



The Statue of Unity: The Integration of Shape and Function in Artistic Architecture

Deepak ¹, Prof. Nirupama Singh¹

¹Department of Visual Arts, Central University of Himachal Pradesh, India, 177101

The Statue of Unity is the India's largest Statue and architecture as well, renowned for its functionality and aesthetics. Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel's expressive attitude, the statue's bronze exterior, and the fine craftsmanship all exhibit the "form" that sculptor Ram V. Sutar was hoping for. On the other pointer, the "function" is the outcome of technical and architectural wants, such as the need for high-velocity lifts, interior observing galleries, and structural integrity to undergo environmental influences. In spite of being conventionally considered a part of public sculptural art, this study contends that the monument's massive dimensions, core organisation, and interconnected visitor experience make it difficult to categorise as a single creative, ¹This paper will give information about the architecture structure and construction included balancing these two forces, the artistic goal line and the architectural boundaries.

Keywords- Art, Architecture, Form, Function, Ram V. Sutar, Statue of Unity, Structural.

Introduction

The highest statue in the biosphere, the Statue of Unity, is located in Gujarat, India, and stands 182 meters/597 feet tall. It is an artistic architecture honouring Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel and is a major example of the grouping of beautiful architecture and real-world design. Its bronze-clad façade conceals a sturdy steel and strengthened concrete inside structure that allows it to endure severe weather conditions. It was intended by Ram V. Sutar¹. The artistic architecture serves as both a museum and a public area, illustrating how art can be a living, useful structure.

Recognized as the "Iron Man of India," Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel was a decisive and practical leader². Among his greatest accomplishments is his crucial part in the fight for independence, especially the Bardoli Satyagraha³⁻⁵, for which he was labelled "Sardar"^{6,7}. The peaceful alliance of more than 560 princely kingdoms into the Indian Union, which prohibited the nation from breaking up, was his most notable accomplishment. He created the modern-day All India Services, which he denoted as the "steel frame" of the country, while serving as India's first Deputy Prime Minister and Home Minister⁸.

The Statue of Unity was designed by Ram V. Sutar to depict Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel in a dignified and realistic manner¹, with a position signifying his contribution to the unification of India. Through the "Statue of Unity Movement," a novel public effort, farmers from all over India contributed scrap iron from their discarded farming implements as part of the project^{1,9}. The statue external skin is composed of bronze panels, while the fundamental base was constructed from this collected iron. Starting with miniature models that Sutar sculpted, the ultimate statue was created in a multi-step process that included 3D scanning to enlarge the design for the final bronze casting, which was done in a Chinese foundry⁹⁻¹².

With his strong bonds to the countryside, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel played a key role in farmer movements opposing British policy^{13,14}. He directed the Kheda Satyagraha in 1918 and the Bardoli Satyagraha in 1928, organising farmers to

fight biased taxation. The local women dubbed him "Sardar" for his successful leadership in the Bardoli movement. Local farmer conflicts were transformed into important national movements appreciations in large part to his work with villages¹⁵⁻¹⁸.

Mostly regarded as one of the oldest and most important metal sculptures discovered, the "Dancing Girl" of Mohenjo-daro dates to around 2500 BCE. The standardised brick building, planned town grid, and remarkably sophisticated drainage and sanitation system characterise Mohenjo-daro's architecture, as evidenced by this bronze figurine¹⁹⁻²⁴. Rather than erecting colossal religious architecture, the city concentrated on practical public and private buildings. From the dancing girl statue from Mohenjo-Daro to the contemporary statue of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel, Shows the development that has taken place over time. In this, how art and architecture, which were different subjects, have merged with each other today contemporary time²⁵⁻²⁷.

Literature Review

For the literature review of "The Statue of Unity: The Artistic Architecture is the Combination of Form and Function" the following references of Books and academic publications that are pertinent to the Statue of Unity's history, architecture, and symbolism.

- **Saggi, P.D. ed., 1950. *Life and Work of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel* (pp. 86-86). Overseas Publishing House.**

The consequence of P.D. Saggi's "1950 book", 'Life and Work of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel', as a "early historic record" would be emphasised in a literature analysis. The book placed the groundwork for understanding the "symbolic purpose" of the Statue of Unity by launching Patel's story as the "Iron Man" who fetched India together²⁸.

