



# Review on the Miniaturization of GPS Trackers for Livestock Anti-Rustling Applications

<sup>1</sup>Usman I.H., <sup>2</sup>Arthur I.A., <sup>3</sup>Yusuf M.A., <sup>4</sup>Abdullahi M. & <sup>5</sup>Damina S.M

<sup>1,4</sup>Department of Electrical/Electronic Engineering, Federal Polytechnic Bauchi, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Artmann Technologies, Ilaro, Ogun State, Nigeria

<sup>6</sup>National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom, Plateau State, Nigeria

**Abstract:** Livestock rustling remains a persistent security and economic challenge in many developing countries, particularly across Africa. Recent advances in tracking technologies have enabled the progressive miniaturization of Global Positioning System (GPS)-based livestock monitoring devices, evolving from bulky collar-mounted units to compact electronic ear tags and, more recently, experimental subcutaneous implant systems. This paper presents a comprehensive review of the technological trends driving this miniaturization, with emphasis on device size, power consumption, signal performance, tamper resistance, and suitability for anti-rustling applications. Advanced ear-tag solutions leveraging cellular, LoRaWAN, and low Earth orbit (LEO) satellite communication now provide near real-time tracking with improved reliability and reduced detectability. In contrast, subcutaneous implants, while widely adopted for animal identification using RFID technology, remain largely experimental for GPS-based tracking due to signal attenuation and power constraints. A comparative analysis of collar-based trackers, advanced ear tags, and subcutaneous implants is presented, highlighting their strengths, limitations, and future research directions. The review concludes that while ear-tag-based systems currently represent the most practical anti-rustling solution, continued advances in ultra-low-power electronics and energy harvesting may eventually enable fully implantable GPS tracking systems.

**Keywords:** GPS tracking, Livestock security, Anti-rustling technology, Smart ear tags, Subcutaneous implants, LoRaWAN

## I. Introduction

Livestock rustling has resulted in the loss of lives, displacement of communities, and severe economic hardship for farmers and pastoralists in many developing regions. In Africa, and particularly in Nigeria, the conflict between farmers and herders has been exacerbated by cattle theft, weak traceability mechanisms, and limited technological intervention. Addressing this challenge requires not only policy and security reforms but also the deployment of effective technological solutions capable of improving livestock monitoring and recovery.

The Global Positioning System (GPS) has emerged as a critical enabler for livestock tracking, offering real-time or near real-time location data that can deter theft and support rapid recovery. Early livestock tracking solutions relied primarily on collar-mounted devices, which, although effective, are often bulky, power-hungry, and easily removed by thieves. These limitations have driven sustained research efforts toward the miniaturization of tracking devices to improve animal welfare, reduce visibility, and enhance tamper resistance.

Advances in microelectronics, low-power communication protocols, and satellite connectivity have enabled a gradual transition from collar-based trackers to compact electronic ear tags and, more recently, to experimental subcutaneous implant technologies. This paper reviews these technological trends, focusing on the evolution of livestock monitoring systems from collars and wearables to advanced ear tags and subcutaneous implants. A comparative evaluation of these technologies is presented to assess their suitability for anti-rustling applications in developing countries.

## II. Review of Related Works

Early livestock monitoring systems predominantly employed collar-mounted GPS trackers integrated with cellular communication modules. A collar-based tracking system utilizing GPS, a 4G/LTE-A GSM module, and an STM32 microcontroller was reported in [1], with a device footprint of approximately 45 mm × 45 mm × 4 mm. Although effective in providing location data, the system suffered from significant power consumption, requiring battery recharging approximately every 10 hours.



*Fig.1: A Calve with the developed Collar Tracker.*

Parallel developments in sports and human wearable technologies have demonstrated significant progress in device miniaturization. Commercial wearable devices such as smartwatches and fitness trackers integrate GPS, inertial sensors, and wireless communication within compact enclosures typically measuring less than 50 mm in diameter [2]–[6]. However, despite their reduced size, energy efficiency remains a key limitation, with most devices requiring daily recharging under continuous use [7]. These challenges are directly relevant to livestock tracking, where long operational lifetimes are critical.

To address the limitations of collars, several studies have explored ear-mounted tracking solutions. GPS-enabled ear tags [8] and RFID-based ear tags [9] have been shown to offer improved comfort, reduced visibility, and easier deployment. Modern smart ear tags integrate GPS receivers with cellular, LoRaWAN, or satellite communication, achieving device weights as low as 24–29 g [14]. These devices provide a practical balance between miniaturization, signal performance, and battery life, making them suitable for real-time livestock monitoring.

