JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

EARTHQUAKE MONITORING AND ALERT SYSTEM

Author Name(s) – Ms. Anshika Negi, Shehbaaz Aalam, Deepak, Subhodeep Paul, Md Maaz Ahmad
Designation(s) – Assistant Professor, Student, Student, Student, Student
Department – Information Technology
Organization – Dr. Akhilesh Das Gupta Institute Of Professional Studies
Affiliation(s) – Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University
Email(s) - anshunegi2@gmail.com, shehbaazaalam00786@gmail.com, deepakkumarmaurya688@gmail.com, sbspaul03@gmail.com, mdmaaz26june@gmail.com

Abstract

This research paper provides a comprehensive analysis of the literature on the topic of Earthquake Monitoring and Alert systems, ranging from traditional seismology to the new technological innovations. The study studies the major trends in seismic monitoring technologies, machine learning applications, artificial intelligence in early warning systems, IoT-based monitoring networks, and preventative methods utilized all over the world. By closely looking at the latest research from 2020 to 2025 this paper highlights some key advancements in real-time seismic instruments, deep learning mechanisms for detecting earthquakes, transformers for diversified environments, and community-based early warning systems. The research collects information from different disciplines such as seismology, computer science, engineering, and public policy, to make available a full picture of earthquake hazard reduction strategies. Key findings show significant improvements in real-time monitoring capabilities with detection accuracies with 95% or greater. It also highlights predictive modeling using neural networks that were trained with more than 700,000 examples with labels and practical applications of community-based early warning systems in sixteen countries. The study highlights continual problems with earthquake prediction over long periods of time, compatibility among different monitoring systems, false alarms in real-time warnings, fair global coverage of, especially in developing areas. This research aims to bring scattered knowledge from many fields, compare different approaches to reduce the seismic hazards through quantitative analysis and find critical research gaps that need urgent scholarly focus. The analysis indicates a transition from treating disaster response to being active in disaster risk reduction, driven by the combination of IoT sensors, cloud computing, machine learning and data analysis. This review provides a framework for grouping the various approaches, gives a detailed comparison of existing methods, using standard metrics, and suggests directions for future research based on limitations identified and new technological possibilities.

I. Introduction

Earthquakes are one of the worst natural hazards. They cause awful economic losses and human casualties around the world. Recent estimates reveal that the annual losses from earthquakes amount to some $15 billion in the United States alone. On the other hand, some states have been deemed to be at high risk for damaging seismic activity in the future (approximately 50 percent). The devastating effect of tsunamis occurring in earthquakes like the 2011 hit in the Democratic Republic of Japan and the recent hit of Mw7.4 Hualien in Taiwan in 2024, raising the alarm that improvement in technology (monitoring and prevention) is urgent.

Earthquake research matters not only for responding immediately to an earthquake, but can also be used to build resilience in the long-term, conduct urban planning, and support infrastructure processes. Modern approaches to mitigate the damage of earthquakes have been moving away from reactive approaches in the form of an emergency response, to more proactive ones in the form of monitoring and risk reduction. This change has been enabled by the improved use of seismic tools, as well as computing power and data analysis.

This survey is driven by the swift development of technologies for earthquake monitoring and prevention, particularly the development of artificial intelligence and machine learning techniques for earthquake monitoring and prediction. Recent improvements in the early warning systems as seen by California's statewide seismic network at 89% complete as of 2024 show the real application of these brand new monitoring technologies.

The purpose of the survey is to present a comprehensive picture of the existing techniques for Earthquake Monitoring and Alert System, discuss new trends, and identify research gaps for future studies. It addresses seismic monitoring methods that are the traditional approaches to those which seem to represent modern developments, as well as the comparison and evaluation of the monitoring system of various regions of the world and assessment of prevention methods, from engineering solutions to the policy framework.

This paper makes a contribution by bringing together various research areas and providing a framework to categorize approaches, comparative analysis of the existing methods, and some critical research challenges that need urgent attention. The structure moves in a systematic manner from the simple concepts to the contemporary methodologies and future directions of research.

II. Background / Preliminaries

2.1 Fundamental Concepts

Earthquake Mechanics: Earthquake happens as a result of sudden release of energy stored in the earth crust, usually along fault-lines where tectonic plates meet. The energy released forms seismic waves which propagate through the Earth's interior and surface causing ground motion that can lead to structural damage and loss of life.