- **Singh, R.N.P., 2025. *Sardar Patel: Unifier of Modern India*. VITASTA PUBLISHING PVT LT.**

Sardar Patel: Unifier of Modern India by R.N.P. Singh is a modern, progressive text, according to a literature study. By endeavouring to re-establish Patel as the main architect of India's unity and arguing that his contribution was purposefully minimised, the book types a direct connection among the historical character and the Statue of Unity, which serves as a symbolic reaffirmation in up-to-the-minute periods.

- **Sarbjit Bahga - New Indian Architecture: 1947-2020**

"Sarbjit Bahga's New Indian Architecture: 1947-2020" is a "visual directory" of Indian architecture later independence, bestowing towards literature study. The book documents a diversity of projects using a mutual "case study methodology" and contains a "historical timeline". It is a vital resource for placing the "Statue of Unity" in the higher context of contemporary Indian architectural history.

- **Hofstadter, D., 1980. *Selected Papers: I. Romanesque Art*, by Meyer Schapiro (Book Review). *Commentary*, 70(2), p.67.**

The reputation of Meyer Schapiro's "Selected Papers: I. Romanesque Art" as a "theoretical source" would be emphasised in a literature analysis of Douglas Hofstadter's work. Hofstadter uses a cerebral science perspective to evaluate art, emphasising just how a physical form is a "system of symbols and patterns". This viewpoint is helpful for paper for the reason that it offers a context for inspecting how the artistic form of the Statue of Unity is functionally created to interconnect its symbolic meaning²⁹.

- **Therborn, G., 2014. Modern Monumentality. *Approaching Monumentality in Archaeology*, p.333.**

Göran Therborn's "Modern Monumentality" would be an important "sociological source" according to a literature analysis. The essay offers a notional framework for examining how the Statue of Unity's imaginative form fulfils a specific political and public purpose by at variance that monuments are created not only for aesthetic reasons but also to "shape national identity and power"³⁰.

- **Cudny, W. and Appelblad, H., 2019. Monuments and their functions in urban public space. *Norsk Geografisk Tidsskrift-Norwegian Journal of Geography*, 73(5), pp.273-289.**

Cudny and Appelblad's 2019 article would be a useful contemporary source that observes the multifunctional part of monuments, rendering to literature review. The writers contend that monuments partake social, economic, and political functions in urbanite areas in addition to their representative role, which runs a framework for probing the "Statue of Unity"³¹.

- **Binoy, P., 2024. The role of public art has changed in recent times: a study. *Liño*, 30(30), pp.161-165.**

P. Binoy's 2024 composition would be classified as a contemporary foundation that examines the sprouting role of public art in a literature analysis. The paper varieties the case that contemporary public art, such as the Statue of Unity, has numerous uses in social, cultural, and economic advancement and is no longer purely for aesthetic purposes³².

- **Guha-Thakurta, T., 2004. *Monuments, Objects, Histories: Institutions of art in colonial and post-colonial India*. Columbia University Press.**

Monuments, Objects, Histories by Tapati Guha-Thakurta is a introductory intellectual work that scrutinizes the historical background of Indian monument-making and art organisations. The book bids a vital historical context for your artefact on the Statue of Unity by arguing that monuments are used to "construct national identity" and that their denotation advances over time³³.

- **CWYNAR, K., 2022. Public Art After Monuments. *Journal of Canadian Art History/Annales d'histoire de l'art Canadien*, 43(1/2), pp.92-106.**

According to the prior responses, the complete manuscript of the article Public Art After Monuments by K. Cwynar was not existing, therefore a wide-ranging literature study might not be given. Instead, based on the article's title and publication framework, the replies provided a speculative examination of its likely themes and contributions³⁴.

