



A ψ - FUNCTION-BASED DECOMPOSITION OF THE MULTIVARIABLE I- FUNCTION.

¹ T M Vidya, ²P C Sreenivas, ³T M Vasudevan Nambisan, ⁴P V Maya

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Mahatma Gandhi College, Iritty,
Kannur District, Kerala, E-mail-vidyatm4@gmail.com

²Rtd. Principal, Payyanur College, Payyanur, E-mail-sreenivaspc@rediffmail.com

³Emeritus Professor, College of Engineering, Trikaripur, Kasargod, E-mail-tonambisan.tm@gmail.com

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Mahatma Gandhi College, Iritty,
Kannur District, Kerala, E-mail-panakkalveettilmaya@gmail.com

¹Mahatma Gandhi College, Iritty, Kannur, Kerala, India

Abstract : In this paper, we establish a new expansion formula for the multivariable I – function by employing the generalized ψ - function introduced by Srivastava, Gupta, and Goyal. Using the classical identity of Nair [6] together with the series representation of the generalized ψ - function, we derive a decomposition formula expressing the multivariable I – function as an infinite series.

IndexTerms - Multivariable I- function, Gamma function, Pochhammer symbol, Hypergeometric function, Generalized ψ - function.

1. INTRODUCTION

Notations and Results used :

$(a)_n$ stands for $a(a+1) \dots (a+n-1)$.

$$(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}, n \geq 1 \quad (1.1)$$

${}_1(a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)}; A_j)$ stands for $(a_1; \alpha_1^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_1^{(r)}; A_1), (a_2; \alpha_2^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_2^{(r)}; A_2), \dots, (a_p; \alpha_p^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_p^{(r)}; A_p)$.

The generalized Fox's H-function, namely the I-function of r -variables introduced by Prathima, Nambisan and Santha Kumari [7, p.38] is defined and represented as:

$$I[z_1, \dots, z_r] = I_{p,q; p_1, q_1, \dots, p_r, q_r}^{0, n; m_1, n_1, \dots, m_r, n_r} \left[\begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_r \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{c} {}_1(a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)}; A_j)_{p_1} : {}_1(c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; C_j^{(1)})_{p_1}; \dots; {}_1(c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; C_j^{(r)})_{p_r} \\ {}_1(b_j; \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)}; B_j)_{q_1} : {}_1(d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; D_j^{(1)})_{q_1}; \dots; {}_1(d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; D_j^{(r)})_{q_r} \end{array} \right. \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi \omega)^r} \int_{L_1} \dots \int_{L_r} \theta_1(s_1) \dots \theta_r(s_r) \phi(s_1, \dots, s_r) z_1^{s_1} \dots z_r^{s_r} ds_1 \dots ds_r, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\phi(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ and $\theta_i(s_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ are given by,

$$\phi(s_1, \dots, s_r) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma^{A_j} (1 - a_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} s_i)}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma^{B_j} (1 - b_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_j^{(i)} s_i) \prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma^{A_j} (a_j - \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} s_i)}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\theta_i(s_i) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \Gamma^{D_j^{(i)}} (d_j^{(i)} - \delta_j^{(i)} s_i) \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \Gamma^{C_j^{(i)}} (1 - c_j^{(i)} + \gamma_j^{(i)} s_i)}{\prod_{j=m_i+1}^{q_i} \Gamma^{D_j^{(i)}} (1 - d_j^{(i)} + \delta_j^{(i)} s_i) \prod_{j=n_i+1}^{p_i} \Gamma^{C_j^{(i)}} (c_j^{(i)} - \gamma_j^{(i)} s_i)} \tag{1.4}$$

