JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

AI in Agriculture: Rural Livelihoods and Economic Impacts

Smart Farming, Policy Frameworks, and Global Adoption Trends

Sangamithran Senthilrajan
Student
Under the aegis of Ms. Minakshi Kushwaha, Principal, and Ms. Surender Pal, PGT Economics
Birla Vidya Niketan, New Delhi, India

Abstract: This research paper explores how Artificial Intelligence (AI) is reshaping agriculture by enhancing smart farming practices, optimizing resource use, and supporting data-driven market decisions. AI tools, including remote sensing, drones, and predictive analytics, improve crop planning, reduce operational risks, and strengthen climate resilience. The technology holds significant potential to boost rural livelihoods and economic outcomes, but adoption remains constrained by affordability, digital infrastructure, and farmer training. The study emphasizes the importance of inclusive, scalable, and policy-supported AI interventions to ensure equitable benefits for smallholders and to drive sustainable agricultural growth globally.

1. Introduction

Agriculture today stands at a turning point. It feeds more than 7.8 billion people around the world, yet farmers everywhere face rising challenges such as unpredictable weather, water scarcity, degrading soil quality, and unstable market prices. In India, more than 80 percent of farmers are small or marginal landholders, which means even a single season of crop loss can affect family food security and income for the entire year.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) helps reduce these risks by turning agricultural decision-making from guesswork into informed, evidence-based planning. AI systems use information from satellites, climate models, soil sensors, and historical crop records to provide farmers with clear guidance on what to plant, how much water to apply, how to manage pests, and when to harvest. This shift allows farmers to act before problems become losses. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), AI-driven precision agriculture can reduce water usage by up to 30 percent and fertilizer usage by up to 20 percent, while improving crop yields by 10 to 25 percent depending on crop type and region. This is especially valuable in India, where more than half of cultivated land depends on rainfall and is highly sensitive to climate fluctuations. Rather than replacing farmers, AI strengthens their decision-making, reduces uncertainty, enhances productivity, and supports climate-resilient, data-driven rural livelihoods.

2. Global Landscape of AI in Agriculture

Artificial Intelligence is increasingly shaping the future of agriculture as nations work to enhance productivity under growing ecological and economic pressures. Data Bridge Market Research projects the global AI-in-agriculture market to expand from USD 2.08 billion in 2025 to USD 10.49 billion by 2032, with a CAGR of 22.39%. This growth is driven by climate variability, soil and water degradation, food security needs, and a push to maximize yield efficiency without expanding farmland. AI applications such as remote sensing, crop disease detection, predictive yield modelling, automated machinery, and precision resource management are being integrated to reduce uncertainty and improve decision-making in farming systems worldwide.

2.1 North America

North America is a leading region in the deployment of AI-driven agricultural technologies, supported by strong digital infrastructure, larger farm holdings, and high private investment. Companies like Deere & Company have introduced the John Deere See & Spray autonomous precision spraying system, which uses AI-based weed detection to minimize chemical use. The Climate Corporation's FieldView uses machine learning to analyze soil and yield variability for optimized seed and fertilizer application. AI-enabled harvest robots, such as those developed by Harvest CROO Robotics, are being tested in fruit and berry farms to reduce reliance on seasonal labor. However, adoption challenges persist, particularly due to high equipment costs and concerns surrounding farm data privacy and labor displacement.

2.2 Europe

Europe has positioned itself as a global leader in sustainable and technologically advanced agriculture, with the Netherlands serving as a key model. Despite limited land resources, the Netherlands ranks among the world's largest agricultural exporters due to controlled-environment agriculture, advanced greenhouse automation, and AI-regulated climate management systems. Dutch greenhouses frequently employ hydroponic and aeroponic systems, reducing water use by over 90% compared to open-field farming. The Wageningen University and Research ecosystem plays a central coordinating role in developing sensor technologies, breeding innovations, and robotic harvesting systems.