Seismic Parameters: Some of the key parameters for earthquake characterization are Magnitude: energy release, intensity (local effects), focal depth, epicenter location and fault mechanism; The moment magnitude scale (Mw) has become the standard of measuring the size of an earthquake, and will give consistent measurements across different magnitude ranges.

Seismic Waves: Monitoring of Earthquakes is based on detection and analysis of various types of Seismic waves: P- first (primary), compressional), S waves (secondary, shed), and the surface waves (Love and Rayleigh) The temporal separation and amplitude characteristics of these waves are very important in providing information regarding earthquake location and magnitude determination.

2.2 Historical Context

Traditional earthquake monitoring consisted of the primitive mechanical seismographs developed in the end of the 19th century, changing via analogue electronic systems to the digital networks of nowadays. The development of the World-wide Standardized Seismograph Network, WWSSN - 1960s was the start of global systematic earthquake monitoring.

2.3 Technical Foundations

Seismic Instrumentation: Today's seismic monitoring is done with broadband seismometers, accelerometers and GPS stations which detect ground movement in various frequency ranges. These tools can detect displacements as small as parts of a nanometers, and therefore, distant earthquakes can be sensed, cautionary signs can be detected.

Data Processing: Industry has its means to process the data by digital signal processing techniques, automated event detection algorithms including real-time analysis. Switching from analog to digital systems has made complex filtering, compression and ways of transmission a possibility.

Network Architecture: Today's seismic monitoring networks are a combination of different types of sensors, means of communication, and data processing centers, to offer extensive coverage and backup. The structure typically helps include some field stations, National data centers, and regional or national/international coordination facilities.

III. Taxonomy / Classification

3.1 Classification Framework

Earthquake Monitoring and Alert System domain can be classified in a systematic manner into several connected categories in terms of methodology, use and chronological dimension. This taxonomy provides a structured approach to the understanding of the broad range of approaches used to study earthquakes.

3.1.1 Temporal Classification

Real-time Monitoring: Systems that must operate at all times for measuring ongoing seismic activity by detecting and characterizing it, and, including earthquake early warning systems and automated such event detection networks.
Near-real-time Analysis: Processing systems that meet the need of returning earthquake parameters in a timely way of few minutes to hours, supporting Emergency response and Scientific Analysis.
Long-term Monitoring: Systems that are focused on amassing historical data for pattern analysis, hazard assessment, as well as long-term forecasting.

3.1.2 Methodological Classification

Instrumental Approaches: We have Traditional seismology which uses physical sensors and mechanical or electronic detection systems.
Computational Methods: We have what is present day the use of machine learning, AI, and advanced data processing techniques.
Integrated Systems: We have Hybrid approaches which put together different methods to improve monitoring and analysis.

3.1.3 Application-based Classification

Scientific Monitoring: we have Scientific Monitoring which is research oriented which studies the processes of earthquakes and improves the science.
Public Safety Systems: We also have Public Safety Systems which are the operational networks for emergency response, early warning, and disaster management.
Engineering Applications: We have Engineering Applications which are for the protection of infrastructure, building safety assessment, and construction guidance.

3.1.4 Geographic Scope Classification

Global Networks consist of international monitoring frameworks which have a global reach and share data. For each country there are National Systems which have geographically based networks that put out data on a regular basis. Regional/Local Networks consist of very dense local study networks which we use to examine very specific seismic areas in great detail.

For Structural Prevention there is the development of seismic building codes, retrofit programs and making infrastructure more resistant which falls under what we do in engineering. For Non-structural Prevention there are policy initiatives which include land use planning, emergency preparedness, and community education programs. There are Technological Prevention systems that include early warning systems, monitoring networks, and predictive models that we use to reduce the impacts of earthquakes.

3.2 Prevention Strategy Classification

Structural Prevention: Engineering methods including seismic building codes; programs to retrofit; infrastructure should be more resilient.
Non-structural Prevention: Policy measures, land use planning, emergency preparedness and education programs in the community.
Technological Prevention: Early warning, surveillance, and predictive analysis technologies in terms of reducing earthquake impacts.

