JETIR.ORG

ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue



# **JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND** INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)

An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

## CLINICAL OVERVIEW OF ORTHOPEDIC INFECTIONS AND THE GROWING THREAT OF ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE-A **SCHEMATIC REVIEW**

Author: Dr.Marella Manasa, Assistant professor, Mahathi college of pharmacy, Research scholar JNTUA

Co Author: Dr. Yerikala Ramesh, Assistant professor, Ratnam Institute of pharmacy, SPSR Nellore

Background: Orthopedic infections are challenging and require effective antimicrobial therapies. New strategies are required due to the emergence of multidrug-resistant strains. Developing innovative medicines, controlling infections, comprehending microbial resistance, and using antibiotics sensibly are all important tactics.

## **Microbiota in orthopedic infections:**

Gram-positive bacteria, particularly Staphylococcus aureus and coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS), are the primary causes of orthopedic infections. S.aureus is notorious for its virulence, antibiotic resistance, and biofilm-forming abilities. Orthopedic infections are also caused by other organisms, such as Cutibacterium acnes, Enterococcus, and Streptococcus. PJIs are increasingly being caused by gram-negative bacteria such Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Escherichia coli. Effective therapy is severely hampered by the emergence of antibiotic-resistant strains, such as MRSA, VRSA, and carbapenem-resistant enterobacteria. Developing focused antimicrobial tactics requires an understanding of the range of infections and their resistance patterns.

## **Tailoring Antimicrobial Therapy for Orthopedic Infections:**

Treatment with antibiotics for orthopedic infections should be customized according to patient risk factors, pathogen sensitivity, and culture findings. To lower surgical site infections (SSIs), screening and decontamination procedures for S. aureus are important factors to take into account. In order to avoid SSIs, antibiotic prophylaxis—usually with cefazolin—is essential. Beta-lactam antibiotics, rifampicin, glycopeptides, and fluoroquinolones—which target specific pathogens—are among the available therapy choices. Antibiotics like daptomycin and rifampicin are efficient against bacteria buried in biofilms, thus controlling biofilms is also crucial. Targeted treatment is provided by local antimicrobial administration, such as spacers and bone cements filled with gentamicin. Effective treatment requires an understanding of pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, and combination therapy and targeted delivery can assist treat complicated infections and lower germ resistance.

**Conclusions:** Antimicrobials are crucial for preventing and treating orthopedic infections. however, resistance poses a significant global challenge. A multifaceted approach is needed, including prudent antibiotic use, stringent infection control measures, and robust stewardship programs. Ongoing research and global collaboration are essential to address this growing threat and preserve the effectiveness of antibiotics.

**Key words:** Orthopedic infections, Microbiota, Microbial resistance, Antibiotics.

#### **BACKGROUND:**

The human body's microbiome is a huge and complex web of bacteria, fungi, and viruses. The epidermis, salivary mucosa, and gastrointestinal tract contain the bulk of the human body's microbiota, which supports a range of physiological functions from metabolism to innate immunity. However, in some circumstances, the growth of these symbiotic bacteria may become uncontrollable, leading to infections that cause biofilms to form. (1) Bacteria have existed in two different states since the beginning of time: sessile (attached to a surface) and planktonic (free-floating).(2) Bacteria exhibit different traits between these two states because bacterial attachment to a surface causes a rapid change in the expression levels of several genes associated with development and synthesis of exopolysaccharide (EPS), sometimes referred to as "slime" or bacterial EPS. This shift begins as soon as bacteria colonize both biotic and abiotic surfaces and results in the production of a protective barrier.(3)

### An overview of orthopaedic infections:

Category	Subcategory	Type / Example	Further Classification		
Native	Hard Tissue	Bone	Acute → Hematogenous / Non-		
Tissue		(Osteomyelitis)	hematogenousChronic → Hematogenous /		
			Non-hematogenous		
		Vertebral	Hematogenous / Non-hematogenous		
		osteo/discitis			
		Cartilage	Bursa (Septic bursitis) → Superficial / Deep		
Native Tissue	Soft Tissue	Synovium	Tendon Sheath (Infectious tenosynovitis)		
		Synovial Joint	Acute → Gonococcal / Non-		
		(Septic	gonococcalChronic		
		arthritis)			
		Tendons &			
		Ligaments Ligaments			
		Muscle	Pyogenic / Non-pyogenic		
		Subcutaneous	Necrotizing cellulitis & fasciitisSurgical		
		Tissue & Deep	site infections		
		Fascia			
Foreign	Orthopaedic	Fracture	Early / Delayed-late		
Material	Hardware	fixation			
		hardware (pins,			
		screws, plates,			
		etc.)			
		Prosthetic Joint	Early / Chronic (delayed-late) / Acute		
		Infection (PJI)	hematogenous seeding		
	Retained	From	_		
	Foreign	penetrating			
	Bodies	injury			

**Table 1:** Types Of Orthopaedic Infections

By connecting clinical insights with scientific breakthroughs, our objective is to illuminate the path forward in the management of orthopedic infections. Orthopedic infections have a wide range of clinical manifestations, and improving diagnostics is essential to ensuring proper diagnosis, effective treatment, and better results.

