JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Exploring the Potential of Generative Artificial Intelligence Tools in Reducing Shadow Education Growth

Mrs.Shiffy Clyford

Assistant Professor
Department of English
Providence College for women
shiffyclyford@gmail.com

Dr.K.Santhosh kumar
Head & Assistant Professor
PG & Research Department of Computer science and Applications
Providence College for women
bbksan@gmail.com

abstract

Shadow education, often termed supplementary tutoring or private tuition, has become a widespread global phenomenon, deeply embedded in education systems across countries (Bray, 2017; Bray, 2023). This parallel system frequently increases educational inequalities, as it disproportionately benefits students from affluent families who can afford the additional support, leaving behind those from economically disadvantaged backgrounds (Entrich & Lauterbach, 2020; Bai, 2021). The resulting disparity undermines the principle of equal opportunity in education and perpetuates social inequalities (Bray, 2010; Agrawal et al., 2024; Chimbunde & Jakachira, 2024). Generative Artificial Intelligence (GAI), an innovative branch of AI focused on producing original content, offers a transformative solution to reduce the negative impacts of shadow education. By using machine learning algorithms, GAI tools can provide personalized, adaptive learning experiences that cater to individual student needs, regardless of their socioeconomic status (Ouyang & Jiao, 2021; Michel-Villarreal et al., 2023). These tools, ranging from AI-driven tutoring systems to content generation platforms, can make high-quality educational resources accessible and affordable (Chen et al., 2020; Malik et al., 2023), reducing the dependency on costly private tutoring.

Introduction

Moreover, the integration of GAI into mainstream education has the potential to foster inclusivity and equity. For instance, AI-powered platforms can deliver interactive, context-sensitive content tailored to diverse learning styles, enabling students to overcome barriers in traditional classroom settings (Knox et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020). In addition, the cost-effectiveness of GAI solutions can democratize access to educational

resources, bridging the gap between privileged and underprivileged learners (Yang, 2021; Russell, 2022; Kelly et al., 2023). By disrupting conventional learning paradigms, GAI holds the promise of reducing reliance on shadow education and ensuring that every student has the opportunity to succeed academically, irrespective of their financial circumstances. This shift underscores the need to integrate GAI into educational policies and practices to promote equity and access on a global scale. This paper explores the potential of GAI tools in addressing the challenges posed by shadow education. It examines how these tools can complement formal education, reduce educational inequalities, and promote inclusive learning environments.

The Rise of Shadow Education

Shadow education encompasses supplementary educational activities that exist outside formal schooling and aim to enhance students' academic performance (Zhang & Bray, 2015; Bae & Choi, 2023). This sector includes private tutoring, online coaching, test preparation services, and other informal academic support systems. Unlike formal education, shadow education operates in an unregulated and highly competitive market, driven by parental demand and market forces. Its flexibility and adaptability to meet students' and parents' specific needs have fueled its rapid growth in various regions (Alam & Zhu, 2022; Bae & Choi, 2023).

Factors contributing to the Growth of Shadow Education: Several interrelated factors have driven the expansion of shadow education globally:

- **High-Stakes Examinations**: The prevalence of high-stakes examinations in many educational systems has significantly increased the demand for shadow education. In countries where standardized tests or competitive entrance exams determine access to higher education and career opportunities, parents and students view private tutoring as a critical tool for securing success (Alam & Zhu, 2022). For instance, in East Asian countries such as South Korea and Japan, the intense pressure to excel in such exams has led to widespread reliance on after-school academies (Yamato et al., 2017; kato & Kobakhidze, 2024).
- **Perceived Inadequacies in Formal Education**: Many parents and students turn to shadow education due to perceived gaps in the quality of formal schooling (Li, 2020). Inadequate teacher preparation, ineffective classroom instruction, and curriculum misalignment with students' needs often leave learners struggling to keep up (Dang & Rogers, 2008; Li, 2020). For example, under-resourced public schools in some developing countries increase this trend, as parents seek private tutoring to bridge these gaps (Bai, 2021; Bray, 2023).
- **Parental Aspirations**: Parental expectations and aspirations for their children's future also contribute to the rise of shadow education. Many parents view additional tutoring as an investment in their children's educational success and career prospects (Panjabi, 2019; Hajar, 2023). Particularly in competitive urban environments, shadow education is seen as a necessity to ensure children stay ahead of their peers in both academic and extracurricular domains.