IV. Literature Survey

4.1 Introduction

Earthquakes are one of the unpredictable natural disasters, which results in destruction of survivors, property and valuable goods. They cause awful economic losses and human casualties around the world. Recent estimates reveal that the annual losses from earthquakes amount to some 15 billion dollars in the United States alone. On the other hand, some states have been deemed to be at high risk for damaging seismic activity in the future (approximately 50 percent).
According to early researchers, they tried to make Earthquake prediction or warning systems so that we can reduce its effects. According to the United States Geological Survey (USGS), many seismic events occur globally each year, with many regions being highly vulnerable due to tectonic plates not stable and not getting early warnings of events. The unpredictability of earthquakes creates a major issue for the disaster management committee, highlighting the urgent need for worthy earthquake monitoring and alert systems which provide real-time information effectively.
We found that the existing literature shows the evolution of these systems from conventional seismographs to modern Internet Of Things -based and AI-possessed frameworks highlighting research gaps.

In our Project, my team and I played an important role in making analysis of many research papers and based upon that we wrote our review for the earthquake monitoring and alert system. We studied modern detection methods, implementation and simulations, and checked authenticity and refined our content. This project helped in improving our team work, research and technical skills, which helps us understand real world earthquake detection and alert technologies effectively.
The purpose of our project is to develop a low cost IoT based earthquake monitoring and alert system so that we predict the seismic waves and finally get to predict earthquakes so that we minimize the impact of it . We have used Modern MEMS accelerometers and broadband seismometers to provide the necessary sensitivity and reliability for earthquake detection. GPS timing systems ensure precise synchronization across the network. In this project the system is trained with the previous historical data, so that a model which works upon the previous database and by using Artificial intelligence whenever our system detects any earthquake or seismic waves it will give a proper alert ,which is evaluated by the analysis of seismic waves and then our system sends alert messages to users and gives alertness to be aware and to save wealth and health.

4.2 Traditional Seismic Monitoring Systems

Classic earthquake monitoring has, for the most part, depended on seismograph networks at sites all over the world to detect and describe earthquake events. The invention of standardized instruments and ways of sharing information paved the way for what we consider modern monitoring. National systems that closely monitor the amount of seismic noise and magnitude of perceived ground motions (i.e. the United States Geological Survey's network of earthquake-monitoring stations across the U.S.) have documented the value of extensive geographic coverage accompanied by consistent data-processing elements.
The changes from analog to digital systems have resulted in significant improvements in quality of data, storage space and real-time processing capability. Traditional efforts have been to develop capabilities for basic monitoring, a system of standard magnitude scales, and comprehensive earthquake catalogs for dealing with hazards and conducting scientific studies.

Older monitoring efforts used mechanical and analog electronic devices that focused on sensitivity and reliability for geologic work done over extended periods of time. Early systems used photographic records and manual analysis which limited the amount that could be used in real time, but allowed the data to be of high quality for scientific study. The conversion to digital systems in the 1980s and 1990s made automated processing possible while retaining the most important and fundamental stages of processing that standard seismological analysis had developed.

4.3 Modern Technological Approaches

4.3.1 Machine Learning Integration

And recent advancements in machine learning have made a huge difference in Earthquake Monitoring & Analysis. Working via supervised machine learning, it has been shown physical and earthquake model development has enhanced earthquake hazard analysis to a great extent with unprecedented detail.
Convolutional neural networks, particularly networks such as Phase Net, have been shown to perform well with automated phase picking, through, e.g. >700.000 labeled examples, to determine accurate P and S wave arrival times.

Machine learning applications don't simply end in detection but in infrastructures of multiple analysis. Studies show that tree-based boosting such as LightGBM can predict the categories of earthquake with better accuracy as compared to the traditional techniques. These methods have been effectively used in some regional areas for weather related purposes, with research demonstrating improved prediction accuracy in other areas such as Los Angeles using well tuned machine learning models. Unsupervised machine learning methods are becoming key mechanisms to study the full variety of seismic activity in catalogs today. This could help bring about a rapid enhancement of earthquake forecasting once previously unnoticed patterns obtained by seismic data.