The most recent research direction within Precision Livestock Farming (PLF) involves subcutaneous implantable devices. While subcutaneous RFID implants are widely used for permanent animal identification [13], GPS-enabled implants remain largely experimental. Research in PLF [10] and implantable telemetry systems [11], [12] indicates that major challenges persist, including radio-frequency signal attenuation caused by biological tissue and the lack of a sustainable, miniaturized power source. Consequently, subcutaneous GPS implants are currently limited to proof-of-concept implementations rather than commercial deployment.

### III. Comparison of Reviewed Technologies

Table 1: Comparison of Reviewed Technologies

Feature	Collar (Traditional)	Advanced Ear Tags	Subcutaneous Implants
Size & Weight	Large and heavy	Highly miniaturized ( $\approx 24\text{--}29$ g)	Ultra-miniaturized
Tamper Resistance	Low (easily cut/removed)	High (requires tools)	Very high (fully concealed)
Signal Strength	High	Good	Challenging (tissue attenuation)
Power Source	Rechargeable/replaceable battery	Solar-assisted / replaceable battery	Energy harvesting (research stage)
Data Access	Instant	Instant, wireless (IoT/satellite)	Proximity-based (RFID); GPS experimental
GPS Tracking	Excellent	Very good	Emerging (experimental)
Installation	Simple (collar fitting)	Non-invasive (ear tagging)	Minor injection procedure
Primary Use	Real-time tracking & health monitoring	Real-time tracking & health monitoring	Permanent identification; future tracking potential

### IV. Conclusion

The miniaturization of GPS-based livestock tracking systems has progressed significantly over the past decade, transitioning from bulky collar-mounted devices to compact, tamper-resistant electronic ear tags. These advancements have enhanced animal welfare, reduced detectability by rustlers, and improved the reliability of real-time livestock monitoring. While subcutaneous implants represent an attractive long-term solution due to their concealment and permanence, current implementations remain limited to identification purposes, with GPS-enabled variants still constrained by power availability and signal attenuation.

At present, advanced electronic ear tags offer the most practical and scalable solution for livestock anti-rustling applications, particularly in developing countries. Continued research into ultra-low-power electronics, energy harvesting, and improved

antenna design may eventually enable fully implantable GPS tracking systems. Such developments would represent a significant milestone in livestock security and traceability.

## References

- [1] I. H. Usman, A. I. Arokhamoni, M. A. Yusuf, and A. M. Y. Jumba, "Design, fabrication and deployment of anti-rustling tracking system," *Journal of African Sustainable Development*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 233–252, 2020.
- [2] J. C. Lemes, "Influence of pitch size and age category on the physical and physiological responses of young football players during small-sided games using GPS devices," *Research in Sports Medicine*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 206–216, 2020.
- [3] A. Waqar et al., "Analysis of GPS and UWB positioning systems for athlete tracking," *Measurement: Sensors*, vol. 14, Art. no. 100036, 2021.
- [4] P. Dempsey, "Reviews—Consumer technology: The teardown—Samsung Galaxy Watch 3," *Engineering & Technology*, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 84–85, 2020.
- [5] D. Martinez, "Samsung Galaxy Watch dimensions and drawings," Dimensions.com, 2020.
- [6] D. Martinez, "Huawei Band dimensions and drawings," Dimensions.com, 2020.
- [7] K. Kozuch, "Samsung Galaxy Watch 4 battery life—What we hope to see," *Tom's Guide*, 2021.
- [8] P. E. Clark et al., "An advanced, low-cost, GPS-based animal tracking system," *Rangeland Ecology & Management*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 334–340, 2006.
- [9] F. Adrion, *Adaptation and assessment of a UHF-RFID system for livestock management*, Ph.D. dissertation, 2018.
- [10] D. Berckmans, "General introduction to precision livestock farming," *Animal Frontiers*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 6–11, 2017.
- [11] R. Puers and G. Vandevorde, "Recent progress on wireless telemetry systems for biomedical applications," *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, vol. 142, no. 2, pp. 489–503, 2008.
- [12] P. Mercier, A. Chandrakasan, and U. K. Madawala, "Ultra-low-power short-range radios for implantable medical devices," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems*, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 406–418, 2012.
- [13] G. Caja et al., "Electronic identification systems for dairy cattle," *Journal of Dairy Science*, vol. 97, no. 2, pp. 1195–1212, 2014.
- [14] LoneStar Tracking, "GSatSolar cattle and livestock GPS tracker," 2026.