JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Land Inventory Valuation and Regulatory Risk: Small Firm Portfolio Management in Peri-Urban Mumbai Metropolitan Region

Submitted by:
Nimisha Thakkar
MBA (Banking and Finance), Semester III
Amity Business School, Amity University Mumbai, India

Under the Guidance of:
Prof. (DR.) M. S. Suganthiya
Amity Business school, Amity University Mumbai, India.

Abstract: This study develops a practical risk and valuation framework for small land investment firms navigating regulatory zoning transitions in peri-urban Mumbai Metropolitan Region (MMR), integrating RICS risk matrices with market appreciation dynamics from four anonymized case studies (Ajoshi, Asroti, Chowk, Sarang). Findings reveal 375% appreciation through strategic holding, FSI-driven development potential, and recent rezoning risk reduction, demonstrating how regulatory clarity transforms realizable value. The framework offers actionable guidance for impairment testing, hold/sell decisions, and contingent liability recognition in emerging markets.

IndexTerms **- Zoning Transitions, Land Valuation, Risk Matrices, MMR Development, Regulatory Risk, FSI Strategy, Peri-urban Investment.**

Key Terms:

FSI (Floor Space Index): Maximum construction area permitted per land sq. m (Maharashtra zoning)

TDR (Transferable Development Rights): Zoning value transfer between sending/receiving zones (NITI Aayog, 2020)

NAINA: Navi Mumbai Airport Influence Notified Area (16,000 Ha. development zone)

NRV (Net Realisable Value): Sale proceeds (-) completion costs (IAS 2 adapted)

5×5 Risk Matrix: RICS Likelihood (1-5) × Impact (1-5) = priority score (RICS, 2020)

Triad Framework: Regulatory risk + FSI yield + infrastructure timing positioning (this study)

1. Introduction

India stands as one of the world's leading emerging economies, distinguished by its large population of approximately 1.46 billion in 2025 (UNFPA, 2025) and a total fertility rate nearing 1.9—below the replacement level of 2.1—signalling a slowing yet sustained demographic growth [11][12]. The demographic

shift brings a large group of working-age folks into the picture. That group makes up about 65 percent of the population these days. It looks set to stick around for another 30 years at least. In a way, this creates a real bonus for the economy. It pushes demand for housing from the middle class that keeps growing.

The Mumbai Metropolitan Region fits right into this scene as a main spot for expansion beyond the city edges. It deals with population swells and economic strains through major infrastructure pushes—including the Navi Mumbai International Airport (NMIA), Atal Setu also called as Mumbai Trans Harbour Link (MTHL), and the Navi Mumbai Airport Influence Notified Area (NAINA). These projects stimulate land value appreciation and complex regulatory changes crucial for investment decisions.

Small-sized land investment firms, often operating with lean teams and leveraging partnerships with other firms or wealthy individuals, play a vital role in aggregating and developing land in the MMR's peri-urban corridors. The present study scrutinizes four such land parcels under the stewardship of one such firm through a detailed action research framework.

Research Question:
How do regulatory zoning transitions, long-term market appreciation, and adaptive development strategies interact to shape land valuation, risk governance, and investment decisions for small land investment firms in emerging peri-urban markets?

2. Literature Review

2.1 Real Options and Investment Timing Under Uncertainty

Real options theory establishes uncertainty delays irreversible land development, with 1-standard-deviation volatility reducing hazard rates 13%—equivalent to 9% price declines (Bulan et al., 2009). Competition erodes option values, compelling earlier exercise (Caballero, 1991). Small firms face amplified idiosyncratic risk yet navigate via partnership structures.

2.2 Infrastructure Causality: Before/After Verification

Causal analysis (not correlation) confirms infrastructure impact:

- **Atal Setu (MTHL**): Panvel land prices rose from ₹6-8k/sq ft (2023) to ₹8-10k/sq ft (Jan 2025), +25% within 15 months, establishing causal infrastructure-value relationship (Knight Frank India, 2025).
- **NMIA/NAINA:** Pre-opening (2024) +10-15% YOY; post-Oct 2025 trajectory +20-25% (Newsband, 2025).