4.3.2 Artificial Intelligence Applications

Incorporating artificial intelligence in earthquake science is more than the traditional pattern recognition methodology and is coupled with real-time prediction frameworks. Recent research has proposed the idea of smart earthquake monitoring systems that use the combination of cloud and edge computing to improve real- time analysis. AI applications have made a significant enhancement in the capability of seismological observatories to detect and estimate the hypocenter of earthquakes and their magnitudes. These advancements have been made possible by the ability to use high-performance computing and the availability of large datasets of seismology observations to train accurate models. Deep learning techniques hold promise for earthquake detection applications, although research is now focused on the assessment of reliability and uncertainty of methods for predicting earthquakes. The field is addressing issues concerning the understanding of models, the performance of models in various areas of seismicity and their combination with existing monitoring networks.

4.4 Early Warning Systems

4.4.1 Regional Implementation

Early warning systems are a significant development in minimizing the risks of earthquakes as they essentially give people seconds to minutes of advance warning before the strong shaking begins. The state of California's earthquake early warning system is a major player, with more than 89 percent of the State's seismic network deployed as of August 2024 with full deployment coming as soon as June 2025.
Building such kinds of systems requires a balance between speed and accuracy as illustrated by this research on the 2024 Mw7.4 Hualien earthquake in Taiwan. Studies have highlighted the challenges and failures of existing systems and the need for better integration of data and improved real-time response.

4.4.2 Global Market Development

The market of earthquake early warning systems systems has experienced substantial growth with the expected size of the earthquake early warning systems market in 2024 at $1.38 billion and is projected to reach $2.35 billion by 2033. This translates to a compound annual growth rate of 6.1%. The increase is an increasing recognition of the importance of the early warning system and greater investment in seismic monitoring infrastructure across the world.

4.5 Advanced Monitoring Technologies

4.5.1 Sensor Network Evolution

Modern seismic monitoring networks are using other sensor types in addition to the traditional seismometers. This includes GPS stations to measure the changes of the ground, accelerometers to record strong motion, and innovative low-cost sensors that can add statues of the network in an affordable way. Researches have shown that P-alert low-cost sensors can be helpful in determining the magnitude in earthquake warnings. These systems provide opportunities to expand the monitoring density, particularly in areas where instrumentation not normally affordable was an inhibitive factor.

4.5.2 Data Integration and Processing

Today's monitoring systems focus on a scalable processing structure that is based on the cloud with the ability to manage high amounts of data from distributed sensor networks. The QuakeFlow system presents such an approach by offering a machine-learning based earthquake monitoring workflow based upon cloud computing. These systems are a combination of different data streams, automated processing techniques and the real-time distribution that provides comprehensive monitoring and rapid response capabilities. The architecture supports scientific research as well as for operational early warning systems.

4.6 Internet of Things (IoT) and Distributed Sensing Networks

4.6.1 IoT Architecture and Sensor Technologies

IoT applications in earthquake monitoring have changed the potential for sensitized and inexpensive sensor networks with real-time communication. IoT systems are based on distributed sensor networks keeping a constant watch of the seismic activity and hence can send information as soon as earthquake is detected to building control systems and occupants. These systems are a major shift from the traditional and expensive high density monitoring system to high density distributed sensing at an affordable price.

Modern IoT projects include other types of sensors than old seismometers including MEMS accelerometers, gyroscope, magnetometers, and environmental sensors providing useful information about the seismic activity and its impacts. Integrating more than one type of sensor will help increase signal processing utilizing sensor fusion approaches that provide superior detection accuracy and reduce false alarms.

4.6.2 Edge Computing and Real-time Processing

Edge Computing as a framework helps in processing data on the go at the sensor site and also reduces the communication bandwidth requirements and enhances the speed of the ecosystem. IoT-centered smart earthquake monitoring systems connect to a cloud and edge computing to bring about an enhanced real-time analysis while retaining centralized control and data management.

Distributed processing methods allow individual nodes within the sensor network to perform some initial analysis and feature extraction and then send the results to central processing centers. This lowers communications requirements but makes it easier to make local decisions for urgent applications such as early warning systems.

4.7 Advanced Computational Methods and Modeling

4.7.1 High-Performance Computing Applications

Modern methods of earthquake monitoring and analysis require the use of high-performance computing more than ever before to manage large volumes of data and to perform complex calculations in real time. Distributed computing frameworks enable easy scaling of processing continuous data flows from hundreds or thousands of sensors simultaneously for maintaining special monitoring efforts that were not possible otherwise because of calculating power limits.

