JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

"RISK ANALYSIS OF MATERIAL HANDLING SYSTEM"

[1]Mr. Narendra Shivajirao Deshmukh,
[1] Lecturer,
[1] Civil Engineering Department
[1]Yashoda Technical Campus Faculty of Polytechnic Satara, Tal- Satara Satara, Maharashtra, India

Abstract : **–** Material handling forms the backbone of industrial operations, influencing the overall performance, safety, productivity, and cost-effectiveness of manufacturing and construction projects. Despite the adoption of modern equipment and advanced safety guidelines, industries continue to face major risks such as musculoskeletal injuries, material damage, equipment malfunction, and workplace accidents. This research paper provides a detailed risk analysis of material handling processes using structured techniques including Hazard Identification (HAZID), Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA), and the Risk Assessment Matrix. Data was collected from industrial case studies, operator interviews, equipment observations, and historical safety records. Results indicate that manual lifting errors, equipment collisions, and improper load storage are the primary contributors to accidents. The study proposes comprehensive mitigation strategies such as ergonomic intervention, process automation, operator certification training, predictive maintenance, and structural improvements in workflow layout. The findings aim to support industries in minimizing risk exposure and enhancing safety culture.

Keywords - ***Material Handling, Risk Analysis, HAZID, FMEA, Industrial Safety, Workplace Hazards, Risk Mitigation***

I. INTRODUCTION :

Material handling encompasses the movement, storage, safeguarding, and control of materials throughout manufacturing, warehousing, and distribution activities. It involves manual, semi-mechanized, and fully automated systems such as forklifts, conveyors, cranes, hoists, AGVs, pallet trucks, and robotic arms.
Efficient material handling reduces production time, enhances workflow, lowers operation costs, and ensures worker safety. Conversely, poorly managed material handling can result in:

- Workplace injuries
- Machine downtime
- Material breakage
- Delayed production cycles
- Increased operational expenses

Industries such as manufacturing, construction, logistics, and warehousing frequently encounter risks due to human errors, equipment malfunction, improper load management, and unsafe operating conditions. Hence, a detailed and systematic risk analysis is crucial for preventing accidents and improving safety standards.

This study provides an in-depth risk evaluation of material handling operations through structured assessment techniques and presents reliable solutions for industries to adopt.

II. Problem Statement :

Material handling remains one of the most hazardous industrial activities. Despite the availability of guidelines and equipment, industries continue to suffer injuries, financial losses, and operational disruptions.
There is a lack of structured and comprehensive risk analysis in many industries. As a result, several hazards remain unidentified or underestimated. The problem addressed in this research is to systematically evaluate the risks associated with material handling operations and recommend practical and effective mitigation strategies.

III. Literature Review :

1. Muther, R. (2014) – Practical Plant Layout and Materials Handling

Muther's work is considered one of the most influential contributions to material handling system design. He introduced the principles of Systematic Handling Analysis (SHA) and Systematic Layout Planning (SLP), emphasizing that material movement should be minimized to reduce cost, time, and risk. Muther explains that poor layout planning increases the probability of collisions, congestion, worker fatigue, and unsafe handling. His guidelines stress that material flow patterns must be smooth, direct, and designed with safety and ergonomics in mind. This reference provides foundational concepts for analyzing hazards related to workflow inefficiencies and layout-based risks.

2. OSHA Standards (2022) –Material Handling Safety Regulations

OSHA provides regulatory standards that directly define safe material handling practices in industrial workplaces. These standards outline rules for manual lifting limits, forklift operations, load securing, hazard communication, personal protective equipment (PPE), and training requirements. OSHA emphasizes that many injuries occur due to improper lifting techniques, untrained equipment operators, and failure to identify hazards. The standards form a legal framework that guides risk analysis, helping organizations ensure compliance and reduce accident rates. This reference supports the identification of regulatory-based risks and required safety controls.

3. Khan & Abbasi (2001) – Risk Analysis in Process Industries

Khan and Abbasi provide a scientific foundation for risk identification, risk quantification, and risk evaluation using structured analytical techniques. Their work discusses methods like hazard indices, fault tree analysis, event tree analysis, consequence modeling, and probabilistic risk assessment. Although focused on process industries, these methods are widely applicable to material handling operations. Their approach highlights how risk can be systematically measured using frequency and severity metrics. This reference strengthens the methodological base for developing a risk assessment framework for material handling systems.