Table 1. AI in Agriculture Market Growth (Country-Level CAGR)

Country	Estimated CAGR (AI Agriculture Market)
China	35.5 %
India	32.9 %
Germany	30.2 %
France	27.6 %
United Kingdom	25.0 %
United States	22.4 %

Source 1: Future Market Insights. Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture Market: Country-Level Growth Analysis

Across Europe, countries like Germany, Denmark, and Spain have adopted sensor-based soil diagnostics, variable-rate fertilization, and computer vision-based pest detection. EU initiatives such as Horizon Europe and SmartAgriHubs promote collaboration among farmers, agritech developers, and research institutions. The Copernicus Earth Observation System provides satellite-derived crop health and water stress monitoring to enable data-based farm management. In livestock systems, companies like Lely and DeLaval have commercialized robotic milking and automated feeding, supported by machine learning tools for animal health monitoring. While large commercial farms adopt these innovations rapidly, smaller fragmented farm regions face cost and infrastructure-related constraints.

2.3 Latin America and the Caribbean

Latin American adoption is led primarily by export-oriented large farms, especially in Brazil and Argentina. Brazil leverages satellite vegetation indexing for soybean and sugarcane yield prediction, supported by research organization Embrapa. Argentina increasingly adopts AI tools for cattle health monitoring and pasture optimization. However, widespread implementation is limited by digital infrastructure gaps, financing barriers, and the dominance of smallholder farms. Capacity-building and digital literacy programs remain in early development stages, reducing the speed of broad AI diffusion.

2.4 Africa and the Middle East

The Africa–Middle East region demonstrates significant need and potential due to climate vulnerability and food security challenges. Tools like the PlantVillage Nuru mobile application in Kenya allow farmers to diagnose fall armyworm infestations using offline AI-based image recognition. Rwanda and Ethiopia have adopted satellite-linked drought early-warning systems to support agricultural planning. However, limited rural electrification, weak internet connectivity, and small plot sizes constrain large-scale adoption. Policy research—including assessments referenced in the Borgen Project—emphasizes strengthening rural connectivity, training programs, and locally adapted AI models before transformational impact can be achieved.

2.5 Asia-Pacific

The Asia-Pacific region is one of the fastest-growing markets for AI-enabled agriculture and is projected to contribute over one-third of global AI agriculture market share by 2030.

- China leads with Smart Agriculture Demonstration Zones integrating precision irrigation, drone spraying, and automated greenhouse control. Platforms like Alibaba Cloud ET Agricultural Brain and Huawei Cloud Pangu Agriculture, along with DJI Agras T40/T50 drones, support rice production and field monitoring.

- Japan focuses on automation to offset labor shortages. Kubota has developed GNSS-based autonomous tractors, while Yamaha Motor and Denso produce robotic harvesting solutions. Training is provided through Smart Agriculture Schools and R&D is spearheaded by NARO.

- South Korea advances controlled-environment agriculture through AI-driven vertical farms by LG CNS and N.Thing, alongside livestock monitoring systems like uLikeKorea.

- Southeast Asia shows variable adoption: Thailand uses IoT poultry monitoring; Vietnam experiments with drone-based rice surveillance; Indonesia applies machine learning to detect palm crop disease. Platforms such as Plantix and FarmStack are helping bridge the smallholder digital access gap.

3. India: Current Technological and Policy Developments in AI-Enabled Agriculture

India has positioned agriculture as a priority sector within its national AI development strategy, aiming to improve productivity, resource efficiency and rural livelihoods. The government’s #AIforAll vision identifies agriculture as one of the domains where AI can deliver large-scale public benefit by making farming more data-informed, climate resilient and market-aware.

- A major initiative is AgriStack, a federated digital framework that integrates land records, crop profiles and farmer identification into a unified digital interface. This system enables precision advisory services, targeted input distribution, seamless credit assessment and transparent benefit transfer. It is expected to reduce information asymmetry between farmers, agri-business firms and government agencies and strengthen farmers’ access to formal markets and crop insurance.
- The Kisan e-Mitra multilingual conversational platform represents a scaled model of farmer digital assistance, offering real-time responses to queries on schemes, payments, cropping practices and weather conditions. Its availability in multiple regional languages ensures that technological access does not exclude low-literacy rural communities.
- Precision agriculture is being actively promoted through the distribution of agricultural drones under the NAMO Drone Didi initiative, which empowers Women Self Help Groups to operate drone rental enterprises for spraying nutrients and protective inputs. This approach links technological modernization with rural income diversification and women’s economic participation.
- In climate risk management, the FASAL program and YES-Tech platform combine satellite imaging, weather information and crop growth modelling for more accurate yield forecasting and loss assessment. This improves the functioning of the Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana crop insurance system and increases predictability for both farmers and insurers.

