ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year: 2014 | Monthly Issue



## JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)

An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

# ANALYSIS OF STRESS LEVELS AMONG WOMEN EMPLOYEES IN PRIVATE COLLEGES OF GADAG CITY

#### Dr. Savita Bhandarkar<sup>1</sup>, Smt. Vani R. Patri<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, ASS's College of Commerce, Gadag <sup>2</sup>Lecturer, ASS's College of Commerce, Gadag

#### Abstract:

Stress is a widespread phenomenon, experienced differently by each individual. It originates from family responsibilities, workplace conditions, and social expectations. Among women working in private institutions, stress levels are often higher due to the dual demands of professional obligations and household duties, compounded by lower job security. This study investigates the key causes of stress among women employees in private colleges in Gadag City, while also analyzing their coping strategies and institutional support.

Index Terms - Stress, Women Employees, Private Colleges, Work-Life Balance, Institutional Support

#### Introduction

Stress refers to physical, emotional, and psychological strain arising from various factors. Responses to stress vary depending on personality and adaptability, with the same individual sometimes responding differently at different times. Stress management includes techniques that help control stress and improve functionality, such as physical relaxation, counseling, and fostering positive thinking. Given rising workloads and changing lifestyles, stress has become an inseparable part of professional life.

### Methodology

The study uses both primary and secondary data. Primary data was collected using structured questionnaires administered to women employees of private colleges in Gadag district, while secondary data was drawn from journals, articles, and online sources.

Sample Size: 100 Respondents

Sampling Method: Convenience Sampling

Analytical tools: Percentage analysis, tables, graphs, and chi-square test

Demographic Profile of Respondents

| Category            | Options          | No. of Respondents |
|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Age Distribution    | 20–30 years      | 60                 |
|                     | 31–40 years      | 20                 |
|                     | 41–50 years      | 20                 |
|                     | 51 and above     | 0                  |
| Marital Status      | Married          | 60                 |
|                     | Unmarried        | 40                 |
| Nature of Work      | Teaching         | 60                 |
|                     | Non-Teaching     | 40                 |
| Experience          | Less than 1 year | 20                 |
|                     | 1–5 years        | 40                 |
|                     | 5–10 years       | 30                 |
|                     | 11–15 years      | 10                 |
| Work Hours per Week | Less than 20     | 20                 |
| _                   | 21–30 hours      | 40                 |
|                     | 31–40 hours      | 30                 |
|                     | More than 40     | 10                 |

#### Workload Manageability

| Response  | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
|-----------|--------------------|------------|
| Always    | 18                 | 18%        |
| Sometimes | 45                 | 45%        |
| Rarely    | 23                 | 23%        |
| Often     | 14                 | 14%        |

Nearly half of respondents (45%) feel their workload is manageable only sometimes, while 37% struggle to cope. This highlights the uneven distribution of work across employees.

#### **Institutional Support**

| Response | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
|----------|--------------------|------------|
| Good     | 64                 | 64%        |
| Average  | 32                 | 32%        |
| Neutral  | 4                  | 4%         |

64% rated institutional support as good, though 36% found it average or neutral. This suggests scope for further improvement.

#### Job Stress Frequency

| Response  | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
|-----------|--------------------|------------|
| Rarely    | 32                 | 32%        |
| Sometimes | 50                 | 50%        |
| Often     | 9                  | 9%         |
| Always    | 9                  | 9%         |

Half of the respondents reported feeling stressed sometimes, while 32% rarely experienced stress. Only 18% reported frequent stress (often or always). The chi-square test ( $\chi^2 = 47.44$ , df = 3, p < 0.05) indicates a significant difference in stress frequency responses.

#### Work-Life Balance

| Response          | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
|-------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Yes, always       | 14                 | 14%        |
| Most of the times | 55                 | 55%        |
| Sometimes         | 32                 | 32%        |

55% reported good work-life balance most of the time, while 32% experienced balance only sometimes. The chi-square test ( $\chi^2$  = 25.26, df = 2, p < 0.05) confirms significant differences in work-life balance experiences.

#### **Institutional Stress Management Resources**

| Response | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
|----------|--------------------|------------|
| Yes      | 27                 | 27%        |
| No       | 73                 | 73%        |

Only 27% believed their institution provides adequate resources for stress management. A significant 73% expressed dissatisfaction, highlighting the lack of structured programs.

## Job Retention Despite Stress

| Response | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
|----------|--------------------|------------|
| Yes      | 36                 | 36%        |
| No       | 64                 | 64%        |

Despite stress, 64% of employees have not considered leaving their jobs. This indicates overall job satisfaction, though stress remains a concern for more than one-third.

#### **Findings**

- 1. About 45% of employees feel their workload is sometimes manageable, while 37% struggle to cope, reflecting uneven workload distribution.
- 2. 64% consider institutional support satisfactory, though 36% perceive it as inadequate.
- 3. Half of the respondents report experiencing stress occasionally, while 18% face frequent stress.
- 4. A majority (55%) maintain work-life balance most of the time, though 32% find it difficult.
- 5. Only 27% believe their institution provides sufficient resources for stress management.
- 6. Most respondents are satisfied with their jobs and are not actively seeking to leave.
- 7. Informal coping methods such as support from peers and family are common, while structured mechanisms like counseling are less utilized.

#### **Suggestions**

- 1. Strengthen communication channels between staff and management.
- 2. Promote flexible working hours and work-life balance initiatives.
- 3. Provide opportunities for career development and training.
- 4. Establish access to counseling and wellness programs.
- 5. Encourage physical activities such as yoga, exercise, and mindfulness.

- 6. Recognize employee achievements to boost morale.
- 7. Foster inclusivity and address workplace harassment promptly.
- 8. Introduce team-building activities to create a supportive environment.

#### Conclusion

Stress among women employees in private colleges stems from multiple sources, including workload, limited resources, and dual responsibilities at home and work. Statistical analysis confirms significant differences in stress levels, work-life balance, and coping mechanisms among respondents. Institutions must prioritize stress management strategies through supportive policies, counseling facilities, and balanced workload distribution. By investing in employee well-being, colleges can improve productivity, retention, and academic quality.

#### References

- Deepthi Amit, Vinay K B, Y P Gowramma "Effective Strategies for Stress Management in Work-Life Balance Among Women Teaching".
- Parikh Indira J (2009), "Capacity Building of Women Managers in Higher Education, Managing Personal and Professional Roles", Manual IV, UGC New Delhi.
- Dr. J Vijayadurai, Mr.S Venkatesh (2012), "A Study on Stress Management Among Women College Teachers in TamilNadu", Pacific Business Review International, Vol.5, Issue 2.
- 4. J Sudha and P. Kartikeyan (2014), "Work-Life Balance of Women Employees, A Literature Review", International Journal of Management Research and Review, 4(8),797-804
- 5. Dr. Dakshayini E (2023), "Work-Life Balance and Stress Management Among Women Professors in Private Colleges With Reference to Bengaluru City", IJARCMSS, Vol.06, No.3.