Challenges Posed by the Growth of Shadow Education: The rapid proliferation of shadow education has raised several concerns:

- **Economic Inequalities**: Shadow education often increases existing social and economic disparities. Students from low-income families are typically unable to afford private tutoring, leaving them at a significant disadvantage compared to their wealthier peers (Bray, 2010; Entrich & Lauterbach, 2020; Bai, 2021). This unequal access to supplementary education widens the achievement gap and undermines efforts toward equitable educational opportunities.
- **Academic Stress and Mental Health Issues**: The additional workload imposed by shadow education can contribute to heightened levels of stress and anxiety among students. Studies in countries like China and South Korea reveal that students who participate in extensive after-school tutoring often experience burnout, negatively impacting their mental health and overall well-being (Zhang, 2021; Jokila et al., 2021; Behchwitz et al., 2022).

- **Erosion of Formal Education**: Over-reliance on shadow education can undermine the credibility and effectiveness of formal schooling systems (Dang & Rogers, 2008). When students and parents place greater value on private tutoring than on regular school instruction, it diminishes trust in public education institutions and contributes to a cycle of declining quality in formal education (Dang & Rogers, 2008; Li, 2020). This trend is particularly concerning in countries where shadow education dominates students' academic lives, potentially leading to a fragmented education system.

In conclusion, while shadow education can provide short-term academic benefits for some students, it poses significant challenges to equity, mental health, and the integrity of formal education systems. Addressing these issues requires comprehensive reforms in public education to reduce reliance on private tutoring and promote a more inclusive and effective learning environment for all students.

GAI: A Disruptive Force in Education

GAI represents a groundbreaking innovation in the field of artificial intelligence, with its ability to create new and unique content spanning various formats, such as text, images, videos, and even programming code. Tools like ChatGPT, DALL-E, and BERT exemplify the immense potential of GAI, showcasing its ability to generate human-like responses and creative outputs. These tools employ advanced machine learning algorithms and large language models trained on extensive datasets, enabling them to understand context, mimic human creativity, and address complex queries (Carvolho et al., 2022; Pradama et al., 2023).

Applications of GAI in Education

GAI has been increasingly adopted in educational contexts, revolutionizing how educators and learners interact with content. Its diverse functionalities offer transformative opportunities to enhance teaching and learning processes while addressing traditional challenges in education.

- **Personalized Learning**: One of the most significant contributions of GAI to education is its ability to support personalized learning. Adaptive learning systems powered by AI can analyze individual students' learning patterns, preferences, and performance data to create customized content that aligns with their unique needs and styles (Michel-Villarrel et al., 2023). For example, platforms like DreamBox and Carnegie Learning use AI to adapt instructional materials in real-time, ensuring that students receive appropriate challenges and support. Personalized feedback provided by GAI fosters self-directed learning and encourages students to engage more actively in their educational journey (Xieet al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020).
- **Content Creation for Educators**: GAI tools have proven to be invaluable for educators by simplifying the process of content creation. Teachers can utilize AI-powered platforms to design lesson plans, quizzes, instructional videos, and even classroom activities with minimal effort. This automation not only saves time but also allows educators to focus more on facilitating interactive learning experiences. Research by Guan et al. (2020) highlights how GAI has reduced the administrative burden on teachers, enabling them to allocate more resources toward individualized student support. Moreover, platforms like ChatGPT and Canva are increasingly used to develop high-quality teaching materials that cater to diverse classroom needs.
- **Language Support and Translation**: In multicultural and multilingual classrooms, GAI has emerged as a powerful tool for breaking down linguistic barriers. AI-powered applications like Google Translate and Duolingo provide real-time translation and language-learning assistance, making education more inclusive for students from diverse linguistic backgrounds (Zhang & Aslan, 2021; Rahiman & Kodikal, 2024). Additionally, GAI has been instrumental in creating language-specific educational content, such as grammar exercises, vocabulary-building tools, and pronunciation guides. This has proven particularly beneficial in promoting equitable access to education in regions with limited resources for language instruction.

