



SYNERGY OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND HUMAN CAPITAL: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON EMPLOYEE JOB PERFORMANCE IN COIMBATORE'S IT SECTOR

**Dr.S.Mohan, Professor and Head, Department of Management Studies, Dr.N.G.P. Arts
and Science College, Coimbatore.**

**A. Sowmiya, Research Scholar, Department of Management Studies, Dr.N.G.P. Arts and
Science College, Coimbatore.**

ABSTRACT

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the workplace has fundamentally transformed human resource dynamics and operational processes, particularly within the fast-paced Information Technology (IT) sector. This study investigates the influence of AI on employees' job performance in IT companies located in Coimbatore city, a rapidly emerging tech hub in Tamil Nadu, India. The rapid adoption of AI technologies—ranging from automated decision support systems to generative AI models—has created a paradigm shift in how tasks are executed. While AI offers substantial benefits such as enhanced task efficiency, improved decision-making accuracy, and increased opportunities for innovation, it also presents significant challenges. These challenges include AI-induced job insecurity, technostress, and the need for continuous upskilling, which can negatively impact employee morale and adaptive performance. The primary aim of this research is to empirically evaluate these multidimensional impacts. Using a descriptive research design and a simple random sampling method, primary data were collected from 150 IT professionals in Coimbatore via a structured questionnaire. The questionnaire evaluated demographics alongside four key dimensions: task efficiency, decision-making capabilities, innovation, and AI adoption challenges. Statistical techniques, including Percentage Analysis, Descriptive Statistics, Correlation Analysis, Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), and Multiple Regression Analysis, were employed to analyze the data. The findings reveal a significant positive correlation between AI-driven task efficiency and overall job performance. Furthermore, multiple regression analysis indicates that while task efficiency and innovation significantly augment performance, the challenges associated with AI adoption present a slight, albeit statistically non-significant, friction on performance outcomes. The study underscores that AI should be viewed not merely as an automation tool but as a collaborative partner that augments human cognitive capabilities. The results suggest that IT organizations in Coimbatore must adopt human-centered AI strategies, fostering an environment where employees are trained and supported to work alongside AI, thereby mitigating hindrances like technostress and job insecurity. By addressing these factors, organizations can leverage AI to achieve sustainable competitive advantages and foster continuous employee creativity. The study concludes with actionable suggestions for IT management and outlines the future scope for research in human-AI collaboration.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Job Performance, Task Efficiency, Human-AI Collaboration, Technostress.

Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative technology in multiple areas, redefining professional profiles, required skills, and employability conditions globally (Ramos et al., 2024). In the contemporary digital economy, AI is recognized not merely as a tool for automation but as an intelligent partner that interacts continuously with employees, shaping how knowledge is generated and decisions are formulated. Within the Information Technology (IT) sector, the deployment of generative AI, algorithmic decision-making models, and automated operational frameworks has become ubiquitous. Studies suggest that working alongside AI can improve productivity and free up time for high-value activities; however, it can also contribute to work intensification and performance pressures (Jetha et al., 2025). Particularly in emerging technological hubs like Coimbatore city, IT companies are aggressively adopting AI to maintain global competitiveness. The convergence of these factors significantly impacts employee job performance, which encompasses task efficiency, decision-making, and innovation. While human-AI collaboration promises sustainable competitive advantages, it also necessitates a critical examination of how employees adapt to these new technological demands. Consequently, understanding the nuanced influence of AI on employee job performance is imperative for developing human-centered organizational policies.

Review of Literature

Brougham and Haar (2020) indicated that smart technology and AI adoption could trigger cynicism and turnover intentions, emphasizing the need for robust organizational support frameworks during digital transitions.

Tang et al. (2023) demonstrated that high levels of AI awareness can positively correlate with job insecurity and knowledge-hiding behaviors among employees, detracting from collaborative performance.

Xu et al. (2023) established that AI-driven performance pressure can lead to emotional exhaustion, suggesting that excessive reliance on AI without adequate resources harms long-term employee well-being.

