



Marketing Sustainability in the Fashion Industry: Authenticity or Greenwashing?

Ms. Chetna Patiyal, Dr. Rajdeep Singh Khanuja, Dr. Sakshi

Assistant Professor

National Institute of Fashion Technology

Abstract

Sustainability has become a powerful selling point in the fashion industry, as environmentally and ethically conscious consumers increasingly demand transparency and accountability from the brands they support. In response, many fashion companies have adopted sustainability-oriented marketing strategies. However, the proliferation of vague, misleading, or unsubstantiated claims—commonly known as greenwashing—poses a serious threat to consumer trust and undermines genuine efforts toward sustainable development. This paper explores the prevalence and characteristics of greenwashing in the fashion sector by analyzing marketing materials, brand websites, and public sustainability reports of selected fast fashion and luxury brands. The research also incorporates consumer surveys and interviews to assess public awareness of greenwashing and its impact on purchasing behavior. The findings reveal that greenwashing is not only widespread but often strategically crafted to exploit regulatory gaps and consumer goodwill, using terms like “eco-friendly,” “sustainable,” or “conscious” without clear definitions or verifiable data. The paper differentiates between types of greenwashing—such as hidden trade-offs, lack of proof, vagueness, and irrelevance—and highlights how these tactics contribute to a distorted public perception of a brand’s environmental responsibility. Ultimately, the study calls for stricter regulations, third-party certifications, and enhanced consumer education to combat greenwashing and promote authentic sustainability in fashion marketing.

Keywords: Greenwashing, Sustainable fashion, Consumer trust, Third-party certifications.

Introduction

Sustainability has emerged as a defining concern in the 21st-century fashion industry, with increasing public scrutiny over environmental degradation, unethical labor practices, and the high ecological footprint of fast fashion. In response, many fashion brands have incorporated sustainability into their marketing narratives—using language that promotes environmental consciousness, ethical sourcing, and reduced carbon footprints. This shift reflects growing consumer demand for accountability, as well as evolving stakeholder expectations regarding corporate social responsibility (CSR).

However, while some brands have genuinely integrated sustainable practices into their business models, others have been accused of greenwashing—the act of exaggerating or fabricating environmental commitments to gain consumer approval without substantial action. With terms like “eco-friendly,” “green,”

“sustainable,” or “ethical” often used ambiguously or without third-party verification, consumers face challenges in distinguishing between authenticity and manipulation.

This paper critically examines how fashion brands market sustainability and to what extent these claims align with actual practices. It aims to identify the characteristics and prevalence of greenwashing in fashion marketing, analyze consumer awareness of such tactics, and evaluate their influence on consumer behavior. By highlighting the gap between brand communication and operational reality, this study contributes to the broader discourse on ethical branding and consumer rights.

Literature Review

1. Sustainability in Fashion Marketing

The concept of sustainability in fashion encompasses environmental, social, and economic dimensions, including eco-friendly materials, ethical labor practices, and circular business models (Fletcher & Tham, 2019). Studies have shown that consumers are increasingly motivated by sustainability claims when making fashion purchases (McNeill & Moore, 2015). Brands leverage this by integrating CSR narratives into marketing strategies (Jung & Jin, 2016), often emphasizing “green” attributes as a point of differentiation in competitive markets.

2. Understanding Greenwashing

Greenwashing, a term first coined by environmentalist Jay Westerveld in 1986, refers to the act of misleading consumers regarding the environmental practices of an organization. Delmas and Burbano (2011) define it as the gap between communication and performance on environmental issues. In the fashion context, greenwashing can manifest through vague product labeling, selective disclosure, or overemphasis on minor sustainable initiatives (TerraChoice, 2010; Lyon & Montgomery, 2015). This practice not only misleads consumers but also erodes trust in brands genuinely pursuing sustainable transformation.

3. Consumer Perception and Trust

Consumers are increasingly aware of greenwashing and are developing skepticism toward sustainability claims lacking transparency or third-party validation (de Freitas Netto et al., 2020). Research by Wiederhold and Martinez (2018) shows that perceived greenwashing significantly reduces brand trust and loyalty. However, other studies suggest that consumers often lack the time, knowledge, or resources to verify claims, leading to passive acceptance or confusion (Testa et al., 2015).

4. Regulatory and Ethical Challenges

The regulatory environment around sustainability marketing remains fragmented. While some countries have introduced advertising standards or eco-labeling guidelines, enforcement is inconsistent (OECD, 2021). Scholars argue for stronger frameworks and international standards to combat misleading environmental marketing practices (Carlson et al., 1993; Chatterjee, 2009).