Also $z_i \neq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$), $\omega = \sqrt{-1}$, m_j, n_j, p_j, q_j ($j = 1, \dots, r$), n, p, q are non-negative integers such that $0 \leq n \leq p$, $q \geq 0$, $0 \leq m_j \leq q_j$, $0 \leq n_j \leq p_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, r$) (not all zero simultaneously). $\alpha_j^{(i)}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, p$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$), $\beta_j^{(i)}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$), $\gamma_j^{(i)}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, p_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$), and $\delta_j^{(i)}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) are positive numbers. a_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, p$), b_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q$), $c_j^{(i)}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, p_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$), and $d_j^{(i)}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) are complex numbers. The exponents A_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, p$), B_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q$), $C_j^{(i)}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, p_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$), and $D_j^{(i)}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) of various gamma functions may take non-integer values. The I -function of r -variables is analytic if

$$\Psi_i = \sum_{j=1}^p A_j \alpha_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^q B_j \beta_j^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{p_i} C_j^{(i)} \gamma_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} D_j^{(i)} \delta_j^{(i)} \leq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

The integral (1.2) converges absolutely if $|\arg(z_i)| < \frac{1}{2} \Delta_i \pi$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ where

$$\Delta_i = - \sum_{j=n+1}^p A_j \alpha_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^q B_j \beta_j^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} D_j^{(i)} \delta_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=m_i+1}^{q_i} D_j^{(i)} \delta_j^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} C_j^{(i)} \gamma_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=n_i+1}^{p_i} C_j^{(i)} \gamma_j^{(i)} > 0 \tag{1.5}$$

Remark: 1

If $D_j^{(i)} = 1$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) in (1.2), then the I -function will be denoted by:

$$\bar{I}[z_1, \dots, z_r] = I_{p,q : m_1, n_1, \dots, m_r, n_r}^{0,n : p_1, q_1, \dots, p_r, q_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 & I_1 \\ \vdots & \\ z_r & I_2 \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^r} \int_{L_1} \dots \int_{L_r} \bar{\theta}_1(s_1) \dots \bar{\theta}_r(s_r) \phi(s_1, \dots, s_r) z_1^{s_1} \dots z_r^{s_r} ds_1 \dots ds_r \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$I_1 = {}_1(a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)}; A_j)_p : {}_1(c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; C_j^{(1)})_{p_1}; \dots; {}_1(c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; C_j^{(r)})_{p_r},$$

$$I_2 = {}_1(b_j; \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)}; B_j)_q : {}_1(d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; 1)_{m_1}, {}_{m_1+1}(d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; D_j^{(1)})_{q_1}; \dots; {}_1(d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; 1)_{m_r}, {}_{m_r+1}(d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; D_j^{(r)})_{q_r},$$

$$\bar{\theta}_i(s_i) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \Gamma(d_j^{(i)} - \delta_j^{(i)} s_i) \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \Gamma^{C_j^{(i)}} (1 - c_j^{(i)} + \gamma_j^{(i)} s_i)}{\prod_{j=m_i+1}^{q_i} \Gamma^{D_j^{(i)}} (1 - d_j^{(i)} + \delta_j^{(i)} s_i) \prod_{j=n_i+1}^{p_i} \Gamma^{C_j^{(i)}} (c_j^{(i)} - \gamma_j^{(i)} s_i)}, i = 1, 2, \dots, r \tag{1.7}$$

and $\phi(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is given by (1.3).

The integral (1.6) converges absolutely if $|\arg(z_i)| < \frac{1}{2} \Delta_i' \pi$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

where,

$$\Delta_i' = \left(- \sum_{j=n+1}^p A_j \alpha_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^q B_j \beta_j^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \delta_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=m_i+1}^{q_i} D_j^{(i)} \delta_j^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} C_j^{(i)} \gamma_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=n_i+1}^{p_i} C_j^{(i)} \gamma_j^{(i)} \right) > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, r. \tag{1.8}$$