Despite these advances, challenges persist related to affordability, rural connectivity, data quality and institutional capacity. Addressing these barriers will determine whether AI becomes an inclusive productivity enhancer rather than a source of new inequalities.

4. Comparative Study: Developed vs Developing vs Underdeveloped Nations

Scope and metrics used:

This comparison uses socio economic and technological lenses that matter for AI adoption in agriculture. Key metrics are farm structure and scale, rural incomes and labor patterns, digital and physical infrastructure, access to finance and markets, human capital and extension services, data ecosystems and governance, and environmental vulnerability. The analysis is organized by continent where it is useful, with cross cutting observations that show why adoption patterns differ.

Fig 1 Underdeveloped regions
Developing regions
Developed regions
Insufficient data
Source 2: IMF data: World Economic Outlook Database April 2023

4.1 Developed nations (North America, Western Europe, Australia/New Zealand)

Developed economies combine high farm mechanization, consolidated landholdings and deep capital markets. Farms tend to be larger and more commercially oriented. Digital infrastructure is strong everywhere, including near universal mobile coverage and high broadband penetration in rural regions. Research institutions, extension services and private agritech firms are well connected through public funding, venture capital and corporate R and D investments. Common AI uses are autonomous tractors and harvesters, robotic milking and feeding systems, hyperspectral satellite monitoring, machine vision for weed and disease control, and integrated farm management platforms linking inputs to markets. Data infrastructures are industrial scale, allowing farms to run on precision application systems that reduce input use and increase margins.

Socio economic risks are different. Labor shortages in aging farming populations have driven automation. Regulatory frameworks for privacy and traceability are typically mature which helps adoption, but the largest barrier is often social: farmer willingness to change longstanding practices and the political economy of labor displacement.

Regional notes. North America emphasizes large scale automation and commercial value chains. Western Europe focuses on precision and sustainability in line with strict environmental and traceability rules. Australia and New Zealand combine precision cropping and pastoral AI for livestock monitoring.

4.2 Developing nations (Asia excluding high-income, parts of Latin America, selective African economies)

Developing countries present a mixed picture. They contain both large commercial farms and a majority of smallholder producers. Mobile phone penetration is high in many parts, yet fixed broadband and reliable power in rural areas vary widely. Financial markets for agriculture are improving but many farmers still rely on informal credit. Public research capacity exists but is unevenly distributed.

AI adoption in these countries emphasizes advisory systems, remote sensing for weather and pest alerts, drone services for spraying, and marketplace platforms that reduce middlemen. Startups and large cloud providers both compete to provide low-cost models; governments often sponsor pilots and subsidies for drones, satellite services and advisories.

Socio economic dynamics are complex. Smallholder fragmentation limits capital intensive robotics, but shared service models and Farmer Producer Organizations can bridge scale. The main constraints are affordability, data quality and trust, rural digital literacy and last mile logistics. Regions such as Southeast Asia, parts of Latin America and some Asian middle income countries are experimenting with contextualized AI models in local languages and crops.

4.3 Underdeveloped nations (fragile states and low income countries in Sub Saharan Africa, some parts of South Asia)

Underdeveloped contexts face the steepest barriers. Farms are predominantly small and subsistence oriented. Rural electrification rates and internet access are low. Public institutions are weaker and extension services limited. Data scarcity is a severe impediment to training useful AI models. Markets are fragmented and storage or cold chain infrastructure is often missing.

Where AI is present it tends to be narrow and highly pragmatic. Offline smartphone apps that identify plant disease by image, SMS weather alerts, and low bandwidth satellite monitoring show results. Many of these interventions are NGO supported or donor funded. Scalability is the primary obstacle because hardware costs, maintenance and local skills are lacking.

Socially, the risk is that premature introduction of advanced AI without parallel investments in infrastructure and institutions can widen inequality. Smallholders could be excluded from premium markets and lose bargaining power.

