JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Transforming Monoculture Systems: Measuring the Sustainability Outcomes of Crop Shifts in Haryana's Semi-Arid Agriculture

Sumit Nandal, Research Scholar, Department of Geography
Baba Mastnath University, Rohtak
Dr Pardeep Kumar Sharma, Associate Professor, Research Supervisor, Baba Mastnath University, Rohtak

Abstract
Crop diversification has increasingly emerged as a strategic response to the intertwined challenges of ecological degradation, groundwater depletion, and economic vulnerability in South Asian agriculture. Haryana, one of India's most agriculturally advanced states, exemplifies these concerns due to its prolonged dependence on the rice–wheat monoculture that evolved during the Green Revolution. While this system once ensured high productivity and food security, it now exhibits diminishing returns, soil fatigue, declining groundwater levels, and escalating production costs. In recent years, policymakers at both state and national levels have emphasized diversification as a pathway toward sustainable agricultural transformation. However, despite these policy efforts, empirical assessments that directly measure the environmental, economic, and social outcomes of diversification remain scarce.

Against this backdrop, the present study investigates the sustainability implications of crop diversification in Jind district of Haryana—a region marked by semi-arid conditions, intensive paddy cultivation, and pronounced groundwater stress. Using a comprehensive mixed-methods approach that incorporates comparative farm-level surveys, soil quality assessments, irrigation-use measurements, and multivariate regression analysis, this research evaluates how shifting from monoculture to diversified cropping patterns affects key indicators of sustainability. The findings reveal notable improvements, including enhanced soil organic carbon, reduced dependence on groundwater, higher net returns per hectare, and greater income stability among diversified farms. These outcomes reinforce the potential of diversification to provide ecological as well as financial resilience. Nevertheless, the study also highlights persistent institutional and market barriers that constrain widespread adoption. Challenges such as weak procurement systems for non-paddy crops, price volatility, limited extension support, and inadequate market linkages continue to impede farmers' capacity to diversify at scale. By offering robust field-based evidence, this research strengthens the empirical foundation for policy reforms aimed at promoting sustainable, diversified, and resilient farming systems in Haryana.
Keywords: crop diversification, monoculture, sustainability, groundwater depletion, soil health, Haryana, Jind district, rice–wheat system, agricultural policy

Introduction

Agricultural systems across South Asia are undergoing profound stress due to accelerating ecological degradation, groundwater depletion, and stagnating productivity growth. The region's historical dependence on intensive input-driven agriculture has generated short-term gains but produced long-term sustainability concerns. Haryana, one of India's most agriculturally productive states, epitomizes these emerging challenges. Since the Green Revolution, the state has predominantly relied on the rice–wheat cropping pattern, a system that dramatically increased food grain production and contributed significantly to national food security (Chand, 2020; Dev, 2021). The initial success of this monoculture was supported by high-yielding varieties, assured procurement, subsidized inputs, and extensive irrigation infrastructure. However, the environmental costs of this system have become increasingly evident.

Over the past two decades, the rice–wheat system in Haryana has shown signs of ecological fatigue and economic inefficiency. Intensive groundwater extraction for paddy cultivation has resulted in a rapid decline of water tables, especially in central districts such as Jind, Kaithal, and Karnal (Kumar et al., 2019; Central Ground Water Board [CGWB], 2022). Soil nutrient imbalances—particularly deficiencies in micronutrients such as zinc and the buildup of soil salinity in certain blocks—have also emerged as significant concerns (Meena & Yadav, 2020). The heavy dependence on chemical fertilizers and pesticides, combined with residue burning practices, has further accelerated soil degradation and adversely affected soil organic carbon levels. These trends collectively undermine long-term system resilience and threaten regional food security.

In response to the deteriorating ecological conditions, policymakers at both the national and state levels have increasingly advocated crop diversification as a corrective strategy. Diversification into oilseeds, pulses, horticultural crops, fodder, and agroforestry systems is widely recognized for its potential to enhance ecological balance, reduce vulnerability to climatic variability, and generate higher and more stable farm incomes (Birthal et al., 2021; Pingali, 2019). From a policy perspective, diversification aligns with several government initiatives, including the National Mission on Sustainable Agriculture, the Haryana Crop Diversification Program, and the promotion of water-saving technologies such as micro-irrigation. These efforts emphasize that reducing the area under water-intensive paddy is crucial for restoring groundwater balance and improving long-term agricultural sustainability.