MMRDA Regional Plan 2016-2036 codified these infrastructure catalysts (MMRDA, 2016).

2.3 Small-Sized Land Investment Firms in Emerging Markets

Partnership models enable small firms to manage land portfolios despite capital constraints. Regulatory arbitrage via TDR mechanisms (NITI Aayog, 2020) represents distinct operational pathway unavailable to single-asset owners. Strategic timing of asset positioning relative to regulatory shifts remains understudied in emerging markets.

2.4 Risk Management in Land Investment

RICS 5×5 matrices prioritise regulatory uncertainty (Likelihood × Impact) while Red Book mandates scenario analysis for special assumptions like rezoning (RICS, 2020; RICS, 2025).

Literature Gap: No framework integrates small-firm operational strategies with RICS risk protocols in peri-urban contexts.

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Research Design

The study adopts a qualitative, multiple-case study design combined with an action research approach consistent with Yin (2018), enabling an immersive, participant-observer insight into firm strategies. This design allows examination of land inventory risk under naturally unfolding urban and regulatory transitions.

3.2 Data Collection

Primary Data:

- Semi-structured interviews with firm owner and team leaders focusing on land acquisition strategies, risk perceptions, and development decisions.
- Participation in key organizational activities, including site inspections of the four selected land parcels and meetings with municipal authorities.
- Analysis of internal documents (sale agreements, land titles, financial valuations) vetted and approved by the company per verbal confidentiality agreement.

Secondary Data:

- Review of Knight Frank India Real Estate Reports (H1 and Q3 2025), NITI Aayog and Maharashtra policy documents, MMRDA regional planning literature, and RICS valuation standards used to benchmark and validate primary data findings.

3.3 Case Selection

The four parcels — Ajoshi, Asroti, Chowk, and Sarang — were purposively selected from the firm's portfolio to encapsulate diverse land-use categories, regulatory statuses, and strategic approaches. Details and reasoning for selection were collaboratively refined with company mentors for representativeness and data richness.

Table 1. Comparative risk and valuation analysis of the four land parcels

Parcel	Location	Size	Holding Period	Key Strategic Focus
Ajoshi	Raigad peri-urban	2 acres	Not specified	Long-term appreciation, post-rezone self-development
Asroti	Navi Mumbai corridor	1.5 acres + building	Ongoing	Partnership-based commercial income
Chowk	Manivali corridor	20,000 sq. ft	Planned development	Mixed-use rental yielding 9-12% ROI
Sarang	Pen, Raigad	11 acres agriculture (4 N/A)	10+ years	Long hold for appreciation amid infrastructure

3.4 Data Analysis

Thematic analysis was undertaken on qualitative data from interviews and observations, employing open coding and emergent theme development targeting risk factors and strategy adaptations. Quantitative internal data were analysed via scenario-based NRV computations and adapted risk matrices inspired by RICS standards, prioritizing regulatory risk domains.

Triad Analysis Protocol:

1. **Risk Matrix Scoring:** Pre/post-intervention regulatory risk (RICS, 2020)
2. **Scenario Positioning:** Base/optimistic/pessimistic NRV cases (RICS, 2025)
3. **Infrastructure Timeline Validation:** Before/after land price comparison
4. **Strategic Narrative:** Integrated Triad synthesis

3.5 Ethical Considerations

All data collection respected verbal confidentiality agreements. Data and company identifiers have been anonymized or generalized to protect proprietary interests. The firm vetted and approved all disclosed information to ensure non-harmful public dissemination.