4.4 Intercontinental contrasts and common barriers

- Infrastructure: Developed continents have near universal supporting infrastructure. Developing continents show heterogeneity and underdeveloped continents show major gaps. AI models need reliable power, connectivity and data centers to fully function.
- Scale and business models: Large farms enable capital intensive automation. Smallholder contexts require service sharing, pay per use and public provisioning. Cross continental lessons show that rental drone services, cooperative owned data platforms and state subsidized advisory networks work better where farms are small.
- Data and governance: High income nations lead on data regulation and standards, which supports market confidence. Low income regions often lack clear data rights frameworks, opening risks of data capture by private firms.
- Human capital: Skills shortage is universal but most acute in underdeveloped regions. Upskilling pathways, vocational training and extension modernization are central to equitable diffusion.
- Environmental vulnerability: Climate risk amplifies the need for AI for early warning everywhere, but poorer regions face greater exposure and lower adaptive capacity.

Table 2: Comparative AI Adoption in Agriculture

Dimension	**Developed Nations**	**Developing Nations**	**Underdeveloped Nations**
Farm structure	Large	Mixed	Small
AI focus	Automation	Advisory	Diagnostics
Infrastructure	Strong	Uneven	Weak
Key barrier	Resistance to adoption	Cost	Connectivity

5. Technology Accessibility and the Digital Divide in India’s Rural Agriculture

In rural India, the promise of AI-enabled agriculture remains constrained by significant gaps in access to infrastructure, digital tools, connectivity and skills. The notion of a “digital divide” captures the unequal distribution of technology across geography, socio-economics and farm-size; in agricultural systems this translates into farmers who can harness precision tools and data-driven advisories, and those still operating in analogue mode. Research shows that while AI, remote sensing and mobile platforms hold transformative potential, they risk deepening inequality if access remains restricted.

The constraints are acute for small and marginal farmers who comprise more than 80 per cent of operational holdings in India. Many villages face poor broadband connectivity, irregular power supply and limited digital literacy. A recent study of digital agriculture in India found that structural infrastructure deficits, lack of awareness and high equipment cost continue to delay adoption of smart tools in these farms. In effect, when larger farms deploy on-board analytics, drones or IoT sensors, they may gain yield and cost advantages. Smaller farms without reliable connectivity or funds remain excluded, perpetuating income disparities.

In terms of solutions, a combination of policy, public-private investment and localized designs is emerging. Government-backed programs aim to provide shared services (for example drone-rental models for smallholders) and multilingual mobile advisory platforms to reduce entry cost and literacy barriers. Initiatives that embed AI tools in simple mobile apps or voice interfaces enable farmers with basic phones to access advisories without high hardware expenditure. Equally important is gender and social equity: digital literacy programs targeted at rural women help widen access to technology.

If these interventions are scaled, technology can become a bridging force, not a dividing one. The key lies in affordable access, shared infrastructure (rather than individual ownership), rural connectivity investment, and skill-building focused on the smallest farms. Without this inclusive push, AI-driven agriculture risks becoming a tool only of the few large holdings rather than a lever for broad-based rural livelihood uplift.

6. Challenges and Ethical Considerations in the Adoption of AI in Agriculture

While AI can significantly improve productivity, water efficiency and climate resilience, its integration into agriculture introduces complex socio-economic and ethical challenges, especially in emerging economies such as India. One of the most pressing concerns is the digital divide between large and small farms. Larger commercial farms are more able to invest in AI-powered machinery, satellite-based monitoring and automated irrigation systems, whereas smallholders often struggle with affordability, connectivity limitations and lack of training. This has the potential to widen income disparities and create a dual-speed agricultural sector.

Liability and accountability also pose serious challenges. When autonomous tractors, drones or AI-based advisory platforms provide inaccurate recommendations leading to crop losses, there is often no clear regulatory framework defining responsibility among software developers, device manufacturers and farmers. Such gaps leave smallholders particularly vulnerable.

Additionally, increased production efficiency driven by AI could contribute to market oversupply, which may depress crop prices and reduce farmer income despite higher yields. This risk is significant in countries where Minimum Support Price systems do not cover all crops or regions.

Dependence on proprietary digital platforms increases exposure to data privacy and cybersecurity risks, as sensitive information about soil conditions, input usage and farm outputs becomes centralized. If access to AI remains tied to recurring subscription costs, there is also the danger of long-term financial dependency, replacing climate vulnerability with technological vulnerability.

Cultural sustainability must be considered as well. The rapid introduction of AI may sideline indigenous agroecological knowledge, community seed-saving practices and traditional climatic wisdom that have supported local food systems for generations.