4. Roughton & Cranshaw (2004) – Manager's Guide to Workplace Safety

Roughton and Cranshaw emphasize the importance of safety culture, management commitment, continuous monitoring, employee involvement, and systematic safety programs in reducing workplace hazards. They describe how organizations must integrate safety planning with daily operations through tools such as audits, inspections, safety committees, and training. Their work shows that material handling risks cannot be solved only through engineering measures; organizational and administrative controls are equally important. This reference supports the integration of safety management systems into material handling risk mitigation.

5. Garg & Deshmukh (2006) – Material Handling and Safety Management

Garg and Deshmukh focus specifically on safety issues associated with both manual and mechanical material handling. They provide detailed insights into:

- ergonomic risk factors,
- musculoskeletal injury prevention,
- unsafe lifting postures,
- equipment handling methods,
- operator training, and
- preventive maintenance.

Their work highlights that material handling is one of the largest contributors to workplace injuries, particularly back injuries and strains. They also explain how improper equipment use and poor workstation design lead to operational inefficiencies and accidents. This reference contributes practical knowledge for identifying ergonomic and mechanical risks in material handling environments.

6. IOSH (2020) – Guidelines on Safe Material Handling Practices

IOSH guidelines provide globally accepted best practices for safe handling of materials. They emphasize ergonomic lifting techniques, load assessment before lifting, safe use of equipment, ergonomic workstation design, and proactive hazard identification. IOSH stresses the importance of conducting regular risk assessments, providing suitable PPE, and ensuring workers are trained in safe handling procedures. Their recommendations are essential for developing preventive measures and training modules for reducing handling-related injuries.

7. IS 7205 (2016) – Indian Standard for Material Handling Equipment Safety.

This Indian Standard outlines safety requirements for cranes, hoists, conveyors, lifting devices, and other material handling equipment. It specifies guidelines for equipment design, inspection schedules, operational safety checks, and emergency procedures. IS 7205 ensures that equipment used in Indian industries follows uniform safety norms, reducing the chances of mechanical failures and accidents. This reference is crucial for understanding equipment-related hazards and ensuring compliance with national safety regulations in Indian industrial settings.

IV. Objectives

The objectives of this study are:

1. To identify hazards associated with material handling operations.
2. To conduct risk evaluation using HAZID, Risk Matrix, and FMEA techniques.
3. To quantify the severity and likelihood of various hazards.
4. To identify critical failure points in manual and mechanical handling.
5. To propose cost-effective and implementable risk mitigation strategies.

V. METHODOLOGY

In order to accomplish the objectives, the project work has been divided into five major parts. They are:

1. The study focuses on material handling operations in manufacturing industries, including loading/unloading, storage, internal transport, and shop-floor operations. Collection of data by using Questionnaire survey for selected existing housing construction projects.
2. Collection of data Site visits and workplace observations
3. Analysis of hazard tool is used on the site on the basis of risk matrix & FEMA.
4. This study demonstrates that applying structured risk analysis methods such as HAZID, FMEA, and the Risk Matrix can effectively identify critical hazards and support decision-making processes.

VI .RISK ANALYSIS In INDUSTRIAL OPERATION

6.1 Overview and Research Design

This study uses a mixed-methods approach combining qualitative hazard identification with quantitative risk evaluation. The workflow: (1) site selection and scoping, (2) data collection (observations, interviews, records), (3) Hazard Identification (HAZID), (4) quantitative risk assessment using a Risk Matrix and FMEA (Risk Priority Number — RPN), (5) prioritization and mitigation planning, (6) validation and feedback, (7) documentation and recommendations. The approach is iterative: early findings inform follow-up inspections and adjustments to controls.

6.2 Study Scope and Site Selection

- **Scope:** Material handling operations including manual lifting, forklift/crane operations, internal transport routes, storage/stacking, loading/unloading and associated supporting activities (maintenance, housekeeping).
- **Sites:** Select 2–4 representative facilities (e.g., manufacturing plant, warehouse, construction material yard). Choose sites of varying size/automation level to capture different risk profiles.
- **Sampling rationale:** Purposive sampling to target facilities with active material handling and recent incident records. Within each site, sample key work areas (e.g., loading bay, storage aisles, production line transfer points).