Despite the strong policy push, the actual adoption of diversification remains limited across many parts of Haryana. Central districts such as Jind continue to exhibit a high concentration of rice–wheat monoculture due to structural, institutional, and market-related factors. These include assured procurement of paddy through the Minimum Support Price (MSP) system, inadequate marketing channels for alternative crops, limited risk-mitigation mechanisms, and the absence of reliable market infrastructure for perishable commodities (Singh & Kaur, 2022). As a result, farmers often face high switching costs and remain locked into the rice–wheat cycle.

Although a growing body of literature highlights the theoretical and conceptual benefits of crop diversification, empirical assessments that quantify its environmental, economic, and social impacts remain limited—especially at the micro-level. Existing studies often focus on diversification indices, determinants of adoption, or policy frameworks, but relatively few conduct rigorous comparative analyses at the farm level to measure actual sustainability outcomes (Khatri-Chhetri et al., 2020). This research addresses this gap by empirically examining the impacts of crop diversification in Jind district through a combination of household surveys, soil testing, water-use assessments, and multivariate statistical models.

By comparing monoculture and diversified farming systems, the study investigates whether crop diversification leads to measurable improvements in soil health, water-use efficiency, farm income, and livelihood stability. The findings aim to contribute to evidence-based policy formulation and provide practical insights into the potential of diversification as a pathway toward sustainable agriculture in Haryana. Ultimately, the study seeks to strengthen the empirical foundation for designing interventions that promote ecological resilience, economic viability, and social well-being among farming communities.

Research Problem

Crop diversification is widely promoted in India as a strategy to address the ecological and economic stresses associated with the rice–wheat monoculture system. Policymakers emphasize that diversification can reduce groundwater depletion, restore soil fertility, stabilize incomes, and improve climate resilience. However, much of this discourse relies on theoretical assumptions rather than rigorous field-based evidence. Existing studies often use district-level or macro data, which fail to capture farm-level variations and provide limited quantitative clarity on how diversification influences soil quality, water-use efficiency, input requirements, profitability, and income stability.

As a result, there remains considerable uncertainty about whether diversification genuinely enhances sustainability or if its benefits are overstated in policy narratives. Jind district, with its semi-arid conditions, groundwater stress, and dominant rice–wheat system, provides an important setting to examine this gap. Despite multiple state-level interventions, diversification in the district remains limited, suggesting that farmers may not perceive clear advantages.

The core research problem, therefore, is the lack of robust empirical evidence on the actual sustainability outcomes of crop diversification under real farm conditions. This study investigates whether diversified farms in Jind exhibit measurable improvements in soil health, reductions in irrigation demand, enhanced profitability, and lower income variability compared to monoculture farms. By generating micro-level, field-based data, the research aims to fill a critical knowledge gap and provide a more evidence-driven foundation for future agricultural policy and sustainability planning in Haryana.

Objectives of the Study

This study seeks to evaluate sustainability outcomes associated with crop diversification. The specific objectives are:

- To quantify environmental and economic sustainability indicators associated with crop shifts, including groundwater use, soil parameters, and profitability.
- To compare diversified farms with monoculture farms in terms of productivity, income variability, input use, and ecological outcomes.
- To identify barriers that restrict large-scale adoption of sustainable cropping patterns in Haryana.

Research Questions

The study addresses the following primary questions:

- What environmental and economic changes occur when farmers diversify from the rice–wheat cropping system?
- How do diversified farms differ from monoculture farms in sustainability outcomes?
- Which sustainability indicators reflect the most significant improvement due to diversification?

Review of Literature

Classical and contemporary scholarship on agricultural sustainability consistently highlights the limitations of monoculture systems. Early foundational works argue that while monocultures may generate high initial yields, they create long-term ecological vulnerabilities through excessive extraction of soil nutrients, over-reliance on irrigation, and reductions in biodiversity (Pretty, 2008). These concerns are particularly evident in South Asian contexts where intensive rice–wheat rotations have been practiced for several decades. Empirical assessments from the Indo-Gangetic Plains show that the rice–wheat system has progressively deteriorated soil physical structure, reduced soil organic carbon, and increased dependence on synthetic fertilizers to maintain yield levels (Bhatt & Kukal, 2015). Such degradation not only threatens ecological sustainability but also imposes rising production costs on farmers as input requirements escalate.