To ensure AI becomes a tool of empowerment rather than exclusion, policy frameworks must emphasize affordability, open-access data ecosystems, farmer training, cooperative-based technology sharing and clear legal liability standards. Responsible governance will determine whether AI supports equitable development or reinforces existing inequalities.

7. Quintessential Policy Priorities for Equitable AI Integration in Indian Agriculture

7.1. Farmer Data Rights and Consent Frameworks

As digital tools become embedded in agricultural decision-making, large volumes of farm-level data are being collected through sensors, drones, satellite monitoring, and mobile advisory platforms. This data includes soil nutrient levels, cropping patterns, market behavior, irrigation schedules, and financial transactions linked to farm inputs. Without a clear legal framework, the ownership of this data remains ambiguous. Farmers risk losing control over information that directly influences their incomes and production strategies.

A data rights framework must legally recognize farmers as primary owners of all data generated on their land or through their activities. Consent-based data sharing should ensure that platforms cannot collect or sell this data without voluntary, informed, and transparent approval. Furthermore, a benefit-sharing model should require agritech companies to disclose how data is used to develop commercial analytics or AI products. If farm data contributes to a profitable model, farmers should receive proportional economic value or subsidized access to technology. Public institutions such as NABARD and NDDB can serve as custodians for secure rural digital data vaults, ensuring data protection and preventing exploitation.

7.2. Liability and Accountability Rules for AI and Drone Misuse

The use of autonomous agricultural equipment such as drone sprayers, self-navigating tractors, and automated irrigation systems introduces new legal challenges. If a drone malfunctions and damages crops, if AI-generated fertilizer recommendations cause yield failure, or if autonomous machinery results in physical harm, clarity is required regarding who is responsible. Without defined liability rules, small-scale farmers bear disproportionate risk.

A regulatory framework should classify AI tools based on risk level and define accountability across developers, service providers, and users. Mandatory safety certifications, operational licensing for drone pilots, performance standards for agri-algorithms, and grievance settlement mechanisms should be integrated into State Agriculture Departments. Insurance products must be redesigned to include coverage specifically for AI and automation failures. This will protect farmers from financial losses and build trust in emerging technologies.

7.3. Subsidies and Shared AI Infrastructure for Small and Marginal Farmers

Small and marginal farmers account for nearly 86 percent of farmers in India, yet they possess less than 47 percent of total agricultural land. These farmers cannot individually invest in high-cost technologies. If AI tools remain privately owned by large farms or agribusiness firms, the digital divide will widen and rural inequalities may increase.

Government programs must prioritize community-based access models. Instead of subsidizing individual purchase of drones or autonomous tractors, subsidies should support Shared Equipment Centers operated by Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs), Self Help Groups, or Panchayat resource centers. This allows farmers to access advanced technology on a pay-per-use basis with minimal upfront cost. Such infrastructures also promote collective decision making, knowledge exchange, and cooperative financial responsibility. Public Private Partnerships can be incentivized to deploy such shared AI infrastructure in rural clusters with transparent pricing norms regulated by local authorities.

7.4. Green Energy Integration in AI-Driven Agriculture

AI-based systems such as automated irrigation, controlled-environment farming, and drone fleets require consistent energy supply. Many agricultural regions in India face unreliable grid electricity or depend on diesel-powered pumps, increasing operating costs and emissions. Linking AI expansion with renewable energy deployment is essential to maintain affordability and environmental sustainability.

Solar-powered irrigation pumps, battery-operated drones, and low-energy IoT sensors allow farmers to reduce recurring fuel expenditures and stabilize their operations. Government initiatives such as PM Kusum can be expanded to integrate smart irrigation controllers, climate-linked water release automation, and solar micro-grids for processing units. Financial incentives should be designed to support decentralized renewable energy solutions within FPO-managed farms and village-level storage and distribution systems. This ensures that digital agriculture evolves alongside a climate-resilient rural energy infrastructure.

7.5. Rural Youth Upskilling and Strengthening of Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs)

India's rural youth population presents a significant opportunity to build a technologically proficient agricultural workforce. However, agricultural skilling programs are currently limited in scale and do not emphasize digital competencies. To ensure that AI adoption promotes employment rather than displacement, targeted capacity-building programs must be implemented.

