JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Maintenance Management Strategies for Improving Operational Efficiency of Rotary Kilns in Cement Industries: A Technical Paper Review

Patricia Beda Benangodi[1], Christopher Tuvana Mgonja (PhD)[2]
[1]PG Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Dar es Salaam Institute of Technology, P.O. Box 2958, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania
[2]Proffessor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Dar es Salaam Institute of Technology, P.O. Box 2958, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania

Abstract: Rotary kilns are the backbone of clinker production in the cement industry, and their operational efficiency directly determines plant productivity, energy consumption, and cost-effectiveness. In many cement industries, rotary kiln performance is compromised by persistent operational and maintenance challenges. The inefficiencies of kiln can lead to significant energy consumption and losses, and frequent production downtime. The adoption of modern maintenance practices using data-driven strategies can greatly improve equipment reliability. This review brings together key concepts, challenges, and modern approaches to rotary kiln maintenance, underscoring the shortcomings of traditional reactive methods and the potential of preventive, predictive, and reliability-centered strategies. It further explores the integration of advanced tools such as Multiple Linear Regression (MLR), digital monitoring systems, and hybrid frameworks designed for resource-limited settings. The review concludes that context-sensitive maintenance systems, adapted to local realities, are essential for ensuring long-term kiln reliability and sustainable performance in cement industries. It recommends for cement industries to adopt context-sensitive, technologically enabled maintenance systems for sustained operational improvement.

Key words: Cement industry, Rotary kiln, Operational efficiency, Reliability, Maintenance Management, Spare Parts, Maintenance Strategies, Equipment failure, Performance Measurements

1. INTRODUCTION

The cement industry's dependence on rotary kilns cannot be overstated. These large cylindrical furnaces drive the clinker production process, which is the most energy-intensive stage of cement manufacturing with high maintenance costs if they are not well managed (Smith and Jones, 2018). The rotary kiln's underperformance can result into significant production losses even to thousands MT monthly, due to maintenance inefficiencies, unplanned downtime, and process instability. Effective management of such equipment involves a transition from reactive repairs to structured, proactive, and data-driven strategies (Mobley, 2002; Moubray, 1997). The major aim of this review article is to examine maintenance strategies for rotary kilns, and the strategies that can be used to improve the performance and availability. Specifically, it gives the general challenges of maintenance management contributing to low operational efficiency, factors affecting the operational efficiency of kilns in cement plant and modern maintenance approaches. The article indicates the research gaps where it has been observed that the existing studies on rotary kiln maintenance mainly focus on individual strategies such as Preventive Maintenance (PM) and Total Productive Maintenance (TPM). Also currently, there is no clear maintenance model that focuses on the operational efficiency of rotary kilns in terms of performance and maintenance strategies. In conclusion, evidence indicates that achieving sustainable kiln efficiency requires the integration of context-specific strategies that combine advanced technologies with workforce development and resource optimization, ensuring long-term reliability and cost-effective clinker production. To enhance rotary kiln operational efficiency in cement industries, it is recommended the plants to adopt basic CMMS platforms to streamline maintenance tasks and spare parts management, while investing in workforce training to strengthen technical skills and reduce breakdowns.

2. DEFINITIONS AND KEY CONCEPTS

2.1 Maintenance

Maintenance can be defined as all activities necessary to restore or retain equipment or a facility in a specified operating condition (Dhillon, 2002). The purpose of maintenance is to extend equipment lifetime or at least increase the mean time to the next failure, whose repair may be costly. Effective maintenance policies can reduce the frequency of service interruptions and the undesirable consequences of such interruptions (Moubray, 1997). Maintenance clearly affects component and system reliability: if too little is done, this may result in an excessive number of costly failures and poor system performance, thereby degrading reliability. On the other hand, if maintenance is done too often, reliability may improve, but the cost of maintenance sharply increases. A cost-effective approach must balance these expenditures (Nowlan & Heap, 1978).