In response to these challenges, a growing body of literature has examined crop diversification as a strategy to enhance ecological resilience and economic stability. Diversification is widely viewed as an adaptive mechanism that enables farmers to manage climatic uncertainties, mitigate groundwater depletion, and reduce exposure to market fluctuations (Birthal et al., 2021). Research indicates that diversified cropping systems—particularly those integrating pulses, oilseeds, fodder crops, and vegetables—contribute to improved soil fertility, reduced pest incidence, and greater water-use efficiency. For instance, pulses naturally fix atmospheric nitrogen, thereby enriching soil nutrient profiles, while vegetables offer higher economic returns per unit of irrigation water compared to cereals (Narayanan, 2020). These findings suggest that diversification can play a pivotal role in transitioning toward a more sustainable agricultural framework.

Despite these conceptual advancements, the existing literature reveals notable gaps in empirical measurement. Many studies focus on theoretical or macro-level assessments, often relying on cropping pattern statistics or regional diversification indices rather than detailed field-level data. As a result, the magnitude of sustainability improvements associated with diversification remains under-examined. There is limited quantitative evidence on how diversification influences specific ecological indicators—such as soil organic carbon, nutrient balance, or groundwater extraction—as well as farm-level economic outcomes like profitability, cost structure, or income variability.

Research specific to Haryana provides valuable insights but also highlights the need for deeper investigation. Studies show that diversification outcomes vary substantially across districts due to differences in irrigation access, soil conditions, and market linkages (Kamboj & Kumar, 2022). However, these analyses tend to remain descriptive and seldom compare diversified and monoculture farms using rigorous ecological or economic metrics. Few studies incorporate primary soil testing, water-use estimation, or multivariate statistical models capable of isolating the effects of diversification from other influencing factors.

The existing gaps demonstrate the need for integrated, micro-level research that simultaneously evaluates ecological, economic, and social dimensions of diversification. This study contributes to the literature by offering farm-level empirical evidence from Jind district, quantifying changes in soil health, groundwater use, profitability, and income variability associated with crop diversification. By bridging conceptual frameworks with measurable indicators, the research strengthens the evidence base necessary for designing effective and context-specific agricultural sustainability policies.

Methodology

Study area

The study was carried out in Jind district, which typifies Haryana's semi-arid agro-ecology with pronounced groundwater stress, spatially variable soil fertility, and heavy historical reliance on the rice–wheat cropping system. Jind was selected not only for these biophysical characteristics but also because it has been a focus of recent state interventions—such as the Mera Pani–Meri Virasat (MPMV) initiative and the Crop Diversification Programme (CDP)—that seek to reduce paddy area and encourage alternative crops. These features make Jind an appropriate setting to evaluate whether and how crop shifts translate into measurable sustainability outcomes at the farm level.

Research design and sampling

The study adopts a comparative research design that contrasts farms continuing with the rice–wheat monoculture against those that have adopted diversified crop mixes (for example, mustard, pulses, vegetables, fodder crops, or maize). A stratified purposive sampling strategy was used to ensure representation across land-size classes (marginal, small, and medium) and across three administrative blocks (Alewa, Julana, and Narwana). In total, 120 farm households were surveyed during the 2024–2025 post-harvest season; roughly half the sample consisted of farms identified as diversified and half as monocultural, allowing for balanced comparison. The sampling approach follows established social science procedures for mixed-methods field studies, ensuring both breadth and contextual depth.

Data collection

Primary data collection combined quantitative and qualitative techniques. A structured questionnaire captured household demographics, detailed crop area and yield data for the past three years, input use, irrigation frequency, market channels, and participation in government schemes. Farm-level water-use was estimated using pump-discharge measurements (liters per minute) where possible, corroborated with farmer irrigation logs and typical irrigation durations; these estimates were converted into cubic metres per hectare to calculate water extraction. Soil samples (0–15 cm depth) were collected from a sub-sample of 60 farms and analysed for pH, electrical conductivity, soil organic carbon, available nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and selected micronutrients using standard laboratory protocols (Walkley-Black for SOC; Olsen for phosphorus). Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) with extension officers and Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) in selected villages provided contextual information on market access, institutional support, and perceived barriers. Secondary sources—district statistical abstracts, groundwater monitoring reports from the Central Ground Water Board, and scheme implementation records—were used to triangulate and situate farm-level findings in a wider temporal context.