Cloud computing platforms are offered as a scalable foundation for earthquake monitoring applications that provide a high-performance computing resource for organizations without undergoing any major hardware cost. Systems such as Quake Flow demonstrate scalable earth science machine learning-based earthquake monitoring workflows using the cloud resources that offer research and operational capabilities with flexible and cost-effective infrastructure.

4.7.2 Numerical Modeling and Simulation

Three-dimensional numerical modeling of earthquake processes provides insights into the movements of seismic waves, ground motion prediction, and fault systems behavior that is of importance in developing effective monitoring techniques. High resolution simulation models are capable of representing complex geological structures and the shapes of faults in order to predict expected ground movements and optimize the placement of the sensors on the ground for monitoring networks.

Real-time finite element modeling can provide swift prediction capability of ground motion properties immediately after earthquake occurrence in order to aid in early warning systems and emergency planning. These models use real-time information about earthquake sources together with detailed geological information to provide accurate meetings of ground motion information for specific areas.

4.8 Prevention and Mitigation Strategies

Prevention strategies are both technological and under policy and are designed to reduce the impacts of earthquakes. Structural prevention is concerned with engineering solutions to such issues as seismic building codes and retrofitting programs, and strengthening infrastructure. These strategies have been used in regions with adequate preparedness to great success in reducing casualties and economic losses.

Non-structural prevention focuses on policy measures, land-use planning and emergency preparedness as well as community education programs. Research shows that widespread prevention efforts that use a combination of various methods are more effective than isolated interventions.

4.9 Conclusion

Recent earthquake alert and prediction systems show more progress using advanced technologies like AI, IoT and machine learning. Scientists such as Y. Nakamura, Allen and Kanamori developed a fast p-wave detection to send quick alerts systems like Japan’s EEW, California’s shake alert, and Mexico’s SASMEX use sensors, and smartphones and network to warn people within seconds. From the historical studies it has been proved that these system saves lives and minimize the impact of earthquake. Modern EEWS also use automated fault detection, backups, and weatherproof design to stay reliable during disasters. Future research focuses on using Artificial Intelligence and quantum computing to make earthquake monitoring faster, smarter and more accurate.

V. Comparative Analysis

5.1 Monitoring System Comparison

System Type	Coverage	Response Time	Accuracy	Cost	Maintenance
Traditional Seismic Networks	Regional to Global	Minutes to Hours	High	High	Moderate
AI-Enhanced Systems	Variable	Seconds to Minutes	Very High	Moderate	Low
EarlyWarning Systems	Regional	Seconds	Moderate	Very High	High
Low-Cost Sensor Networks	Local to Regional	Minutes	Moderate	Low	Low

5.2 Strengths and Weaknesses Analysis

Traditional Systems:

5.2.1 Strengths: Familiarity, consistency and standardization, a lot of historical data.
5.2.2 Weaknesses Limited Real-time, high infrastructure costs, maintenance needs.

Machine Learning Approaches:

5.2.3 Strengths: Better pattern recognition, automated processing, good improvement in accuracy.

5.2.4 Weaknesses: Requires large training data, difficulty in model interpretation, regional specific.

Early Warning Systems:

5.2.5 Strengths: Some immediate public safety benefits Automated alerts Integration ability.

5.2.6 Weaknesses: Implementation is very expensive, may give false alarms, limited warning time.

Integrated Systems:

5.2.7 Strengths: Coverage (complete), redundancy, multi parameter analysis

5.2.8 Weaknesses: Complicated, coordination, resource requirements

5.3 Performance Metrics Comparison

Evaluating earthquake monitoring systems involves taking a look at a number of performance metrics. These include detection threshold, location accuracy, magnitude estimation, response time and false alarm rates. A comparative analysis indicates significant differences in system performance depending on the network density, quality of equipment and processing methods. Recent studies have found that machine learning techniques can be used to achieve lower detection thresholds than standard techniques, whilst maintaining high accuracy rates. However, the types of applications where the performance increases are most apparent are those with a high number of monitoring points and some training data.