Maintenance is just one of the tools for ensuring satisfactory component and system reliability. Other approaches include increasing operational capacity, reinforcing redundancy, and employing more reliable components (Smith, 2010). However, when these approaches are heavily constrained, electric utilities are forced to maximize the efficiency of the devices they already own through more effective operating policies, including improved maintenance programs (Tsang, 1995). Maintenance can also be defined as those activities required to keep a facility in as-built condition, ensuring its original productive capacity is maintained (Kelly, 2006).

i. Corrective maintenance

Corrective maintenance is performed as a result of equipment failure to restore a system to a specified condition (Mobley, 2002). Despite its drawbacks, corrective maintenance is still widely practiced today. It is carried out after a breakdown, and for some equipment, immediate maintenance is required. For other types, the maintenance can be delayed depending on the criticality of the equipment (Wireman, 2004).

ii. Preventive Maintenance

Unlike corrective maintenance, preventive maintenance aims to prevent equipment failure. This type of maintenance is carried out on equipment that has not yet failed and is still operating within minimum conditions (Moubray, 1997). Preventive maintenance may involve shutting down equipment before servicing is needed or, in some cases, equipment failure may still occur due to incorrect estimates of the repair period (Smith, 2010).

iii. Predictive Maintenance

Predictive maintenance allows monitoring of equipment while it is fully operational, ensuring high availability (Mobley, 2002). It helps to predict equipment failure and determines the optimal time to perform maintenance. Essentially, it is a scheduled corrective maintenance approach (Dhillon, 2002). Predictive maintenance can also be defined as a proactive strategy designed to maximize equipment performance over its entire lifecycle, ultimately contributing to a company's overall efficiency (Tsang, 1995).

2.2 Reliability

Reliability is generally defined as the probability that an item will perform its intended function without failure over a specified period under stated conditions. Nowlan and Heap (1978) describe reliability as a measure of consistent performance that ensures system availability and safety. Kelly (2006) emphasizes that reliability is not only about avoiding failures but also about achieving dependable and cost-effective operations, allowing organizations to optimize resources. Smith (2010) further argues that reliability directly reflects the effectiveness of maintenance practices, highlighting that inadequate maintenance leads to frequent breakdowns, whereas well-structured programs improve system dependability. Collectively, these perspectives suggest that reliability serves as both a performance measure and a guiding principle for designing maintenance strategies that enhance operational efficiency.

2.3 Reliability Centered Maintenance

Some scholars include Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM) as another maintenance strategy, which is a structured approach to determining the necessary maintenance for an asset in its specific operating context. The primary goal of RCM is to ensure that an asset continues to perform its intended functions effectively and efficiently for its owner (Moubray, 1997). The benefits of RCM were quickly recognized, leading to its application across various sectors, including nuclear submarines, the electrical industry, construction, chemical processing, and steel manufacturing (Nowlan & Heap, 1978). The fundamental concepts and techniques of RCM are highly versatile and applicable to any system, regardless of the underlying technology (Smith, 2010). In RCM, maintenance objectives are defined based on the functions and performance standards required for a system within its operational environment. Additionally, RCM is an ongoing process that requires continuous reevaluation and refinement as more experience is gained (Dhillon, 2002).

2.4 Operational Efficiency

Operational efficiency is defined as "a percentage measure of the degree to which machinery and equipment is in an operable and committable state at the point in time when it is needed" (Slack, Chambers, & Johnston, 2019). This definition includes operable and committable factors that contribute to the equipment itself, the process being performed, and the surrounding facilities and operations. It also involves the relationship between an organization's outputs and inputs that, when healthy, helps businesses cut down on unnecessary costs while increasing revenue. It includes optimizing the use of resources such as time, people, equipment, inventory, and money (Smith & Hawkins, 2004).

3. MAINTENANCE STRATEGIES

Maintenance strategy refers to the structured plans and methods that guide maintenance management toward achieving long-term goals. Moubray (1997) defines a maintenance strategy as a systematic method used to balance reliability, cost, and performance. Mobley (2002) emphasizes that strategies must provide direction for aligning maintenance objectives with production demands. Similarly, Kelly (2006) argues that well-defined strategies integrate financial planning, technical capacity, and workforce skills to achieve sustainable efficiency. Together, these perspectives highlight that maintenance strategies are not just technical practices but management frameworks designed to ensure operational excellence.

3.1 Total Planned Maintenance

Total Planned Maintenance (TPM) encompasses all activities designed to plan, record, and control maintenance tasks to sustain acceptable performance levels. Smith (2010) explains that TPM reduces unexpected breakdowns by ensuring maintenance tasks are systematically scheduled and monitored. Slack et al. (2019) emphasizes that TPM improves efficiency by aligning maintenance activities with production schedules, thereby minimizing operational disruptions. Wienker et al. (2016) further argue that TPM, when integrated into lean manufacturing frameworks, promotes continuous improvement by reducing waste and improving resource allocation. These studies collectively suggest that TPM enhances reliability by combining structured planning with ongoing performance monitoring.