- **Enhancing Creativity and Engagement**: GAI tools foster creativity by enabling students to create projects, design presentations, and simulate real-world scenarios. For instance, tools like DALL-E allow students to visualize abstract concepts, while text generators like ChatGPT assist in brainstorming ideas and drafting essays. By integrating AI into project-based learning, educators can cultivate critical thinking and problem-solving skills among students (Pradama et al., 202; Rahiman & Kodikal, 2024).

In summary, GAI is reshaping the educational terrain by offering innovative solutions to personalize learning, streamline content creation, support language acquisition, and enhance creative engagement. However, ethical considerations, such as data privacy and the potential for misuse, must be addressed to ensure the equitable and responsible integration of AI into education (Guan et al., 2020; Zhang & aslant, 2021). As GAI continues to evolve, its role in education will likely expand, creating new opportunities to bridge gaps in access and quality while empowering both educators and learners.

How GAI Can Reduce Shadow Education

- **Personalization at Scale:** One of the primary drivers of shadow education is the lack of personalized instruction in formal classrooms, which often operate under rigid curricula and large student-to-teacher ratios (Bray, 2023). GAI tools have the potential to bridge this gap by offering tailored educational experiences to individual students.
- **Adaptive Assessments:** AI algorithms can analyze student performance to identify strengths and weaknesses, generating customized exercises that target specific areas of improvement. For instance, Xie et al. (2019) highlight how adaptive assessment platforms utilize data analytics to offer practice problems suited to a student's learning curve. This level of customization not only enhances academic outcomes but also reduces the reliance on private tutors, a common feature of shadow education.
- **Real-Time Feedback:** GAI tools, such as ChatGPT, provide instant feedback on assignments, enabling students to understand and correct their mistakes immediately. Pradama et al. (2023) emphasize that timely feedback is critical for reinforcing learning and preventing the accumulation of misconceptions. By offering round-the-clock assistance, these tools ensure that students can access support even outside school hours, thereby diminishing the need for additional tutoring services.

Cost-Effective Solutions: Shadow education often imposes a significant financial burden on families, creating disparities in educational opportunities. GAI tools present a cost-effective alternative by democratizing access to high-quality resources.

- **Free or Low-Cost Platforms:** Many GAI tools are available for free or at minimal cost, making quality educational resources accessible to a broader audience. Chen et al. (2020) argue that the affordability of AI-driven platforms can help bridge socio-economic gaps, ensuring that even students from low-income households can benefit from personalized learning.
- **Scalable Resources:** Unlike human tutors, AI tools can serve an unlimited number of students simultaneously, significantly reducing costs per user. Guan et al. (2020) note that the scalability of GAI platforms enables educational institutions to provide supplementary support without straining financial or human resources. This scalability ensures that students across various socio-economic backgrounds receive equitable educational opportunities.

Enhancing Teacher Effectiveness: GAI tools are not merely student-centric; they can also empower educators by alleviating workload and enhancing instructional quality, thereby reducing the dependency on shadow education.

- **Automated Grading:** Routine tasks such as grading assignments often consume a significant portion of a teacher's time, detracting from their ability to focus on personalized instruction. Ouyang & Jiao (2021), illustrate how AI-driven grading systems can evaluate essays, quizzes, and even complex assignments

with high accuracy. By automating these tasks, teachers can dedicate more time to addressing individual student needs, thereby improving classroom learning outcomes.

- **Professional Development:** GAI can analyze teaching practices and identify areas where educators require improvement. Chen et al. (2020) discuss how AI-driven analytics can generate targeted training modules, enabling teachers to enhance their instructional strategies. This continuous professional development ensures that teachers remain effective in addressing diverse classroom challenges, reducing the demand for supplementary tutoring.