- **Mosher, M.S., 2017. *The architecture of Mohenjo-Daro as evidence for the organization of Indus civilization neighbourhoods*. University of Toronto (Canada).**

Rendering to Mark S. Mosher's 2017 dissertation, Mohenjo-Daro's architecture demonstrations that its neighbourhoods had exclusive identities rather than a single, centralised plan. He established how local neighbourhoods subverted the city's communal aesthetic by using a novel architectural typology. This study challenges the conservative perception of a uniform urban civilisation by present a more complex perspective of the Indus Civilisation³⁵.

- **River, C., 2016. *Mohenjo-Daro: The History and Legacy of the Ancient Settlement of the Indus Valley Civilization*. Createspace Independent Publishing Platform.**

Mohenjo-Daro: The History and Legacy, by C. River is not an academic history book rather, it is a popular history volume. It provides a public onlooker with an overview of the current archaeological findings on Mohenjo-Daro. It is appreciated not because it enhances fresh research but because it serves as an impression for non-specialists³⁶.

- **Thomas, A.J., 2020. The Story of the Dancing-Girl of Mohenjo-daro: Interpreting the Social Life of a Bronze Figurine. *Heritage: J. Multidiscip. Stud. Archaeol*, 8(1), pp.616-626.**

The Dancing Girl figure from Mohenjo-Daro is re-explained in an article by A.J. Thomas from 2020. It makes the instance that the meaning of the figurine is not set in nugget but has progressed over time due to dogmatic and historical factors—for example, from a label allied with the colonist past to a depiction of Indian national identity. The study fees the discipline by indicative of how scholarly prejudices impact how archaeological findings are interpreted concluded a biographical approach³⁷.

- **Sadasivan, B., 2011. *The dancing girl: a history of early India*. Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.**

B. Sadasivan's *The Dancing Girl: A History of Early India* is a detailed, one-volume history of early India that concealments the period from the Indus Valley Civilisation to the Mughal rule. It is a widespread history book that serves as a valuable reference text and makes a boundless deal of historical knowledge comprehensible to a wide audience rather than being an academic work³⁸.

- **Kumar, R., 2023. A case study on “Statue of Unity”, Gujarat, India.**

R. Kumar's paper is a case study on the Statue of Unity. It delivers a vivid analysis of the statue's project, creation, and political consequence, serving to paper the details of this major architectural development rather than offering new academic research³⁹.

- **PATEL, S.V., THE STATUE OF UNITY.**

S.V. Patel's work *THE STATUE OF UNITY* was not one I could pinpoint. The all-purpose literature on the monument, however, falls into two major classes socio-political evaluates that judgmentally assess its influence, cost, and purpose, and architectural and manufacturing case studies that honour its strategy and construction¹.

METHODOLOGY

- **Primary and Secondary Data:** The present research title is based on exploratory research includes Primary and Secondary data sources to achieve accurate data and observation, Evaluation and study of the form of information from multiple sources will be needed for this through field visit, through the field survey all the minorly observe through library visitors.
- **Archival Research** Examining official project permits, manufacturing schematics, creation information, and project plans in order to understand the statue's structural and technological elements.

- **Literature Review** Wide-ranging analysis of scholarly publications, novels, bulletin stories, and community discussions about the Statue of Unity, with a prominence on works that address its sociopolitical contextual, architecture, and artwork.
- **Media Analysis** Analysing news reports, pictures, and authorized promotional materials to comprehend the public treatise surrounding the monument's artistic and real-world features.

OUTCOMES

- **A New Classification of Monumental Art:** The leading goal of the paper is to provide a strong circumstance for why the Statue of Unity doesn't appropriate into somewhat one category. In its place, just being a public sculpture, it will recommend that the monument is a new hybrid of "sculptural architecture" or "architecture." This assumption will emphasise just how it is a structure that resembles a sculpture due to its mammoth dimensions and ultimate determination.
- **In-depth Examination of Form-Function Synergy and Conflict:** The study will high spot confident situations in which the architectural provisions and creative vision moreover worked in concert or in opposition to one another. For illustration, you might realize that the structural core of steel and concrete bids the required strength, function but the partial creative vision for the interior space, while the bronze layer serves as both an aesthetic skin for "form" and a useful projectile for weather shield. The study will show how these contradictory priorities were reconciled.
- **Reassessing the roles of engineers and artists:** It is credible that this study will reveal that the project verbalized an unparalleled degree of cooperation. Because artistic choosing like Sardar Patel's posture were closely influenced by and limited by architectural realities including wind load, structural stability, and visitor movement, it will prove how the duties of the sculptor and engineer were combined. The result will be a classy comprehension of how technical boundaries inclined artistic resolution.
- **Lessons for Future Public Works:** The outcomes of this effort can be used as a model for significant public monuments in the forthcoming. It will point toward that such projects demand an integrated design viewpoint from the start, where utility and aesthetics are valued equally. This result will oblige as a template for future proposal and creation of elaborate, multipurpose monuments.