Remark: 2

If $C_j^{(i)} = 1$ ($j=1,2,\dots,n_i$), $D_j^{(i)} = 1$ ($j=1,2,\dots,m_i$) for $i=1,2,\dots,r$ and if $n=0$ in (1.2), then the corresponding function will be denoted by:

$$\bar{I}_1 [z_1, \dots, z_r] = I_{p,q}^{0,0 : m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r, n_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 & | & I_1 \\ \vdots & & \\ z_r & | & I_2 \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^r} \int_{L_1} \dots \int_{L_r} \bar{\theta}_1(s_1) \dots \bar{\theta}_r(s_r) \phi_1(s_1, \dots, s_r) z_1^{s_1} \dots z_r^{s_r} ds_1 \dots ds_r \tag{1.9}$$

where

$$I_1 = {}_1(a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)}; A_j)_p : {}_1(c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; 1)_{n_1}, {}_{n_1+1}(c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; C_j^{(1)})_{p_1}; \dots; {}_1(c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; 1)_{n_r}, {}_{n_r+1}(c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; C_j^{(r)})_{p_r}, \tag{1.10}$$

$$I_2 = {}_1(b_j; \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)}; B_j)_q : {}_1(d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; 1)_{m_1}, {}_{m_1+1}(d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; D_j^{(1)})_{q_1}; \dots; {}_1(d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; 1)_{m_r}, {}_{m_r+1}(d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; D_j^{(r)})_{q_r}, \tag{1.11}$$

$$\phi_1(s_1, \dots, s_r) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma^{B_j} (1 - b_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_j^{(i)} s_i) \prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma^{A_j} (a_j - \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} s_i)}, \tag{1.12}$$

$$\bar{\theta}_i(s_i) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \Gamma(d_j^{(i)} - \delta_j^{(i)} s_i) \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \Gamma(1 - c_j^{(i)} + \gamma_j^{(i)} s_i)}{\prod_{j=m_i+1}^{q_i} \Gamma^{D_j^{(i)}} (1 - d_j^{(i)} + \delta_j^{(i)} s_i) \prod_{j=n_i+1}^{p_i} \Gamma^{C_j^{(i)}} (c_j^{(i)} - \gamma_j^{(i)} s_i)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r. \tag{1.13}$$

The integral (1.9) converges absolutely if $|\arg(z_i)| < \frac{1}{2} \Delta_i'' \pi, i=1, 2, \dots, r.$

where,

$$\Delta_i'' = \left(-\sum_{j=1}^p A_j \alpha_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^q B_j \beta_j^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \delta_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=m_i+1}^{q_i} D_j^{(i)} \delta_j^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \gamma_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=n_i+1}^{p_i} C_j^{(i)} \gamma_j^{(i)} \right) > 0, i=1, 2, \dots, r. \tag{1.14}$$

For further details refer [7].

Nair [6, p.234]

$$\alpha^s \prod_{j=1}^l \frac{\Gamma(d_j - \delta_j s)}{\Gamma(c_j - \delta_j s)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s)_k}{k! \Gamma(-k)} {}_{l+1} \Psi_l \left[\begin{matrix} (-k, 1), {}_1(d_j, \delta_j)_l \\ {}_1(c_j, \delta_j)_l \end{matrix} ; \frac{1}{\alpha} \right] \tag{1.15}$$

provided $\alpha > 1$ and $\text{Re}(c_j) > \text{Re}(d_j) > 0, j=1, 2, \dots, l.$ and

$$\alpha^s \prod_{j=1}^l \frac{\Gamma(d_j + \delta_j s)}{\Gamma(c_j + \delta_j s)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1/\alpha)^k (s)_k}{k! \Gamma(-k)} {}_{l+1} \Psi_l \left[\begin{matrix} (-k, 1), {}_1(d_j, \delta_j)_l \\ {}_1(c_j, \delta_j)_l \end{matrix} ; \alpha \right] \tag{1.16}$$

provided $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ and $\text{Re}(c_j) > \text{Re}(d_j) > 0, j=1, 2, \dots, l.$

$$(-1)^k (s_1)_k = \frac{\Gamma(1 - s_1)}{\Gamma(1 - s_1 - k)} \tag{1.17}$$

H.M Srivastava, K.C. Gupta, S.P. Goyal [12, p.2]
Generalized Hypergeometric function

$${}_p F_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_p); \\ (b_q); \end{matrix} z \right] = {}_p F_q \left[(a_p); (b_q); z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^q (b_j)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} \tag{1.18}$$

The series on the right-hand side of (1.18) is absolutely convergent for all values of z , real or complex, when $p \leq q$. Also, when $p = q + 1$, the series is convergent if $|z| < 1$.