The phenomenon of multidrug-resistant organisms, Antimicrobial resistance, Treatment failures emergence makes successful antimicrobial treatment even more challenging. We anticipate that this special issue will act as a pivotal force in enriching our understanding of orthopedic infections and fostering discussions aimed at establishing best practices in patient care.

## **Biofilms and Drug Resistance**

and morbidity associated with them.

The biofilm's structural characteristics and constituent bacteria are responsible for the development of antibiotic resistance. Biofilms may play a major role in the complex phenomena of drug resistance linked to biofilms. Biofilms and related infections can be treated with antibiotics, disinfectants, and germicidal chemicals. When compared to their planktonic stage, bacteria that live in biofilms exhibit a 10-1000-fold increase in drug resistance, particularly antibiotic resistance.(4) For example, it has been noted that all Staphylococcus epidermidis biofilm isolates were vancomycin-sensitive when analyzed planktonically. (5). Nevertheless, over 75% of the microbes that were isolated from a biofilm were resistant to the same drugs.(6) **Targeting Biofilms Therapy:** 

Controlling biofilms is a challenging issue. If biofilms are not adequately addressed, As a result of the extensive use of antibiotics to treat biofilm-associated illnesses, more aggressive, antibiotic-resistant bacteria have begun to appear, potentially increasing the incidence and severity of infections(7), as well as the mortality

, requiring the development of innovative methods to remove them. [8].

It is crucial to understand completely how biofilms form, apply efficient communication strategies, and put these strategies into practice in order to effectively regulate them (9) Antibacterial medications, Dietary supplements, surface treatments, and changes to other environmental conditions can all help avoid the formation of biofilms early on. (10)

The pathogen that has been identified determines the choice of antimicrobial drugs. Before beginning oral medicine, initial treatment usually consists of intravenous therapy with a beta-lactam, glycopeptide, or daptomycin to lower bacterial density.

#### **Treatment Duration**

- Debridement in addition to retention or one-stage exchange: 12 weeks of treatment
- Two-stage exchange with short interval: 12 weeks of treatment
- Two-stage exchange with interval  $\geq 8$  weeks: 6 weeks of treatment during implant-free interval

Microorganism /	<b>Antimicrobial</b>	Dose (per	Route	<b>Duration</b> /
scenario	agent(s)	agent)		notes
Staphylococcus	Rifampin +	Rifampin 450	Rifampin: PO (or IV	IV therapy for
aureus or	(flu)cloxacillin	mg every 12 h -	if used);	~2 weeks, then
coagulase-		450 mg q12h;	Flucloxacillin: IV	switch to
negative		Flucloxacillin		rifampin + oral
staphylococci —		(or		fluoroquinolon
methicillin-		fluclox/fluclox-		e (e.g.,
susceptible		like) 2 g every		levofloxacin
		6 h - <b>2 g q6h</b>		750 mg q24h
				or 500 mg
				q12h
				depending on
				drug) for
				continuation
Staphylococcus	Rifampin +	Rifampin 450	Rifampin: PO;	IV for ~2
aureus or	vancomycin <b>or</b>	mg every 12 h;	Vancomycin /	weeks, then
coagulase-	rifampin +	Vancomycin 1	Daptomycin: IV	rifampin
negative	daptomycin	g every 12 h		combined with
staphylococci -		(adjust per		an oral agent
methicillin-		level);		(e.g.,
resistant (MRSA /		Daptomycin 8–		levofloxacin)
MR CoNS)		10 mg/kg every		or continue
		24 h		alternative
				agents such as
				daptomycin,
				teicoplanin,