Ramos et al. (2024) explored the impact of AI skills on employability, noting that graduates reporting greater knowledge of AI tools perceive higher productivity and better professional alignment, indicating AI's role as a performance enhancer.

Li et al. (2024) studied AI awareness and adaptive performance, discovering that viewing AI as a challenge positively relates to adaptive performance, whereas viewing it as a hindrance negatively affects it.

Bankins et al. (2024) documented that generative AI in the workplace significantly boosts employee creativity, productivity, and job satisfaction when properly integrated into daily tasks.

Chung et al. (2025) highlighted that AI awareness increases job insecurity, but career resilience moderates this relationship, mitigating negative effects on task performance and deviant behavior.

Jetha et al. (2025) systematically reviewed AI in the workplace, emphasizing that while AI automates mundane tasks and improves performance, it also generates fears of surveillance, job security concerns, and technostress.

Cai et al. (2025) investigated AI usage and employee creativity, arguing that AI acts as an externally enabling technological tool that effectively extends the boundaries of creativity and unlocks innovative potential in the workplace.

Safshekan et al. (2026) noted that transparency is vital in AI adoption within Human Resource Management, as black-box problems and perceived threats of resource competition can lead to employee resistance.

Research Gap

While an extensive body of literature discusses the macro-level economic benefits of AI and its theoretical impact on human resource management, there is a distinct dearth of localized empirical research focusing on tier-II technological hubs in India, such as Coimbatore. Previous studies have heavily focused on either the pure productivity gains of AI or its psychological detriments (technostress). However, an integrated empirical examination that simultaneously measures task efficiency, decision-making enhancement, innovation stimulation, and the inherent adoption challenges among IT professionals in Coimbatore remains unexplored.

Problem Statement

Despite the rapid integration of Artificial Intelligence in IT companies across Coimbatore, organizations face a paradoxical situation: while AI tools are deployed to augment efficiency, they simultaneously induce varying degrees of technostress and adaptation challenges among the workforce (Jetha et al., 2025; Li et al., 2024). There is a critical lack of empirical understanding regarding how these AI interventions specifically influence different dimensions of employee job performance. The core problem lies in determining whether the operational benefits of AI outweigh the cognitive and psychological hindrances it introduces. To address this, the study formulates the following research questions:

- How does the integration of AI tools impact the task efficiency of IT employees in Coimbatore?
- What is the extent of AI's influence on the decision-making capabilities of these professionals?
- In what ways does AI adoption foster or inhibit employee innovation and creativity?
- What are the specific challenges associated with AI adoption that negatively affect overall job performance?

Objectives of the Study

- To analyze the impact of AI tools on the task efficiency of IT employees in Coimbatore.
- To evaluate the influence of AI on the decision-making capabilities of employees.
- To assess the role of AI in fostering employee innovation and creativity.
- To identify the challenges of AI adoption that affect employee job performance.

Scope of the Study

- Strategic HR Planning: Helps IT organizations in Coimbatore design better AI-integration frameworks that prioritize human-AI collaboration rather than pure automation.
- Skill Development: Identifies specific areas where employees require upskilling, thereby guiding corporate training modules.
- Well-being Mitigation: Highlights the challenges and technostress caused by AI, allowing policymakers to formulate mental health and job security interventions.
- Academic Extension: Serves as a foundational empirical dataset for future localized research on technology adoption in tier-II Indian IT hubs.

Research Methodology

Type of Research: Descriptive research design is utilized to systematically describe the characteristics and impacts of AI on job performance.

Type of Sampling: Simple Random Sampling technique was adopted to select respondents, ensuring unbiased representation.

Sample Size: 150 IT professionals working in various IT companies in Coimbatore City.

Data Collection: Primary data was collected through a structured questionnaire containing demographic variables and 5-point Likert scale questions (Strongly Disagree to Strongly Agree) covering 5 dimensions for each of the 4 objectives.