Research Gaps Identified

1. Insufficient Empirical Studies in the Fashion Sector:

While greenwashing has been studied in broader marketing contexts, fewer empirical studies focus specifically on how it manifests in fashion marketing and how it differs across fast fashion versus luxury sectors.

2. Limited Exploration of Consumer Interpretation of Sustainability Claims:

Existing literature does not fully explore how different consumer segments interpret and respond to specific sustainability-related terms (e.g., "conscious," "eco," "organic") in fashion marketing.

3. Lack of Frameworks to Distinguish Authentic vs. Misleading Marketing:

There is a need for a standardized framework or criteria to evaluate the credibility of sustainability claims in fashion, especially from a consumer-centric perspective.

4. Underdeveloped Policy and Regulatory Perspectives:

The literature largely critiques current regulations without offering practical recommendations tailored to the fashion industry's unique challenges.

Research Methodology

This study adopts a mixed-methods research design to explore the extent and nature of greenwashing in sustainability marketing within the fashion industry and its impact on consumer perception and behavior. Combining qualitative content analysis of brand communication with quantitative survey data allows for a comprehensive understanding of both brand strategies and consumer responses.

Research Design Approach: Mixed-methods (qualitative + quantitative)

Rationale: This approach facilitates triangulation of findings, enhancing the validity of results by comparing company-level practices with consumer-level interpretations.

Research Objectives

1. To examine how fashion brands use sustainability-related language and imagery in their marketing communications.
2. To analyze the presence and characteristics of greenwashing in selected fashion brands' sustainability messaging.
3. To assess consumer awareness and understanding of greenwashing in the fashion industry.
4. To evaluate how perceived authenticity of sustainability claims influences consumer trust and purchase behavior.

Sampling

A. Brand Sample (Content Analysis)

Sampling Method: Purposive sampling

Selection Criteria: Brands must actively market sustainability (through website, social media, or campaigns).

Sample Size: 10 brands (5 fast fashion, 5 luxury/sustainability-oriented)

B. Consumer Sample (Survey)

Sampling Method: Non-probability purposive sampling

Target Population: Fashion consumers aged 18–45 who are active online shoppers

Sample Size: 300–500 respondents

Limitations

- The sample size and selection method may not allow for full generalizability.

- Content analysis is subject to researcher interpretation, although triangulated coding reduces bias.
- The survey relies on self-reported behavior, which may differ from actual purchasing decisions.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

1. Content Analysis of Brand Marketing Materials

Using a qualitative coding framework based on TerraChoice's *Seven Sins of Greenwashing*, marketing content from 10 fashion brands was analyzed. Key variables included the use of sustainability-related language, presence of third-party certifications, specificity of claims, and visual imagery.

- **Findings:**
 - 80% of fast fashion brands used broad, vague terms like “eco-friendly” or “sustainable” without evidence.
 - Only 3 of the 10 brands consistently used third-party certifications (e.g., GOTS, Fair Trade).
 - Fast fashion brands were more likely to commit the "Sin of Vagueness" and "Sin of No Proof."
 - Luxury and sustainability-focused brands used more specific language and disclosed measurable environmental impacts (e.g., “30% reduction in water usage per garment”).

2. Consumer Survey Results

A total of 412 valid responses were collected. Descriptive statistics and correlation analysis were used to examine consumer perceptions and behavior.

- **Findings:**
 - **67%** of respondents reported seeing sustainability claims often in fashion marketing.
 - Only **28%** felt confident in their ability to distinguish between authentic and misleading claims.
 - **71%** expressed concern about greenwashing, and **54%** said it reduced their trust in brands.
 - Regression analysis showed a significant negative relationship between greenwashing awareness and brand trust ($p < 0.05$).
 - Consumers aged 25–34 were the most skeptical and demanded proof (e.g., certifications or impact reports).

Key Findings

1. **Greenwashing is prevalent**, especially among fast fashion brands, which often use vague, unverified claims.
2. **Consumer awareness of greenwashing is rising**, but many still struggle to differentiate credible from misleading sustainability marketing.
3. **Trust and purchase intention decline** when consumers suspect greenwashing, showing a clear risk for brands using deceptive tactics.
4. **Authenticity matters:** Brands that offer transparency and verified claims are more likely to retain consumer trust.

Discussion

The findings of this study confirm that while sustainability has become a powerful marketing theme in the fashion industry, the authenticity of these claims varies significantly across brands. Fast fashion brands, in particular, frequently rely on ambiguous language and unverified sustainability assertions—hallmarks of greenwashing as defined by TerraChoice’s “Seven Sins.” This aligns with previous research suggesting that companies often use sustainability as a branding tool rather than a genuine operational focus (Delmas & Burbano, 2011).