				C: 1: '1
				fusidic acid, cotrimoxazole,
				or minocycline
				per susceptibility
				and clinical
				scenario
Streptococcus	Penicillin G or	Penicillin G 5	IV for	IV for ~4
spp.	ceftriaxone; then	million U every	penicillin/ceftriaxone	weeks,
зрр.	follow-on amoxicillin	6 h;	; Amoxicillin PO for	followed by
		Ceftriaxone 2 g	oral follow-on	oral
		every 24 h;	0144 10110 () 011	amoxicillin as
		Amoxicillin		indicated
		750–1000 mg		
		every 8 h (oral)		
Enterococcus spp.	Penicillin G or	Penicillin G 5	IV (all)	IV for 2–4
(penicillin-	Ampicillin or	million U every		weeks,
susceptible)	Amoxicillin <b>or</b>	6 h; Ampicillin		followed by
•	(daptomycin +	2 g every 4–6		oral
	aminoglycoside)	h; Daptomycin		amoxicillin
		8–10 mg/kg		750–1000 mg
		every 24 h (if		every 8 h when
		used)		appropriate
Enterococcus spp.	Vancomycin or	Vancomycin 1	IV	Duration
(penicillin-	Daptomycin +	g every 12 h		individualized
resistant)	aminoglycoside	(adjust by		(typical IV
		levels);		courses);
		Daptomycin 8–		combine with
		12 mg/kg every		aminoglycosid
		24 h (range		e when
		given)		indicated by
				susceptibility
	G: M	7.50	DO.	and severity
Enterobacteriacea	Ciprofloxacin	750 mg every	PO	Oral regimen
e (quinolone-		12 h		when
susceptible)				susceptible
				(duration per
				infection
Non form on tong	Cofonimo om	Cafanima	IVI (initial)	severity) IV for ~2–4
Non-fermenters	Cefepime <b>or</b> Ceftazidime +	Cefepime / Ceftazidime: 2	IV (initial)	1V 10r ~2-4 weeks,
(e.g., Pseudomonas	aminoglycoside; then	g every 6 h		followed by
aeruginosa,	possible oral step-	(dose depends		oral
Acinetobacter	down	on agent);		ciprofloxacin
spp.)	GOWII	Aminoglycosid		750 mg every
SPP•)		e per local		12 h if
		dosing		appropriate and
		8		susceptible
Anaerobes	Clindamycin	IV: 600 mg	$IV \rightarrow PO$	IV for ~2–4
		every 6–8 h;		weeks, then
		PO step-down:		switch to oral
		300 mg every 6		clindamycin
		h		300 mg q6h
Mixed infections	Amoxicillin/clavulani	Amox/clav: 2.2	IV	IV for ~2–4
(without MR	c acid <b>or</b>	g every 8 h (IV		weeks, then
staphylococci)	Piperacillin/tazobacta	formulation);		tailor follow-
		Pip/tazo 4.5 g		on regimen to

m	or Imipenem or	every 8 h;	culture and
Mo	eropenem	Imipenem 500	susceptibility
		mg every 6 h;	
		Meropenem 1 g	
		every 8 h	

Table 2: target antibiotic Treatment of orthopedic infections

#### **Future directions:**

To better understand microbiologic processes, researchers in the domains of food, water, medical, and environmental microbiology need to concentrate on the threat posed by biofilms. The implementation of this approach by the pharmaceutical and healthcare sectors will undoubtedly lead to the development of new biofilm prevention and control techniques. Gaining a deeper understanding of the factors that distinguish the biofilm phenotype from the planktonic phenotype would help future efforts to regulate biofilms.

#### **CONCLUSION:**

Orthopedic infections, particularly those involving biofilm formation, pose significant challenges. This study aims to advance our understanding and explore biofilm-targeted therapies to improve treatment outcomes, reduce healthcare costs, and alleviate the psychosocial and financial burden associated with these infections. By developing effective treatment strategies, we can improve patient care and quality of life.

- 1. Bjarnsholt T., Ciofu O., Molin S., Givskov M., Hoiby N. Applying insights from biofilm biology to drug development—Can a new approach be developed? Nat. Rev. Drug. Discov. 2013;12:791-808. doi: 10.1038/nrd4000. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 2. Irie Y., Borlee B.R., O'Connor J.R., Hill P.J., Harwood C.S., Wozniak D.J., Parsek M.R. Self-produced exopolysaccharide is a signal that stimulates biofilm formation in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2012;109:20632–20636. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1217993109. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 3. Gupta P., Sarkar S., Das B., Bhattacharjee S., Tribedi P. Biofilm, pathogenesis and prevention--a journey to break the wall: A review. Arch. Microbiol. 2016;198:1–15. doi: 10.1007/s00203-015-1148-6. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]
- 4. Mah T.F. Biofilm-specific antibiotic resistance. Future Microbiol. 2012;7:1061–1072. doi: 10.2217/fmb.12.76. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]
- 5. Pinheiro L., Brito C.I., Pereira V.C., Oliveira A., Camargo C.H., Cunha Mde L. Reduced susceptibility to vancomycin and biofilm formation in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis isolated from blood cultures. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz. 2014;109:871-878. doi: 10.1590/0074-0276140120. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]
- 6. Dan B., Dai H., Zhou D., Tong H., Zhu M. Relationship Between Drug Resistance Characteristics and Biofilm Formation in Klebsiella Pneumoniae Strains. Infect. Drug. Resist. 2023;16:985–998. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S396609. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar][Ref list]
- 7. Algburi A., Comito N., Kashtanov D., Dicks L.M.T., Chikindas M.L. Control of Biofilm Formation: Antibiotics and Beyond. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2017;83:e02508-16. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02508-16. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]
- 8. Fleming D., Rumbaugh K.P. Approaches to Dispersing Medical Biofilms. Microorganisms. 2017;5:15. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms5020015. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]
- 9. Hobley L., Harkins C., MacPhee C.E., Stanley-Wall N.R. Giving structure to the biofilm matrix: An overview of individual strategies and emerging common themes. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 2015;39:649-669. doi: 10.1093/femsre/fuv015. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar][Ref list]
- 10. Chow J.Y., Yang Y., Tay S.B., Chua K.L., Yew W.S. Disruption of biofilm formation by the human pathogen Acinetobacter baumannii using engineered quorum-quenching lactonases. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2014;58:1802–1805. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02410-13. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar][Ref list]