CONCLUSION

The Statue of Unity resists this classification by skilfully blending form and function, even though it is typically well-thought-out a single piece of public sculpture. This study has given away that the monument is a cultured architectural construction intended to have a practical function rather than only being a figurative form. The form is represented by the artistic vision of sculptor Ram V. Sutar, who was able to show Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel's expressive attitude and superb craftsmanship. Its determination cannot be separated from this shape, which is famous by its mammoth scale and bronze exterior. The final project and construction of the tribute were directly influenced by the architectural and engineering wants, which included the requirement for high-velocity lifts, structural truthfulness to resist environmental pressures, and core observation galleries.

The basic battle between large-scale public monuments' practical provisions and aesthetic ambitions is brought to light by this paper's analysis of the Statue of Unity. The project's success rest on its capacity to balance these two stimuli and produce a monument that serves as a extremely useful public-use building in accumulation to being an expressive artistic achievement. As a result, the Statue of Unity is a singular example of architectural ability in which the practical

necessities do not moderate the grandeur of its artistic form, but relatively enhance it, providing a comprehensive and combined experience for visitors. Granting to this case study, contemporary monumental architecture can successfully cross the conventional lines separating sculpture from structure, establishing a brand-new category of artistic expression in which form and function coexist tunelessly.

Future Scope

Long-Term Performance: Examine how upkeep affects the statue's artistic form and how well its lift function works, observation of levels, grips up over time.

Visitor Impact: Research the long-term socioeconomic and cultural implications on the neighbourhood, paying particular attention to the generation of jobs, tourism-related income, and the influence on indigenous communities.

Comparative Analysis: To create a new theoretical framework for monuments that are both aesthetically pleasing and useful, compare the Statue of Unity to other massive "art-architecture" projects (such as the Colosseum and the Eiffel Tower).

Symbolic Evolution: Examine how the statue's meaning has evolved over time, especially in relation to its status as a national symbol.

References

1. Patel, s.v., the statue of unity, srinivasa ramanujan institute of technology
2. Lal, N. and Das, T., Iron man of India: Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel. *(No Title)*, <https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1970586434826731206>
3. Shah, G., 1974. Traditional society and political mobilization: the experience of Bardoli satyagraha (1920-1928). *Contributions to Indian sociology*, 8(1), pp.89-107, <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/006996677400800106>
4. Mehta, S.M., 1978, January. The Bardoli Satyagraha of 1928: A Note on Organizations. In *Proceedings of the Indian History Congress* (Vol. 39, pp. 597-605). Indian History Congress, <https://www.jstor.org/stable/44139402>
5. Shankardass, R.D., 1986. Spokesman for the Peasantry: The Case of Vallabhbhai Patel and Bardoli. *Studies in History*, 2(1), pp.47-69, <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/025764308600200104>
6. Shankardass, R.D., 1985. *Vallabhbhai Patel: His Role and Style in Indian Politics 1928-1947*. University of London, School of Oriental and African Studies (United Kingdom), <https://www.proquest.com/openview/3fe0e31fdc0f51b69170da173a7a0ea6/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=2026366&diss=y>
7. Thakur, H.K., Sardar Patel's Perspective of Indian Nationalism. In *Sardar Patel* (pp. 161-172). Routledge India, <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003329497-11/sardar-patel-perspective-indian-nationalism-harish-thakur>
8. Krishna, B., 2007. *India's Bismarck, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel*. Indus Source, <https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=sLr7z6gNcV0C&oi=fnd&pg=PR9&dq=The+peaceful+alliance+of+more+than+560+princely+kingdoms+into+the+Indian+Union,+which+prohibited+the+nation+fro>