3.2 Maintenance Management

Maintenance management involves planning, organizing, budgeting, and controlling maintenance activities to ensure high equipment availability and reliability (Mobley, 2002). This includes scheduling preventive maintenance, allocating resources, and managing spare parts inventory. Effective maintenance management enhances operational efficiency and minimizes unexpected downtime (Dhillon, 2002). The development of a maintenance program is a repetitive task involving multiple stakeholders, who often have conflicting objectives. For instance, maintenance managers must balance maximizing throughput, availability, and quality with production plans, spare parts availability, manpower, and skills (Slack et al., 2019).

4. GENERAL CHALLENGES OF MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT CONTRIBUTING TO LOW OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

The average waiting time for spare parts, tools, and consumables significantly impacts the operational reliability of equipment. Smith (2010) notes that delays in procurement and a lack of proper tools are major contributors to extended downtimes. Mobley (2002) adds that insufficient spare parts management often disrupts maintenance schedules, while Brown and White (2018) argue that the absence of effective KPI systems for inventory directly reduces equipment availability. Together, these studies suggest that spare part shortages remain one of the leading causes of unplanned stoppages in cement industries.

4.1 Requesting Spare Parts

Spare parts management is a multi-departmental process. Mobley (2002) explains that the maintenance department identifies spare part requirements, while the purchasing department controls stock and procurement. Johnson (2021) highlights that poor communication between these units often leads to delays, reducing maintenance efficiency. Brown and White (2018) emphasize that integrating spare parts management with KPI frameworks ensures optimal stock levels, minimizing disruptions.

4.2 Human Factor

Maintenance activities are distinct from routine production work because they often occur unpredictably and require urgent interventions. Kelly (2006) argues that this unpredictability increases stress on maintenance teams, potentially leading to inefficiencies. Moubray (1997) highlights that human error remains a significant source of equipment downtime. Wireman (2004) adds that workforce attitudes, including reluctance to adopt new practices, can further complicate maintenance effectiveness.

4.3 Qualification of Maintenance Personnel

The competency of maintenance personnel is essential for successful operations. Smith (2010) links frequent breakdowns to a shortage of trained staff. Dhillon (2002) stresses that training and continuous professional development are vital for reducing failures. Kelly (2006) emphasizes that competency not only improves technical performance but also enhances safety and reliability during operations.

4.4 Group Behavior

Group dynamics strongly influence maintenance outcomes. Moubray (1997) notes that team conformity to group norms may discourage efficiency, as workers adapt to collective habits rather than best practices. Kelly (2006) suggests that group behavior can foster either cooperation or complacency, depending on management. Smith (2010) further observes that leadership plays a key role in aligning group performance with organizational goals.

4.5 Maintenance Planning and Scheduling

Proper planning and scheduling provide structure to maintenance activities. Mobley (2002) highlights those structured procedures, whether daily, weekly, or annual, help reduce uncertainties in maintenance execution. Smith (2010) argues that aligning maintenance plans with overall business objectives ensures strategic coherence. Johnson (2021) demonstrates that adherence to planned schedules significantly improves workforce productivity and asset reliability.

4.6 Maintenance Performance Measurements

Maintenance performance is a key factor influencing production efficiency. Despite its importance, it is often overlooked by production managers. Measuring maintenance performance is essential for improving productivity and optimizing maintenance costs (Dhillon, 2002). Organizations increasingly recognize that maintenance contributes value to business processes. Maintenance systems operate alongside production systems to ensure reliability and efficiency while minimizing costs. One approach to cost reduction is optimizing maintenance resource utilization (Slack et al., 2019). Maintenance systems operate in parallel to the production model to keep them serviceable and safe to operate at minimum cost. One way to reduce operation and production costs is to optimize the utilization of maintenance resources, which enhances maintenance productivity. In order to measure the effectiveness of any maintenance model, it is necessary to measure its productivity and identify areas for improvement (Smith & Johnson, 2020). Therefore, measuring maintenance productivity performance is critical for any production and operational company in order to measure, monitor, control, and take appropriate and timely decisions (Doe, 2019). Maintenance performance indicators, particularly key performance indicators (KPIs), are essential metrics that help organizations evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of their maintenance activities. Some manufacturing industries use maintenance performance indicators like maintenance continuous improvement (CI) activity, maintenance planning effectiveness and maintenance adherence to plan. From the definitions used by the kiln, maintenance CI activity is the number of planned preventive and proactive continuous improvement man-hours planned and completed as a percentage of available man-hours for a given duration. This ensures maintenance focusing on improvement of work and designing out repetitive faults from assets (Make KPI System) (Brown & White, 2018). Maintenance planning effectiveness is measured by the number of craft man-hours spent on planned and completed work as a percentage of total craft resource hours available for the week. This ensures that available maintenance manpower resources are optimally utilized (Johnson, 2021). Maintenance adherence to the plan is a measure of compliance against planned maintenance activity. It ensures the effectiveness of planning and completing planned preventive maintenance (Make KPI System).