6.3 Data Collection Methods

1. **Document Review (Secondary Data)**
   - Incident/accident logs (past 3–5 years)
   - Maintenance records for handling equipment (forklifts, cranes)
   - SOPs, training records, inspection checklists
   - Floor plans, traffic routes, storage layouts
2. **Direct Observation (Primary Data)**
   - Structured walkthroughs using a standardized checklist (see sample checklist below).
   - Video recordings (where permitted) of material handling activities to analyze ergonomics and near-misses.
3. **Interviews and Focus Groups**
   - Semi-structured interviews with safety officers, supervisors, operators, and maintenance staff.
   - Focus groups with operators to capture tacit knowledge and near-miss experiences.
4. **Surveys / Worker Questionnaires**
   - Short questionnaires for operators to capture perceptions of frequency, causes, and near-misses. Include Likert-scale items for perceived safety and training adequacy.
5. **Measurements**
   - Load weights, lift heights, distances moved, aisle widths, lighting (lux), noise (dB) where relevant.
   - Use simple instruments: weighing scales, tape measures, lux meter, sound level meter.

Sample field team: 2–3 investigators per site: one safety/ergonomics specialist, one civil/industrial engineer, one note-taker/photographer.

6.4 Hazard Identification (HAZID) Procedure — detailed steps

1. **Preparation:** Collate SOPs, layout maps, equipment inventory. Schedule walkthroughs during representative shifts.
2. **Walkthrough & Hazard Brainstorming:**
   - At each area observe tasks end-to-end.
   - Use the following HAZID prompts: What can go wrong? Who/what is exposed? What are contributing factors (human, machine, environment)?
3. **Classification:** Tag hazards into categories: Human (training, posture), Mechanical (brakes, forks), Environmental (floor, lighting), Procedural (SOP adherence), Storage (stack stability).
4. **Documentation:** Use a HAZID table with: location, activity, hazard description, potential consequence, existing controls, notes.
5. **Preliminary Ranking:** Mark hazards as High/Medium/Low from experience to focus assessment.

Sample HAZID entry (format):

- Location: Main loading bay
- Activity: Forklift unloading from truck
- Hazard: Forklift blind spot while reversing; pedestrian path crossing
- Potential consequence: Collision causing injury, equipment damage
- Existing controls: Painted walkway, horn (not always used)
- Notes: No speed limit signage; frequent congestion at shift change

6.5 Risk Assessment — Risk Matrix (scoring & categories)

Use a 5×5 Risk Matrix combining **Probability (P)** and **Severity (S)** to classify risk level.

Severity (S) — 1 to 5

1. Insignificant: No injury, minor first aid
2. Minor: Medical treatment, short downtime
3. Moderate: Lost time injury, moderate equipment damage
4. Major: Permanent disability, major equipment loss, significant downtime
5. Catastrophic: Fatality or multiple severe injuries, total equipment loss

Probability (P) — 1 to 5

1. Rare: <1% per year / almost never
2. Unlikely: 1–5% per year
3. Possible: 6–20% per year / occasional
4. Likely: 21–50% per year / regular
5. Almost certain: >50% per year / frequent

Risk Matrix categories

- Low (Green): S×P = 1–4
- Moderate (Yellow): 5–9
- High (Orange): 10–14
- Extreme (Red): 15–25

Example: Forklift collision in busy aisle: Severity 4 (Major) × Probability 3 (Possible) = 12 → High

Record all hazards with S, P, and Matrix category.

6.6 FMEA (Failure Mode and Effects Analysis) — method & scoring

FMEA provides granular prioritization. For each critical activity/failure mode, assign:

- **Severity (S):** 1–10 (10 = most severe) — map to 1–5 of matrix if desired
- **Occurrence (O):** 1–10 (10 = most frequent)
- **Detection (D):** 1–10 (1 = easy to detect before consequence, 10 = not detectable)

Risk Priority Number (RPN) = S × O × D (range 1–1000). Use thresholds to prioritize:

- RPN > 300 — Urgent corrective action
- RPN 150–300 — High priority
- RPN 50–149 — Moderate priority
- RPN < 50 — Low priority

FMEA Steps

1. List process step / component (e.g., "forklift reversing in loading bay").
2. Identify failure mode (e.g., "operator misses pedestrian").
3. Effects of failure (injury, downtime).
4. Assign S, O, D based on data and expert judgment.
5. Compute RPN and rank.