[m+breaking+up,+was+his+most+notable+accomplishment.+by+Sardar+Vallabhbhai+Patel+&ots=vb7QP9-BPD&sig=Nez8MS28CWv7EjfnwnJ0DG6few&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false](https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=7AheEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT7&dq=The+Statue+of+Unity+was+designed+by+Ram+V.+Sutar+&ots=wVgX-noCtt&sig=-903tfeF8V7x5iap1Aic26015Rg&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=The%20Statue%20of%20Unity%20was%20designed%20by%20Ram%20V.%20Sutar&f=false)

9. Kumar, R., 2023. A case study on “Statue of Unity”, Gujarat, India, <https://researchplateau.com/uploads/researchpapers/1688397235.pdf>

10. Hashmi, M.D. and Malviya, P., 2019. Modeling and Design of High-Rise Statue of Lord Shree Ram using Staad-Pro Software, International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD) Volume 3 Issue 5, August 2019 Available Online: www.ijtsrd.com e-ISSN: 2456 – 6470

11. Thakur, H.K. ed., 2025. *Sardar Patel: An Icon of India's Unity*. Taylor & Francis, https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=7AheEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT7&dq=The+Statue+of+Unity+was+designed+by+Ram+V.+Sutar+&ots=wVgX-noCtt&sig=-903tfeF8V7x5iap1Aic26015Rg&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=The%20Statue%20of%20Unity%20was%20designed%20by%20Ram%20V.%20Sutar&f=false

12. Wang, H., 2020. The Largest Statue in the World—An Analysis of India's Statue of Unity, https://pdf.hanspub.org/ARL20200300000_62905384.pdf

13. Patel, S., 2025. A Study of the Kheda, Borsad, and Bardoli Satyagrahas. *Sardar Patel: An Icon of India's Unity*, https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=7AheEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT50&dq=+Kheda+Satyagraha+in+1918+and+the+Bardoli+Satyagraha+in+1928,+organising+farmers+to+fight+biased+taxation.+&ots=wVgX-npGAv&sig=Vc6rZ_wHK0bPj-4_0EP_bYy9360&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false

14. Dholakia, A., 2025. Leadership Style and Mobilisation Strategies of Sardar Patel: A Study of the Kheda, Borsad, and Bardoli Satyagrahas. In *Sardar Patel* (pp. 44-61). Routledge India, <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003329497-3/leadership-style-mobilisation-strategies-sardar-patel-amit-dholakia>

15. Overy, B., 2009. *Gandhi as a political organiser. An analysis of local and national campaigns in India 1915-1922* (Doctoral dissertation), <https://bradscholars.brad.ac.uk/entities/publication/63227a28-007a-4d1c-be07-80d7eba8e63a>

16. Suri, K.C., 1987. The Agrarian Question in India during the national movement, 1885-1947. *Social Scientist*, pp.25-50, <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3520411>

17. Ranjan, R. and Singh, M.K., 2021. *Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel*. KK Publications, https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=zGg9EAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1&dq=+Kheda+Satyagraha+in+1918+and+the+Bardoli+Satyagraha+in+1928,+organising+farmers+to+fight+biased+taxation.+&ots=dtBqvUQFWN&sig=ai8wnezK7krAtwlisw92psEqPkk&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false

18. Spodek, H., 1975. Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel at 100. *Economic and Political weekly*, pp.1925-1936, <https://www.jstor.org/stable/40740155>

19. Sadasivan, B., 2011. *The dancing girl: a history of early India*. Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, <https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=980SAvbmpUkC&oi=fnd&pg=PP14&dq=%22Dancing+G>