Analytical techniques

The analytical framework combined descriptive, econometric, and environmental indicators. Economic performance was evaluated through input–output and cost–benefit analyses to derive net returns per hectare and return-to-cost ratios. Environmental assessment used a composite Soil Quality Index (SQI) constructed from measured variables (soil organic carbon, nutrient levels, pH) following methods recommended in agroecological research. Water-use efficiency (WUE) was calculated as crop yield per unit of irrigation water (kg/m^3). To test the association between diversification and sustainability outcomes while controlling for confounding factors, multivariate regression models were estimated—ordinary least squares (OLS) for continuous outcomes (e.g., SOC, net returns) and logistic/probit specifications where binary adoption or threshold outcomes were analysed. Control variables included landholding size, education, irrigation source, credit access, and proximity to markets. Model diagnostics (Variance Inflation Factor for multicollinearity, Breusch–Pagan test for heteroskedasticity, and Ramsey RESET for functional form) were applied and corrective measures (robust standard errors, transformation) implemented as needed (Gujarati & Porter, 2009). Quantitative analyses were performed in Stata (version 17) and R, while qualitative data were coded thematically using NVivo to enrich interpretation. Ethical approval and informed consent procedures were followed for all primary data collection in accordance with institutional research norms.

Results and Discussion

Environmental Outcomes

The results indicate a clear and consistent improvement in soil health on diversified farms compared with those following the rice–wheat monoculture. Soil organic carbon (SOC), a critical indicator of soil fertility and long-term productivity, was significantly higher in fields where farmers planted pulses, oilseeds, fodder crops, or vegetables. The inclusion of legumes, in particular, enhanced biological nitrogen fixation, which helped replenish soil nutrients without heavy dependence on synthetic fertilizers. Farmers also reported improved soil texture and reduced crusting in fields where mustard or green gram was introduced into the rotation. Laboratory tests corroborated these observations: diversified fields exhibited better microbial activity, balanced pH, and higher available nitrogen and micronutrient levels. These findings mirror earlier studies highlighting the restorative ecological role of legumes and mixed cropping in South Asian agro-ecosystems (Singh & Chauhan, 2019). In contrast, monoculture farms displayed signs of nutrient depletion, especially declining SOC and excessive reliance on urea-based fertilization, reflecting long-term degradation associated with the rice–wheat system.

Groundwater Use Reduction

Groundwater extraction was significantly lower on diversified farms, primarily because alternative crops require fewer irrigation cycles than paddy. Measurements taken during the study period showed that paddy fields consumed the highest volume of groundwater per hectare, while mustard, chickpea, fodder crops, and certain vegetables required substantially less water. Farmers who transitioned even partially away from paddy reported noticeable reductions in electricity consumption, pumping hours, and overall irrigation costs. Water-use efficiency calculations further demonstrated that vegetables and pulses produced higher economic returns per unit of water, making them more sustainable choices in water-stressed regions like Jind. These results reinforce broader hydrological assessments that identify paddy as a primary driver of aquifer depletion in Haryana (Central Ground Water Board, 2022). The groundwater savings observed in diversified systems thus represent a meaningful environmental benefit with long-term implications for regional water security.

Economic Outcomes

Profitability Differences

Economic analysis revealed that diversified farms generally achieved higher net returns compared to monoculture farms. Although paddy enjoys the advantage of assured procurement at the Minimum Support Price (MSP), its profitability declines once rising input costs—such as diesel, electricity for tube-wells, fertilizers, and labor—are factored into the analysis. Diversified crops such as mustard, onions, and leafy vegetables performed particularly well, with farmers reporting both lower cost of cultivation and higher market value per unit area. Crop budgets calculated during the study confirm that diversified farms gained more stable profit margins despite price fluctuations in local mandis. Many farmers also noted that diversification reduced their dependency on hired labor, because alternative crops require fewer peak-season operations compared to paddy. These findings echo broader research across India showing that diversified cropping systems can outperform monocultures in profitability when input and opportunity costs are fully accounted for (Birthal et al., 2021).

Reduced Income Variability

Beyond profitability, diversification played a crucial role in stabilizing household income. Farmers cultivating multiple crops spread their production and marketing risks across seasons. This buffering effect was visible during years of erratic monsoon or unexpected pest attacks, where monoculture farmers experienced sharp income drops while diversified farmers sustained more stable earnings. The ability to sell vegetables or fodder at staggered intervals throughout the year also contributed to improved liquidity and reduced dependence on credit. The findings are consistent with global agricultural research indicating that diversification lowers vulnerability to climatic and market shocks and enhances resilience in farming systems (FAO, 2020). In Jind, where unpredictable weather patterns and high input prices make farming inherently risky, diversification emerged as a practical and effective risk-mitigation strategy.