Promoting Inclusive Education: GAI tools have the potential to make education more inclusive by catering to the diverse needs of learners, including those from marginalized or underserved communities.

- **Language and Accessibility:** AI tools can translate educational content into multiple languages, making it accessible to students from non-dominant linguistic backgrounds. Additionally, these tools offer assistive features such as text-to-speech and speech-to-text functionalities, supporting students with disabilities. Knox et al. (2019) underscore the importance of accessibility in fostering equitable learning environments, a key factor in minimizing the need for shadow education.
- **Culturally Relevant Content:** GAI can create context-specific educational materials that reflect the cultural and social realities of students. Malik et al. (2023), highlight that culturally relevant content enhances student engagement and retention, making formal education more effective. By addressing the unique needs of diverse student populations, AI tools can reduce the perceived inadequacies of traditional schooling, thereby reducing the growth of shadow education.

Addressing Learning Gaps: Learning gaps often drive students to seek supplementary tutoring, a hallmark of shadow education. GAI tools can proactively identify and address these gaps, ensuring that students stay on track academically.

- **Diagnostic Assessments:** AI-driven diagnostic tools can evaluate a student's current knowledge and skills, pinpointing specific areas where intervention is needed. According to Ouyang & Jiao (2021), such assessments enable personalized learning plans that cater to individual needs, effectively mitigating the root causes of shadow education.
- **Continuous Monitoring:** GAI platforms offer continuous monitoring of student progress, providing real-time insights into their academic journey. Chen et al., (2020) note that these insights allow educators to intervene promptly, preventing minor issues from escalating into significant learning deficits. By addressing these gaps within the formal education system, the reliance on external tutoring services can be significantly reduced.

Fostering Self-Directed Learning: Shadow education often thrives on the perception that students cannot succeed without external guidance. GAI tools challenge this narrative by fostering self-directed learning, enabling students to take charge of their educational journey.

- **Interactive Learning Modules:** AI-powered platforms offer interactive learning modules that encourage active participation and critical thinking. Guan et al. (2020) argue that such modules promote a deeper understanding of concepts, empowering students to learn independently. This shift towards self-directed learning reduces the dependence on supplementary tutoring.
- **Gamification and Engagement:** GAI tools often incorporate gamification elements, such as badges, leader boards, and rewards, to make learning more engaging. Chen et al. (2020) highlight that gamified learning experiences not only motivate students but also enhance retention and application of knowledge. By making formal education more appealing, these tools diminish the allure of shadow education.

Bridging Urban-Rural Divides: Disparities in educational access between urban and rural areas often drive the demand for shadow education. GAI tools can bridge this divide by delivering quality education to remote regions.

- **Remote Learning Opportunities:** AI platforms enable remote learning, ensuring that students in rural areas have access to the same quality of education as their urban counterparts. Chen et al. (2020) emphasize that such platforms can address the geographical barriers that often limit educational opportunities in remote regions.
- **Resource Optimization:** GAI tools optimize the use of limited resources, such as teachers and learning materials, in under-resourced schools. Kelly et al. (2023) discuss how AI-driven resource allocation can improve the overall efficiency of educational delivery, reducing the need for external tutoring.

GAI tools offer transformative potential in addressing the underlying causes of shadow education. By personalizing learning, reducing costs, enhancing teacher effectiveness, and promoting inclusivity, these tools can create a more equitable and efficient education system. However, realizing this potential requires careful consideration of ethical and practical challenges, including data privacy, digital infrastructure, and teacher training. With the right policies and investments, GAI can serve as a powerful ally in reducing the reliance on shadow education, paving the way for a more inclusive and accessible educational landscape.