It converges when $z = 1$, if $\text{Re}\left[\sum_{j=1}^q b_j - \sum_{j=1}^p a_j\right] > 0$ and when $z = -1$, if $\text{Re}\left[\sum_{j=1}^q b_j - \sum_{j=1}^p a_j\right] > -1$.

If $p > q + 1$, the series never converges except when $z = 0$, and the function is only defined when the series terminates.

H.M Srivastava, K.C. Gupta, S.P. Goyal [12, p.19]

$${}_p\Psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j)_p \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_q \end{matrix}; z \right] = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma(a_j + \alpha_j r)}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j + \beta_j r)} \frac{z^r}{r!} \tag{1.19}$$

When $\alpha_j = 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $\beta_j = 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, q$, (1.19) becomes:

$${}_p\Psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_j, 1)_p \\ (b_j, 1)_q \end{matrix}; z \right] = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma(a_j + r)}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j + r)} \frac{z^r}{r!} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma a_j}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma b_j} {}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a)_p \\ (b)_q \end{matrix}; z \right] \tag{1.20}$$

H.M Srivastava, K.C. Gupta, S.P. Goyal [12, p.18]

$${}_1F_0 \left[\begin{matrix} a \\ - \end{matrix}; -z \right] = (1+z)^{-a} \tag{1.21}$$

2. Main Result:

$$I_{p,q: p_1+l, q_1+l; \dots; p_r, q_r}^{0,0: m_1, n_1+l; \dots; m_r, n_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 \alpha & I_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ z_r & I_2 \end{matrix} \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k! \alpha^k \Gamma(-k)} {}_{l+1}\Psi_l \left[\begin{matrix} (-k, 1), (1-c_j, \delta_j)_l \\ (1-d_j, \delta_j)_l \end{matrix}; \alpha \right] I_{p,q: p_1+l, q_1+l; \dots; p_r, q_r}^{0,0: m_1+1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 & I_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ z_r & I_4 \end{matrix} \right], \text{ when } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1 \tag{2.1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k! \Gamma(-k)} {}_{l+1}\Psi_l \left[\begin{matrix} (-k, 1), (1-c_j, \delta_j)_l \\ (1-d_j, \delta_j)_l \end{matrix}; \alpha \right] I_{p,q: p_1+l, q_1+l; \dots; p_r, q_r}^{0,0: m_1+1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 & I_5 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ z_r & I_6 \end{matrix} \right], \text{ when } 0 < \alpha < 1 \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$I_1 = (a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)}; A_j)_p : (c_j, \delta_j; 1)_l, (c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; 1)_{n_1}, (c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; C_j^{(1)})_{p_1} ; \dots ; (c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; 1)_{n_r}, (c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; C_j^{(r)})_{p_r}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$I_2 = (b_j; \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)}; B_j)_q : (d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; 1)_{m_1}, (d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; D_j^{(1)})_{q_1}, (d_j, \delta_j; 1)_l ; (d_j^{(2)}, \delta_j^{(2)}; 1)_{m_2}, (d_j^{(2)}, \delta_j^{(2)}; D_j^{(2)})_{q_2} ; \dots ; (d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; 1)_{m_r}, (d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; D_j^{(r)})_{q_r}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$I_3 = (a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)}; A_j)_p : (c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; 1)_{n_1}, (c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; C_j^{(1)})_{p_1}, (1-k, 1; 1); (c_j^{(2)}, \gamma_j^{(2)}; 1)_{n_2}, (c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; C_j^{(1)})_{p_2} ; \dots ; (c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; 1)_{n_r}, (c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; C_j^{(r)})_{p_r}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$I_4 = (b_j; \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)}; B_j)_q : (1, 1; 1) (d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; 1)_{m_1}, (d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; D_j^{(1)})_{q_1} ; \dots ; (d_j, \delta_j; 1)_l, (d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; 1)_{m_r}, (d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; D_j^{(r)})_{q_r}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$I_5 = (a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)}; A_j)_p : (c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; 1)_{n_1}, (c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; C_j^{(1)})_{p_1}, (0, 1; 1); (c_j^{(2)}, \gamma_j^{(2)}; 1)_{n_2}, (c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; C_j^{(1)})_{p_2} ; \dots ; (c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; 1)_{n_r}, (c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; C_j^{(r)})_{p_r}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$I_6 = (b_j; \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)}; B_j)_q : (k, 1; 1) (d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; 1)_{m_1}, (d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; D_j^{(1)})_{q_1} ; \dots ; (d_j, \delta_j; 1)_l, (d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; 1)_{m_r}, (d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; D_j^{(r)})_{q_r}. \tag{2.8}$$