4. Findings

4.1 Ajoshi Parcel: Appreciation and Regulatory Risk Mitigation

The Ajoshi parcel's acquisition cost of ₹2 Cr has appreciated to ₹9.5 Cr over the holding period, validating a 375% realized gain before October 2025. The rezoning from government reservation to residential zoning reduced critical regulatory risk (risk rating decreased from 25 to 5), preventing government acquisition and enabling the firm's pivot to self-led development.

4.2 Chowk Parcel: Optimized Rental Development

Chowk's 20,000 sq. ft. parcel is slated for a 75,000 sq. ft mixed-use rental development. Projected property value is ₹25 Cr against ₹20 Cr of construction costs, with expected rental yields ranging from 9-12%, underscoring effective FSI utilization. This strategy diversifies cash flow and capitalizes on peri-urban rental market growth.

4.3 Sarang Parcel: Long-Term Hold Strategy with FSI Heterogeneity

The 11-acre Sarang parcel contains 4 non-agricultural acres and 7 agricultural acres, subject to differing FSI restrictions impacting development potential. Holding the parcel for over 10 years, the firm plans a further 3-year retention period to realize anticipated value appreciation, supported by proximity to NMIA and other infrastructural enablers. Optimistic valuation scenarios place potential gains around ₹1110 Cr over a 5–10-year horizon.

4.4 Asroti Parcel: Transit-Oriented Mixed-Use Development within NAINA

The Asroti parcel (1.5 acres, vacant) in Navi Mumbai's NAINA zone plans an integrated mixed-use building incorporating public transport infrastructure (bus stand integrated within commercial structure, similar to Seawood Nexus model). Development remains in contract/design phase; physical construction pending (company records). NAINA designation supports transit-oriented development potential. The main risk is execution complexity (likelihood 3, impact 4, rating 12) and municipal coordination. This longer-duration strategy contrasts with Ajoshi land's imminent development, Chowk's active construction, and Sarang's long-hold approach.

5. Discussion

The findings illustrate how small-sized land investment firms leverage strategic holding, regulatory risk management, and development planning amid dynamic demographic and infrastructural transformation. The proposed risk matrices and valuation scenarios, adapted from RICS guidelines, offer a tailored governance toolkit aligned with the operational realities of small firms and partnership models.

The firm's avoidance of loss due to government acquisition through TDR substitution with self-development in Ajoshi signals strategic agility supported by detailed risk modelling. Chowk's FSI-driven development highlights economic leverage possible even on limited land parcels. Sarang's patient long-term hold evidences commitment grounded in robust demographic and infrastructure forecasts.

Policy reforms such as RERA and digitized land records enhance transparency, reduce contingencies, and underpin investor confidence. Expanding urban infrastructure further magnifies peri-urban land attractiveness, yet firms must maintain rigorous risk monitoring to adapt swiftly to regulatory changes.

5.1 Regulatory Risk Mitigation as Core Value Driver

Ajoshi case demonstrates regulatory clarity eliminates contingent liabilities. Pre-rezoning risk score 25 reflected government acquisition threat; post-rezoning score 5 reflects developmental freedom. This 80% risk reduction represents measurable strategic positioning value independent of market appreciation dynamics.

Mechanism: TDR guidelines (NITI Aayog, 2020) and digital land records (World Bank, 2016) enable regulatory arbitrage. Small firms exploit information asymmetries in zoning transitions unavailable to large institutional investors bound by standardised procedures.

5.2 FSI Yield Positioning on Constrained Land

Chowk demonstrates small-parcel viability through FSI optimisation. 20,000 sqft land insufficient for standalone large development; mixed-use integration achieves 9-12% yield through density compliance and rental diversification.

Strategic Insight: Constraint resolution through design optimization represents small-firm competitive advantage (operational flexibility vs institutional rigidity).

5.3 Infrastructure Timing as Real Option Exercise

Sarang's 13-year hold reflects real options framework: Uncertainty delays investment; timing option maximises value (Bulan et al., 2009). NMIA infrastructure materialism (Oct 2025 opening) reduces uncertainty, enabling value exercise planning. Pre-opening +10-15% appreciation reflects option value accumulation; post-opening +20-25% forecast represents threshold exercise point.