Challenges and Ethical Considerations in Using GAI Tools in Education

The integration of GAI tools in education presents numerous opportunities but also poses significant challenges and ethical concerns. Addressing these challenges is crucial for maximizing the potential of AI while minimizing unintended consequences. Below are some key considerations, supported by scholarly evidence:

- **Data Privacy and Security**: The adoption of GAI tools in education raises pressing concerns about data privacy and security. These systems often require access to sensitive student data, including academic performance, behavioral patterns, and even personal information, to deliver personalized learning experiences. Ensuring the confidentiality and proper handling of this data is paramount. Any breach of trust in data protection could undermine confidence in AI tools, making educators, parents, and students hesitant to adopt them (Chen et al., 2020). Robust data encryption, transparent data policies, and compliance with global data protection regulations, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), are essential strategies to safeguard student data (Chen et al., 2020; Rahiman & Kodikal, 2024). Moreover, schools and educational organizations must establish clear protocols for data storage and access to mitigate risks.
- **Algorithmic Bias and Fairness**: GAI systems are inherently dependent on the datasets used for their training, which can lead to algorithmic bias if these datasets are not representative of diverse student populations. For example, AI models trained predominantly on data from high-resource settings may fail to provide accurate or equitable results for students from underrepresented communities (Russell, 2022). Such biases can increase existing inequalities in education, favoring privileged groups over marginalized ones. To ensure fair outcomes, developers must adopt inclusive data collection practices, conduct rigorous bias audits, and incorporate fairness metrics into AI models. Furthermore, ongoing monitoring of AI performance across various demographic groups can help identify and rectify potential disparities (Xie et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020).
- **Teacher Resistance and Professional Development**: The introduction of AI tools in classrooms often faces resistance from teachers, who may perceive these technologies as a threat to their professional roles and autonomy. Some educator's worry that AI could replace human instruction or undermine the teacher-student relationship (Yang, 2021; Kelly et al., 2023). Addressing these concerns requires a shift in how AI is presented to educators not as a replacement but as a collaborative tool that enhances teaching efficacy. These programs should focus on demonstrating the practical benefits of AI, such as

reducing administrative burdens, personalizing instruction, and providing real-time insights into student performance (Pradama et al., 2023).

- **Accessibility and the Digital Divide**: While GAI tools have the potential to democratize education by making high-quality resources more accessible, significant disparities in digital infrastructure and internet access persist, particularly in low-income and rural regions. These disparities often referred to as the digital divide, limit the reach and effectiveness of AI-driven educational innovations (Russell, 2022; Bray, 2023). For instance, students in under-resourced schools may lack the necessary devices, internet connectivity, or digital literacy to fully benefit from AI tools. Policymakers and educational institutions must prioritize investments in digital infrastructure, subsidized access to technology, and targeted initiatives to bridge these gaps. Collaborations between governments, private sectors, and non-governmental organizations can play a pivotal role in ensuring equitable access to AI-driven education (Guan et al., 2020).

By addressing these challenges data privacy, algorithmic bias, teacher resistance, and accessibility, GAI tools can be leveraged to create a more inclusive, equitable, and effective educational landscape. Each of these areas requires ongoing dialogue, research, and collaboration among educators, developers, and policymakers to ensure ethical implementation and long-term success.