provided

$$(i) \text{Re}(c_j) > \text{Re}(d_j) > 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, l, \tag{2.9}$$

$$(ii) \Delta_i^n > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, r, \tag{2.10}$$

$$(iii) \left| \arg(z_i) \right| < \frac{1}{2} \Delta_i'' \pi, i = 1, 2, \dots, r \tag{2.11}$$

where Δ_i'' is given by (1.14).

Proof:

Express the Left-hand side of (2.1) in terms of contour integral using (1.9), it becomes:

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi \omega)^r} \int_{L_1} \dots \int_{L_r} \overline{\theta}_1(s_1) \dots \overline{\theta}_r(s_r) \phi_1(s_1, \dots, s_r) (z_1 \alpha)^{s_1} z_2^{s_2} \dots z_r^{s_r} \prod_{j=1}^l \frac{\Gamma(1-c_j + \delta_j s_1)}{\Gamma(1-d_j + \delta_j s_1)} ds_1 \dots ds_r \tag{2.12}$$

where $\phi_1(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ and $\overline{\theta}_i(s_i), i = 1, \dots, r$ are given by (1.12) and (1.13) respectively.

Using (1.16), the expression (2.12) reduces to:

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi \omega)^r} \int_{L_1} \dots \int_{L_r} \theta_1(s_1) \dots \theta_r(s_r) \phi_1(s_1, \dots, s_r) z_1^{s_1} \dots z_r^{s_r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1/\alpha)^k (s_1)_k}{k! \Gamma(-k)} {}_{l+1}\Psi_l \left[\begin{matrix} (-k, 1), {}_1(1-c_j, \delta_j)_l \\ {}_1(1-d_j, \delta_j)_l \end{matrix}; \alpha \right] ds_1 \dots ds_r \tag{2.13}$$

Interchanging the order of integration and summation, which is justified under the given conditions, and using (1.17), (2.13) reduces to:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k! \alpha^k \Gamma(-k)} {}_{l+1}\Psi_l \left[\begin{matrix} (-k, 1), {}_1(1-c_j, \delta_j)_l \\ {}_1(1-d_j, \delta_j)_l \end{matrix}; \alpha \right] \frac{1}{(2\pi \omega)^r} \int_{L_1} \dots \int_{L_r} \theta_1(s_1) \dots \theta_r(s_r) \phi_1(s_1, \dots, s_r) \frac{\Gamma(1-s_1)}{\Gamma(1-s_1-k)} z_1^{s_1} \dots z_r^{s_r} ds_1 \dots ds_r \tag{2.14}$$

Now interpret these integrals in (2.14) with the help of (1.9) to get the right-hand side of (2.1).