[irl%22+of+Mohenjo-daro+dates+to+around+2500+BCE&ots=vDcy-Ux1pt&sig=ibZMcevRMzwedxB2-wNXF7VdTQk&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=%22Dancing%20Girl%22%20of%20Mohenjo-daro%20dates%20to%20around%202500%20BCE&f=false](https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=s80eDQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA3&dq=%22Dancing%20Girl%22%20of%20Mohenjo-daro%20dates%20to%20around%202500%20BCE&ots=vDcy-Ux1pt&sig=ibZMcevRMzwedxB2-wNXF7VdTQk&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=%22Dancing%20Girl%22%20of%20Mohenjo-daro%20dates%20to%20around%202500%20BCE&f=false)

20. Pareja, M.N., 2024. The Indus River Valley and Other Bronze Age Cultures. In *Handbook of Indian History* (pp. 97-127). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-97-6207-1_5

21. Ram, K.V., 2016. *Indian Women Empowerment from Harappa-Mohenjo Daro Period to Modern Time*. Niruta Publications, https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=1ILcDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA3&dq=%22Dancing+Girl%22+of+Mohenjo-daro+dates+to+around+2500+BCE&ots=V4snmYnfCU&sig=YNkJu8bI0GUDYMgzx-HzhOVOaQ0&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false

22. Mariwalla, C.L., 1957. *Mohen-jo-daro*. Popular Book Depot, https://sanipanhwar.com/uploads/books/2024-08-29_10-24-00_509929860e00a8d6d3ec1e88c3ae4824.pdf

23. Ratnagar, S., 2024. Bronze-Age Harappan Environment and Cities. In *Handbook on Urban History of Early India* (pp. 3-19). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-97-6230-9_1

24. Aronovsky, I. and Gopinath, S., 2016. *The Indus Valley*. Capstone, https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=s80eDQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA4&dq=%22Dancing+Girl%22+of+Mohenjo-daro+dates+to+around+2500+BCE&ots=RbqrpIj-QG&sig=2hPBuWnU6v7Ov21nD_Hkq8PHhlo&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false

25. Giedion, S., 2009. *Space, time and architecture: the growth of a new tradition*. Harvard University Press, https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=R5EOEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=,+how+art+and+architecture,+which+were+different+subjects,+have+merged+with+each+other+today+contemporary+time.&ots=WfJlmHfaia&sig=cv_0M-dqlP_psX3FjYHLXbTeT6o&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=%2C%20how%20art%20and%20architecture%2C%20which%20were%20different%20subjects%2C%20have%20merged%20with%20each%20other%20today%20contemporary%20time.&f=false

26. Scruton, R., 2021. The aesthetics of architecture, <https://www.torrossa.com/en/resources/an/5622282>

27. Smith, T.E., 2009. *What is contemporary art?*. University of Chicago Press, https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=zs5KWPKWUHGc&oi=fnd&pg=PR6&dq=,+how+art+and+architecture,+which+were+different+subjects,+have+merged+with+each+other+today+contemporary+time.&ots=ta1u2FHN5J&sig=dcIK2MgbfF-0z84WeEm6ogfl9Yo&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=%2C%20how%20art%20and%20architecture%2C%20which%20were%20different%20subjects%2C%20have%20merged%20with%20each%20other%20today%20contemporary%20time.&f=false