Academic Contribution: Empirical validation of real options theory in emerging market peri-urban context, demonstrating small-firm timing precision advantage.

5.4 Transit Integration as Premium Capture

Asroti's NAINA location and Seawood Nexus development model position firm to capture transit premium. Design-phase status reflects uncertain execution, limiting current strategic priority. However, successful execution would validate transit-oriented development model scalability.

5.6 Limitations and Constraints

1. **Single-Firm Study:** Findings reflect one firm's strategies; comparative generalisation requires multi-firm dataset.
2. **Unverified Causality**: Ajoshi appreciation attribution unclear due to unspecified acquisition date; regulatory positioning benefit established independent of appreciation.
3. **Data Confidentiality:** Proprietary constraints limit detailed financial disclosure.
4. **Temporal Scope:** Study reflects 2025 market snapshot; longitudinal validation necessary.

6. Conclusion

This study presents a replicable empirical framework enabling small partnership-driven land firms in peri-urban Indian metros to align risk management and valuation with evolving zoning policies and infrastructural catalysts. Balancing long-term strategic holding with opportunistic self-development and rental income maximization, firms can effectively navigate India's complex urbanization landscape benefiting from demographic dividends and policy improvisation.

Future Research Directions:

1. Multi-firm Triad framework validation
2. Quantitative efficiency modelling
3. Bangalore, Hyderabad, Pune comparative analysis
4. Partnership structure optimisation

Small land firms represent critical housing supply enablers in emerging markets. RICS-compliant frameworks enhance operational clarity and investment confidence.

7. References

[1] RICS. (2025) RICS Valuation - Global Standards (Red Book World Edition). London: Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors.

[2] RICS. (2020) Management of Risk, 1st edition. London: Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors.

[3] Bulan, L., Mayer, C. and Somerville, C.T. (2009) 'Irreversible investment, real options, and competition: evidence from real estate development', Journal of Urban Economics, 65(3), pp. 273-284.

[4] ANAROCK Property Consultants. (2025) Residential Market Viewpoints: MMR Q1 2025. ANAROCK Research.

[5] Knight Frank India. (2025a) India Real Estate Residential & Office Market H1 2025. Knight Frank Research.

[6] Knight Frank India. (2025b) Mumbai MarketBeat Q3 2025. Knight Frank Research.

[7] Thakkar, N.J. (2025) Land inventory risk analysis: strategic case studies in Navi Mumbai & Raigad. MBA Summer Internship Project Report (unpublished). Amity Business School.

[8] Yin, R.K. (2018) Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods. 6th edn. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

[9] World Bank. (2015) Land Governance Assessment Framework (LGAF): India Country Report. Washington, DC: World Bank Group.

[10] Government of Maharashtra. (2025) Satbara 7/12 Utara: Land Records. MahaBhulekh Portal. Available at: https://bhulekh.mahabhumi.gov.in.

[11] CIDCO. (2025) NAINA Interim Development Plan (IDP). Navi Mumbai Airport Influence Notified Area. City & Industrial Development Corporation.

[12] IAS 36. (2019) Impairment of Assets. International Accounting Standards Board.

[13] IAS 37. (2018) Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets. International Accounting Standards Board.

[14] Newsband. (2025) 'NMIA opening sparks real estate optimism in Navi Mumbai'. Available at: https://www.newsband.in/article_detail/nmia-opening-sparks-real-estate-optimism-in-navi-mumbai.

[15] UNFPA India. (2025) State of World Population 2025. United Nations Population Fund.

[16] NITI Aayog. (2020) Transferable Development Rights (TDR) Guidelines. Government of India.

[17] MMRDA. (2016) Mumbai Metropolitan Region Development Plan. Mumbai Metropolitan Region Development Authority.