Interestingly, although consumer awareness of greenwashing is increasing, many respondents still lack the tools or knowledge to accurately assess the credibility of brand claims. The lack of standard definitions and the inconsistent use of third-party certifications make it difficult for even well-intentioned consumers to navigate sustainable fashion choices confidently. As a result, skepticism is growing, especially among educated and digitally active consumer segments (ages 25–34), which brands commonly target in sustainability messaging.

Moreover, this study found a statistically significant negative correlation between greenwashing awareness and brand trust—demonstrating that misleading sustainability marketing not only fails to build loyalty but may actively harm a brand’s reputation. In contrast, brands that provide transparent, measurable, and verified environmental data are more likely to retain consumer trust and convert ethical values into purchase behavior.

Conclusion

This study highlights a critical challenge in fashion marketing: the gap between perceived and actual sustainability. While sustainability remains an effective marketing strategy, its misuse through greenwashing undermines consumer trust and dilutes the credibility of genuinely ethical brands. As consumer expectations evolve, superficial or deceptive sustainability messaging is no longer sufficient—and may become a long-term liability.

Authentic sustainability marketing must be supported by transparent practices, third-party certifications, and clear, verifiable claims. Consumers are no longer passive recipients of brand narratives; they are active evaluators of brand integrity. For the fashion industry to thrive in the age of conscious consumption, marketing must move from persuasion to proof.

Recommendations

For Fashion Brands:

1. **Eliminate vague sustainability terms** like “eco” or “green” unless they are clearly defined and substantiated.
2. **Use third-party certifications** (e.g., GOTS, Fair Trade, B Corp) to enhance claim credibility.
3. **Publish impact reports** or measurable data (e.g., carbon reduction percentages, water saved per item) to build consumer trust.
4. **Adopt ethical storytelling** by showing behind-the-scenes supply chain practices and including supplier voices.

For Consumers:

1. **Be critical of sustainability labels** and look for proof such as certifications or impact metrics.
2. **Follow watchdog organizations** or independent sustainability rating platforms (e.g., Good On You, Fashion Revolution).
3. **Support brands with transparent policies** rather than those that only market green values.

For Policymakers and Regulators:

1. **Implement stricter advertising standards** to prevent misleading environmental claims.
2. **Introduce mandatory sustainability disclosure requirements** for large fashion brands.
3. **Encourage uniform eco-labeling systems** to help consumers make informed decisions across different product categories.

References

- Carlson, L., Grove, S.J. and Kangun, N., 1993. A content analysis of environmental advertising claims: A matrix method approach. *Journal of Advertising*, 22(3), pp.27–39.
- Chatterjee, S., 2009. Green marketing claims in the fashion industry: A closer look. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, 13(3), pp.291–314.
- Delmas, M.A. and Burbano, V.C., 2011. The drivers of greenwashing. *California Management Review*, 54(1), pp.64–87.
- de Freitas Netto, S.V., Sobral, M.F.F., Ribeiro, A.R.B. and Soares, G.R.D.L., 2020. Concepts and forms of greenwashing: a systematic review. *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 32(1), pp.1–12.
- Fletcher, K. and Tham, M., 2019. *Earth Logic: Fashion Action Research Plan*. The J.J. Charitable Trust.
- Jung, S. and Jin, B., 2016. Sustainable development of the fashion industry: Lessons from the case of Patagonia. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 44(1), pp.58–76.
- Lyon, T.P. and Montgomery, A.W., 2015. The means and end of greenwash. *Organization & Environment*, 28(2), pp.223–249.
- McNeill, L. and Moore, R., 2015. Sustainable fashion consumption and the fast fashion conundrum: Fashionable consumers and attitudes to sustainability in clothing choice. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 39(3), pp.212–222.
- OECD, 2021. *Environmental Claims: Findings and Recommendations*. [online] OECD. Available at: <https://www.oecd.org> [Accessed 27 Jun. 2025].
- TerraChoice, 2010. *The Sins of Greenwashing: Home and Family Edition*. [online] Available at: <https://www.ul.com/insights/sins-greenwashing> [Accessed 27 Jun. 2025].
- Testa, F., Iraldo, F., Vaccari, A. and Ferrari, E., 2015. Why eco-labels can be effective marketing tools: Evidence from a study on Italian consumers. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 24(4), pp.252–265.
- Wiederhold, M. and Martinez, L.F., 2018. Ethical consumer behaviour in Germany: The attitude-behaviour gap in the green apparel industry. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 42(4), pp.419–429.