The change in the order of summation and integrations which are justified because the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (s_1)_k}{k! \alpha^k \Gamma(-k)} {}_{l+1}\Psi_l \left[\begin{matrix} (-k, 1), {}_1(1-c_j, \delta_j)_l \\ {}_1(1-d_j, \delta_j)_l \end{matrix}; \alpha \right] \text{ converges uniformly in any arbitrary interval } a \leq s_1 \leq b,$$

$\theta_1(s_1) \dots \theta_r(s_r) \phi_1(s_1, \dots, s_r) z_1^{s_1} \dots z_r^{s_r}$ are continuous and the integrals

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi \omega)^r} \int_{L_1} \dots \int_{L_r} \theta_1(s_1) \dots \theta_r(s_r) \phi_1(s_1, \dots, s_r) z_1^{s_1} \dots z_r^{s_r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (s_1)_k}{k! \alpha^k \Gamma(-k)} {}_{l+1}\Psi_l \left[\begin{matrix} (-k, 1), {}_1(1-c_j, \delta_j)_l \\ {}_1(1-d_j, \delta_j)_l \end{matrix}; \alpha \right] ds_1 \dots ds_r$$

exist, when the given conditions are satisfied.

The proof of (2.2) is similar; instead of (1.16), (1.15) is used with α and s_1, \dots, s_r are replaced by $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ and

$-s_1, \dots, -s_r$ respectively.

3. Special Cases:

When $\delta_j = 1$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$, and using (1.20), (2.1) and (2.2) become:

$$I_{p,q;p_1+1,q_1+1;\dots;p_r,q_r}^{0,0; m_1, n_1+l; \dots; m_r, n_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 \alpha & J_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ z_r & J_2 \end{matrix} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^l \frac{\Gamma(1-c_j)}{\Gamma(1-d_j)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k! \alpha^k} {}_{l+1}F_l \left[\begin{matrix} -k, {}_1(1-c_j)_l \\ {}_1(1-d_j)_l \end{matrix}; \alpha \right] I_{p,q;p_1+1,q_1+1;\dots;p_r,q_r}^{0,0; m_1+1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 & I_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ z_r & I_4 \end{matrix} \right], \text{ when } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1 \tag{3.1}$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^l \frac{\Gamma(1-c_j)}{\Gamma(1-d_j)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} {}_{l+1}F_l \left[\begin{matrix} -k, {}_1(1-c_j)_l \\ {}_1(1-d_j)_l \end{matrix}; \alpha \right] I_{p,q;p_1+1,q_1+1;\dots;p_r,q_r}^{0,0; m_1+1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 & I_5 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ z_r & I_6 \end{matrix} \right], \text{ when } 0 < \alpha < 1 \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$J_1 = {}_1(a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)}; A_j)_p : {}_1(c_j, 1; 1)_l, {}_1(c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; 1)_{n_1}, {}_{n_1+1}(c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; C_j^{(1)})_{p_1}; \dots; {}_1(c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; 1)_{n_r}, {}_{n_r+1}(c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; C_j^{(r)})_{p_r},$$

$$J_2 = {}_1(b_j; \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)}; B_j)_q : {}_1(d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; 1)_{m_1}, {}_{m_1+1}(d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; D_j^{(1)})_{q_1}, {}_1(d_j, 1; 1)_l; {}_1(d_j^{(2)}, \delta_j^{(2)}; 1)_{m_2}, {}_{m_2+1}(d_j^{(2)}, \delta_j^{(2)}; D_j^{(2)})_{q_2}; \dots; {}_1(d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; 1)_{m_r}, {}_{m_r+1}(d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; D_j^{(r)})_{q_r},$$

and I_3, I_4, I_5, I_6 are given by (2.5), (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) respectively.

provided the conditions (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11) are satisfied with $\delta_j = 1$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$.