Recommendations for Implementation

Policy Frameworks, Partnerships, and Capacity Building for GAI in Education

Governments worldwide need to establish robust policy frameworks to regulate the integration of GAI tools in education, ensuring ethical practices and equitable access to such technologies. Policies should focus on preventing misuse, addressing data privacy concerns, and fostering inclusivity so that AI benefits are distributed across diverse socio-economic groups (Bray, 2010; Bai, 2021; Agrawal et al., 2024). For instance, countries like Singapore have begun implementing AI-related ethical guidelines to govern its use in classrooms, serving as a model for other nations to adopt tailored frameworks that align with their educational contexts (Guan et al., 2020). Such regulations are crucial for maintaining fairness and trust in AI-powered educational interventions. Moreover, fostering public-private partnerships (PPPs) is another pivotal strategy for leveraging the potential of GAI in education. Collaborative efforts between governments, educational institutions, and technology companies can accelerate the development, testing, and deployment of AI-driven tools tailored to local educational needs (Kelly et al., 2023). For example, initiatives like the Microsoft Education Transformation Framework highlight how PPPs can deliver scalable solutions, such as AI-powered personalized learning systems, to improve student engagement and academic outcomes (Chen et al., 2020). These partnerships can also address funding gaps, allowing schools in under-resourced areas to access cutting-edge technologies. Another key element for integrating GAI effectively is teacher training. Comprehensive and ongoing professional development programs are essential to equip teachers with the skills to utilize GAI tools effectively in the classroom (Zhang & Aslan, 2021). Studies indicate that when teachers are confident and well-trained in using technology, they are more likely to adopt innovative approaches that enhance learning experiences (Chen et al., 2020). For instance, training modules that demonstrate how GAI can support lesson planning, assessment creation and differentiated instruction can empower educators to optimize their teaching practices (Knox et al., 2019). In addition to teacher training, investments in digital infrastructure are critical to ensure equitable access to AI-driven educational solutions. Many students, particularly those in rural or economically disadvantaged areas, face barriers to benefiting from such technologies due to insufficient access to devices, internet connectivity, and supportive resources (Bray, 2010; Bai, 2021). Bridging this digital divide requires governments and private stakeholders to collaborate on expanding broadband networks, subsidizing technology for low-income families, and creating community centers equipped with AI-powered learning tools (Chen et al., 2020). For example, India's Digital India initiative has prioritized infrastructure development to enable wider access to digital education platforms, including AI-based applications (Ouyang & Jiao, 2017). In conclusion, the

integration of GAI tools in education requires a multi-faceted approach that includes strong policy frameworks, collaborative partnerships, teacher training, and significant investments in digital infrastructure. By addressing these interconnected elements, stakeholders can create a sustainable and inclusive educational ecosystem where GAI tools are harnessed ethically and effectively to enhance learning outcomes for all students (Xie et al.., 2019; Chen et al., 2020; Pradama et al., 2023; Rahiman & Kodikal, 2024).

Conclusion

GAI tools hold significant potential to revolutionize education by minimizing dependence on shadow education while ensuring equitable access to high-quality learning materials. These advanced tools can effectively address critical challenges such as the need for personalized learning experiences, high costs, and barriers to inclusivity, making them a valuable complement to formal education systems. By tailoring content to individual learners' needs, GAI can bridge learning gaps, enhance engagement, and reduce the disparity caused by unequal access to private tutoring services often associated with shadow education. However, the successful integration of GAI into education requires a thoughtful approach to overcome ethical, technical, and infrastructural hurdles. Concerns such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and equitable distribution of AI technologies must be prioritized to avoid increasing existing inequalities. Moreover, robust infrastructure and teacher training are crucial to ensure that these tools can be effectively utilized in diverse educational settings. Collaboration among policymakers, educators, technologists, and other stakeholders is essential to unlock the full potential of GAI. With supportive policies, investments in digital infrastructure, and an emphasis on ethical AI practices, these tools can drive meaningful change, empowering learners from all backgrounds. By addressing these challenges and leveraging GAI's capabilities, we can work towards an educational system that is more inclusive, affordable, and equitable, ultimately reducing the reliance on shadow education and fostering better learning outcomes for all.

References

Agrawal, A., Gupta, P., & Mondal, D. (2024). Determinants of private tutoring demand in rural India. In The Journal of Development Studies, *The Journal of Development Studies* (Vol. 60, Issue 1, pp. 83–107) [Journal-article]. https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2023.2273798

Alam, M. B., & Zhu, Z. (2022). Teaching in the shadows: Exploring teachers' intentions and behaviors towards private tutoring in Bangladesh. *Heliyon*, *9*(2), e12534. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e12534

Bae, S. H., & Choi, K. H. (2023). The cause of institutionalized private tutoring in Korea: defective public schooling or a universal desire for family reproduction? *ECNU Review of Education*, *7*(1), 12–41. https://doi.org/10.1177/20965311231182722

Bai, M. & School of Mei Bai, Chengdu College of University of Electronic Science and Technology of China. (2021). Shadow education and social reproduction. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*, *637*, 260.