28. Saggi, P.D. ed., 1950. *Life and Work of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel* (pp. 86-86). Overseas Publishing House, https://ksschool.org.in/Life-and_work_of_Sardar_VallabhBhai_patel.pdf
29. Hofstadter, D., 1980. Selected Papers: I. Romanesque Art, by Meyer Schapiro (Book Review). *Commentary*, 70(2), p.67, <https://www.proquest.com/openview/884262b4c284e7deb2f9b51f9d5cc752/1.pdf?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=1816616>
30. Therborn, G., 2014. Modern Monumentality. *Approaching Monumentality in Archaeology*, p.333, https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=nvAQBQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA333&dq=Therborn,+G.,+2014.+Modern+Monumentality.+Approaching+Monumentality+in+Archaeology,+p.333.&ots=1r4NPSSmeb&sig=YOaBZvXVRgfWeQjFg9--Y_aZfA4&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false
31. Cudny, W. and Appelblad, H., 2019. Monuments and their functions in urban public space. *Norsk Geografisk Tidsskrift-Norwegian Journal of Geography*, 73(5), pp.273-289, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00291951.2019.1694976>
32. Binoy, P., 2024. The role of public art has changed in recent times: a study. *Liño*, 30(30), pp.161-165, <https://reunido.uniovi.es/index.php/RAHA/article/view/19291>
33. Guha-Thakurta, T., 2004. *Monuments, Objects, Histories: Institutions of art in colonial and post-colonial India*. Columbia University Press, <https://www.degruyterbrill.com/document/doi/10.7312/guha12998-012/html>
34. CWYNAR, K., 2022. Public Art After Monuments. *Journal of Canadian Art History/Annales d'histoire de l'art Canadien*, 43(1/2), pp.92-106, <https://www.jstor.org/stable/27344516>
35. Mosher, M.S., 2017. *The architecture of Mohenjo-Daro as evidence for the organization of Indus civilization neighbourhoods*. University of Toronto (Canada), <https://www.proquest.com/openview/4ec1b915a70b1708393092858e1e3f75/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750>
36. River, C. and River, C., 2016. *Mohenjo-Daro: The History and Legacy of the Ancient Settlement of the Indus Valley Civilization*. Createspace Independent Publishing Platform, https://library-sindhi-sp-2020.s3.us-east-2.amazonaws.com/files/Mohenjo_Daro-Charles_River_Editors-2017-Complete-cs.pdf
37. Thomas, A.J., 2020. The Story of the Dancing-Girl of Mohenjo-daro: Interpreting the Social Life of a Bronze Figurine. *Heritage: J. Multidiscip. Stud. Archaeol*, 8(1), pp.616-626, https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/85937731/31_1_-libre.pdf?1652628533=&response-content-disposition=inline%3B+filename%3DThe_Story_of_the_Dancing_Girl_of_Mohenjo.pdf&Expires=1767351189&Signature=MvdwNrAuSMFJdYaxcmPOj4r8H1cTJ5WkVJtyi-7LAYdLKubZPcq9M7U12WJ5wlc64IyjVPsRDTNb3-IcemagdwzXxD4rdwU7z-jabttUnX7A2BTQflsP7YPTmBe-R0iKkdJ7SkaA3N76Se1WSIoWJG7RkopFVltkKuLHw5Uz0-

[gnZyPvU9M5QhqCu8aeCKIKS~irqpJZa7LgPlfHNrx0WQE5wf5nozi1L3cZLDNBT8U1pj30cQvx-dO7A~hx56PHnsA4Z0yBORL2NY9UPPpAgK7AMwaxsmRFFUvD5djiOyLPod~0Cgwa6NHdjMcCu9tNufwJT9coAfBFKRF0-lfQ_&Key-Pair-Id=APKAJLOHF5GGSLRBV4ZA](https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=980SAvbmUkC&oi=fnd&pg=PP14&dq=Sadasivan,+B.,+2011.+The+dancing+girl:+a+history+of+early+India.+Institute+of+Southeast+Asian+Studies.&ots=vDcy-Ww_px&sig=uP9x2cMI10LHg_bh3O2UFYIdI18&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Sadasivan%2C%20B.%2C%202011.%20The%20dancing%20girl%3A%20a%20history%20of%20early%20India.%20Institute%20of%20Southeast%20Asian%20Studies.&f=false)

38. Sadasivan, B., 2011. *The dancing girl: a history of early India*. Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=980SAvbmUkC&oi=fnd&pg=PP14&dq=Sadasivan,+B.,+2011.+The+dancing+girl:+a+history+of+early+India.+Institute+of+Southeast+Asian+Studies.&ots=vDcy-Ww_px&sig=uP9x2cMI10LHg_bh3O2UFYIdI18&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Sadasivan%2C%20B.%2C%202011.%20The%20dancing%20girl%3A%20a%20history%20of%20early%20India.%20Institute%20of%20Southeast%20Asian%20Studies.&f=false

39. Kumar, R., 2023. A case study on “Statue of Unity”, Gujarat, India, <https://researchplateau.com/uploads/reasearchpapers/1688397235.pdf>