Social and Institutional Outcomes

Improved Farmer Perception Toward Sustainability

Farmers who adopted diversified cropping systems displayed stronger understanding and appreciation of sustainability practices. Many reported increased awareness about soil conservation, the importance of maintaining organic matter, and the long-term risks associated with over-extraction of groundwater. Participation in training programs, exposure to new crops, and interaction with local cooperatives appeared to enhance their motivation to experiment with alternative cropping patterns. The shift toward diversification also increased farmers' openness to adopting organic inputs, water-saving technologies, and integrated nutrient management practices. Several farmers noted that diversification had not only improved their fields' condition but had also changed their mindset regarding resource stewardship and long-term agricultural viability.

Persistent Institutional and Market Constraints

Despite evidence of environmental and economic benefits, the study found several institutional barriers that continue to limit widespread diversification. Farmers repeatedly emphasized the absence of stable procurement systems for non-paddy crops, noting that while the MSP mechanism provides security for rice and wheat, similar support is limited or inconsistent for pulses, oilseeds, and vegetables. Weak market linkages, inadequate storage facilities, and volatility in mandi prices discourage risk-averse farmers—especially marginal landholders—from shifting away from the rice–wheat system. Extension services, though impactful when available, remain uneven across blocks; many farmers in Julana reported minimal interaction with extension officers, reducing their exposure to new technologies and crop varieties. These constraints echo findings from recent policy evaluations that emphasize structural market limitations, logistical bottlenecks, and weak institutional outreach as major barriers to diversification in Haryana (Rathore, 2021).

Barriers to Diversification

Despite the demonstrated environmental and economic benefits of diversification, the study reveals several persistent barriers that inhibit large-scale adoption among farmers in Jind district. One of the most significant constraints is the lack of assured and reliable markets for alternative crops. While paddy enjoys a guaranteed procurement system under the Minimum Support Price (MSP), crops such as pulses, oilseeds, vegetables, and fodder varieties often face price volatility and inconsistent demand. This absence of assured prices exposes farmers—especially marginal and smallholders—to market risks that discourage experimentation with new crops. As a result, many farmers prefer to remain within the rice–wheat system, despite its ecological drawbacks, because it offers a predictable and stable income stream.

High initial transition costs also pose a substantial barrier. Diversification often requires investment in new seeds, crop-specific equipment, irrigation modifications, or additional labor during the initial adjustment period. For small and resource-constrained farmers, these costs can be prohibitive. Moreover, limited access to quality seeds and certified planting materials further complicates the process, as farmers frequently report delays in seed availability, lack of varietal information, or dependence on high-priced private suppliers. Another major constraint is inadequate crop insurance coverage. Although several insurance schemes exist on paper, field-level awareness and enrollment are low. Farmers expressed concerns about complicated claim procedures, delayed compensation, and limited coverage of diversified crops, which reduces their confidence in adopting alternatives to paddy. Without dependable risk-mitigation mechanisms, farmers remain reluctant to shift away from a well-supported and institutionally protected crop like rice.

Institutional challenges also play a role. Insufficient extension outreach limits farmers' access to updated agronomic knowledge, market information, and technical guidance on diversified crops. In blocks with weaker cooperative structures, such as Julana, farmers reported minimal exposure to training programs or demonstration plots. The lack of coordinated support—both technical and logistical—reinforces a dependence on traditional cropping patterns. Overall, the combined effect of uncertain markets, financial risks, limited seed access, and weak insurance systems creates a structural environment in which diversification becomes a risky undertaking rather than an opportunity. These barriers highlight the need for comprehensive policy interventions that integrate economic incentives with institutional strengthening to facilitate sustainable crop diversification across Haryana.

Policy Implications

The findings of this study underscore the need for comprehensive and targeted policy interventions to support the transition from monoculture to diversified farming systems in Haryana. Strengthening market infrastructure emerges as a critical requirement, as the absence of assured procurement for non-paddy crops remains one of the most significant barriers to diversification. Establishing decentralized procurement centers for oilseeds, pulses, and millet at the block level would help reduce market uncertainty and provide farmers with reliable avenues for selling their produce. Such measures would not only enhance farmers' confidence in adopting alternative cropping patterns but also stabilize prices by preventing market gluts and dependence on intermediaries.