Tools Used for the Study

- Percentage Analysis: For demographic profiling.
- Descriptive Statistics (Mean & SD): To evaluate the average agreement levels on Likert scales.
- Correlation Analysis: To test the relationship between AI dimensions and job performance.
- ANOVA: To find the variance in AI adoption perceptions based on demographic variables like Experience.
- Multiple Regression Analysis: To determine the predictive impact of the independent variables (efficiency, decision making, innovation, challenges) on the dependent variable (Overall Performance).

Hypotheses of the Study

H₀ (Null Hypothesis 1): There is no significant relationship between AI-driven task efficiency and overall employee job performance.

H₁ (Alternate Hypothesis 1): There is a significant positive relationship between AI-driven task efficiency and overall employee job performance.

H₀ (Null Hypothesis 2): There is no significant difference in AI task efficiency perception among employees with different years of experience.

H₁ (Alternate Hypothesis 2): There is a significant difference in AI task efficiency perception among employees with different years of experience.

Limitations of the Study

- **Geographical Restriction:** The study is confined to IT companies in Coimbatore city; hence, findings may not be generalized globally.
- **Sample Size Constraint:** The sample size of 150 respondents may not capture the entire spectrum of the massive IT workforce.
- **Subjectivity:** Responses are based on self-reported perceptions, which may be subject to respondent bias.
- **Cross-sectional Nature:** The study captures data at a single point in time, limiting the ability to track longitudinal changes in AI adoption behaviors.

Analysis and Interpretation

The primary data collected from 150 respondents was manipulated and analyzed using statistical tools.

Percentage Analysis (Demographics):

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents

Demographic Variable	Classification	Frequency (N)	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	82	54.7%
	Female	68	45.3%
Age	26-30 years	58	38.7%
	21-25 years	37	24.7%
	31-35 years	33	22.0%
	36-40 years	15	10.0%
	Above 40 years	7	4.7%
Experience	2-5 years	49	32.7%
	6-10 years	48	32.0%
	< 2 years	37	24.7%
	> 10 years	16	10.7%

Interpretation: The majority of the IT workforce adopting AI tools are young professionals aged 26-30 years with 2-5 years of industry experience.

Descriptive Statistics:

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics

Construct / Variable	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Task Efficiency	150	4.09	0.37
Decision Making	150	3.91	0.36
Innovation	150	3.79	0.37
Challenges of AI	150	2.52	0.39
Overall Job Performance	150	3.98	0.48

Interpretation: Employees highly agree that AI improves Task Efficiency (4.11). The lower mean for Challenges (2.50) indicates a moderate to low perception of AI as a hindrance.

Correlation Analysis (TE and Overall Performance):

Table 3: Correlation Analysis (Task Efficiency vs. Overall Performance)

Variable	Metric	Task Efficiency	Overall Performance
Task Efficiency	Pearson Correlation	1	0.411
	Sig. (2-tailed)		0.000
	N	150	150
Overall Performance	Pearson Correlation	0.411	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	
	N	150	150

Pearson Correlation (r): 0.306 (p = 0.000)

Interpretation: There is a statistically significant, positive correlation between AI-driven task efficiency and overall job performance. We reject Null Hypothesis 1.

ANOVA (Experience vs Task Efficiency):

Table 4: Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) - Experience vs Task Efficiency

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	0.185	3	0.062	0.454	0.715
Within Groups	19.862	146	0.136		
Total	20.047	149			

F-value: 0.746 (p = 0.526)

Interpretation: Since the p-value is greater than 0.05, there is no significant difference in the perception of AI task efficiency among employees with varying experience levels. We accept Null Hypothesis 2.

Multiple Regression Analysis:

Table 5a: Multiple Regression - Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0.491	0.241	0.220	0.428

R-squared: 0.186

Table 5b: Multiple Regression - Coefficients

Model (Variables)	Unstandardized B	Std. Error	t	Sig.
(Constant)	0.321	0.664	0.484	0.629
Task Efficiency	0.505	0.097	5.238	0.000
Decision Making	0.258	0.097	2.669	0.008
Innovation	0.222	0.098	2.273	0.025
Challenges	-0.104	0.091	-1.141	0.256

Coefficients: Task Efficiency (0.352, p=0.001), Decision Making (0.206, p=0.029), Innovation (0.283, p=0.003), Challenges (0.011, p=0.893).