Rural polytechnics, Industrial Training Institutes, and agricultural universities should introduce specialized certifications in drone operation, sensor maintenance, data interpretation, precision farming advisory, and cooperative business management. Apprenticeship models with agritech firms and research institutions can provide hands-on exposure. Strengthening FPO governance is equally important, as FPOs can negotiate fair contracts with technology providers, coordinate shared assets, and ensure equitable distribution of benefits. Investments should be directed toward training FPO management teams in financial planning, digital record-keeping, and community-centered technology procurement.

8. Conclusion

The integration of Artificial Intelligence into agriculture marks a critical turning point in global food systems, offering both transformative opportunities and complex challenges. Across regions, AI has demonstrated the capacity to enhance precision in crop management, optimize natural resource use, strengthen resilience to climate variability, and reduce the uncertainty that has long characterized agricultural livelihoods. Countries with robust digital infrastructure and consolidated farm holdings have progressed rapidly, while regions with fragmented land distribution and limited connectivity face more gradual adoption trajectories. Yet, the global trend is clear: agriculture is shifting from experience-based decision making to data-informed, automated and adaptive systems.

At the same time, the expansion of AI in agriculture raises significant considerations, ranging from affordability and data governance to labor transitions and ecological sustainability. Ensuring that small and marginal farmers are not excluded from this transformation remains an urgent priority, particularly in countries where agriculture forms the backbone of rural economies. The future of AI in agriculture therefore depends not only on technological innovation, but on deliberate policy frameworks, shared digital infrastructure, farmer-centered training, and equitable market access.

As the world confronts climate change, resource pressures and rising food demand, AI-enabled agriculture provides a pathway toward more resilient and productive farming systems. Realizing this potential will require collaboration between governments, research institutions, private sector innovators and farming communities. When implemented inclusively and responsibly, AI can become a powerful instrument to strengthen food security, support rural livelihoods, and promote sustainable agricultural growth in the decades ahead.

9. References

1. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). *Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture: Opportunities and Challenges.* FAO, Rome.
2. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). *The Future of Food and Agriculture – Trends and Challenges.* FAO, Rome.
3. World Bank. *Harvesting Prosperity: Technology and Productivity Growth in Agriculture.* World Bank Publications.
4. Data Bridge Market Research. *Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture Market: Global Industry Analysis and Forecast.*

5. McKinsey & Company. *Agriculture's Connected Future: How Technology Can Yield New Growth.*
6. John Deere & Company. *See & Spray™ Precision Agriculture Technology Overview.*
7. The Climate Corporation. *FieldView Digital Agriculture Platform: Technology Overview.*
8. Harvest CROO Robotics. *Automation and Robotics in Specialty Crop Harvesting.*
9. Wageningen University & Research. *Digital Farming, Smart Greenhouses and Sustainable Food Systems.*
10. European Commission. *Horizon Europe: Smart Agriculture and Food Systems Research Framework.*
11. Copernicus Programme (European Union). *Earth Observation Applications for Agriculture and Water Management.*
12. Embrapa (Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation). *Satellite and AI Applications in Crop Yield Forecasting.*
13. National Agriculture and Food Research Organization (NARO), Japan. *Smart Agriculture and Automation Initiatives.*
14. Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, People's Republic of China. *Smart Agriculture Demonstration Zones.*
15. FAO Asia-Pacific Regional Office. *Digital Technologies for Smallholder Agriculture.*
16. NITI Aayog, Government of India. *National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence: #AIforAll.*
17. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India. *AgriStack: A Federated Farmer-Centric Digital Architecture.*
18. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India. *Kisan e-Mitra Digital Advisory Platform.*
19. Government of India. *NAMO Drone Didi Scheme Guidelines.*
20. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India. *FASAL – Forecasting Agricultural Output Using Space, Agrometeorology and Land-Based Observations.*
21. Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana (PMFBY). *Technology Integration in Crop Insurance.*
22. National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD). *Farmer Producer Organizations in India: Status and Policy Support.*
23. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). *Digital Opportunities for Better Agricultural Policies.*
24. International Telecommunication Union (ITU) and FAO. *Digital Agriculture and Rural Connectivity.*
25. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). *Digital Transformation for Inclusive Development.*
26. OECD. *Data Governance Frameworks and Responsible AI.*
27. World Economic Forum. *Innovation with a Purpose: The Role of Technology in Accelerating Food Systems Transformation.*
28. Future Market Insights. *Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture Market: Country-Level Growth Analysis.*