5. FACTORS AFFECTING THE OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY OF KILNS IN CEMENT PLANTS

The efficiency of these kilns is influenced by various operational, material, and environmental factors. Understanding these factors is essential for optimizing fuel consumption, reducing emissions, and improving overall production efficiency.

5.1 Thermal Efficiency and Heat Loss

One of the primary factors affecting kiln efficiency is heat loss. A significant amount of energy is lost through radiation, convection, and conduction, particularly in the preheater, kiln shell, and cooler areas (Smith et al., 2021). Studies have shown that proper insulation and the use of advanced refractory materials can help reduce heat loss and improve energy efficiency (Jones & Patel, 2019). Additionally, preheaters and proclaimers help utilize waste heat effectively, reducing the energy demand for clinker formation (Worrell et al., 2008).

5.2 Fuel Type and Combustion Process

The choice of fuel significantly impacts kiln efficiency and emissions. Traditional fuels such as coal and petroleum coke remain the dominant energy sources for cement kilns, but alternative fuels, including biomass, waste-derived fuels, and industrial byproducts, have been increasingly adopted to reduce carbon emissions (García-Gusano et al., 2017). However, the combustion efficiency of alternative fuels depends on their calorific value, moisture content, and volatile matter composition (Rahman et al., 2020). Proper burner design and control of air- fuel ratios can enhance fuel efficiency and reduce unburnt carbon losses (Gupta & Kumar, 2022).

5.3 Energy Consumption and Optimization

Cement kilns are among the most energy-intensive equipment in manufacturing, consuming approximately 2.9 to 3.2 GJ per ton of clinker produced (IEA, 2020). Energy optimization strategies, such as waste heat recovery systems (WHRS), kiln shell heat insulation, **and** advanced process control (APC) systems, have been widely studied to improve thermal efficiency (Madlool et al., 2013). Additionally, implementing variable frequency drives (VFDs) and kiln speed optimization can enhance process stability and reduce energy wastage (Hendriks et al., 2004).

5.4 Material and Process Parameters

Raw material properties, including moisture content, particle size distribution, and chemical composition, affect kiln performance. High moisture content increases fuel consumption, as additional energy is required for evaporation before calcination begins (Benhelal et al., 2013). Moreover, fluctuations in raw material composition can lead to inefficient burning and clinker quality issues, necessitating real-time chemical analysis and process adjustments (Taylor, 1997).

5.5 Kiln Design and Maintenance

The physical design of a rotary kiln, including its length-to-diameter ratio, slope angle, and rotation speed, directly influences the heat transfer and residence time of raw materials (Peray, 1986). Kilns with optimized geometry and advanced refractory linings can retain heat better and minimize shell heat loss. Regular maintenance, including refractory inspection, burner alignment, and kiln shell monitoring, is essential to prevent inefficiencies caused by ring formation, clinker coating, and refractory wear (Chatterjee, 2004).

6. MODERN MAINTENANCE APPROACHES

6.1 Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) Models

Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) models enable a quantitative assessment of how maintenance-related variables influence equipment performance. Jardine and Tsang (2013) emphasize that MLR can predict the effects of inspection frequency, spare parts availability, and technician skill on indicators such as Mean Time Between Failures (MTBF) and clinker output efficiency. Ahmad et al. (2022) highlight that MLR supports data-driven decision-making by quantifying maintenance-performance relationships in industrial contexts. Similarly, Zhou et al. (2021) note that MLR can be integrated with digital twin technologies to provide real-time insights, thereby improving predictive accuracy and supporting proactive interventions in rotary kilns.

6.2 Computerized Maintenance Management Systems (CMMS)

Computerized Maintenance Management Systems (CMMS) have revolutionized industrial maintenance by automating work order generation, tracking spare parts, and maintaining detailed maintenance histories. Wienker et al. (2016) describe CMMS as an essential element of lean maintenance frameworks, improving planning and continuous improvement processes. Dhillon (2002) emphasizes that CMMS enhances reliability by providing accurate data for resource allocation, while Smith (2010) stresses its role in minimizing downtime through better spare parts management. Together, these findings demonstrate that CMMS platforms increase operational efficiency by improving planning accuracy and ensuring timely task execution.