VI. Open Issues and Research Challenges

6.1 Prediction Accuracy Limitations

Despite much headway in monitoring technology and modes of analysis, it is still very difficult to accurately predict earthquakes. Current systems are able to provide short term warnings, in the seconds to minutes range. However, good-to-medium to long-term forecasts still have great scientific difficulties). The complexity of earthquake systems comprises many interacting processes at different spatial and time scales: limited ability to predict. Research challenges include developing methods of distinguishing between signs that may precede an earthquake event and background earthquake phenomena, better understanding the triggering of earthquakes, and finding reliable relationships between the parameters that we can monitor and subsequent earthquake activity.

6.2 Integration and Standardization

The proliferation of different technologies of monitoring, data formats and processing methods has created problems for system integration, and sharing data. We need standardization efforts that will make the sharing of data more convenient; provide for cross-system validation and research collaboration. Current research efforts are concentrating on developing common data formats, on defining standard performance measures and on developing software frames and software that can work with various technologies and analysis methods for monitoring.

6.3 False Alarm Management

An early warning system has a hard time finding a balance between the need to react quickly and the need to be accurate. False alarms can undermine public trust and elicit inappropriate responses and a lack of timely warnings can reduce the effectiveness of protective actions. Research and development is ongoing with discrimination cools, up the quality of good data in real-time, and the opportunity to develop an adaptive threshold system.

6.4 Coverage and Accessibility

Global earthquake monitoring is patchy with large areas being monitored by satellite, primarily ocean areas, developing countries, and remote regions. Expanding monitoring capabilities should address problems related to infrastructure costs and maintenance requirements as well as availability of technical expertise. Research directions involve the development of more cost-effective monitoring technologies and the establishment of models to create more sustainable deployment for monitoring systems and more effective remote monitoring systems using satellite systems and autonomous sensor networks.

6.5 Multi-Hazard Integration

Earthquakes are frequently followed by secondary disasters such as tsunamis, landslides and structure failures. Creating integrated monitoring and warning systems that can manage different categories of hazards is a major research challenge. This requires the co-ordination of different fields of science and operation agencies.

6.6 Uncertainty Quantification

Best practices for ANN Normally, a bus error occurs when an excessive number of neurons are connected or disconnected together. Finding better methods of quantifying and expressing uncertainty in earthquake monitoring and prediction is an important research need. This means that probability forecasting models are developed, probabilities of the confidence intervals for monitoring parameters are established, and effective approaches for communicating uncertainty to decision-makers and to the public are created.

VII. Conclusion

Literature review of Earthquake Monitoring and Alert System This survey of literature in Earthquake Monitoring and Alert System reveals a field undergoing rapid change because of the development of new technology and methods. The application of machine learning and artificial intelligence has become one of the driving forces with positive contributions to upgrade traditional seismology and enabling new functionalities such as automated detection patterns recognition as well as predictive modeling.

Key findings include great progress in several areas. Real-time monitoring networks have become very well covered and accurate. Early warning systems are now becoming a practical possibility as they become more widespread. Machine learning and the development/analysis of earthquake catalogs. Integrated approaches are resulting in better hazard assessment.

However, there are major challenges in improving accuracy of earthquake predictions, systems integration and standardization, developing the ability to handle false alarms, and achieving fair coverage around the globe. The field still is faced with the complex nature of earthquakes in trying to balance those advanced technologies with the practical needs.

The survey identifies a number of important areas of research that require immediate attention. These include developing better predictive models to provide more warning time than is currently feasible, developing standardized frameworks to incorporate various technologies and data sources of monitoring, improving how we communicate uncertainty to both the scientific community and the public, improving monitoring in areas of the world with a shortage of accessible and low-cost technologies, and establishing multi-hazard monitoring systems that capture all risks related to earthquake hazards.

Future research on Earthquake Monitoring and Alert Systems will likely continue to incorporate more advanced computing methods, an expanded sensor network capability and the development of more advanced early warning systems. There is growing awareness of the societal effects of earthquake hazards which is, along with technological improvements, a source of progress in the important field.

The need for ongoing research in earthquake science is important in the light of the potential for major earthquakes to cause severe losses in urbanized and connected societies. The information contained in this survey serves as a foundation for understanding the state-of-the-art capabilities and limits to those capabilities and suggests potential avenues for further study and development.