Benckwitz, L., Guill, K., Roloff, J., Ömeroğulları, M., & Köller, O. (2022). Investigating the relationship between private tutoring, tutors' use of an individual frame of reference, reasons for private tutoring, and students' motivational-affective outcomes. *Learning and Individual Differences*, *95*, 102137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2022.102137

Bray, M. (2023). Shadow education in Asia and the Pacific: Features and implications of private supplementary tutoring. In Lee W.O., Brown P., Goodwin A.L., & Green A. (Eds.), *International Handbook on Education Development in Asia-Pacific*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-2327-1_10-1

Bray, T. (2017). Schooling and its Supplements: Changing global patterns and implications for Comparative education. In *Comparative Education Review* (Vol. 61, Issue 3, pp. 469–491). http://hdl.handle.net/10722/242817

Carvalho, L., Martinez-Maldonado, R., Tsai, Y., Markauskaite, L., & De Laat, M. (2022). How can we design for learning in an AI world? *Computers and Education Artificial Intelligence*, *3*, 100053. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100053

Chen, X., Xie, H., & Hwang, G. (2020). A multi-perspective study on Artificial Intelligence in Education: grants, conferences, journals, software tools, institutions, and researchers. *Computers and Education Artificial Intelligence*, *1*, 100005. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2020.100005

Chimbunde, P., & Jakachira, G. (2024). The emergence of Shadow Education in teacher education: evidence from Zimbabwe. *Technology Pedagogy and Education*, *33*(5), 561–571. https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939x.2024.2325095

Dang, H., & Rogers, F. H. (2008). The growing phenomenon of private tutoring: does it deepen human capital, widen inequalities, or waste resources? *The World Bank Research Observer*, *23*(2), 161–200. https://doi.org/10.1093/wbro/lkn004

Entrich, S. R., & Lauterbach, W. (2020). Shadow Education in Germany: Compensatory or Status Attainment Strategy? Findings from the German LifE Study. *IJREE – International Journal for Research on Extended Education*, *7*(2–2019), 143–159. https://doi.org/10.3224/ijree.v7i2.04

Guan, C., Mou, J., & Zhiying Jiang. (2020). Artificial intelligence innovation in education: A twenty-year data-driven historical analysis. In *International Journal of Innovation Studies* (Vol. 4, Issue 2020, pp. 134–147). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijis.2020.09.001

Hajar, A. (2024). Learning in the shadows: exploring primary school students and their parents' perceptions of fee-charging private tutoring in Kazakhstan. *Globalisation Societies and Education*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/14767724.2024.2335658

Jokila, S., Haltia, N., & Kosunen, S. (2020). Market-Making Practices of private tutoring in Finland: Commercialization of exam preparation for admission to higher education. *ECNU Review of Education*, *4*(3), 590–614. https://doi.org/10.1177/2096531120956666

Kato, M., & Kobakhidze, M. N. (2024). Transnational juku: Japanese shadow education institutions in Hong Kong, Beijing, and Shanghai. *Asia Pacific Education Review*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12564-024-09946-5

Knox, J., Wang, Y., & Gallagher, M. (2019). Introduction: AI, inclusion, and 'Everyone Learning Everything.' In *Perspectives on rethinking and reforming education* (pp. 1–13). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-8161-4_1

Li, J. (2020). Substitution or Complementation: The Relationship between School Education and Shadow Education. *Best Evidence of Chinese Education*, *4*(1), 411–424. https://doi.org/10.15354/bece.20.ar015

Malik, A. R., PhD, Pratiwi, Y., Andajani, K., Numertayasa, I. W., Darwis, A., & Marzuki. (2023). Exploring Artificial Intelligence in Academic Essay: Higher Education Student's perspective. In Universitas Negeri Malang, Universitas Negeri Malang, & Universitas Madako Tolitoli, *International Journal of Educational Research Open* (Vol. 5, p. 100296) [Journal-article]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2023.100296

Michel-Villarreal, R., Vilalta-Perdomo, E., Salinas-Navarro, D. E., Thierry-Aguilera, R., & Gerardou, F. S.