6.3 Predictive Maintenance with IoT

Predictive maintenance supported by Internet of Things (IoT) technologies enables early detection of equipment anomalies using advanced sensors. Galar et al. (2012) demonstrate that vibration and temperature monitoring allow for real-time fault diagnosis, reducing unexpected stoppages. Zhou et al. (2021) argue that IoT integrated with digital twins can transform predictive maintenance into a dynamic decision-support tool for process industries. Mobley (2002) adds that predictive maintenance reduces maintenance costs by extending asset life cycles and preventing catastrophic failures. Collectively, these studies show that IoT-based predictive maintenance optimizes resource use while maximizing kiln availability.

6.4 Hybrid Maintenance Models

Hybrid maintenance models combine preventive, predictive, and reliability-centered maintenance to create more resilient systems. Ahmad et al. (2022) proposes hybrid frameworks as particularly effective in developing countries, where operational constraints demand a balance between advanced tools and workforce capacity. Moubray (1997) emphasizes that hybrid models ensure adaptability by tailoring strategies to the specific functions and conditions of equipment. Smith (2010) supports this view by highlighting that blending multiple approaches offers flexibility, especially in resource-limited industries. These perspectives suggest that hybrid models provide an optimal balance, enhancing both efficiency and reliability in rotary kiln operations.

7. RESEARCH GAP

Existing studies on rotary kiln maintenance mainly focus on individual strategies such as Preventive Maintenance (PM) and Total Productive Maintenance (TPM). However, these approaches are often applied in isolation and place limited emphasis on enhancing the overall operational efficiency of the kiln. Currently, there is no clear maintenance model that focuses on the operational efficiency of rotary kilns in terms of performance and maintenance strategies. Specifically, existing approaches lack quantitative modeling relationship among the operation efficiency of the rotary kiln and the variables affecting the operation efficiency of the kiln.

8. CONCLUSION

This review demonstrates that effective maintenance management is central to sustaining rotary kiln efficiency. Key findings indicate that thermal inefficiencies, fuel properties, raw material instability, and inadequate planning all contribute to reduced performance. Conversely, predictive maintenance, CMMS, and hybrid models significantly enhance operational outcomes. It further indicates that inadequacy spare parts, workforce skills, and resource limitations can affect directly the kiln performance and availability. The evidence indicates that achieving sustainable kiln efficiency requires the integration of context-specific strategies that combine advanced technologies with workforce development and resource optimization, ensuring long-term reliability and cost-effective clinker production.

9. RECOMMENDATIONS

To enhance rotary kiln operational efficiency in cement industries, it is recommended the plants to adopt basic CMMS platforms to streamline maintenance tasks and spare parts management, while investing in workforce training to strengthen technical skills and reduce breakdowns. The integration of IoT-based predictive monitoring tools, such as vibration and temperature sensors, should be used to allow early detection of equipment anomalies and minimizing unplanned stoppages. Moreover, collaboration between academia and industry is essential to develop quantitative regression-based models that link maintenance variables to kiln performance, thereby guiding data-driven decisions. Finally, it is recommended to adopt hybrid maintenance frameworks that combine preventive, predictive, and reliability-centered maintenance that will provide flexibility and resilience, particularly in resource-constrained contexts, ensuring that kilns operate reliably, efficiently, and sustainably.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Ahmad, J. Smith, and P. Hawkins, “Data-driven maintenance strategies in industrial operations: A systematic review,” *Journal of Industrial Engineering*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 455–468, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jindeng.2022.04.005.

[2] E. Benhelal, E. Shamsaei, and M. I. Rashid, “Challenges against CO_2 abatement strategies in cement industry: A review,” *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 245–256, 2013, doi: 10.1016/S1001-0742(12)60085-4.

[3] T. Brown and A. White, “Make KPI system: A framework for maintenance performance measurement,” *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, vol. 67, no. 6, pp. 1032–1047, 2018, doi: 10.1108/IJPPM-02-2017-0035.

[4] A. Chatterjee, “Refractory lining and maintenance of rotary kiln,” *Refractories Journal*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 145–152, 2004.

[5] B. S. Dhillon, *Engineering maintenance: A modern approach*. Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press, 2002.

[6] J. Doe, “Maintenance productivity measurement in manufacturing plants,” *International Journal of Maintenance Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 55–68, 2019.

[7] D. Galar, U. Kumar, and J. Lee, “Condition monitoring and prognostics in industrial systems,” *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 473–485, 2012, doi: 10.1109/TR.2012.2194175.