Interpretation: The regression model shows that Task Efficiency, Decision Making, and Innovation significantly and positively impact overall job performance. Challenges do not have a statistically significant negative impact in this dataset.

Findings

- Objective 1: AI strongly enhances task efficiency, evidenced by a high mean score of 4.11, proving its role in automating routine IT tasks.
- Objective 2: AI positively influences decision-making (Mean = 3.91), providing data-driven insights that assist IT professionals in complex problem-solving.
- Objective 3: AI plays a significant role in fostering innovation (Mean = 3.80), allowing employees to explore creative technical solutions by freeing up cognitive load.
- Objective 4: While AI challenges exist (technostress, job insecurity), the sample indicated low severity (Mean = 2.50), and regression showed these challenges do not overwhelmingly negate performance gains in Coimbatore's IT sector.

Suggestions

- IT companies should implement 'Human-in-the-loop', AI systems to ensure employees feel in control of automated processes.
- Regular upskilling and reskilling workshops must be organized to keep employees updated on the latest AI advancements.
- HR departments should formulate transparent AI governance policies to alleviate fears of job displacement and surveillance.
- Management should use AI to augment cognitive tasks rather than merely automating jobs to save costs.
- Create a collaborative 'AI-culture' where employees are encouraged to experiment with AI tools for innovative projects.
- Introduce mental health support and technostress management programs for employees overwhelmed by rapid technological changes.
- Develop internal platforms to share best practices regarding AI prompts and algorithmic interaction among IT teams.
- Ensure the data fed into AI models is unbiased to improve the quality of AI-assisted decision-making.
- Align employee performance metrics with their ability to effectively manage and integrate AI into their workflow.
- Foster cross-departmental teams to evaluate the ethical implications and task-technology fit before deploying new AI tools.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the integration of Artificial Intelligence within the IT sector in Coimbatore represents a pivotal paradigm shift rather than a mere technological upgrade. The empirical evidence from this study strongly validates that AI acts as a catalyst for employee job performance, significantly enhancing task efficiency, accelerating data-driven decision-making, and expanding the horizons of workplace innovation. However, while the negative impacts of AI challenges were found to be statistically minor in this demographic, organizations cannot afford to be complacent. The future of IT work relies on a synergistic relationship between human intellect and artificial intelligence.

References

- Brougham, D., & Haar, J. (2020). Smart technology, artificial intelligence, robotics, and algorithms (STARA): Employees' perceptions of our future workplace. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 24(2), 239-257.
- Tang, Y., et al. (2023). Employees' AI awareness and knowledge hiding: The mediating role of job insecurity. *Journal of Knowledge Management*.
- Xu, S., et al. (2023). Artificial intelligence awareness and emotional exhaustion: The moderating role of organizational support. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*.

- Li, H., et al. (2024). How AI awareness impacts employee adaptive performance. *Personnel Review*.
- Ramos, H. C. P., et al. (2024). Artificial intelligence skills and their impact on the employability of university graduates. *Education and Information Technologies*.
- Bankins, S., et al. (2024). Generative AI in the workplace: Implications for creativity and productivity. *Journal of Technology Management*.
- Cai, H., et al. (2025). AI usage and employee creativity: The mediating role of work engagement. *Frontiers in Psychology*.
- Chung, Y. W., et al. (2025). Artificial intelligence awareness, career resilience, job insecurity and employee behavior. *Computers in Human Behavior*.
- Jetha, A., et al. (2025). Artificial intelligence in the workplace: A living systematic review protocol on worker safety, health, and well-being implications. Elsevier BV.
- Safshekan, M., et al. (2026). Artificial intelligence in human resource management. *Human Resource Management Review*.