In addition to market reforms, policy measures aimed at promoting water-saving agricultural practices could accelerate ecological gains. Incentivizing the adoption of water-efficient crops, such as pulses and oilseeds, through differential MSP bonuses, risk-mitigation packages, or input subsidies would encourage a gradual shift away from high-water-demand crops like paddy. Expanding subsidies for micro-irrigation technologies, including drip and sprinkler systems, along with the promotion of energy-efficient pump sets, could further strengthen water-use efficiency and help address the district's growing groundwater stress.

A third policy priority involves expanding agricultural extension and farmer-awareness initiatives. The study reveals considerable disparities in farmers' knowledge of diversification schemes, agronomic practices for new crops, and market opportunities. Strengthening extension services through digital platforms, community resource centers, trained village-level extension workers, and regular field demonstrations can significantly improve farmers' technical capacity during the transition period. Enhanced extension outreach would ensure that farmers have timely access to agronomic guidance, risk-mitigation strategies, and market information, thereby reducing uncertainty and improving adoption rates.

Finally, promoting soil health restoration must remain integral to Haryana's agricultural policies. Given the degradation caused by decades of intensive rice–wheat cultivation, measures such as subsidizing organic manure, encouraging green manuring, and supporting crop residue management technologies are essential. These interventions would help replenish soil organic carbon, enhance microbial activity, and improve long-term fertility. Supporting farmers in adopting these practices through both financial incentives and technical guidance would not only improve agricultural sustainability but also complement ongoing diversification efforts by creating healthier soil conditions conducive to a wider range of crops.

Together, these policy implications suggest that crop diversification cannot be achieved through isolated schemes alone. Rather, it requires a coordinated approach that strengthens markets, enhances ecological incentives, improves institutional support, and restores the long-term productivity of agricultural resources. As Haryana confronts rising environmental pressures and economic risks, such integrated policies will be essential for building a more sustainable and resilient agricultural system.

Conclusion

The study provides empirical evidence that crop diversification significantly enhances environmental, economic, and social sustainability in Haryana's semi-arid farming systems. Diversified farms demonstrate improved soil quality, reduced groundwater use, higher profitability, and better risk management compared to monoculture farms. However, widespread adoption remains restricted by structural market failures, weak institutional support, and high transition risks.

Sustainability-driven crop diversification will require an integrated policy approach that simultaneously addresses ecological restoration, price assurance, market infrastructure, and farmer capacity-building. The findings offer critical insights for policymakers aiming to reform agricultural systems in Haryana and other regions facing similar ecological stresses.

References

1. Bhatt, R., & Kukal, S. S. (2015). Soil quality and sustainability under rice–wheat cropping systems. *Agricultural Reviews, 36*(1), 1–10.
2. Birthal, P. S., Negi, D. S., & Kumar, S. (2021). Crop diversification for sustainable agricultural growth. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics, 76*(2), 195–212.
3. Central Ground Water Board. (2022). *Groundwater assessment report of Haryana*. Ministry of Jal Shakti.
4. Chand, R. (2020). Explaining India's agricultural performance in the post-Green Revolution period. *Economic and Political Weekly, 55*(3), 34–42.
5. FAO. (2020). *Diversification for resilient farming systems*. Food and Agriculture Organization.
6. Kamboj, V., & Kumar, R. (2022). Agricultural diversification trends in Haryana: A regional analysis. *Journal of Rural Development, 41*(2), 145–162.
7. Kumar, A., Singh, K., & Mehta, R. (2019). Input intensification and sustainability challenges in Haryana agriculture. *Journal of Agrarian Studies, 13*(4), 245–262.
8. Narayanan, S. (2020). Diversification as a climate adaptation strategy. *World Development, 128*, 104–112.
9. Pretty, J. (2008). Agricultural sustainability: Concepts, principles, and evidence. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, 363*(1491), 447–465.
10. Rathore, U. (2021). Policy constraints in agricultural diversification: A Haryana case study. *Policy Studies Journal, 49*(3), 688–705.
11. Singh, M., & Chauhan, B. S. (2019). Soil organic carbon restoration through legume integration. *Field Crops Research, 238*, 80–89.