References

1. California Governor's Office of Emergency Services. (2024). California Earthquake Early Warning Program. Retrieved from https://www.caloes.ca.gov/office-of-the-director/operations/planning- preparedness-prevention/seismic-hazards/california-earthquake-early-warning-program/

2. Chen, W., Wang, L., & Zhang, S. (2024). MCU-Quake: Evolutionary deep learning method for earthquake signal discrimination with interpretable features. Journal of Seismology, 28(4), 891-907.

3. García-Fernández, M., et al. (2024). ATTAC initiative: Operational earthquake early warning systems in Central America and Turkey. Seismological Research Letters, 95(3), 1456-1468.

4. Gürsoy, E., et al. (2025). Analysis of earthquake detection using deep learning; Evaluating reliability and uncertainty in prediction methods. Computers & Geosciences, 189, 105275.

5. Hoshiba, M., & Ozaki, T. (2024). Earthquake early warning system in Japan; Current status and recent developments. Earth, Planets and Space, 76, 15.

6. Johnson, K. M., et al. (2024). IoT-powered earthquake monitoring; Achieving 95.65% prediction accuracy through integrated environmental analysis. IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 11(8), 12456-12471.

7. Lee, S., Park, J., & Kim, H. (2024). TFEQ: Transformer-based framework for real-time earthquake detection in heterogeneous IoT environments. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 62, 4501812.

8. Liu, X., et al. (2025). Universal neural networks for real-time earthquake early warning using generalized earthquake training datasets. Nature Communications, 16, 445.

9. Mousavi, S. M., & Beroza, G. C. (2021). Machine learning and earthquake forecasting; next steps. Nature Communications, 12, 4761.

10. Pacific Northwest Seismic Network. (2025). 2024 PNSN Earthquake Catalog. Retrieved from https://pnsn.org/blog/2025/01/30/2024-pnsn-earthquake-catalog

11. Nakamura, T., et al. (2024). Performance analysis of Japan's updated earthquake early warning system using IPF and PLUM methods during the 2024 Noto Peninsula earthquake. Geophysical Research Letters, 51, e2024GL108234.

12. National Institute of Standards and Technology. (2024). Seismic Design Guidelines for Federal Buildings: 2024 Update. NIST Special Publication 1254.

13. Patel, R., et al. (2024). Edge computing architectures for distributed earthquake monitoring systems; Performance evaluation and optimization strategies. Computer Networks, 235, 109956.

14. Rodriguez, A., et al. (2024). Cost-effectiveness analysis of earthquake early warning systems; Global market trends and economic impact assessment. Natural Hazards Review, 25(2), 04024008.

15. Scientific Reports. (2024). Improving earthquake prediction accuracy in Los Angeles with machine learning. Scientific Reports, 14, 24123.

16. Scientific Reports. (2025). Magnitude determination for earthquake early warning using P-alert low- cost sensors during 2024 Mw7.4 Hualien, Taiwan earthquake. Scientific Reports, 15, 1234.

17. Straits Research. (2025). Earthquake Early Warning Systems Market Size, Growth Forecast by 2033. Retrieved from https://straitsresearch.com/report/earthquake-early-warning-systems-market

18. U.S. Geological Survey. (2024). U.S. Geological Survey Earthquake Hazards Program decadal science strategy, 2024-33. USGS Open-File Report 2024-1027.

19. U.S. Geological Survey. (2025). Earthquake Hazards Program. Retrieved from https://www.usgs.gov/programs/earthquake-hazards

20. Wang, K., et al. (2024). Recent advances in earthquake seismology using machine learning. Earth, Planets and Space, 76, 27.

21. Zhu, W., et al. (2022). QuakeFlow: a scalable machine-learning-based earthquake monitoring workflow with cloud computing. Geophysical Journal International, 232(1), 684-693.

22. MIT Technology Review. (2023). How machine learning might improve earthquake prediction. Retrieved from https://www.technologyreview.com/2023/12/29/1084699/machine-learning-earthquake- prediction-ai-artificial-intelligence/

23. National Geographic. (2024). AI is helping seismologists find the next monster earthquake. Retrieved from https://www.nationalgeographic.com/science/article/ai-predict-earthquakes-seismology

24. Annual Reviews. (2023). Machine Learning in Earthquake Seismology. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 51, 47-68.

25. Rouet-Leduc, B., et al. (2023). Artificial intelligence based real-time earthquake prediction. Applied Soft Computing, 134, 109984.