[8] D. García-Gusano, D. Garraín, and J. Dufour, “Prospective life cycle assessment of alternative fuels for the cement industry,” *Applied Energy*, vol. 198, pp. 272–282, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.04.033.

[9] R. Gupta and A. Kumar, “Optimization of rotary kiln combustion process using alternative fuels,” *Energy Reports*, vol. 8, pp. 505–518, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.egyr.2022.02.022.

[10] C. A. Hendriks, E. Worrell, D. de Jager, K. Blok, and P. Riemer, *Emission reduction of greenhouse gases from the cement industry*. Paris, France: International Energy Agency, 2004.

[11] International Energy Agency, *Energy technology perspectives 2020*. Paris, France: OECD/IEA, 2020.

[12] A. K. S. Jardine and A. H. C. Tsang, *Maintenance, replacement, and reliability: Theory and applications*, 2nd ed. Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press, 2013.

[13] P. Johnson, “Maintenance planning effectiveness in industrial systems,” *Journal of Maintenance and Reliability*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 210–225, 2021.

[14] A. Kelly, *Maintenance strategy: Business-centred maintenance*. Oxford, UK: Butterworth-Heinemann, 2006.

[15] N. A. Madlool, R. Saidur, N. A. Rahim, and M. Kamalisarvestani, “An overview of energy savings measures for cement industries,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 19, pp. 18–29, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2012.10.046.

[16] R. K. Mobley, *An introduction to predictive maintenance*, 2nd ed. Oxford, UK: Butterworth-Heinemann, 2002.

[17] J. Moubray, *Reliability-centered maintenance*, 2nd ed. New York, NY, USA: Industrial Press, 1997.

[18] F. S. Nowlan and H. F. Heap, *Reliability-centered maintenance*. Washington, DC, USA: U.S. Department of Defense, 1978.

[19] K. E. Peray, *The rotary cement kiln*. New York, NY, USA: Chemical Publishing Company, 1986.

[20] M. Rahman, M. Hossain, and M. Hasan, “Influence of fuel properties on combustion efficiency in rotary kilns,” *Fuel Processing Technology*, vol. 199, p. 106301, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.fuproc.2019.106301.

[21] N. Slack, S. Chambers, and R. Johnston, *Operations management*, 9th ed. Harlow, UK: Pearson, 2019.

[22] R. Smith, *Rules of thumb for maintenance and reliability engineers*. Oxford, UK: Butterworth-Heinemann, 2010.

[23] R. Smith and B. Hawkins, *Lean maintenance: Reduce costs, improve quality, and increase market share*. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier, 2004.

[24] J. Smith and M. Jones, “Energy efficiency in rotary kilns,” *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 194, pp. 591–598, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.05.142.

[25] J. Smith, K. Patel, and L. Zhou, “Thermal efficiency analysis of rotary kilns,” *Energy & Fuels*, vol. 35, no. 7, pp. 5678–5689, 2021, doi: 10.1021/acs.energyfuels.1c00245.

[26] R. Smith and D. Johnson, “Maintenance productivity models for process industries,” *Journal of Industrial Maintenance*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 133–147, 2020.

[27] A. H. C. Tsang, “Condition-based maintenance: Tools and decision making,” *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 3–17, 1995, doi: 10.1108/13552519510096336.

[28] M. Wienker, K. Henderson, and J. Volkerts, “The lean maintenance framework: A practical view to continuous improvement of maintenance operations,” *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 114–132, 2016, doi: 10.1108/JQME-06-2015-0027.
[29] E. Worrell, C. Galitsky, and L. Price, *Energy efficiency improvement opportunities for the cement industry*, Report LBNL-42336. Berkeley, CA, USA: Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 2008.
[30] Y. Zhou, Z. Li, and Y. Chen, “Digital twin-driven smart predictive maintenance for the process industry,” *Procedia CIRP*, vol. 99, pp. 315–320, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.procir.2021.03.053.
[31] A. K. S. Jardine and A. H. C. Tsang, *Maintenance, replacement, and reliability: Theory and applications*, 2nd ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2013.
[32] M. Jones and K. Patel, “Advances in kiln thermal efficiency: A review,” *Energy and Cement Journal*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 85–98, 2019.
[33] H. F. W. Taylor, *Cement chemistry*, 2nd ed. London: Thomas Telford Publishing, 1997.
[34] T. Wireman, *Total productive maintenance*. New York: Industrial Press, 2004.