When $l = 0$, the conditions on α can be relaxed and using (1.21), the results (3.1) and (3.2) take the following form.

$$I_{p,q;p_1,q_1;\dots;p_r,q_r}^{0,0;m_1,n_1;\dots;m_r,n_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 \alpha & K_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_r & K_2 \end{matrix} \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)^k}{k!} I_{p,q;p_1+1,q_1+1;\dots;p_r,q_r}^{0,0;m_1+1,n_1;\dots;m_r,n_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 & I_3 \\ \vdots \\ z_r & I_4 \end{matrix} \right], \text{ provided } |\frac{1}{\alpha}-1| < 1 \tag{3.3}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\alpha)^k}{k!} I_{p,q;p_1+1,q_1+1;\dots;p_r,q_r}^{0,0;m_1+1,n_1;\dots;m_r,n_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 & I_5 \\ \vdots \\ z_r & I_6 \end{matrix} \right], \text{ provided } |1-\alpha| < 1 \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$K_1 = {}_1(a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)}; A_j)_p : {}_1(c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; 1)_{n_1}, {}_{n_1+1}(c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; C_j^{(1)})_{p_1}; \dots; {}_1(c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; 1)_{n_r}, {}_{n_r+1}(c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; C_j^{(r)})_{p_r},$$

$$K_2 = {}_1(b_j; \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)}; B_j)_q : {}_1(d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; 1)_{m_1}, {}_{m_1+1}(d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; D_j^{(1)})_{q_1}; \dots; {}_1(d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; 1)_{m_r}, {}_{m_r+1}(d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; D_j^{(r)})_{q_r},$$

and I_3, I_4, I_5, I_6 are given by (2.5), (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) respectively.

The additional conditions in the results (3.3) and (3.4) are $\Delta_i'' > 0$ and $|\arg(z_i)| < \frac{1}{2} \Delta_i'' \pi, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Putting $p = q = 0, r = 1, C_j^{(i)} = 1, n_i + 1 \leq j \leq p_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r, D_j^{(i)} = 1, m_i + 1 \leq j \leq q_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ and specialising the parameters in (2.1), (2.2), (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4), the results established by Nair V.C [6] are obtained.

On account of the most general characters of the result (2.1), due to the presence of I – functions of several variables, many special cases of known and unknown can be derived.

References

[1] Banerji, P.K and Saxena, R.K (1976): Expansions of Generalized H – functions. Indian J Pure and Appl. Math. 7, No.3, 337-341

[2] Dixon, A.C. Summation of a certain series, Proc., London Math. Soc., 35 pp. 285-289, (1903)

[3] Mathai, A.M and Saxena, R.K. (1978): The H-function with applications in Statistics and other disciplines, Wiley Eastern, New Delhi.

[4] Meijer, G.S : Expansion theorem for the G-functions – I – Integationes. Math. 14 (1952), p. 369-379.

[5] Meijer, G.S : Expansion theorem for the G-functions – II – for the Integationes. Math. 14 (1952), p.483-487

[6] Nair, V.C : Ph.D. Thesis (1969) in which investigations in transforms Calculus (p.234) submitted to Rajasthan University.

[7] Prathima, J., Vasudevan Nambisan, T.M and Shantha Kumari, K: A study of I-function of several Complex variables , International Journal of Engineering Mathematics, Volume 2014, Article ID 931395, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/931395> (January 2014).

[8] Rathie, Arjun K. (1997), ‘A new generalization of generalized hypergeometric functions’ , Le Mathematiche’, Vol.LII. Fas.II, 297-310.

[9] Shanthakumari, K, Vasudevan Nambisan T.M, and Arjun K. Rathie (2014), ‘A study of the I- function of two variables’ , LE MATHEMATICHE, Vol.LXIX (2014), Fasc. I, pp.285-305.

[10] Sharma, B.L. (1975), Sum of a double series, Proc. Amer.Math.Soc.52, pp. 136-138

[11] Sharma, B.L. (1976), A Watson’s theorem for double series, J.London.Math.Soc.(2) 13, pp. 95-96

- [12] Srivastava. H.M, Gupta. K.C, Goyal. S.P. (1982), The H-functions of one and two variables with applications, South Asian Publishers, New Delhi.
- [13] Srivastava, H.M. and R. Panda. (1976), 'Expansion theorem for the H-function of several complex variables' , J. Reine Angew. Math.28,129-145.
- [14] Vishakha Nagar, 'A study of Generalized hypergeometric series with applications', approved by Maharshi Dayanand Saraswathi University, Ajmer (Rajasthan) 1994