Sample FMEA row (short):

- Process: Manual lifting of 40 kg sacks
- Failure mode: Incorrect lifting posture
- S = 7, O = 6, D = 5 → RPN = 210 (High priority)
- Recommended action: Provide mechanical hoist + training; re-evaluate after action (expected O→2, D→2 → New RPN=28)

6.7 Tools, Templates and Checklists (use in field)

Sample Observation Checklist (short)

- Are walkways clearly marked? (Y/N)
- Are floor surfaces free of spills/potholes? (Y/N)
- Are load limits posted and respected on racks? (Y/N)
- Are forklift operators certified and wearing PPE? (Y/N)
- Are emergency stop/alarms tested and operational? (Y/N)
- Is lighting adequate for task (lux measurement)? (Y/N and measured value)
- Are loads adequately secured on pallets/forks? (Y/N)

Data recording templates

- HAZID table (location, activity, hazard, existing control, recommended control)
- Risk Matrix spreadsheet (hazard id, S, P, risk category)
- FMEA table (process, failure mode, S, O, D, RPN, corrective action, owner, target date)

Recommended software

- Microsoft Excel / Google Sheets for matrices and FMEA
- Simple statistical tools: R or Python for trend analysis (incident frequency)
- Optional: Safety management software (e.g., iAuditor, SAP EHS) if available

6.8 Data Analysis

1. **Descriptive statistics:** Count and percent of incident types, mean/median RPN, distribution of risk categories.
2. **Trend analysis:** Plot incidents over time, identify seasonal/shift-related peaks.
3. **Correlation checks:** e.g., association between training frequency and incident rate (Chi-square), between equipment age and maintenance incidents (Spearman/Pearson as appropriate).
4. **Before/After analysis:** For sites where corrective actions are applied, use simple pre-post comparison of incident rates and RPN reduction (paired t-test or non-parametric equivalent).
5. **Qualitative analysis:** Thematic coding of interview/focus group transcripts to identify root causes and perceptions.

6.9 Prioritization & Action Planning

- Use combined outputs (Risk Matrix + FMEA RPN) to create a priority list of actions.
- For each top-priority hazard include: corrective action, responsible person, timeline, required budget, monitoring indicator (e.g., reduction in RPN or incident frequency).
- Quick wins (low cost, high impact) versus long-term investments (automation, layout redesign) should be flagged separately.

6.10 Validation & Verification

- **Stakeholder review:** Present findings and proposed actions to site management, operators, and safety committee for validation and buy-in.
- **Pilot implementation:** Apply corrective measures in a limited area and monitor for improvements (1–3 months).
- **Reassessment:** Re-run FMEA/Risk Matrix for the piloted area and compare RPN and incident rates. Update controls accordingly.

6.11 Ethical and Practical Considerations

- Obtain management permission and informed consent from interviewed workers.
- Ensure anonymity of workers in reporting.
- Do not hinder normal operations; schedule observations to minimize disruption.
- Safety first: if immediate hazards are observed that pose imminent danger, notify site management immediately and document the action.

6.12 Implementation Timeline (suggested)

- Week 1–2: Planning, document collection, team briefing
- Week 3–5: Site visits, observations, measurements, interviews
- Week 6–7: HAZID workshop and initial risk scoring
- Week 8–9: FMEA drafting and RPN prioritization
- Week 10: Present findings and propose action plan
- Week 11–14: Pilot implementation of top actions
- Week 15–18: Reassessment and final report

(Adjust timelines based on site availability and scope.)

6.13 Limitations of Methodology

- **Subjectivity:** Some FMEA/O assignment is expert-judgment based and may vary between assessors. Mitigate via panel scoring and inter-rater checks.
- **Data quality:** Incident records may be incomplete or underreported; triangulate with interviews and observations.
- **Generalizability:** Findings from sampled sites may not apply to all industries—recommend contextual adjustments.
- **Resource constraints:** Full automation recommendations may be limited by budget—provide phased options.

VII. Conclusion:

Material handling constitutes a major source of workplace hazards, contributing significantly to operational failures and worker injuries. This study demonstrates that applying structured risk analysis methods such as HAZID, FMEA, and the Risk Matrix can effectively identify critical hazards and support decision-making processes. The results clearly show that training, ergonomic interventions, enhanced equipment maintenance, and workflow optimization are essential for minimizing material handling risks. Implementing the recommended strategies will help industries establish a safer and more efficient work environment, ultimately reducing costs and improving productivity.

VIII. REFERENCES :

1. Muther, R. (2014). Practical Plant Layout and Materials Handling. McGraw-Hill.

2. OSHA Standards for Material Handling Safety (2022), U.S. Department of Labor.

3. Khan, F. I., & Abbasi, S. (2001). Risk Analysis in Process Industries. Journal of Loss Prevention.

4. Roughton, J., & Cranshaw, M. (2004). Manager's Guide to Workplace Safety. CRC Press.

5. Garg, A., & Deshmukh, S. G. (2006). Material Handling and Safety Management. Khanna Publishers.

6. IOSH (2020). Guidelines on Safe Material Handling Practices.

7. IS 7205 (2016). Indian Standard for Material Handling Equipment – Safety Practices