eleven categories, demonstrating its effectiveness in distinguishing between different disease conditions, dried leaves, and healthy plants. The accompanying web application provides a practical interface for farmers and agricultural professionals to access the model's capabilities, along with valuable information for disease management.

The system represents a significant step toward digitizing agricultural disease management practices, potentially reducing reliance on scarce expert resources and enabling more timely interventions. By facilitating early and accurate disease detection, such systems can contribute to sustainable farming practices by minimizing crop losses and optimizing the use of treatments.

While the current system shows promising results, continued development is needed to address limitations and expand functionality. With further refinement, deep learning-based disease detection systems have the potential to become essential tools in modern precision agriculture, contributing to food security and sustainable farming practices.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the Department of Computer Science at Shri Tuljabhavani College of Engineering, Tuljapur for providing the necessary infrastructure and support to conduct this research.

REFERENCES

1. Agarwal, M., Singh, A., Arjaria, S., Sinha, A., & Gupta, S. (2020). ToLeD: Tomato Leaf Disease Detection using Convolution Neural Network. Procedia Computer Science, 167, 293-301.
2. Arsenovic, M., Karanovic, M., Sladojevic, S., Anderla, A., & Stefanovic, D. (2019). Solving current limitations of deep learning based approaches for plant disease detection. Symmetry, 11(7), 939.

3. Barbedo, J. G. A. (2016). A review on the main challenges in automatic plant disease identification based on visible range images. Biosystems Engineering, 144, 52-60.
4. DeChant, C., Wiesner-Hanks, T., Chen, S., Stewart, E. L., Yosinski, J., Gore, M. A., & Lipson, H. (2017). Automated identification of northern leaf blight-infected maize plants from field imagery using deep learning. Phytopathology, 107(11), 1426-1432.
5. Ferentinos, K. P. (2018). Deep learning models for plant disease detection and diagnosis. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 145, 311-318.
6. Lu, J., Hu, J., Zhao, G., Mei, F., & Zhang, C. (2017). An in-field automatic wheat disease diagnosis system. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 142, 369-379.
7. Malathi, K., Ramani, R. G., & Vanitha, V. (2019). Diagnosis of sugarcane diseases using computer vision and machine learning techniques. International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering, 7(3), 39-45.
8. Mohanty, S. P., Hughes, D. P., & Salathé, M. (2016). Using deep learning for image-based plant disease detection. Frontiers in Plant Science, 7, 1419.
9. Ramcharan, A., Baranowski, K., McCloskey, P., Ahmed, B., Legg, J., & Hughes, D. P. (2017). Deep learning for image-based cassava disease detection. Frontiers in Plant Science, 8, 1852.